

Superconducting correlations in ultra-small metallic grains

GUANG-SHAN TIAN^{1,2}, LEI-HAN TANG¹ and QING-HU CHEN^{1,3}

¹ *Department of Physics, Hong Kong Baptist University, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong*

² *Department of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*

³ *Department of Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China*

(received ; accepted)

PACS. 74.20Fg – BCS theory and its development.

PACS. 71.10–w – Theories and models of many electron systems.

PACS. 71.24+q – Electronic structure of clusters and nanoparticles.

Abstract. – To describe the crossover from the bulk BCS superconductivity to a fluctuation-dominated regime in ultrasmall metallic grains, new order parameters and correlation functions, such as “parity gap” and “pair-mixing correlation function”, have been recently introduced. In this paper, we discuss the small-grain behaviour of the Penrose-Onsager-Yang off-diagonal long-range order (ODLRO) parameter in a pseudo-spin representation. Relations between the ODLRO parameter and those mentioned above are established through analytical and numerical calculations.

The hallmarks of superconductivity — zero resistance and the Meissner effect — both disappear when the size of a superconducting grain shrinks to a few nanometers[1]. Naturally, one would like to know if there are remnant effects of bulk superconductivity that differentiate normal and superconducting particles in this size range, and if so, how these effects can be described at a quantitative level. In a series of experiments on nanometer-sized Al grains, Ralph, Black and Tinkham[2] have shown that a gap in the excitation spectrum significantly larger than the typical level spacing between single-electron eigenstates still exists and is destroyed only by a sufficiently strong magnetic field. The size of the energy gap depends on the parity of the electron number N on the grain. These results indicate that pairing interactions remain important on nanometer scale.

Several theoretical approaches have been advanced to explain the experimental findings mentioned above, and to address the broader issue of superconductivity at nanometer scale [3-14]. Attention has been focused on the applicability of the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) theory[15] to a situation where (i) the average level spacing becomes comparable to the bulk superconducting gap and (ii) the charging energy for adding an electron becomes the largest energy scale and effectively fixes N . The converging view is that, under condition (i), crossover takes place from the BCS mean-field regime to a fluctuation-dominated regime, though remnant pair correlations exist even in the latter case. A general framework for addressing the fluctuation effects has been proposed by Matveev and Larkin[7]. This is

supplemented by numerical calculations and exact solutions[14] which offer a quantitative account of the crossover.

Although the problem of small-grain superconductivity under the BCS Hamiltonian is essentially solved, one still owes for a simple viewpoint which is generalisable to situations where mathematical difficulties prevent an explicit solution. The usual way for achieving such a goal is to identify a suitable order parameter which, in the present case, becomes a nontrivial task due to condition (ii). Matveev and Larkin proposed to use the ground state parity gap $\Delta_P = E_{N+1} - (E_N + E_{N+2})/2$ (N even) of an unpaired electron for this purpose. An alternative suggestion was made in Refs.[8, 11, 12] where a “pair mixing parameter” Δ_{MIX} was introduced. Although both quantities carry the effect of pair scattering, they do not probe directly the pair coherence which is a defining property of the superconducting state or the remnant of it.

In this paper, we analyse the small-grain behaviour of yet another candidate, the Penrose-Onsager-Yang off-diagonal long-range order (ODLRO) parameter Δ_{OD} . [16, 17] For the BCS Hamiltonian, Δ_{OD} yields directly the strength of a spontaneous symmetry-breaking field, whose quantum fluctuations manifest in the ultra-small grain limit. The crossover mentioned above is attributed to a gradual weakening of this field against another energy scale of the problem, the level spacing δ at the Fermi surface. Analytical and numerical results are presented to relate Δ_{OD} to Δ_{MIX} and Δ_P .

Let us start with the BCS Hamiltonian for a metallic grain,

$$H_{\text{BCS}} = \sum_{k,\sigma} \epsilon_k c_{k\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma} - g \sum_{k,k'} c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k'\downarrow} c_{k'\uparrow}. \quad (1)$$

Here $c_{k\sigma}^\dagger$ ($c_{k\sigma}$) are creation (annihilation) operators of electrons in single-particle eigenstate $|k\rangle$ with spin σ and energy ϵ_k , and $g(>0)$ is the interaction constant. The sums in Eq. (1) extend over states in the energy range $-\hbar\omega_D \leq \epsilon_k \leq \hbar\omega_D$ around the Fermi level, where ω_D is the Debye frequency. To be definite, we take $\epsilon_k = k\delta$, where δ is the average level spacing, and k is an integer running from $-L$ to $L = \hbar\omega_D/\delta$. The total number of levels involved, $\Omega = 2L + 1$, is proportional to the grain volume. The only other dimensionless parameter of the model is the relative interaction strength $\alpha = g/\delta$, which is expected to depend only weakly on grain size[12].

Under Eq. (1), levels occupied by a single electron are inert and do not participate in the pair scattering process. For the remaining levels, the BCS Hamiltonian can be written in a pseudo-spin form[18],

$$H_{\text{BCS}} = \sum_k \epsilon_k (1 + 2s_k^z) - g \sum_{k,k'} s_k^+ s_{k'}^-, \quad (2)$$

where $s_k^+ = c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k\downarrow}^\dagger$, $s_k^- = c_{k\downarrow} c_{k\uparrow}$, and $s_k^z = (c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k\uparrow} + c_{k\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k\downarrow} - 1)/2$ are spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ operators. In terms of the total spin operator $\mathbf{S} = \sum_k \mathbf{s}_k$, the interaction term takes the form,

$$H_I = -gS^+ S^- = -g\mathbf{S}^2 + gS_z^2 - gS_z. \quad (3)$$

Thus the interaction energy E_I is maximised by the state with the largest total spin S but the smallest S_z (in magnitude), i.e., the state with the largest XY component. On the other hand, the single-particle energies $\epsilon_k = k\delta$, $k = -L, \dots, L$, act as a nonuniform polarising field in the z -direction. Competition of these two tendencies determines the ground state of the system.

In the usual mean-field analysis, $h_\pm \equiv gS^\pm$ is treated as a classical spontaneous symmetry-breaking field coupled to the XY-component of the pseudo-spins. The strength of this field is precisely the BCS gap parameter, i.e., $|h_\pm| \equiv g\langle S^+ S^- \rangle^{1/2} = \Delta_{\text{BCS}}$. The phase of S^\pm is

undefined for a system with a fixed electron number N which is an eigenstate of S_z [19]. As the size of the grain shrinks, quantum fluctuations of the amplitude of S^\pm become important when h_\pm no longer dominates over ϵ_k in the neighborhood of the Fermi level.

The Penrose-Onsager-Yang off-diagonal order parameter,

$$\Delta_{\text{OD}} \equiv \frac{1}{\Omega} \langle \sum_{k,k'} c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k'\downarrow} c_{k'\uparrow} \rangle, \quad (4)$$

offers a convenient measure of the strength of the spontaneous symmetry-breaking field in both canonical and grand-canonical ensembles. Here $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes either the expectation value over a pure state or an ensemble average. It has been shown that, in the thermodynamic limit $\Omega \rightarrow \infty$, the existence of the ODLRO (in k -space) is equivalent to Δ_{OD} being extensive in Ω [16, 17, 20]. The pseudo-spin form of Δ_{OD} is given by,

$$\Delta_{\text{OD}} = \frac{1}{\Omega} \langle S^+ S^- \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\Omega} S_{\text{XY}}^2, \quad (5)$$

where S_{XY} is an effective XY-spin. In the bulk limit, S_{XY} is also extensive in Ω or the grain volume. The off-diagonal correlations of the Cooper pairs are reflected in deviations from the free-electron results $\Delta_{\text{OD}} = 1/2$ and $S_{\text{XY}} = \sqrt{\Omega/2}$.

We now consider the relation between Δ_{OD} and the pair-mixing parameter[4, 8, 11, 12],

$$\Delta_{\text{MIX}} \equiv \sum_k u_k v_k, \quad (6)$$

where

$$u_k = \langle c_{k\downarrow} c_{k\uparrow} c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k\downarrow}^\dagger \rangle^{1/2}, \quad v_k = \langle c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k\downarrow} c_{k\uparrow} \rangle^{1/2}, \quad (7)$$

are occupation amplitudes of paired holes and electrons, respectively. In terms of the pseudo-spins, we have,

$$\Delta_{\text{MIX}} = \sum_k \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \langle s_k^z \rangle^2}. \quad (8)$$

Since pair-scattering yields partially occupied levels, Δ_{MIX} assumes a nonvanishing value under (1). Unlike Δ_{OD} , however, Δ_{MIX} does not differentiate between coherent and incoherent scattering. The following theorem relates Δ_{MIX} to Δ_{OD} .

Theorem: For the global ground state Ψ_0 of the BCS Hamiltonian (1) with P Cooper pairs, the following inequalities hold,

$$\frac{\Delta_{\text{MIX}}^2}{\Omega} \leq \Delta_{\text{OD}} \leq \frac{P}{\Omega} + \Delta_{\text{MIX}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\Omega}\right). \quad (9)$$

Proof: We start with the inequality,

$$\Delta_{\text{OD}} \leq \frac{P}{\Omega} + \frac{1}{\Omega} \sum_{k \neq k'} |\langle c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k'\downarrow} c_{k'\uparrow} \rangle|. \quad (10)$$

An upper bound to each term in the sum is obtained by inserting the identity operator $\hat{I} \equiv \sum |\Psi_h\rangle \langle \Psi_h|$ between the pair creation and annihilation operators and applying the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality $|\sum_n a_n b_n|^2 \leq (\sum_n |a_n|^2)(\sum_n |b_n|^2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k'\downarrow} c_{k'\uparrow} \rangle| &\leq \sqrt{\langle c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k\downarrow} c_{k\uparrow} \rangle} \sqrt{\langle c_{k'\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k'\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k'\downarrow} c_{k'\uparrow} \rangle} \\ &= v_k v_{k'}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$|\langle c_{k'\downarrow} c_{k'\uparrow} c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k\downarrow}^\dagger \rangle| \leq u_{k'} u_k. \quad (12)$$

Multiplying (11) with (12), we obtain,

$$|\langle c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k'\downarrow} c_{k'\uparrow} \rangle| \leq \sqrt{u_k v_k} \sqrt{u_{k'} v_{k'}}. \quad (13)$$

Substitution of (13) into (10) yields

$$\Delta_{\text{OD}} \leq \frac{P}{\Omega} + \frac{1}{\Omega} \left(\sum_k \sqrt{u_k v_k} \right)^2 - \frac{\Delta_{\text{MIX}}}{\Omega}. \quad (14)$$

The upper bound to Δ_{OD} in (9) is obtained by applying again the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality to the sum in (14) with $a_k = 1$ and $b_k = \sqrt{u_k v_k}$.

Unlike the upper bound, the lower bound in (9) makes specific reference to the BCS Hamiltonian for which the interaction energy $E_I = -g\Omega\Delta_{\text{OD}}$, and to the global ground-state Ψ_0 . Leaving out levels occupied by a single electron, we may write (7) as,

$$u_k = \langle \Psi_0 | 1 - n_k | \Psi_0 \rangle^{1/2}, \quad v_k = \langle \Psi_0 | n_k | \Psi_0 \rangle^{1/2}, \quad (15)$$

where n_k is the number operator for a pair. Consider now a normalised BCS-like wave function,

$$\tilde{\Psi}_0 \equiv \prod_k \left(u_k + v_k c_{k\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k\downarrow}^\dagger \right) |0\rangle. \quad (16)$$

The expectation values of H_{BCS} in the two states Ψ_0 and $\tilde{\Psi}_0$ are given by,

$$\langle \Psi_0 | H_{\text{BCS}} | \Psi_0 \rangle = E_K + E_I, \quad (17)$$

$$\langle \tilde{\Psi}_0 | H_{\text{BCS}} | \tilde{\Psi}_0 \rangle = E_K - g\Delta_{\text{MIX}}^2 - g \sum_k v_k^4, \quad (18)$$

where $E_K = \sum_k 2\epsilon_k v_k^2$ is the kinetic energy of pairs in the ground state. The lower bound in (9) is obtained by noting that (18) is an upper bound to (17). **QED.**

We note in passing that the particle-hole symmetry of H_{BCS} suggests that the global ground state (for a fixed parity) is achieved at the half-filling value $P = \Omega/2$, though a rigorous proof is so far lacking. By the very construction of the BCS Hamiltonian (1), the global ground state (with respect to all possible values of P) is the one physically realised.

A direct corollary of the theorem is that, for the ground state of the BCS Hamiltonian, Δ_{MIX} is extensive if and only if Δ_{OD} is extensive.

In Ref.[8], it was suggested that Δ_{MIX} may become non-extensive when $\alpha = g/\delta$ is less than a certain critical value $\alpha_c > 0$. This is in apparent contradiction with the well-known result that the ground state of the BCS Hamiltonian has ODLRO for any $\alpha > 0$. Since the BCS ground state energy E_{BCS} provides another upper bound to the true global ground state energy E_0 , it is possible to show that

$$\Delta_{\text{OD}} \geq -E_{C,\text{BCS}}/(g\Omega), \quad (19)$$

where $E_{C,\text{BCS}}$ is the BCS condensation energy which, for large Ω , is given by,

$$E_{C,\text{BCS}} = -\frac{\Delta_{\text{BCS}}^2}{\delta} \left[1 + \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\Delta_{\text{BCS}}}{L\delta} \right)^2} \right]^{-1}. \quad (20)$$

Here $\Delta_{\text{BCS}} = L\delta/\sinh(\delta/g)$ is the BCS gap parameter. Since $E_{C,\text{BCS}}$ is extensive, both Δ_{OD} and Δ_{MIX} are extensive in the bulk limit.

Let us now turn to the relations among the spontaneous symmetry breaking field h_{\pm} , the parity parameter Δ_P , and other spectroscopic parameters. In the bulk limit, $|h_{\pm}| \gg \delta$, so that pseudo-spins around the Fermi level are completely aligned with the symmetry-breaking field. The parity gap Δ_P is simply the energy cost for removing a level at the Fermi energy. This has the effect of reducing S_{XY} by 1/2 which, from Eq. (3), carries an energy cost $gS_{XY} = |h_{\pm}| = \Delta_{\text{BCS}}$. Likewise, the energy change for reversing the pseudo-spin, which reduces S_{XY} by one, is $2gS_{XY} = 2\Delta_{\text{BCS}}$. This is the energy gap for exciting a pair. The energy gap for breaking a pair can be derived in a similar fashion and is again given by $2\Delta_{\text{BCS}}$ in this limit. It is interesting to explore how these results change for ultra-small grains.

One possible scheme for addressing pair-scattering effects in the fluctuating regime is a renormalised perturbation theory advanced by Matveev and Larkin[7]. Treating the interaction term as a perturbation and computing the ground state energies to the second order in g , Matveev and Larkin obtained,

$$\Delta_P \simeq \frac{g}{2} + \frac{g^2}{2\delta} \ln \frac{\Omega}{2}. \quad (21)$$

The second term in (21) can be absorbed in the form of a renormalised coupling constant $\tilde{g} = g/[1 - (g/\delta) \ln(\Omega/2)]$, and finally, Δ_P can be expressed in a scaling form,

$$\Delta_P = \Delta_{\text{BCS}} f(\delta/\Delta_{\text{BCS}}), \quad (22)$$

where $f(x) \simeq 1$ for $x \ll 1$ and $f(x) \simeq x/(2 \ln x)$ for $x \gg 1$. Although the scaling ansatz (22) is supported by numerical results, a full-fledged renormalisation group derivation is lacking.

To check if a similar scaling form applies to the spontaneous symmetry-breaking field h_{\pm} , we have carried out exact diagonalisation of H_{BCS} for small systems ($\Omega = 7, 9, \dots, 21$) using the Lanczos method. Figure 1(a) shows $gS_{XY}/\Delta_{\text{BCS}}$ (diamonds) versus $\delta/\Delta_{\text{BCS}}$ for a range of α and Ω values. For comparison, we have also included data for the parity parameter Δ_P (open circles) and the pair-mixing parameter Δ_{MIX} (solid circles) in a suitably scaled form. The scaling ansatz (22), which describes well the crossover behaviour of the parity gap Δ_P , does not seem to apply to gS_{XY} and $g\Delta_{\text{MIX}}$ in the fluctuation-dominated regime. The lower-bound in (9) (equivalent to $\Delta_{\text{MIX}} \leq S_{XY}$) is obviously satisfied by the data. Note that, in the small grain limit, S_{XY} is dominated by zero-point fluctuations of S^{\pm} rather than the spontaneous symmetry-breaking effect. This is reflected in the increase of gS_{XY} from its bulk value Δ_{BCS} seen in Fig. 1(a).

By computing the ground state wave function to the first order in g , we derived the following result,

$$\Delta_{\text{OD}} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{g}{\delta} \ln 2 + O(g^2). \quad (23)$$

Comparison with Eq. (21) suggests, on the strongly-fluctuating side,

$$\Delta_{\text{OD}} \simeq \frac{1}{2} + (2 \ln 2) \frac{\Delta_P}{\delta}. \quad (24)$$

Figure 1(b) shows Δ_{OD} against Δ_P/δ for different values of $\alpha = g/\delta$. At the lower-left corner of the graph, there appears to be a good data collapse which compares favorably with Eq. (24) as indicated by the solid line. (Note that part of the discrepancy is due to P/Ω being slightly less than 1/2 for odd Ω .) On the other hand, in the bulk limit, $\Delta_{\text{OD}} = \Omega^{-1}(\Delta_{\text{BCS}}/g)^2$ can not be expressed as a function of Δ_P/δ only. This again indicates that the fluctuation and correlation effects can not be fully captured by a one-parameter renormalisation group theory.

In summary, we have established some inequalities that relate the Penrose-Onsager-Yang off-diagonal order parameter Δ_{OD} to the pair-mixing parameter Δ_{MIX} recently proposed to

characterise the crossover from the bulk BCS regime to the fluctuation-dominated regime. It is shown that, for the ground state of the BCS Hamiltonian, both quantities are extensive in the bulk limit. However, Δ_{OD} offers a better measure of the superconducting condensation in general as it is sensitive also to the “phase” of electron pairs. The nature of the spontaneous symmetry breaking for superconducting grains at a fixed electron number N , and relationships among various excitations, are examined in the framework of the pseudo-spin representation. Our exact numerical results for small systems support the previous view that the fluctuation-dominated quantum regime is reached when the BCS gap parameter Δ_{BCS} becomes comparable to the level spacing δ .

Acknowledgments: This work is supported by the Hong Kong Baptist University under Grant FRG/97-98/II-78, and by the Chinese National Science Foundation under grant No. 19874004.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. W. Anderson, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **11**, 28 (1959).
- [2] D. C. Ralph, C. T. Black and M. Tinkham, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 3241 (1995); C. T. Black, D. C. Ralph and M. Tinkham, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 688 (1996).
- [3] B. Jankó, A. Smith and V. Ambegaokar, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 1152 (1994).
- [4] J. von Delft, A. D. Zaikin, D. S. Golubev, and W. Tichy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3189 (1996).
- [5] J. von Delft, D. S. Golubev, W. Tichy, and A. D. Zaikin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 688 (1996).
- [6] R. A. Smith and V. Ambegaokar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 4962 (1996).
- [7] K. A. Matveev and A. I. Larkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 3749 (1997).
- [8] A. Mastellone, G. Falci, and R. Fazio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 4542 (1998).
- [9] S. D. Berger and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. B **58**, 5213 (1998).
- [10] G.-S. Tian and L.-H. Tang, Phys. Rev. B **58**, 12333 (1998).
- [11] F. Braun and J. von Delft, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4712 (1998).
- [12] F. Braun and J. von Delft, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 9527 (1999).
- [13] J. Dukelsky and G. Sierra, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 172 (1999).
- [14] R. W. Richardson, J. Math. Phys. **18**, 1802 (1977); G. Sierra et al, to be published (cond-mat/9909015).
- [15] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper and J. R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev. **108**, 1175 (1957).
- [16] O. Penrose and L. Onsager, Phys. Rev. **104**, 576 (1956).
- [17] C. N. Yang, Rev. Mod. Phys. **34**, 694 (1962).
- [18] P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. **112**, 1900 (1958).
- [19] P. W. Anderson, *Basic Notions of Condensed Matter Physics* (Benjamin/Cummings, Menlo Park, 1984), and references therein.
- [20] G. S. Tian, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 3145 (1992).




Fig. 1. – (a) The spontaneous symmetry-breaking field gS_{XY} (\diamond), parity parameter (\circ), and pair-mixing parameter Δ_{MIX} (\bullet) against $\delta/\Delta_{\text{BCS}}$ in suitably scaled form. (b) The ODLRO parameter plotted against Δ_P/δ . Solid line indicates result from the perturbative calculation. Numbers in legend give the values of $\alpha = g/\delta$ for each data set.