Global Existence and Large Time Asymptotic Bounds of L^{∞} Solutions of Thermal Diffusive Combustion Systems on \mathbb{R}^n

P. Collet
Centre de Physique Théorique
Laboratoire CNRS UPR 14
Ecole Polytechnique
F-91128, Palaiseau, France.

and

J. Xin
Department of Mathematics
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721, USA.

Abstract

We consider the initial value problem for the thermal-diffusive combustion systems of the form: $u_{1,t} = \Delta_x u_1 - u_1 u_2^m$, $u_{2,t} = d\Delta_x u_2 + u_1 u_2^m$, $x \in R^n$, $n \ge 1$, $m \ge 1$, d > 1, with bounded uniformly continuous nonnegative initial data. For such initial data, solutions can be simple traveling fronts or complicated domain walls. Due to the well-known thermal-diffusive instabilities when d, the Lewis number, is sufficiently away from one, front solutions are potentially chaotic. It is known in the literature that solutions are uniformly bounded in time in case $d \le 1$ by a simple comparison argument. In case d > 1, no comparison principle seems to apply. Nevertheless, we prove the existence of global classical solutions and show that the L^{∞} norm of u_2 can not grow faster than O(loglogt) for any space dimension. Our main tools are local L^p a-priori estimates and time dependent spatially decaying test functions. Our results also hold for the Arrhenius type reactions.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the existence of global classical solutions and large time asymptotic bounds of the thermal-diffusive combustion system:

$$u_{1,t} = \Delta_x u_1 - u_1 u_2^m,$$

$$u_{2,t} = d\Delta_x u_2 + u_1 u_2^m,$$
(1.1)

with nonnegative initial data $(u_1, u_2)|_{t=0} = (a_1(x), a_2(x)) \in (C_{b,u}^0(R^n))^2$, the space of uniformly bounded continuous functions on R^n . Here $x \in R^n$, n, m are positive integers, d > 1 is the Lewis number; Δ_x is the n dimensional Laplacian. System (1.1) describes the evolution of mass fraction of reactant A, u_1 , and that of the product B, u_2 , for the autocatalytic chemical reaction of the form: $A + mB \to (m+1)B$ with rate $k_m u_1 u_2^m$, k_m a positive constant. In case m = 1, or 2, we refer to Billingham and Needham, [5], [6], for details. System (1.1) also describes the mass fraction, u_1 , and temperature, u_2 , of reactant A, of a one step irreversible reaction $A \to B$; especially when u_2^m is replaced by the Arrhenius reaction term $\exp\{-E/u_2\}$, E > 0 being the activation energy. In this context, system (1.1) is the well-known thermal diffusive system, see Matkowsky and Sivashinsky [19].

One of the basic questions for (1.1) with L^{∞} initial data is the existence of global solutions and the possible uniform in time bounds of u_2 . In case of the Arrhenius reaction, i.e. with $u_1 \exp\{-\frac{E}{u_2}\}$ replacing $u_1 u_2^m$ in (1.1), there are many works on global solutions, see Avrin [2], Larrouturou [15] for results in one space dimension, among others. Yet their bounds of the solutions grow linearly in time. It is still a conjecture whether u_2 is bounded uniformly in time, see Berestycki and Larrouturou [3], and Manley, Marion, and Temam [16].

On the other hand, system (1.1) on a bounded domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^n with homogeneous boundary conditions has been thoroughly studied. The problem on existence and uniform bounds of solutions was first posed by R. Martin, and later solved partially by Alikakos [1], and completely by Masuda [18]. See also Haraux and Youkana [13], Hollis, Martin, and Pierre [14] for related approaches and extensions. System (1.1) on the line with spatially decaying data in $L^1 \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ has been investigated recently in Berlyand and Xin [4], Bricmont, Kupiainen, and Xin [9] for critical nonlinearity m = 2. Global classical solutions exist for any size initial data and converge to self-similar solutions with anomalous exponents [9].

System (1.1) with L^{∞} data on \mathbb{R}^n is very different from either the one on the bounded

domains or the one with spatially decaying data. The system admits propagating front solutions, from simple traveling wave solutions to the complicated domain walls. When m=1,2, existence of traveling fronts are proved in [5]; in [6], formal asymptotic as well as computational studies are done for fronts generated from initial data u_1 = positive constant, u_2 = bounded nonnegative function with compact support. In the Arrhenius reaction and high activation energy limit $(E \to +\infty)$, it is well-known that planar fronts are subject to (thermal-diffusive) instabilities when d is far enough away from one, and fronts become chaotic, see Clavin [10], Sivashinsky [20], Terman [21] and references therein. In the long wave asymptotic limit, the perturbations of the planar fronts satisfy the celebrated Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation [20]. For an interesting study on stable and unstable planar fronts away from the large E limit, see Bonnet et al. [7]. In spite of the front instabilities, one still has uniformly bounded solutions if d < 1. This fact is easy to demonstrate by a simple comparison argument, Martin and Pierre [17]. However, when d > 1, no comparison argument seems to apply, and a completely different approach is necessary.

Our method is to seek local L^p estimates in space by studying certain localized nonlinear functionals of solutions. Similar functionals appeared first in [18], and later in [13]. Since our solutions are only bounded in maximum norm and have no spatial decay at infinities, the functionals in [18] and [13] are not directly applicable. As in Collet and Eckmann [12], and Collet [11], we introduce smooth cut-off functions and convert the global functionals of previous authors into local ones. The first kind of cut-off functions we employ are simply: $\varphi = \varphi(x) = (1 + |x - x_0|^2)^{-n}$, where x_0 is an arbitrary point in \mathbb{R}^n , and is used to translate the location of cut-off so as to achieve uniform L^{∞} bounds in space. With such a φ and the resulting local L^p estimates, we prove the existence of global classical solutions. However, the L^{∞} norm of solutions grow exponentially in time. To improve the L^{∞} estimates of solutions, we consider the second kind of cut-off functions which are time dependent solutions of the backward heat equation $\varphi_t + d\Delta \varphi = 0$. Using these time dependent cut-off functions, we are able to refine the L^{∞} estimates to the order of loglog growth for any space dimension. Thus the possible growth of u_2 is practically extremely hard to observe even if it exists. On the other hand, it remains an interesting problem to prove or disprove the uniform L^{∞} bounds on u_2 . Our main result is:

Theorem 1.1 Consider the thermal diffusive combustion system (1.1) with nonnegative initial data $(u_1, u_2)|_{t=0} = (a_1, a_2) \in (C_{b,u}^0(R^n))^2$, and d > 1. Then there exist unique global in time classical solutions $(u_1, u_2) \in C([0, +\infty); (C_{b,u}^0(R^n))^2) \cap C^1((0, +\infty); (C_{b,u}^0(R^n))^2)$.

Moreover, let $||(a_1, a_2)||_{\infty} \equiv \max(||a_1||_{\infty}, ||a_2||_{\infty})$, then there exists positive constant C = C(n, d, m) such that:

$$||u_1(t,x)||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq ||a_1||_{\infty},$$

$$||u_2(t,x)||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C||(a_1,a_2)||_{\infty}loglog(||(a_1,a_2)||_{\infty}^m t + 2e). \tag{1.2}$$

Corollary 1.1 Under the same assumptions in the above theorem except that the nonlinear term $u_1u_2^m$ is replaced by either $u_1exp\{-\frac{E}{u_2}\}$ or $u_1u_2^mexp\{-\frac{E}{u_2}\}$, E>0, then there exists positive constant $C=C(n,d,||(a_1,a_2)||_{\infty},m)$ such that:

$$||u_1(t,x)||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le ||a_1||_{\infty},$$

 $||u_2(t,x)||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le Cloglog(t+2e).$ (1.3)

Remark 1.1 In case of power nonlinearities $u_1u_2^m$, the system has the following scale invariance property: if $u_i = u_i(t,x)$, i = 1,2, are solutions, then so are $v_i = v_i(t,x) \equiv \lambda^{\frac{2}{m}}u_i(\lambda^2t,\lambda x)$, for any $\lambda > 0$. That is why the estimates are also in the scale invariance form in the theorem. In case of the Arrhenius reactions, we lose the scale invariance due to the exponential term $\exp\{-\frac{E}{u_2}\}$, hence we do not know the explicit dependence of C on $||(a_1,a_2)||_{\infty}$. The proofs are the same in both cases except for some technical details that we will point out later.

Remark 1.2 If the initial data for u_2 , i.e. the function a_2 is strictly above any positive constant, then maximum principle shows that u_2 is above this constant forever, and so u_1 decays to zero exponentially fast. By Theorem 1.1, u_2 is uniformly bounded for all time since $u_1u_2^m$ decays exponentially in time.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we use the first kind of time independent cut-off functions and local nonlinear functionals to prove the existence of global solutions. In section 3, we employ the time dependent cut-off functions and their properties to refine the L^{∞} estimates of solutions and complete the proof of the main theorem.

2 Global Existence of Classical Solutions

In this section, we establish the global existence of the classical solutions of the thermal diffusive system:

$$u_{1,t} = \Delta_x u_1 - u_1 u_2^m,$$

$$u_{2,t} = d\Delta_x u_2 + u_1 u_2^m, (2.1)$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, t > 0, $n \ge 1$, $m \ge 1$, d > 1; the initial data $(a_1(x), a_2(x))$ are bounded uniformly continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^n , denoted by $C_{b,u}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Local existence of nonnegative classical solutions on a maximal existence interval $[0, T_0)$ is standard, and we only need to derive estimates of solutions independent of T_0 , so as to continue the classical solutions forever in time.

Consider classical solutions $u_i \in C([0, T_0); C^0_{b,u}(R^n)) \cap C^1((0, T_0); C^0_{b,u})$, i = 1, 2, for some $T_0 > 0$. Let $F = F(u_1, u_2)$ be a smooth function of u_i , such that $F \geq 0$, $F_i \geq 0$, $F_{i,i} \geq 0$, i = 1, 2, here we abbreviate $F_i = \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_i}$, similarly for the second derivatives. Let also $\varphi = \varphi(t, x)$ be a smooth nonnegative function with exponential spatial decay at infinity. Writing f in place of $f_{R^n} \cdots dx$, we calculate using (2.1) and integration by parts:

$$\partial_{t} \int \varphi F = \int \varphi_{t} F + \int \varphi F_{1} u_{1,t} + \int \varphi F_{2} u_{2,t}$$

$$= \int \varphi_{t} F + \int \varphi F_{1} \Delta u_{1} + d \int \varphi F_{2} \Delta u_{2} - \int \varphi (F_{1} - F_{2}) u_{1} u_{2}^{m}$$

$$= \int \varphi_{t} F - \int \varphi F_{1,1} |\nabla u_{1}|^{2} - (d+1) \int \varphi F_{1,2} \nabla u_{1} \cdot \nabla u_{2} - d \int \varphi F_{2,2} |\nabla u_{2}|^{2}$$

$$- \int F_{1} \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla u_{1} - d \int F_{2} \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla u_{2} - \int \varphi (F_{1} - F_{2}) u_{1} u_{2}^{m}. \tag{2.2}$$

In view of:

$$-\int F_1 \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla u_1 - \int F_2 \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla u_2 = \int \Delta \varphi F,$$

we get:

$$\partial_t \int \varphi F = \int (\varphi_t + d\Delta \varphi) F + (d-1) \int F_1 \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla u_1$$

$$- \int \varphi [F_{1,1} |\nabla u_1|^2 + (1+d) F_{1,2} \nabla u_1 \cdot \nabla u_2 + dF_{2,2} |\nabla u_2|^2]$$

$$- \int \varphi (F_1 - F_2) u_1 u_2^m, \qquad (2.3)$$

which is our basic identity.

By maximum principle, $||u_1||_{\infty}(t) \le ||a_1||_{\infty} \le C < +\infty$; $u_i \ge 0$, with strict inequality for t > 0, any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. To apply (2.3), we require:

$$F_1 \ge 2F_2,$$

 $(d+1)^2 F_{1,2}^2 \le dF_{1,1} F_{2,2},$ (2.4)

for any $(u_1, u_2) \in [0, C] \times \mathbb{R}^+$. Under the conditions (2.4), we have from (2.3):

$$\partial_{t} \int \varphi F \leq \int (\varphi_{t} + d\Delta \varphi) F + (d-1) \int F_{1} \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla u_{1}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \int \varphi [F_{1,1} |\nabla u_{1}|^{2} + dF_{2,2} |\nabla u_{2}|^{2}]$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \int \varphi F_{1} u_{1} u_{2}^{m}. \tag{2.5}$$

As a first application of (2.5), we choose:

$$\varphi = \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{(1 + |x - x_0|^2)^n},$$

$$F(u_1, u_2) = (A + u_1 + u_1^2)e^{\epsilon u_2},$$
(2.6)

where x_0 is an arbitrary point in \mathbb{R}^n so that we can translate the function φ to achieve uniform estimates of solutions in space; and A, ϵ^{-1} suitably large to be determined. We verify conditions (2.4) as follows:

$$F_1 = (1 + 2u_1)e^{\epsilon u_2},$$

$$F_2 = \epsilon(A + u_1 + u_1^2)e^{\epsilon u_2},$$

so:

$$F_1 \ge 2F_2$$
, for $(u_1, u_2) \in [0, C] \times R^1$, if $2\epsilon (A + C + C^2) < 1$. (2.7)

Also:

$$F_{1,1} = 2e^{\epsilon u_2},$$

$$F_{2,2} = \epsilon^2 (A + u_1 + u_1^2) e^{\epsilon u_2},$$

$$F_{1,2} = \epsilon (1 + 2u_1) e^{\epsilon u_2},$$

thus:

$$\frac{(d+1)^2 F_{1,2}^2}{dF_{1,1} F_{2,2}} = \frac{(1+2u_1)^2 (d+1)^2}{2d(A+u_1+u_1^2)} \le \frac{(1+2C)^2 (d+1)^2}{2dA} < 1,$$
if $A > \frac{(1+2C)^2 (d+1)^2}{2d}$. (2.8)

Combining (2.7) and (2.8), we see that for any given C and d, we first choose A according to (2.8) then ϵ by (2.7). It follows from (2.5) for $t \in [0, T_0)$:

$$\partial_t \int \varphi F \le \int d\Delta \varphi F + (d-1) \int F_1 \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla u_1 - \frac{1}{2} \int \varphi F_{1,1} |\nabla u_1|^2. \tag{2.9}$$

Now φ has the properties:

$$|\Delta\varphi| \le K\varphi, \quad |\nabla\varphi| \le K\varphi,$$
 (2.10)

for some constant K > 0. We continue from (2.9):

$$\partial_t \int \varphi F \leq \int dK \varphi F + (d-1)K \int F_1 \varphi |\nabla u_1| - \frac{1}{2} \int \varphi F_{1,1} |\nabla u_1|^2$$

$$\leq dK \int \varphi F + \frac{1}{2} (d-1)^2 K^2 \int \varphi \frac{F_1^2}{F_{1,1}}.$$
(2.11)

Notice that:

$$\frac{F_1^2}{F_{1,1}} = \frac{(1+2u_1)^2 e^{\epsilon u_2}}{2} \le 2(A+u_1+u_1^2)e^{\epsilon u_2} = 2F, \text{ since } A > 1.$$
 (2.12)

Finally we end up with:

$$\partial_t \int \varphi F \le [dK + (d-1)^2 K^2] \int \varphi F,$$

or:

$$\int \varphi F \le \Gamma e^{\sigma t},\tag{2.13}$$

where

$$\sigma = dK + (d-1)^2 K^2, \quad \Gamma \le c(n)(A + C + C^2)e^{||a_2||_{\infty}}, \tag{2.14}$$

where c(n) is a positive dimensional constant.

We now prove that inequality (2.13) implies that for any unit cube Q and any finite $p \ge 1$:

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_2|^p \le A^{-1} \Gamma e^{\sigma t} 2^n \epsilon^{-p} (p+1)^{p+1}. \tag{2.15}$$

In fact, we have with any nonegative integer k:

$$e^{\sigma t}\Gamma \ge \int \varphi F \ge A \int \varphi e^{\epsilon u_2} \ge \epsilon^k A \int_Q \varphi \frac{u_2^k}{k!} \ge \frac{A \epsilon^k 2^{-n}}{k!} \int_Q u_2^k,$$
 (2.16)

by taking x_0 at the center of Q. Hence,

$$\int_{Q} |u_2|^k \le A^{-1} \Gamma e^{\sigma t} 2^n \epsilon^{-k} k!, \tag{2.17}$$

which implies (2.15) by interpolation.

Now we look for L^{∞} bound on u_2 . Let

$$G_{\tau}(z) = (4\pi d\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4d\tau}}, \ z \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

then

$$u_2(t,x) = G_t \star a_2 + \int_0^t G_{t-s} \star (u_1 u_2^m)(s) ds.$$
 (2.18)

The first term on the right hand side of (2.18) is bounded by $||a_2||_{\infty}$. Moreover,

$$G_{t-s} \star (u_1 u_2^m)(s,x) = (4\pi d(t-s))^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4d(t-s)}} (u_1 u_2^m)(s,y) dy.$$

Let $\{Q_j\}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, be the tiling of \mathbb{R}^n by unit cubes Q_j 's such that x is at the center of Q_0 . We have:

$$\int e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4d(t-s)}} (u_1 u_2^m)(s,y) dy = \sum_{Q_j} \int_{Q_j} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4d(t-s)}} (u_1 u_2^m)(s,y) dy.$$
 (2.19)

For $y \in Q_j$, we have the inequality:

$$e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{8d(t-s)}} \le \sup_{y \in Q_j} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{8d(t-s)}} = e^{-\frac{dist(x,Q_j)^2}{8d(t-s)}}.$$

Also there exists positive dimensional constant c = c(n) such that if $y \in Q_j$, $j \neq 0$, we have:

$$c(n) \operatorname{dist}^{2}(x, Q_{j}) \ge |x - y|^{2}.$$
 (2.20)

Applying Hölder's inequality with $p > \frac{n}{2}$ and its conjugate q, we get:

$$\int_{Q_{j}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{8d(t-s)}} (u_{1}u_{2}^{m})(s,y)dy \leq \left(\int_{Q_{j}} e^{-\frac{q(x-y)^{2}}{8d(t-s)}} dy\right)^{1/q} \cdot \left(\int_{Q_{j}} (u_{1}^{p}u_{2}^{mp})(s,y)dy\right)^{1/p} \\
\leq c(n)d^{n/2q}(t-s)^{n/2q} \left(\int_{Q_{j}} (u_{1}^{p}u_{2}^{mp})(s,y)dy\right)^{1/p} \\
\leq (t-s)^{n/2q} \Omega(n,d,a_{i},t), \tag{2.21}$$

where c(n) is a dimensional constant and by (2.15):

$$\Omega(n, d, a_i, t) = c(n)d^{n/2q}||a_1||_{\infty} [A^{-1}\Gamma e^{\sigma t} 2^n \epsilon^{-mp} (mp+1)^{mp+1}]^{1/p}
= c(n, d, a_i)e^{\sigma t/p} \epsilon^{-m} (mp+1)^{m+1/p},$$
(2.22)

here $c(n, d, a_i)$ is a positive constant depending on n, d, and $||(a_1, a_2)||_{\infty}$. We deduce from (2.18)—(2.22) that:

$$G_{t-s} \star u_1 u_2^m(s,x) \leq (4\pi d(t-s))^{-n/2} \sum_{Q_j} e^{-\frac{dist(x,Q_j)^2}{8d(t-s)}} \int_{Q_j} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{8d(t-s)}} (u_1 u_2^m)(s,y) dy$$

$$\leq (4\pi d)^{-n/2} \Omega(n, d, a_i, t) (t - s)^{-n/2p} \sum_{Q_j} e^{-\frac{\operatorname{dist}(x, Q_j)^2}{8d(t - s)}} \\
\leq (4\pi d)^{-n/2} \Omega(n, d, a_i, t) (t - s)^{-n/2p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{|x - y|^2}{8dc(n)(t - s)}} dy + 1 \right) \\
\leq (4\pi d)^{-n/2} \Omega(n, d, a_i, t) (t - s)^{-n/2p} \left(c(n, d) (t - s)^{n/2} + 1 \right) \\
\leq c(n, d) \Omega(n, d, a_i, t) \left((t - s)^{n/2q} + (t - s)^{-n/2p} \right). \tag{2.23}$$

So integrating (2.23) on $s \in [0, t]$ gives:

$$||u_2(t,x)||_{\infty} \le ||a_2||_{\infty} + c(n,d)\Omega(n,d,a_i,t)(t^{\frac{n}{2q}+1} + t^{1-\frac{n}{2p}}), \tag{2.24}$$

where p > n/2. Estimate (2.24) and the standard parabolic regularity theory then implies the global classical solution $(u_1, u_2)(t, x) \in (C([0, +\infty); C_{u,b}^0) \cap C^1((0, +\infty); C_{u,b}^0))^2$.

3 Large Time Asymptotic Bounds of Solutions

In this section, we improve the L^{∞} estimates (2.24) from exponentially growing in time to the order of loglog growth and complete the proof of the main theorem. Let us choose as before:

$$F = F(u_1, u_2) = (A + u_1 + u_1^2)e^{\epsilon u_2}$$

yet the function φ is now a solution of the backward heat equation:

$$\varphi_t + d\Delta \varphi = 0.$$

Define:

$$g(u) = A + u + u^2,$$

and

$$\tilde{g} = u + u^2.$$

Let us consider $t \in [0, T)$, where T is a suitably large but fixed time. The function φ is explicit:

$$\varphi = \varphi(t, T; x) = (4\pi d)^{-\frac{n}{2}} (T - t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4d(T - t)}}.$$
(3.1)

With the above choice, inequality (2.5) gives:

$$\partial_t \int \varphi F \le (d-1) \int F_1(\nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla u_1) - \frac{1}{2} \int \varphi [F_{1,1}|\nabla u_1|^2 + dF_{2,2}|\nabla u_2|^2] - \frac{1}{2} \int \varphi F_1 u_1 u_2^m. \tag{3.2}$$

The first integral of the right hand side of (3.2) can be transformed using integration by parts as follows:

$$\int F_1(\nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla u_1) = \int g'(u_1)e^{\epsilon u_2}(\nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla u_1) = \int e^{\epsilon u_2}\nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla g(u_1)$$

$$= \int e^{\epsilon u_2}\nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \tilde{g}(u_1) = -\int \Delta \varphi e^{\epsilon u_2} \tilde{g}(u_1) - \epsilon \int e^{\epsilon u_2} \tilde{g}(u_1)\nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla u_2$$

$$= J_1 + J_2. \tag{3.3}$$

In view of (3.1), we see that:

$$\Delta \varphi = -d^{-1}\varphi_t = -d^{-1}(4\pi d)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left[\frac{n}{2} (T-t)^{-(\frac{n}{2}+1)} - \frac{|x|^2}{4d(T-t)^{2+\frac{n}{2}}} \right] e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4d(T-t)}}$$

$$\geq -\frac{n}{2d(4\pi d)^{n/2}} \frac{1}{(T-t)^{\frac{n}{2}+1}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4d(T-t)}}$$

$$\geq -\frac{c(n,d)}{T-t}\varphi, \tag{3.4}$$

which implies that:

$$J_1 \le \frac{c(n,d)}{T-t} \int \varphi \tilde{g}(u_1) e^{\epsilon u_2}. \tag{3.5}$$

On the other hand,

$$|J_2| \le \int \frac{\tilde{g}^2(u_1)|\nabla\varphi|^2}{g(u_1)\varphi} e^{\epsilon u_2} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \int \varphi g(u_1)|\nabla u_2|^2 e^{\epsilon u_2}. \tag{3.6}$$

Combining (3.2)—(3.6), we get $(F_{2,2} = \epsilon^2 g(u_1)e^{\epsilon u_2})$:

$$\partial_{t} \int \varphi F \leq (d-1) \frac{c(n,d)}{T-t} \int \varphi \tilde{g}(u_{1}) e^{\epsilon u_{2}} + (d-1) \int \frac{\tilde{g}^{2}(u_{1}) |\nabla \varphi|^{2}}{g(u_{1}) \varphi} e^{\epsilon u_{2}}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \int \varphi g'(u_{1}) u_{1} u_{2}^{m} e^{\epsilon u_{2}}$$

$$= c(n,d) \int \varphi e^{\epsilon u_{2}} \left[\frac{\tilde{g}(u_{1})}{T-t} + \frac{\tilde{g}^{2}(u_{1}) |\nabla \varphi|^{2}}{g(u_{1}) \varphi^{2}} - g'(u_{1}) u_{1} u_{2}^{m} \right].$$
(3.7)

Notice that:

$$\nabla \varphi = \frac{1}{(4\pi d)^{n/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{(T-t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \cdot \frac{-x}{2d(T-t)} \cdot e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4d(T-t)}}$$

$$= -c(n,d) \frac{x}{T-t} \varphi,$$

$$\frac{|\nabla \varphi|^2}{\varphi^2} = \frac{c(n,d)|x|^2}{(T-t)^2},$$
(3.8)

which along with (3.7) yields:

$$\partial_{t} \int \varphi F \leq c(n,d) \int \varphi e^{\epsilon u_{2}} \left[\frac{u_{1} + u_{1}^{2}}{T - t} + \frac{(u_{1} + u_{1}^{2})^{2} |x|^{2}}{(A + u_{1} + u_{1}^{2})(T - t)^{2}} - (1 + 2u_{1})u_{1}u_{2}^{m} \right] \\
\leq c(n,d,a_{i}) \int \varphi e^{\epsilon u_{2}} u_{1} \left(\frac{1}{T - t} + \frac{|x|^{2}}{(T - t)^{2}} - u_{2}^{m} \right) \\
\leq c(n,d,a_{i}) \int_{\{x \in R^{n} \mid \frac{u_{2}^{m}}{2} \leq \frac{1}{T - t} + \frac{|x|^{2}}{(T - t)^{2}}\}} \varphi e^{\epsilon u_{2}} u_{1} \left(\frac{1}{T - t} + \frac{|x|^{2}}{(T - t)^{2}} - \frac{u_{2}^{m}}{2} \right) \\
- \frac{c(n,d,a_{i})}{2} \int \varphi e^{\epsilon u_{2}} u_{1} u_{2}^{m} \\
\leq c(d,n,a_{i}) \int_{R^{n}} \varphi u_{1} e^{2\frac{1}{m}\epsilon \left(\frac{1}{T - t} + \frac{|x|^{2}}{(T - t)^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}} \left(\frac{1}{T - t} + \frac{|x|^{2}}{(T - t)^{2}} \right) dx \\
- \frac{c(n,d,a_{i})}{2} \int \varphi e^{\epsilon u_{2}} u_{1} u_{2}^{m}. \tag{3.9}$$

Remark 3.1 If we have the Arrhenius type reactions $g_{ar} \equiv u_2^m exp\{-\frac{E}{u_2}\}$, which is monotonely increasing in u_2 , we simply need to replace the explicit inverse $(g_{ar})^{\frac{1}{m}}$ by an implicit one g_{ar}^{-1} . Everything else is the same.

If $T-t \geq 1$, then requiring $\epsilon < \frac{1}{8d}$ when m=1, we get:

$$\partial_{t} \int \varphi F \leq \frac{c(n,d,a_{i})}{T-t} \int (T-t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^{2}}{4d(T-t)}} (1+\frac{|x|^{2}}{T-t}) e^{2\epsilon(\frac{1}{T-t}+\frac{|x|^{2}}{(T-t)^{2}})^{\frac{1}{m}}} \\
- \frac{c(n,d,a_{i})}{2} \int \varphi e^{\epsilon u_{2}} u_{1} u_{2}^{m} \\
\leq \frac{c(n,d,a_{i})}{T-t} \int (T-t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^{2}}{4d(T-t)}} (1+\frac{|x|^{2}}{T-t}) e^{2\epsilon(1+\frac{|x|^{2}}{T-t})^{\frac{1}{m}}} \\
- \frac{c(n,d,a_{i})}{2} \int \varphi e^{\epsilon u_{2}} u_{1} u_{2}^{m} \\
\leq \frac{c(n,d,a_{i})}{T-t} - \frac{c(n,d,a_{i})}{2} \int \varphi e^{\epsilon u_{2}} u_{1} u_{2}^{m},$$

which implies that:

$$\frac{c(n,d,a_i)}{2} \int_0^t \int \varphi e^{\epsilon u_2} u_1 u_2^m + \int \varphi F \leq \int \varphi(0,T;x) F(a_1,a_2) dx + c(n,d) log T
= ||F(a_1,a_2)||_{\infty} + c(n,d) log T,$$
(3.10)

for $t \in [0, T - 1)$. It follows from (3.10) that:

$$\int_{0}^{T-1} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi e^{\epsilon u_{2}} u_{1} u_{2}^{m} dx \le c(n, d, a_{i}) (1 + \log T)$$
(3.11)

By choosing $\varphi = \varphi(t, T; x - x_0)$, for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we also arrive at (3.11) and so:

$$\int_0^{T-1} dt \varphi \star (e^{\epsilon u_2} u_1 u_2^m)(t) \le c(n, d, a_i)(1 + \log T). \tag{3.12}$$

Let $v = u_2^k$, $k \ge 2$, then

$$v_{t} = ku_{2}^{k-1}u_{2,t},$$

$$\nabla v = ku_{2}^{k-1}\nabla u_{2},$$

$$\Delta v = ku_{2}^{k-1}\Delta u_{2} + k(k-1)u_{2}^{k-2}|\nabla u_{2}|^{2}.$$

and so v satisfies the equation:

$$v_t = d\Delta v - dk(k-1)u_2^{k-2}|\nabla u_2|^2 + ku_1u_2^{m+k-1},$$
(3.13)

which implies:

$$v_t \le G_t \star v_0 + k \int_0^t ds G_{t-s} \star (u_1 u_2^{k+m-1})(s, x). \tag{3.14}$$

Letting t = T in (3.14) yields:

$$v(T,x) \le ||a_2||_{\infty}^k + k \int_0^{T-1} ds G_{T-s} \star (u_1 u_2^{k+m-1})(s) + k \int_{T-1}^T ds G_{T-s} \star (u_1 u_2^{k+m-1})(s),$$

which shows by (3.12):

$$v(T,x) \le ||a_2||_{\infty}^k + c(n,d,a_i)\epsilon^{-k}k!(1+\log T) + k\int_{T-1}^T ds G_{T-s} \star (u_1 u_2^{k+m-1})(s).$$
 (3.15)

Now it suffices to consider:

$$\int \varphi(t,T;x)u_1u_2^{k+m-1}(x),$$

where $t \in [T-1, T]$.

Let $\{Q_j\}_0^{\infty}$ be a tiling of \mathbb{R}^n with unit cubes, and 0 located at the center of \mathbb{Q}_0 . Then:

$$\int \varphi(t,T;x)u_1u_2^{k+m-1}(x) \leq c(n,d) \sum_{Q_j} \int_{Q_j} \frac{1}{(T-t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4d(T-t)}} u_1 u_2^{k+m-1} dx$$

$$\leq c(n,d) \sum_{Q_j} \frac{1}{(T-t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{\frac{-dist(0,Q_j)^2}{8d(T-t)}} \int_{Q_j} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{8d(T-t)}} u_1 u_2^{k+m-1} dx. \tag{3.16}$$

Following the argument in (2.19) and (2.21), we get:

$$\int_{Q_j} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{8d(T-t)}} u_1 u_2^{k+m-1} dx \le c(n,d) (T-t)^{\frac{n}{2q}} \left(\int_{Q_j} u_2^{p(k+m-1)} (t,y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{3.17}$$

On the other hand, adjusting T to T+1 in (3.10), we have for $t \in [T-1, T]$:

$$\int \varphi(t, T+1; x) F(u_1, u_2) dx \le c(n, d, a_i) (1 + \log(T+1)),$$

or

$$\int e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4d(T+1-t)}} e^{\epsilon u_2} dx \le c(n, d, a_i)(1 + \log T),$$

or

$$\int e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4d}} e^{\epsilon u_2} dx \le c(n, d, a_i)(1 + \log T).$$

Using the spatially translated φ , we find:

$$\int_{Q_i} e^{\epsilon u_2} dx \le c(n, d, a_i)(1 + \log T), \quad \forall \quad j,$$

or

$$\int_{Q_i} u_2^{\gamma} dx \le \gamma! c(n, d, a_i) \epsilon^{-\gamma} (1 + \log T), \quad \forall \quad \gamma, \ j, \ \in Z^+, \ t \in [T - 1, T).$$
 (3.18)

By (3.18), (3.17) gives by Hölder's inequality:

$$\int_{Q_j} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{8d(T-t)}} u_1 u_2^{k+m-1} dx \le c(n,d,a_i) (T-t)^{\frac{n}{2q}} \left(\int_{Q_j} u_2^{[\beta]+1} dy \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p([\beta]+1)}},
\le c(n,d,a_i) (T-t)^{\frac{n}{2q}} \left(([\beta]+1)! \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p([\beta]+1)}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{p}} (1+\log T)^{\frac{\beta}{p([\beta]+1)}},$$
(3.19)

where $\beta = p(k+m-1)$, $[\beta]$ stands for the integral part of β .

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Combining (3.16) and (3.19) and again following the argument in (2.19)—(2.24) shows for $T \ge e$:

$$\int \varphi(s,T;x)u_1u_2^{k+m-1}(s,x)dx$$

$$\leq c(n,d,a_i)((T-s)^{\frac{n}{2q}} + (T-s)^{-\frac{n}{2p}})(([\beta]+1)!)^{\frac{\beta}{p([\beta]+1)}} \epsilon^{-\frac{\beta}{p}} (\log T)^{\frac{\beta}{p([\beta]+1)}}, \tag{3.20}$$

where $p > \max(1, \frac{n}{2})$. Integrating (3.20) from T - 1 to T shows via (3.15) that:

$$v(T,x) \le ||a_2||_{\infty}^k + c(n,d,a_i)\epsilon^{-k}(\log T)k! + c(n,d,a_i)\epsilon^{-\frac{\beta}{p}}((p(k+m))!)^{1/p}(\log T)^{1/p},$$

or:

$$u_2(T,x) \le ||a_2||_{\infty} + c(n,d,a_i)(k!logT + (logT)^{1/p}((p(k+m))!)^{1/p})^{1/k},$$

by Sterling's formula ($(p(k+m))! \le c(n,m)e^{pklogk}$):

$$\leq c(n, d, a_i, m) (e^{klogk} e^{loglogT} + e^{loglogT} e^{klogk})^{1/k}$$

$$\leq c(n, d, a_i, m)e^{\frac{\log\log T}{k} + \log k}. (3.21)$$

Minimizing the exponent with respect to k shows that we should choose:

$$k = [loglogT] + 1, (3.22)$$

which implies from (3.21), and (2.24) that:

$$u_2(T,x) \le c(n,d,a_i,m)loglog(T+2e) \tag{3.23}$$

for all $T \geq 0$, where constant c > 0 depends on n, d, $||(a_1, a_2)||_{\infty}$, and m. In case of power nonlinearities $u_1 u_2^m$, we first consider initial data such that $||(a_1, a_2)||_{\infty} \leq 1$ and so drop the dependence on a_i in the bound (3.23). We verify by direct substitution that if $u_i(t, x)$, i = 1, 2, are solutions, then for any $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda^{\frac{2}{m}} u_i(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x)$ are also solutions. Now choose λ such that

$$\lambda^{-\frac{2}{m}} = ||(a_1, a_2)||_{\infty}. \tag{3.24}$$

It follows that the L^{∞} norm of the initial data of the solutions $\lambda^{\frac{2}{m}}u_i(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x)$ is equal to one. By (3.23), we have:

$$\lambda^{\frac{2}{m}}||(u_1, u_2)(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x)||_{\infty} \le c(n, d, m)loglog(t + 2e), \tag{3.25}$$

which implies:

$$||(u_1, u_2)(\lambda^2 t, x)||_{\infty} \le c(n, d, m)\lambda^{-\frac{2}{m}}loglog(t + 2e).$$

Rescaling time t, we obtain:

$$||(u_1, u_2)(t, x)||_{\infty} \le c(n, d, m) \lambda^{-\frac{2}{m}} log log(\lambda^{-2}t + 2e),$$

which is just:

$$||(u_1, u_2)(t, x)||_{\infty} \le c(n, d, m)||(a_1, a_2)||_{\infty} log log(||(a_1, a_2)||_{\infty}^m t + 2e), \tag{3.26}$$

by recalling (3.24). The proof of the main theorem is complete.

Acknowledgements.

The work was done when both authors were visiting the Institut Mittag-Leffler (IML) during the Fall of 1994. We thank IML for its hospitality and pleasant environment, and A. Kupiainen for organizing the workshop on Nonlinear PDE's, Turbulence, and Statistical Mechanics. P. C. thanks M. Benedicks and the Mathematics Department of the Kungliga

Tekniska Högskolan for their kind hospitality and financial support. The work of J. X. was partially supported by the Swedish Natural Science Research Council (NFR) grant F-GF 10448-301, and the US National Science Foundation grant DMS-9302830.

References

- [1] N. Alikakos, L^p bounds of solutions of the reaction-diffusion equations, Comm. PDE 4(1979), pp 827-868.
- [2] J. D. Avrin, Qualitative theory for a model of laminar flames with arbitrary nonnegative initial data, J. D. E, 84(1990), pp 290-308.
- [3] H. Berestycki, B. Larrouturou, Quelque aspects mathématique de la propagation des flammes prémélangées, Pitman Research Notes in Math Series 220, eds. H. Brezis and J. L. Lions, Nonlinear pde and their applications, Collége de France, Vol. 10, 1991.
- [4] L. Berlyand, J. Xin, Large time asymptotics of solutions to a model combustion system with critical nonlinearity, Nonlinearity, to appear.
- [5] J. Billingham, and D. J. Needham, The development of traveling waves in quadratic and cubic autocatalysis with unequal diffusion rates. I. Permanent form traveling waves, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A(1991), 334, pp 1-24.
- [6] J. Billingham, and D. J. Needham, The development of traveling waves in quadratic and cubic autocatalysis with unequal diffusion rates. II. An initial-value problem with an immobilized or nearly immobilized autocatalyst, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A(1991), 336, pp 497-539.
- [7] A. Bonnet, B. Larrouturou, L. Sainsaulieu, On the stability of multiple steady planar flames when the Lewis number is less than one, Phys. D 69(1993), pp 345-352.
- [8] J. Bricmont, A. Kupiainen, Renormalization Group and the Ginzburg-Landau Equation, Comm. Math. Phys., 150, pp 193-208, 1992.
- [9] J. Bricmont, A. Kupiainen, J. Xin, Global large time self-similarity of a thermal diffusive combustion system with critical nonlinearity, preprint, 1994.

- [10] P. Clavin, Dynamical behavior of premixed flame fronts in laminar and turbulent flows, Prog. Energ. Comb. Sci. 11(1975), pp 1169 - 1190.
- [11] P. Collet, Thermodynamic limit of the Ginzburg-Landau equations, Nonlinearity 7(1994), pp 1175-1190.
- [12] P. Collet, J-P. Eckmann, The time dependent amplitude equation for the Swift-Hohenberg problem, Comm. Math. Phys., 132, pp 135-153, 1990.
- [13] A. Haraux, and A. Youkana, On a Result of K. Masuda Concerning Reaction-Diffusion Equations, Tohoku Math. J. 40 (1988), pp 159-163.
- [14] S. Hollis, R. Martin, M. Pierre, Global existence and boundedness in reaction-diffusion systems, SIAM J. Math Anal., Vol 18, No. 3, 1987, pp. 744-761.
- [15] B. Larrouturou, The equations of one-dimensional unsteady flame propagation: existence and uniqueness, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 19(1988), pp 32-59.
- [16] O. Manley, M. Marion, and R. Temam, Equations of Combustion in the Presence of Complex Chemistry, Indiana Univ. Math. J., Vol. 42, No. 3(1993), pp 941-965.
- [17] R.H.Martin, and M. Pierre, Nonlinear reaction-diffusion systems, in Nonlinear Equations in the Applied Sciences, W.F.Ames and C. Rogers ed., Academic Press, Boston, 1992.
- [18] K. Masuda, On the global existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions of reaction-diffusion equations, Hokkaido Math J., 12(1983), pp. 360-370.
- [19] Matkowsky, B. J., and Sivashinsky, G. I., An asymptotic derivation of two models in flame theory associated with the constant density approximation, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 37(1979), p 686.
- [20] G. I. Sivashinsky, *Instabilities, pattern formation and turbulence in flames*, Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech., 15(1983), pp 179 199.
- [21] D. Terman, Stability of planar wave solutions to a combustion model, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 21 (1990), pp 1139-1171.