

Li₅ as a pseudorotating planar cluster

R. Kawai and J. F. Tombrello

Department of Physics, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama 35294

J. H. Weare

Department of Chemistry, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093

(November 3, 2018)

A pseudorotating state of Li₅ observed in a recent EPR experiment is investigated using the local density functional method. The calculated isotropic spin population indicates that a planar C_{2v} structure is more consistent with the experimental result than a suggested trigonal bipyramid. A new pseudorotating state based on the planar structure is proposed.

PACS numbers: 36.40.+d, 31.20.-d, 35.20.Bm, 35.20.Jv

Despite a decade of theoretical investigation, the geometric structures of small metallic clusters are poorly understood. This is partly due to the lack of a reliable experimental technique and partly to the inadequate accuracy of *ab initio* calculations. Only a few geometries have been experimentally determined. Spectroscopic data for the systems larger than the trimer are too complicated to extract structural information. On the other hand, highly accurate configuration-interaction (CI) calculations are too expensive even for small clusters when geometric optimization is required. A solution to this challenging problem is to calculate spectroscopic data or other observables using an accurate *ab initio* method for candidate geometries and to eliminate structures that are inconsistent with the experimental data. Currently available *ab initio* methods are accurate enough to distinguish quantitative differences in spectra due to different structures [1]. Recently, geometries of a few small lithium clusters have been partially determined in this way [2–4].

For Li₃, both matrix-isolated [5,6] and cluster-beam [7] electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectra showed that all three nuclei are magnetically equivalent, indicating that Li₃ is an equilateral triangle (D_{3h}). On the other hand, theoretical calculations predicted two nearly degenerate energy minima, 2A_1 and 2B_2 states, corresponding to C_{2v} isosceles triangles. This disagreement was explained by pseudorotation around the D_{3h} symmetry point [8,9]. Recently, extremum structures of the 2A_1 and 2B_2 states were determined by high-resolution optical absorption spectroscopy [10]. Furthermore, a fractional quantum number of pseudorotational angular momentum due to Berry's phase [11,12] has been observed in the rotational energy spectrum of Na₃ [13,14], supporting the pseudorotation ground state.

A number of theoretical calculations [15–19] predicted that lithium clusters are planar up to Li₆. However, the optical absorption spectrum for Li₆ appears to be consistent with the theoretical spectra for a three-dimensional structure, eliminating the possibility of planar geometries [1,3]. Detailed geometrical parameters are still unknown. For Li₇, EPR spectra [20] were interpreted as a pentagonal bipyramid (D_{5h}) in agreement with theoretical pre-

diction [16,17,19,21]. As far as we know, no attempt to identify the structure of Li₅ has been made.

Recently, Howard *et al.* [22] investigated Li₅ in an adamantane matrix using EPR. The data indicate that all five nuclei are magnetically identical and this is interpreted as a pseudorotating cluster. Furthermore, the authors suggested that the ground state of Li₅ is not a planar but a three-dimensional structure, contrary to theoretical predictions. A trigonal bipyramid, which is nearly as stable as the planar structure [17,23], is a prime candidate for the most stable three-dimensional structure. Since the pseudorotation mechanism for a trigonal bipyramid molecule such as PF₅ is known [24], their speculation seems reasonable. However, no conclusion can be made from the observed isotropic spin population (ISP). In order to extract the structural information out of the experimental data, quantitative theoretical calculations are desired.

In this paper, we report a pseudopotential local density functional calculation of Li₅. Two low-energy isomers, a trigonal bipyramid (2B_1) and a planar structure (2A_1), are studied as candidates for the ground state. The isotropic spin population is computed in order to identify the structure observed by EPR. Furthermore, the possibility of two pseudorotation mechanisms is investigated by searching the lowest potential barriers for permutation of the nuclei.

The computational method used in this work is the same as the one previously used for other systems. The details are given in Ref. [25]. The electron density is calculated using the local density approximation (LDA) [26] with a generalized norm-conserving pseudopotential [27]. In order to increase transferability, a fractionally occupied electronic configuration, $1s^2 2s^{0.8} 2p^{0.2}$, is used to construct a pseudopotential [27]. A core radius $R_c = 2.1$ is sufficiently accurate for all orbitals [23]. Wave functions are expanded in a plane wave basis set using a simple cubic supercell. Since Li₃ has a relatively large dipole moment, a large lattice constant, $a = 60$ a.u., was used to avoid a long-range dipole interaction between repeated cells. For other sizes, $a = 30$ a.u. was sufficiently large. The same cutoff energy $E_{cut} = 11.2$ Ry was used for all sizes. This requires more than 130000 plane waves for the

largest cell. Both electronic and geometric structures are optimized by the steepest descent method. The ISP at the i th nuclear position, \vec{R}_i is evaluated as

$$\rho_i = \sum_{\sigma} \sum_n \frac{|\psi_{n,\sigma}(\vec{R}_i)|^2}{|\psi_{2s}(0)|^2} \sigma \quad (1)$$

where $\psi_{n,\sigma}$ is the n -th molecular orbital with a spin $\sigma = \pm 1$ and ψ_{2s} is the $2s$ orbital of an isolated Li atom. All calculations have been carried out using the CM-200 and CM-5 computers.

We have calculated Li_3 , Li_5 and Li_7 clusters at the same level of accuracy. The ISP's for Li_3 and Li_7 is compared with the experimental data in order to provide the degree of accuracy of our calculation for Li_5 .

For Li_3 , two equilibrium triangle structures, 2B_2 (an obtuse isosceles triangle) and 2A_1 (an acute isosceles triangle) are nearly degenerate as predicted in other calculations [8,9]. The 2B_2 state appeared to be more stable than the 2A_1 state. However, the difference in total energy is only 8.3 meV. The experimental value, 3.2 meV [10], is within the accuracy of the present calculation. The conical intersection of two Born-Oppenheimer (BO) surfaces at the D_{3h} symmetric point is only 66 meV (the experimental value = 33 meV) above the 2B_2 minimum, indicating that the system is quantum mechanically delocalized and forms a D_{3h} instead of a C_{2v} structure. These results are consistent with the pseudorotating state identified by the EPR spectroscopy [5–7]. The ISP's for both extremum geometries are listed in Table I. The total ISP, ρ_{total} is 0.48 and 0.78 for the 2A_1 and 2B_2 states, respectively. Since the pseudorotating state occupies both extremum states, the actual ρ_{total} should be between these values. Assuming equal weights on the two states, $\rho_{total}=0.63$ is obtained, in good agreement with the experimental value, $\rho_{total}=0.69$.

The EPR experiment determines the number of magnetically equivalent nuclei and the isotropic spin population at each nucleus but it is not capable of determining the detailed geometry such as equilibrium bond distances. Recently, the extremum geometries were precisely determined using high-resolution photoabsorption spectroscopy through the analysis of vibronic spectra [10]. The present calculation gives excellent agreement with the experiment, as shown in Table II.

Beyond the trimers, both optical and EPR spectra are so complicated that the assignment or interpretation of spectra is almost impossible without theoretical assistance. For Li_7 , however, two distinct groups of nuclei, two nuclei with large ISP ($\rho_1=0.25$) and five nuclei with very small ISP ($\rho_2=-0.015$) were found from EPR [20], consistent with the theoretically predicted pentagonal bipyramid [16,17,19,21]. The present calculation predicts that two apical nuclei have $\rho_1=0.23$ and five nuclei forming a pentagonal ring carry $\rho_2=-0.01$, in good agreement with the experiment.

The results for Li_3 and Li_7 suggest that these calculations are sufficiently accurate to predict the ISP of small

lithium clusters. Therefore, the geometry of small clusters can be determined by comparing theoretical ISP's with experimental data.

For Li_5 , most theoretical calculations [15–19] predicted that the 2A_1 state of a C_{2v} planar structure [See Fig. 1(a)] is the ground state. However, in contradiction to the previous calculations, we found that a distorted trigonal bipyramid with the C_{2v} symmetry [See Fig. 2(a)] is lower in total energy than the 2A_1 state within the LDA. This result seems consistent with the speculation by Howard *et al.* [22]. However, the energy difference is only 46 meV/atom. Since the accuracy in LDA total energy is not always satisfactory [28], determining the ground state by the total energy alone is not reliable if there are nearly degenerate states.

In Table III, the theoretical ISP values of two structures are compared with the experimental value. The total ISP, $\rho_{total} = 0.53$, for the trigonal bipyramid is much smaller than the experimental value, 0.71. On the other hand, $\rho_{total} = 0.72$ for the planar structure nearly coincides with the experimental value. This implies that the observed Li_5 cluster is the planar cluster. The small ISP for the trigonal bipyramid is due to the large population of the p -character electron which stabilizes this geometry significantly. Large sp mixing is particular to Li clusters and does not appear in other alkali-metal atom clusters.

The experiment also showed that the five nuclei are magnetically equivalent. Only a pentagonal ring (D_{5h}) has five equivalent nuclei without dynamical transformation. However, it is an unstable state with total energy of 1.06 eV above the the lowest 2B_1 state and, therefore, energetically not accessible. The observed spectra must be due to the rapid transformation of one of the low energy structures. In this paper, we assume the system is pseudorotating by quantum-mechanical tunneling.

By analogy from the Berry pseudorotation of PF_5 , the trigonal bipyramid permutes its nuclei through the C_{4v} transition state, as illustrated in Fig. 2. For Li_5 , however, since the unpaired electron has a node on the atoms 3-1-3 in Fig. 2(a) and on atoms 2-1-2 in Fig. 2(c), the electronic wave functions before and after the transition are orthogonal. Therefore, tunneling through the C_{4v} point is essentially prohibited. Furthermore, the potential barrier height, 0.33 eV is too high to allow a rapid tunneling at low temperature. The pseudorotation of the trigonal bipyramid is not likely to occur within the experimental time scale.

Similarly to the pseudorotation of Li_3 , we expect that the planar Li_5 pseudorotates around the D_{5h} symmetric point if the potential barrier to the cyclic permutation of nuclei is sufficiently low. The D_{5h} point is 0.82 eV above the 2A_1 state, which is too high to pass through even if the zero-point energy is taken into account. However, we found a relatively low transition state to reach a nearest equivalent extremum in the planar structure. The path from one extremum to another is illustrated in Fig. 1. The barrier height, 0.18 eV, is higher than that of Li_3 but significantly lower than that of the trigonal

bipyramid pseudorotation path. In contrast to the trigonal bipyramid, the nodal planes before and after tunneling are nearly parallel and thus the tunneling is allowed. Although a nearly free pseudorotation like the Li_3 case is not possible for Li_5 , the tunneling probability of the planar structure is expected to be much larger than that of the trigonal bipyramid, supporting the idea that the planar structure is the ground state and in consistent with the CI calculation (Table IV).

In order to make all nuclei equivalent, tunneling has to be faster than the observation time of the experiment. Unfortunately, a quantum Monte Carlo simulation is necessary to determine the quantum-mechanical behavior of Li nuclei. A recent quantum Monte Carlo simulation [29] indicates that zero-point energy and tunneling play a crucial role even for large clusters. Furthermore, the estimated zero-point energy for the bulk Li crystal is as big as 33 meV/atom [30]. Since lithium is the third lightest element, the quantum-mechanical behavior of the nuclei is not surprising.

The dimension of the pseudorotation is 6 for Li_5 in contrast to 2 for Li_3 . Because of this high dimensionality, the Li_5 pseudorotation is not straightforward. The permutation path described in Fig. 1 is actually not a simple rotation. In terms of rotational motion, the transition is not between the nearest neighbors as shown in Fig. 3 due to the high dimensionality of the system. The path is a closed loop in the six-dimensional space and its projection onto a two-dimensional plane rotates three times (6π) around the D_{5h} symmetry point. It is interesting to calculate Berry's phase associated with the geometrical transformation along the path [12,31] and the fractional quantization of rotational motion. However, calculation of Berry's phase is not simple for Li_5 because of its high dimensionality and the degeneracy at the D_{5h} point [12,31].

In conclusion, the theoretical isotropic spin population indicates that the planar $C_{2v}(^2A_1)$ structure is more consistent with the EPR data than the $C_{2v}(^2B_1)$ trigonal bipyramid. Furthermore, the pseudorotation of the trigonal bipyramid is expected to be very slow due to the symmetry of the electronic wave function and the high potential barrier. A new pseudorotation path thorough a transition state at $C_{2v}(^2B_1)$ is proposed for the planar structure. The low potential barrier height probably permits rapid tunneling between extrema in the BO surface.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge helpful discussions with T. Hamilton and K. Lammertsma. This work was partly supported by the Office of Naval Research through Grant No. ONR0014-91J-1835. Calculations were performed on CM-5 and CM-200 at the Naval Research Laboratory.

- [1] V. Bonačić-Koutecký, P. Fantucci, and J. Koutecký, Chem. Rev. **91**, 1035 (1991).
- [2] M. Broyer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **42**, 6954 (1990).
- [3] P. Dugourd *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 2638 (1991).
- [4] J. Blanc *et al.*, J. Chem. Phys. **96**, 1793 (1992).
- [5] D. A. Garland and D. M. Lindsay, J. Chem. Phys. **78**, 2813 (1983).
- [6] J. A. Howard, R. Sutcliffe, and B. Mile, Chem. Phys. Lett. **112**, 84 (1984).
- [7] N. Hishinuma, Phys. Rev. A **46**, 7023 (1992).
- [8] W. H. Gerber and E. Schumacher, J. Chem. Phys. **69**, 1962 (1978).
- [9] J. L. Martins, R. Car, and J. Buttet, J. Chem. Phys. **78**, 5646 (1983).
- [10] J. Blanc *et al.*, Z. Phys. D **19**, 7 (1991).
- [11] F. S. Ham, Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 725 (1987).
- [12] J. W. Zwanziger, M. Koenig, and A. Pines, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. **41**, 601 (1990).
- [13] G. Delacrétaz *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 2598 (1986).
- [14] J. W. Zwanziger and E. R. Grant, J. Chem. Phys. **87**, 2954 (1987).
- [15] D. Plavšić, J. Koutecký, G. Pacchioni, and V. Bonačić-Koutecký, J. Phys. Chem. **87**, 1096 (1983).
- [16] Y. Wang, T. F. George, D. M. Lindsay, and A. C. Beri, J. Chem. Phys. **86**, 3493 (1987).
- [17] I. Boustani *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **35**, 9437 (1987).
- [18] J. Koutecký, I. Boustani, and V. Bonačić-Koutecký, Int. J. Quantum Chem. **38**, 149 (1990).
- [19] O. Sugino and H. Kamimura, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 2696 (1990).
- [20] D. A. Garland and D. M. Lindsay, J. Chem. Phys. **80**, 4761 (1984).
- [21] P. Fantucci, J. Koutecký, and G. Pacchioni, J. Chem. Phys. **80**, 325 (1984).
- [22] J. A. Howard *et al.*, Chem. Phys. Lett. **204**, 128 (1993).
- [23] R. Kawai, M.-W. Sung, and J. H. Weare (unpublished).
- [24] R. S. Berry, Rev. Mod. Phys. **32**, 447 (1960).
- [25] R. Kawai and J. H. Weare, J. Chem. Phys. **95**, 1151 (1991).
- [26] W. Kohn and L. Sham, Phys. Rev. **A140**, 1133 (1965).
- [27] D. R. Hamann, Phys. Rev. B **40**, 2980 (1989).
- [28] K. Raghavachari *et al.*, Chem. Phys. Lett. **214**, 357 (1993).
- [29] P. Ballone and P. Milani, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 11222 (1992).
- [30] M. M. Dacorogna and M. L. Cohen, Phys. Rev. B **34**, 4996 (1986).
- [31] C. A. Mead, Rev. Mod. Phys. **64**, 51 (1992).
- [32] J. Kendrick, Mol. Phys. **35**, 593 (1978).
- [33] C. H. Wu, J. Chem. Phys. **65**, 3181 (1976).
- [34] B. K. Rao and P. Jena, Phys. Rev. B **32**, 2058 (1985).

TABLE I. Isotropic spin populations for Li_3 . The experimental data are for the pseudorotating state.

Method	2B_2			2A_1		
	ρ_1	ρ_2	ρ_{total}	ρ_1	ρ_2	ρ_{total}
CEPA ^a	0.02	0.35	0.72	0.22	0.19	0.60
CI ^b	0.05	0.28	0.60	0.31	0.14	0.60
PW-PP-LDA ^c	0.11	0.34	0.78	0.40	0.04	0.48
Experiment ^d	—	—	(0.69)	—	—	(0.69)

^a Reference [8] ^b Reference [32] ^c This work
^d References [5–7]

TABLE II. Comparison of calculated extremal geometries of Li_3 . The cohesive energy E_{coh} is in eV, relative energy to the 2B_2 state ΔE in eV, side length R in a.u., and apex angle θ in degrees.

Method	2B_2			2A_1		
	E_{coh}	R	θ	ΔE	R	θ
CEPA ^a	0.50	5.23	72°	0.010	5.69	54°
PP-LSD ^b	0.49	5.3	73°	0.00	5.1	52°
PW-PP-LDA ^c	0.58	5.08	72°	0.008	5.59	52°
Experiment ^d	0.60	5.16	72°	0.003	5.77	50°

^a Reference [8] ^b Reference [9] ^c Present work.
^d References [10] and [33]

TABLE III. Isotropic spin populations ρ_i for Li_5 . See Figs. 1(a) and 2(a) for the location of the i th nucleus.

Structure	ρ_1	ρ_2	ρ_3	ρ_{total}
2A_1	0.05	0.17	0.17	0.72
2B_1	0.03	0.27	-0.03	0.53
Experiment	—	—	—	0.71

TABLE IV. Comparison of theoretical calculations for the low-energy geometries and cohesive energy of Li_5 . E_{coh} is in eV, and equilibrium bond distances in a.u. The atom numbers are defined in Figs. 1(a) and 2(a), for the 2A_1 and 2B_1 states, respectively.

Method	Structure	E_{coh}	R_{3-4}	R_{1-3}	R_{2-3}	R_{1-2}
HF ^a	2A_1	0.51	5.49	6.65	5.50	5.49
CI ^a	2A_1	0.74	5.44	5.54	5.56	5.54
CI ^b	2A_1	0.60	5.82	5.82	5.82	5.84
PW-PP-LDA ^c	2A_1	0.80	5.65	5.21	5.47	5.44
Method	Structure	E_{coh}	R_{1-2}	R_{2-2}	R_{1-3}	R_{2-3}
CI ^b	2B_1	0.56	5.31	6.41	5.90	6.20
PW-PP-LDA ^c	2B_1	0.85	4.81	5.43	5.38	5.58

^a Reference [34] ^b Reference [17] ^c This work




FIG. 1. Pseudorotation path for the planar Li_5 (2A_1). The center structure corresponds to the transition state between two extremum states (the left and the right figures).




FIG. 2. Pseudorotation path for the trigonal bipyramid (2B_1). The center structure corresponds to the transition state between two extremum states (the left and the right figures)




FIG. 3. Pseudorotation around the D_{5h} symmetry point. Arrows represent the transition shown in Fig. 1.