

A&A manuscript no.
(will be inserted by hand later)

Your thesaurus codes are:
(04.03.1; 08.06.3; 11.19.5)

ASTRONOMY
AND
ASTROPHYSICS

Empirical calibration of the $\lambda 4000$ Å break

J. Gorgas¹, N. Cardiel¹, S. Pedraz¹, and J. J. González²

¹ Departamento de Astrofísica, Facultad de Ciencias Físicas, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain

² Instituto de Astronomía, U.N.A.M., Apdo. Postal 70-264, 04510 México D. F., México

Received date / Accepted date

Abstract. Empirical fitting functions, describing the behaviour of the $\lambda 4000$ Å break, D_{4000} , in terms of effective temperature, metallicity and surface gravity, are presented. For this purpose, the break has been measured in 392 stars from the Lick/IDS Library. We have followed a very detailed error treatment in the reduction and fitting procedures, allowing for a reliable estimation of the break uncertainties. This calibration can be easily incorporated into stellar population models to provide accurate predictions of the break amplitude for, relatively old, composite systems.

Key words: Catalogs – Stars: fundamental parameters – Galaxies: stellar content

1. Introduction

The spectroscopic study of the blue and near-UV region around $\lambda 4000$ Å has proven to be a useful tool to investigate the stellar populations of composite stellar systems. Obviously, this spectral region is specially suited to detect the presence of young stars and therefore, to study star formation histories. Furthermore, in a pioneering work, Morgan (1959) already showed that the difference in intensity of the continuum level on the two sides of H ζ ($\lambda 3889$ Å) in galactic globular clusters correlated well with metal abundance.

Although different absorption line-strength indices have been defined in this spectral range to understand the stellar composition of early-type objects (e.g., Faber 1973; Burstein et al. 1984; Rose 1984, 1994; Tripicco 1989; Worthey et al. 1994, hereafter W94; Jones & Worthey 1995; Worthey & Ottaviani 1997; Vazdekis & Arimoto 1999), some of them are quite dependent on spectral resolution (and therefore velocity dispersion) and, in many cases, their use requires relatively high signal-to-noise ratios. In this sense, an interesting spectral index that avoids these problems is the $\lambda 4000$ Å break. We have already demonstrated (Cardiel et al. 1998) that this discontinuity can be

measured with a relative error of $\sim 10\%$ with a signal-to-noise ratio per Å ~ 1 . Thus, the break is well suited to be measured in faint objects or at low surface brightnesses. However, this advantage, due to the large wavelength interval employed in its definition, also translates into an important drawback: many absorption lines are included in the break bandpasses. Therefore, the behaviour of the break is expected to be complex.

In this work we are using the definition adopted by Bruzual (1983), who defined this spectral index as the ratio of the average flux density, F_ν ($\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{Hz}^{-1}$), in two bands at the long- and short-wavelength side of the $\lambda 4000$ Å discontinuity, in particular

$$D_{4000} = \frac{(\lambda_2^- - \lambda_1^-) \int_{\lambda_1^+}^{\lambda_2^+} F_\nu d\lambda}{(\lambda_2^+ - \lambda_1^+) \int_{\lambda_1^-}^{\lambda_2^-} F_\nu d\lambda}, \quad (1)$$

where $(\lambda_1^-, \lambda_2^-, \lambda_1^+, \lambda_2^+) = (3750, 3950, 4050, 4250)$ Å. Except for the combination of ν and λ , (due to the measurement method employed by Bruzual, in which the break was obtained from galaxy spectra plotted as F_ν versus λ), and for not being in logarithmic units, this definition resembles that of a color. Because of this, the D_{4000} can be considered as a pseudo-color. However, it must be pointed out that, compared to the classical color indices in this spectral range (like $U - B$), the 4000 Å break is much less sensitive to extinction by dust, and hence, it is more valuable to investigate the stellar content of galaxies. In particular, using the average interstellar extinction curve from Savage & Mathis (1979), the D_{4000} can be corrected from internal reddening (and galactic extinction for objects at zero redshift) using the following expression

$$D_{4000}^{\text{corrected}} = D_{4000}^{\text{observed}} 10^{-0.0988 E(B-V)}. \quad (2)$$

It is interesting to note that the break definition is still the same for redshifted objects, where the integral limits must be properly modified, and that absolute fluxes are

Send offprint requests to: J. Gorgas

Correspondence to: ffg@astrax.fis.ucm.es

not required. As a working expression, the 4000 Å break can be rewritten as

$$D_{4000} = \frac{\int_{4250(1+z)}^{4050(1+z)} \lambda^2 f_{\lambda} d\lambda}{\int_{3750(1+z)}^{3950(1+z)} \lambda^2 f_{\lambda} d\lambda}, \quad (3)$$

where f_{λ} is the flux density per unit wavelength (in arbitrary units), and z is the redshift of the object being measured.

Up to date, many authors have employed the D_{4000} to study the stellar composition and star formation history of early-type galaxies (e.g. McClure & van den Bergh 1968; Spinrad 1980, 1986; Bruzual 1983; Laurikainen & Jaakkola 1985; Hamilton 1985; Dressler 1987; Dressler & Shectman 1987; Johnstone et al. 1987; Kimble et al. 1989; Dressler & Gunn 1990; Rakos et al. 1991; Charlot et al. 1993; Charlot & Silk 1994; Davidge & Clark 1994; Songaila et al. 1994; Belloni et al. 1995; Davidge & Grindler 1995; Cardiel et al. 1995, 1998; Abraham et al. 1996; Hammer et al. 1997; Barbaro & Poggianti 1997; Longhetti et al. 1998; Ponder et al. 1998). The reliable analysis of the break measurements rests on the comparison of the data with the predictions of stellar population models (e.g. Worthey 1994; Bruzual & Charlot 1996). So far, such predictions are computed by using either model atmospheres, or stellar libraries with a poor coverage of the atmospheric parameter space, especially in metallicity.

In this paper we present an empirical calibration of the $\lambda 4000$ Å break as a function of the main atmospheric stellar parameters (namely effective temperature, surface gravity and metallicity) in an ample stellar library which covers an appropriate range of parameters to study relatively old stellar populations. One of the main advantages of using fitting functions to describe the behaviour of spectral indices is that they allow stellar population models to include the contribution of all the required stars, through a smooth interpolation in the space defined by the fitted stellar parameters. The usefulness of this approach has been demonstrated by the successful inclusion of similar fitting functions in recent evolutionary synthesis models (eg. Worthey 1994; Vazdekis et al. 1996; Bressan et al. 1996; Bruzual & Charlot 1996).

It is important to keep in mind that the empirical calibration is only a mathematical representation of the break behaviour as a function of atmospheric stellar parameters, and that we do not attempt to obtain any physical justification of the derived coefficients.

We briefly review the previous works devoted to understand the D_{4000} in section 2. The star sample is given in section 3. The observations and data reduction are described in section 4, whereas section 5 contains a description of the error analysis. In section 6 we show the behaviour of the measured D_{4000} values as a function of the stellar atmospheric parameters. The fitting strategy and

the resulting empirical function are presented in sections 7. Finally, in section 8 we give a summary, providing a public FORTRAN subroutine written by the authors to facilitate the computation of the D_{4000} using the fitting function presented in this paper. Sections 4 and 5 are rather technical, due to the inclusion of a lengthy explanation of the data and error handling. We suggest the reader not interested in such details to scan Tables 1 and 2, and skip those sections.

2. Previous works: understanding the D_{4000}

The $\lambda 4000$ Å break is a sudden onset of absorption features bluewards 4000 Å which is clearly noticeable for stellar types cooler than G0 (see Fig. 3). In Fig. 1 we show a typical spectrum of a cool star in this spectral region, together with the identification of the most prominent spectral features. Considering the large wavelength range employed in the measurement of the D_{4000} , it is expected the strength of this discontinuity to be a function of the distribution of the continuum light in this region (governed by the effective temperature) modulated by the absorption line strengths (which must depend primarily on both temperature and metallicity, and secondly on gravity). This behaviour converts the break in a potential tool to investigate composite stellar populations in early-type systems.

The relevance of the line-blanketing discontinuity near $\lambda 4000$ Å was the object of a systematic study by Wildey et al. (1962). These authors measured the *energy subtracted* in the spectra of some stars due to Fraunhofer lines, showing that the effect was important below $\lambda 4000$ Å. Van den Bergh (1963), and van den Bergh & Sackmann (1965) defined a break, Δ , as the ratio of the smoothed observed continuum at both sides of $\lambda 4000$ Å. These authors measured this break in a sample of 200 stars concluding that Δ depended both on stellar metallicity and B–V color. Analogous discontinuity definitions, like $C(38 - 41)$ (McClure & van den Bergh 1968), and $\Gamma(38 - 41)$ (Carbon et al. 1982), have been also employed in the spectroscopic analysis of stars, star clusters and galaxies.

Using spectrophotometric stellar libraries, Bruzual (1983) and Hamilton (1985) studied the variation of the $\lambda 4000$ Å break with spectral types and luminosity classes (compare Fig. 3 in Bruzual with Fig. 6 in Hamilton). Both authors concluded that, as a function of temperature, the D_{4000} increases slowly for spectral types in the range from O5 to G0, and faster from G0 to M0, whereas the break decreases for the later types, M0 to M5. In addition, whilst for spectral types hotter than G0 the break does not depend on gravity, a clear dichotomy between main sequence stars on one hand, and giant and supergiant stars on the other, is apparent for lower temperatures. Given the scarcity of the employed stellar libraries, no dependence on metallicity could be obtained in these works.




Fig. 1. Spectrum of the star HD72324 (G9 III) in the region around the $\lambda 4000$ Å break. As a reference, we have also plotted the most intense (EW > 200 mÅ) Fraunhofer lines from the sun (using the tabulated data from Lang 1974 —original source Moore et al. 1966—), together with the Balmer lines and two CN molecular bands (the central bandpass of the CN3883 index defined by Pickles 1985, and the absorption band of the S(4142) index employed by Smith et al. 1997) which can be found in this spectral range. The contribution of the atomic metallic lines, especially from Fe I and Mg I, becomes very important bluewards $\lambda 4000$ Å.

From the analysis of moderate-resolution spectra of 950 galaxies in 12 rich clusters, Dressler & Shectman (1987) argued that, in composite stellar populations, the break is insensitive to changes in metal abundance, at least in the metallicity range spanned by their galaxy sample. This result was employed by Munn (1992) to conclude that the CN- D_{4000} diagram is effective at separating metallicity and age effects on the integrated spectra of early-type galaxies. However, Kimble et al. (1989) obtained that the break correlated strongly with metallicity indicators, such as the Mgb index.

More recently, Poggianti & Barbaro (1997), working with Kurucz’s models, have obtained a theoretical calibration of the break as a function of stellar parameters. They present (Fig. 1 in their paper) the behaviour of the D_{4000} in the ranges $5500 < T_{\text{eff}} < 35000$ K, $0 < \log g < 5$, and $-2 < \log Z < 0$. This work clearly shows the strong dependence of the break on effective temperature, as previously reported from the studies based on stellar libraries, and quantifies, for a small sample of temperatures, the variation of the break as a function of metallicity and gravity. The D_{4000} is shown to be insensitive to metallicity for hot stars ($T_{\text{eff}} = 9000$ K), whereas the contrary is true for $T_{\text{eff}} = 5500$ K. In addition, using the stellar spectra of Straizys & Sviderskiene (1972; note that these spectra are those also employed by Bruzual 1983), these authors ob-

tain that, for stars with $3500 < T_{\text{eff}} < 5500$ K, the D_{4000} always exhibits values above 2, with a maximum of 3 at $T_{\text{eff}} = 4000$ K. Using this theoretical calibration, Barbaro & Poggianti (1997) have also elaborated an evolutionary synthesis model which predicts, in the integrated spectrum of a galaxy, the variation of the D_{4000} as a function of the star formation rate (SFR). More interestingly, they conclude that the break can be employed to yield the ratio of the SFR averaged over the last 5 billion years to the present SFR.

From all these previous works, it is quite clear that the D_{4000} is a suitable tool to face the study of stellar systems, in particular to reveal their stellar composition. However, a detailed empirical calibration, such as that presented in this paper, is needed to i) overcome the unavoidable uncertainties associated to the theoretical calibrations, ii) extend our understanding of the break behaviour for stars with $T_{\text{eff}} < 5500$ K (note that these late-type stars constitute a fundamental ingredient in the modeling of old stellar populations), and iii) use in conjunction with other indices previously calibrated with the same stellar library.

3. Star sample

In order to derive a confident empirical calibration of the D_{4000} , we decided to measure this spectral feature in all the stars belonging to the Lick/IDS Library (Burstein et al. 1984; Faber et al. 1985; Burstein et al. 1986; Gorgas et al. 1993, hereafter G93; and Worthey et al. 1994). The suitability of the Lick/IDS library to obtain empirical fitting functions has been widely demonstrated by the works of G93, W94, and Worthey & Ottaviani (1997), who, in overall, have derived analytical expressions for 25 spectral indices in the 4000–6000 Å region. Trager et al. (1998), and references therein, have extensively shown the usefulness of the Lick/IDS absorption-line index system in the study of old stellar populations. In brief, the Lick/IDS library contains 460 stars of all spectral types and luminosity classes. Although a large fraction are field stars from the solar neighbourhood, members of open clusters (covering a wide range of ages) and galactic globular clusters (with different metallicities) are also included.

Table 1 lists the final data sample, which comprises 392 stars out of the original set of 424 objects with published atmospheric parameters in W94 (the remaining 32 stars presented high uncertainties in the derived measurements and were not included in order to guarantee the quality of the final dataset). The first two columns list the Henry Draper Catalog number, if any, and other common designation. The spectral type and luminosity class are given in the third column (see references at the end of the table). The following three columns list the atmospheric parameters employed in this work. Most of them are those listed in the electronic table of W94. Since we wish to keep the consistency with the previous fitting functions for the Lick indices, we have been reluctant to change any atmospheric




Fig. 2. Gravity-temperature diagram for the sample of stars used to derive the empirical fitting function. Different symbols are used to indicate stars of different metallicities, as shown in the key.

parameter. However, we have introduced new parameters for some of the stars which lack them in W94, and, in some cases, the original parameters have been improved using more recent or reliable data from the literature (see the description in the table notes). As a whole, effective temperature, gravity and metallicity data are finally available for 383, 382 and 354 stars, respectively.

To summarize, the Lick/IDS library spans the following ranges in atmospheric parameters: T_{eff} from 2747 to 21860 K, $\log g$ from 0 to 5.12, and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ from -2.70 to 0.46 . It is important to note that the star sample does not homogeneously cover the parameter space, being more densely populated in the metallicity interval -1.0 dex to $+0.5$ dex, whereas the gravity range is wider close to solar metallicity. A more important deficiency of the library is the paucity of hot stars. Since we are also interested in applying the derived fitting functions to the modeling of younger stellar populations, we have enlarged the sample with the inclusion of additional hot stars. For this purpose, we have employed flux-calibrated spectra corresponding to representative spectral types and luminosity classes from the compilation of Pickles (1998). In particular, 43 spectra of O5–F0 stars with $6720 < T_{\text{eff}} < 39800$ K have been incorporated. It is worth noting that we have checked that no systematic offset is apparent between our D4000 measurements and those in Pickles’ spectra. To display the range of stellar parameters covered by the resulting library, in Fig 2 we present a $\log g$ – T_{eff} diagram of the calibrating stars.

4. Observations and data reduction

The stellar library was observed in a total of 13 observing runs from 1991 to 1996 using the JKT, INT and WHT at the Roque de los Muchachos Observatory (La Palma, Spain), the 3.5 m telescope at Calar Alto Observatory (Almería, Spain), and the 2.12 m telescope at San Pedro Mártir Observatory (Mexico). The bulk of the spectra (320 out of a total of 650 spectra) were taken in run 6, where the Richardson–Brealey Spectrograph was used to obtain 2.8 Å resolution spectra in the blue spectral range. A description of each observing run, including relevant observational configuration parameters, is given in Table 2. The number of stars observed in each run is quite variable since some of the runs were not devoted to the calibration of the break and, in these cases, only bright stars of the library were observed during twilight periods. The last column in Table 1 list the run numbers in which each star was observed.

The reduction of the data was performed with our own reduction package `REDUCE1` (Cardiel & Gorgas 1999), which allows a parallel treatment of data and error spectra (see below). We followed a standard reduction procedure for spectroscopic data: bias and dark subtraction, cosmic ray cleaning, flat-fielding, wavelength calibration, C-distortion correction, sky subtraction, atmospheric extinction correction and flux calibration (we did not attempt to obtain absolute fluxes since, as most line-strength indices, the break only requires relative fluxes). Cluster stars spectra were also corrected from interstellar reddening, using the color excesses quoted in Tables 4 and A3 from G93 and W94, respectively, and the averaged extinction curve of Savage & Mathis (1979).

In order to optimize the observing time during most of the runs, comparison arc frames were not taken next to each star observation. Instead of this, we only acquired arc exposures for a selected subsample of stars, which comprised objects with a complete coverage of all the spectral types and luminosity classes observed in each run. The wavelength calibration of the rest of the stars was performed by comparing them with the reference spectra. The repetition of this procedure with different reference spectra allow us to guarantee that wavelength calibration errors were always $\lesssim 0.2$ pixels.

5. Random errors and systematic effects

Since the aim of this paper is to derive an analytical representation of the behaviour of the D_{4000} as a function of effective temperature, metallicity and surface gravity, the sources of error are two-fold. In one hand, an important error source are the uncertainties in the adopted atmospheric stellar parameters. Detailed discussions of the

¹ See description of this package in:
<http://www.ucm.es/info/Astrof/reduceme/reduceme.html>

Table 1. Data sample, stellar atmospheric parameters employed to derive the fitting function, and break measurements.

HD	Name	Type ^a	T_{eff}^b	$\log g^b$	$[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]^b$	D_{4000}	ΔD_{4000}^c	Res. ^d	Runs ^e
	Coma A 3	G9 V	5100	4.530	−0.07	2.398	0.095	−0.075	6
	Coma A 13	K0 V	5357	4.540	−0.07	2.169	0.094	−0.009	6
	Coma A 14	G4 V	5196	4.320	−0.07	1.888	0.092	−0.467	6
	Coma A 21	G7 V	5147	4.410	−0.07	2.137	0.093	−0.277	6
107214	Coma T 65	G0 V	5960	4.300	−0.07	1.612	0.091	−0.094	6
107276	Coma T 68	A6 IV-V	8030	4.090	−0.07	1.456	0.090	−0.040	6
107513	Coma T 82	A9 V	7080	4.130	−0.07	1.435	0.064	−0.027	6(2)
107583	Coma T 85	G1 V	5960	4.380	−0.07	1.644	0.091	−0.062	6
107611	Coma T 86	F6 V	6395	4.270	−0.07	1.466	0.090	−0.045	6
107685	Coma T 90	F5 V	6359	4.280	−0.07	1.514	0.064	−0.005	6(2)
107793	Coma T 97	F9 V	5940	4.340	−0.07	1.583	0.091	−0.134	6
	Coma T 102	G1 V	5851	4.360	−0.07	1.701	0.091	−0.072	6
108154	Coma T 114	F8 V	6431	4.300	−0.07	1.476	0.064	−0.027	6(2)
	Coma T 132	G5 V	5659	4.470	−0.07	1.877	0.092	−0.032	6
	Coma T 150	G9 V	5291	4.300	−0.07	2.008	0.093	−0.239	6
25825	Hya vB 10	G0 V	5980	4.410	0.13	1.741	0.065	−0.013	6(2)
26736	Hya vB 15	G3 V	5800	4.340	0.13	1.873	0.065	−0.017	6(2)
26756	Hya vB 17	G5 V	5745	4.530	0.13	1.993	0.065	0.058	6(2)
26784	Hya vB 19	F8 V	6293	4.270	0.13	1.608	0.091	0.048	6
284253	Hya vB 21	K0 V	5273	4.570	0.13	2.315	0.067	−0.086	6(2)
27250	Hya vB 26	G9 V	5550	4.500	0.13	2.091	0.093	−0.020	6
27406	Hya vB 31	G0 V	6084	4.310	0.13	1.681	0.091	−0.003	6
27524	Hya vB 35	F5 V	6571	4.250	0.13	1.485	0.090	0.004	6
27534	Hya vB 36	F6 V	6536	4.240	0.13	1.519	0.090	0.028	6
27561	Hya vB 37	F5 V	6550	4.180	0.13	1.545	0.084	0.058	5
28068	Hya vB 63	G1 V	5586	4.220	0.13	1.956	0.086	−0.121	5
28099	Hya vB 64	G2 V	5667	4.390	0.13	1.917	0.065	−0.086	6(2)
28344	Hya vB 73	G2 V	5945	4.350	0.13	1.785	0.085	0.006	5
28483	Hya vB 81	F6 V	6432	4.300	0.13	1.538	0.090	0.019	6
28593	Hya vB 87	G8 V	5489	4.480	0.13	2.148	0.093	−0.023	6
28910	Hya vB 95	A8 V n	7395	3.790	0.13	1.437	0.090	−0.041	6
29375	Hya vB 103	F0 V	6988	4.050	0.13	1.474	0.090	0.017	6
29388	Hya vB 104	A6 V n	8380	3.870	0.13	1.489	0.064	0.028	6(2)
30034	Hya vB 111	F0 V	7395	4.030	0.13	1.472	0.090	−0.006	6
30210	Hya vB 112	Am	7970	4.150	0.13	1.493	0.090	−0.009	6
31236	Hya vB 126	F3 IV	7069	4.250	0.13	1.451	0.064	−0.011	6(2)
27935	Hya vB 140	G5 V	5261	4.480	0.13	2.130	0.093	−0.285	6
	M10 II-76	AGB	4544	1.470	−1.50	2.003	0.064	−0.362	6(3)
	M10 III-85	GB	4368	1.200	−1.50	2.651	0.084	0.010	6(3)
	M13 A 171	AGB	4401	1.070	−1.50	3.159	0.071	0.577	6(3)
	M13 B 786	GB	3963	0.620	−1.50	3.401	0.101	−0.377	6(3)
	M13 B 818	AGB	5089	1.890	−1.50	1.691	0.089	−0.180	7
	M3 398	GB	4512	1.440	−1.70	2.382	0.111	0.060	7
	M3 III-28	GB	4122	0.730	−1.70	2.708	0.072	−0.436	6(4)
	M3 IV-25	GB	4355	1.210	−1.70	2.516	0.122	−0.062	7
	M5 I-45	HB	5850	2.610	−1.30	1.518	0.087	−0.095	7
	M5 II-51	GB	4569	1.690	−1.30	2.444	0.117	0.021	7
	M5 II-53	HB	10460	3.660	−1.30	1.347	0.077	0.046	7
	M5 II-76	HB	6060	2.700	−1.30	1.422	0.060	−0.136	7(2)
	M5 III-03	GB	4095	0.660	−1.30	3.626	0.127	0.258	6(2)
	M5 IV-19	GB	4139	0.840	−1.30	3.204	0.102	−0.039	6(2)
	M5 IV-59	GB	4300	0.850	−1.30	3.056	0.105	0.193	6(2)
	M5 IV-86	HB	5429	2.460	−1.30	1.509	0.060	−0.253	7(2)
	M5 IV-87	HB	5950	2.630	−1.30	1.572	0.087	−0.014	7

Table 1. Continued.

HD	Name	Type ^a	T_{eff}^b	$\log g^b$	$[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]^b$	D_{4000}	ΔD_{4000}^c	Res. ^d	Runs ^e
	M67 F 084	<i>HB</i>	4763	2.320	−0.10	2.936	0.098	0.081	6
	M67 F 094	<i>SGB</i>	6103	4.070	−0.10	1.698	0.092	0.078	6
	M67 F 105	<i>GB</i>	4465	2.230	−0.10	3.411	0.102	0.158	6
	M67 F 108	<i>GB</i>	4258	1.830	−0.10	3.782	0.103	0.216	6
	M67 F 115	<i>SGB</i>	5959	3.890	−0.10	1.763	0.093	0.066	6
	M67 F 117	<i>SGB</i>	5210	3.790	−0.10	1.898	0.066	−0.421	6(2)
	M67 F 119	<i>SGB</i>	6031	3.940	−0.10	1.683	0.092	0.026	6
	M67 F 125	<i>SGB</i>	6066	4.340	−0.10	1.636	0.057	−0.003	6(3)
	M67 F 164	<i>HB</i>	4707	2.220	−0.10	3.013	0.098	0.088	6
	M67 F 170	<i>GB</i>	4294	1.830	−0.10	3.923	0.105	0.414	6
	M67 F 175	<i>SGB</i>	6000	4.340	−0.10	1.661	0.057	−0.013	6(3)
	M67 F 193	<i>GB</i>	4983	3.300	−0.10	2.736	0.060	0.125	6(3)
	M67 F 224	<i>GB?</i>	4717	2.530	−0.10	3.101	0.099	0.189	6
	M67 F 231	<i>GB</i>	4906	2.950	−0.10	2.885	0.071	0.196	6(2)
	M67 I-17	<i>GB</i>	4977	3.370	−0.10	2.751	0.061	0.131	6(3)
	M67 II-22	<i>SGB</i>	5070	3.650	−0.10	2.541	0.066	0.048	6(3)
	M67 IV-20	<i>GB</i>	4643	2.750	−0.10	2.942	0.070	−0.065	6(2)
	M67 IV-68	<i>SGB</i>	5128	3.730	−0.10	2.300	0.060	−0.118	6(3)
	M67 IV-77	<i>SGB</i>	5023	3.570	−0.10	2.567	0.066	0.009	6(3)
	M67 IV-81	<i>SGB</i>	5336	3.760	−0.10	2.186	0.056	0.005	6(3)
	M71 1-09	<i>AGB</i>	4697	1.670	−0.56	3.336	0.231	0.686	11
	M71 1-21	<i>GB</i>	4414	1.460	−0.56	2.874	0.191	−0.168	11
	M71 1-31					1.728	0.122	†	11
	M71 1-34	<i>HB</i>	5062	2.470	−0.56	1.933	0.135	−0.346	11
	M71 1-36					2.427	0.132	†	11
	M71 1-37	<i>GB</i>	4602	2.180	−0.56	2.008	0.153	−0.762	11
	M71 1-39	<i>HB</i>	5004	2.430	−0.56	1.732	0.141	−0.598	11
	M71 1-41	<i>HB</i>	5098	2.480	−0.56	1.840	0.124	−0.408	11
	M71 1-53	<i>GB</i>	4209	1.420	−0.56	4.260	0.292	0.855	11
	M71 1-59	<i>GB</i>	4660	2.440	−0.56	2.808	0.356	0.112	11
	M71 1-63	<i>AGB</i>	4717	1.820	−0.56	2.528	0.156	−0.099	11
	M71 1-64	<i>GB</i>	4321	1.510	−0.56	2.863	0.202	−0.335	11
	M71 1-65	<i>GB</i>	4640	2.200	−0.56	2.080	0.210	−0.641	11
	M71 1-66	<i>AGB</i>	4496	1.470	−0.56	3.492	0.355	0.575	11
	M71 1-71	<i>GB</i>	4443	1.810	−0.56	2.340	0.197	−0.657	11
	M71 1-73	<i>GB</i>	4846	2.520	−0.56	2.156	0.161	−0.327	11
	M71 1-95	<i>AGB</i>	4669	1.670	−0.56	3.117	0.180	0.433	11
	M71 1-107	<i>AGB</i>	4977	1.930	−0.56	2.587	0.163	0.233	11
	M71 1-109	<i>GB</i>	4760	2.570	−0.56	2.719	0.300	0.142	11
	M71 A2	<i>HB</i>	4940	2.370	−0.56	2.918	0.411	0.529	11
	M71 A4	<i>AGB</i>	4028	0.740	−0.56	3.632	0.184	−0.165	11
	M71 A6					5.645	0.801	†	11
	M71 A7					3.942	0.365	†	11
	M71 A9	<i>GB</i>	4156	1.390	−0.56	4.119	0.430	0.607	11
	M71 C	<i>HB</i>	4965	2.390	−0.56	2.177	0.186	−0.189	11
	M71 S	<i>GB</i>	4252	1.390	−0.56	3.824	0.352	0.501	11
	M71 X	<i>HB</i>	5240	2.490	−0.56	2.499	0.236	0.362	11
	M92 I-10	<i>HB</i>	9290	3.440	−2.20	1.327	0.076	−0.055	7
	M92 I-13	<i>HB</i>	5680	2.220	−2.20	1.498	0.083	0.022	7
	M92 II-23	<i>HB</i>	7510	3.050	−2.20	1.437	0.086	−0.046	7
	M92 III-13	<i>GB</i>	4228	0.580	−2.20	2.473	0.077	−0.188	6,7
	M92 IV-114	<i>GB</i>	4728	1.580	−2.20	1.702	0.090	−0.188	7
	M92 VI-74	<i>HB</i>	5950	2.210	−2.20	1.535	0.085	0.101	6(2)
	M92 IX-12	<i>AGB</i>	5477	1.930	−2.20	1.771	0.057	0.249	9,12

Table 1. Continued.

HD	Name	Type ^a	T_{eff}^b	$\log g^b$	$[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]^b$	D_{4000}	ΔD_{4000}^c	Res. ^d	Runs ^e
	M92 XII-8	<i>GB</i>	4488	1.000	−2.20	1.843	0.069	−0.316	6(2)
	M92 XII-24	<i>HB</i>	11100	3.750	−2.20	1.498	0.060	0.235	9,12
	NGC188 I-20					2.605	0.060	†	10
	NGC188 I-55	<i>SGB</i>	5440	3.910	0.00	2.076	0.043	−0.063	9,10
	NGC188 I-57	<i>GB</i>	4707	3.070	0.00	3.035	0.047	0.029	9,10
	NGC188 I-61	<i>GB</i>	4930	3.350	0.00	2.984	0.061	0.245	10
	NGC188 I-69	<i>GB</i>	4401	2.350	0.00	3.479	0.044	0.066	9,10
	NGC188 I-75	<i>GB</i>	4906	3.220	0.00	2.879	0.060	0.115	10
	NGC188 I-85	<i>GB</i>	4949	3.550	0.00	2.820	0.062	0.102	10
	NGC188 I-88	<i>SGB</i>	5285	3.850	0.00	2.358	0.046	0.059	9,10
	NGC188 I-97	<i>SGB</i>	5213	3.820	0.00	2.577	0.053	0.196	9,10
	NGC188 I-105	<i>HB</i>	4585	2.190	0.00	3.221	0.043	0.067	9,10
	NGC188 I-116	?	5070	3.230	0.00	2.135	0.042	−0.430	9,10
	NGC188 II-52	<i>SGB</i>	5532	3.940	0.00	2.137	0.061	0.085	10
	NGC188 II-64	<i>SGB</i>	5837	4.090	0.00	1.696	0.209	−0.113	13
	NGC188 II-67	<i>SGB</i>	5935	4.130	0.00	1.726	0.207	−0.017	13
	NGC188 II-69	<i>SGB</i>	5972	4.170	0.00	1.712	0.211	−0.007	13
	NGC188 II-72	<i>GB</i>	4387	2.410	0.00	3.507	0.234	0.074	13
	NGC188 II-76	<i>HB</i>	4553	2.180	0.00	3.276	0.220	0.078	13
	NGC188 II-79	<i>SGB</i>	5138	3.550	0.00	2.405	0.220	−0.065	13
	NGC188 II-88	<i>GB</i>	4520	2.710	0.00	2.165	0.219	−1.078	13
	NGC188 II-93	<i>SGB</i>	5503	3.930	0.00	2.025	0.219	−0.054	13
	NGC188 II-122	<i>GB</i>	4949	3.410	0.00	2.788	0.250	0.072	13
	NGC188 II-126	<i>GB</i>	4949	3.450	0.00	3.047	0.269	0.330	13
	NGC188 II-181	<i>GB</i>	4276	2.190	0.00	3.491	0.068	−0.107	9,13
	NGC188 II-187	<i>GB</i>	4949	3.330	0.00	3.007	0.067	0.294	9,13
	NGC6171 04	<i>HB</i>	6100	2.750	−0.99	2.915	0.932	1.313	12
	NGC6171 45	<i>HB</i>	5920	2.840	−0.99	1.627	0.649	−0.030	12
	NGC7789 338					2.635	0.079	†	6(2)
	NGC7789 415	<i>GB</i>	3859	1.060	−0.12	4.421	0.126	0.243	6(2)
	NGC7789 468	<i>GB</i>	4228	1.600	−0.12	3.941	0.142	0.339	6(2)
	NGC7789 489					3.157	0.086	†	6(2)
	NGC7789 501	<i>GB</i>	4085	1.380	−0.12	3.961	0.177	0.123	6(2)
	NGC7789 575	<i>GB</i>	4512	1.980	−0.12	2.907	0.219	−0.265	13
	NGC7789 669	<i>GB</i>	4216	1.570	−0.12	4.053	0.135	0.431	6(2)
	NGC7789 676	<i>HB</i>	4988	2.320	−0.12	2.696	0.216	0.108	13
	NGC7789 859	<i>GB</i>	4626	2.270	−0.12	2.517	0.212	−0.499	13
	NGC7789 875	<i>HB</i>	4965	2.360	−0.12	2.517	0.212	−0.095	13
	NGC7789 897	<i>HB</i>	4965	2.350	−0.12	2.064	0.208	−0.548	13
	NGC7789 971	<i>GB</i>	3831	1.030	−0.12	4.403	0.176	0.264	6(2)
1461	HR 0072	G0 V	5932	4.300	0.29 ⁵	2.364	0.099	0.519	5
2665		G5 III	5000	2.500	−2.00	1.518	0.090	−0.243	6
2857	BD-06 0086	A2	7563 ¹	2.670 ¹	−1.58 ¹	1.629	0.091	0.144	6
3546	HR 0163	G8 III	4957	2.600	−0.66	2.247	0.055	−0.075	3
3567		F5 VI	6001	4.160	−1.44	1.499	0.084	0.120	5
3651	HR 0166	K0 V	5487	4.520 ¹	−0.18 ¹	2.741	0.093	0.749	5
4307	HR 0203	G0 V	5650	3.930	−0.52	1.864	0.090	0.144	5
4614	HR 0219	G0 V	5749	4.420 ⁴	−0.20 ⁴	2.000	0.134	0.211	4
4628	HR 0222	K2 V	4960	4.600 ¹	−0.29 ¹	2.758	0.091	0.209	5
4656	HR 0224	K5 III	3953	1.100	−0.07	4.784	0.113	0.713	3
5395	HR 0265	G8 III-IV	4819	2.400	−0.36	2.718	0.136	0.091	4
6203	HR 0296	K0 III-IV	4387	1.750	−0.48	2.951	0.139	−0.181	4
6695	HR 0328	A3 V	8390	4.300		1.531	0.090	0.071	6
7010		K0 IV				3.558	0.283	††	2

Table 1. Continued.

HD	Name	Type ^a	T_{eff}^b	$\log g^b$	[Fe/H] ^b	D_{4000}	ΔD_{4000}^c	Res. ^d	Runs ^e
7927	HR 0382	F0 Ia	7300	0.400		1.523	0.090	0.053	6
8424	HR 0398	A0 Vnn	8455	4.100		1.400	0.090	-0.054	6
10307	HR 0483	G2 V	5898	4.380 ⁴	0.04 ⁴	2.132	0.086	0.351	5
10380	HR 0489	K3 III	4133	1.200	-0.11	4.323	0.095	0.561	3
10476	HR 0493	K1 V	5065	4.500 ¹	-0.20 ⁵	2.387	0.137	-0.053	4
10700	HR 0509	G8 V	5200	4.560	-0.37	1.892	0.086	-0.276	5
10780	HR 0511	K0 V	5398	4.600 ¹	0.36 ¹	2.319	0.136	-0.109	4
11004		F7 V	4842			2.068	0.088	††	2,6
12929	HR 0617	K2 III	4480	2.100	-0.22	3.106	0.098	-0.046	6
13043		G2 V	5730	4.010	0.07	1.842	0.086	-0.078	5
13783		G8 V	5488	4.500	-0.55	2.272	0.136	0.463	4
13974	HR 0660	G0 V	5694	4.600	-0.33 ⁵	1.630	0.091	-0.140	6
14802	HR 0695	G1 V	5630	3.920	-0.17	2.376	0.094	0.492	6
17378	HR 0825	A5 Ia	8530	1.350		1.480	0.090	0.237	6
17491	HR 0832	M4 III	3560	0.600		4.066	0.105	0.337	6
17709	HR 0843	K5 III	3905	1.100	-0.18	4.033	0.036	-0.107	6(7),8
18191	HR 0867	M6 III	3250	0.300		3.299	0.099	0.123	6
19373	HR 0937	G0 V	5984	4.000 ²	0.02 ²	1.872	0.133	0.154	4
19445		G5 VI	5830	4.230	-2.07	1.400	0.074	0.123	4,6
19476	HR 0941	K0 III	4965	2.550	0.22	2.826	0.137	-0.004	4
20041	HR 0964	A0 Ia	9560	2.130		1.369	0.090	0.237	6
20630	HR 0996	G5 V	5528	4.410 ¹	0.10 ¹	1.882	0.085	-0.232	5
20893	HR 1015	K3 III	4355	1.950	0.00	3.128	0.050	-0.352	1,4,6(2)
22484	HR 1101	F8 V	6294	4.010 ¹	-0.04 ⁴	1.696	0.062	0.158	5,6
22879		F9 V	5780	4.270	-0.85	2.180	0.087	0.629	5
23249	HR 1136	K0+IV	4820	3.860	0.02	2.962	0.097	0.049	6
23439A		K1 V	5061	4.500 ¹	-1.02 ¹	2.285	0.135	0.252	4
23439B		K2 V	4771	4.500 ¹	-1.05 ¹	2.696	0.137	-0.047	4
25329		K1 Vsb	4862	4.770	-1.69 ⁴	2.106	0.087	-0.138	5
26462	HR 1292	F4 V	6814	4.120	0.13	1.463	0.084	0.015	5
26690	HR 1309	F3 V	6925 ⁸	4.210 ⁸	0.01 ⁸	1.521	0.062	0.067	5,6
26965	HR 1325	K1 V	5050	4.520	-0.03	1.916	0.204	-0.647	13
27371	HR 1346	K0 III	4970	2.600	0.13	2.614	0.078	-0.150	4,6
27697	HR 1373	K0 III	4983	2.650	0.13	2.689	0.078	-0.060	4,6
28307	HR 1411	K0 III	4997	2.690	0.13	2.473	0.136	-0.260	4
30455		G2 V	5671	4.450	-0.18 ¹	1.783	0.085	-0.067	5
30649		G1 V-VI	5640	4.320	-0.37 ⁶	1.579	0.085	-0.208	5
30652	HR 1543	F6 V	6340	4.290	0.14	1.583	0.075	0.037	4,6
34334	HR 1726	K3 III	4234	2.150	-0.16	3.175	0.140	-0.395	4
34411	HR 1729	G0 V	5848	4.160 ⁴	0.10 ⁴	1.832	0.034	-0.008	5,6(6)
35620	HR 1805	K3 III	4156	1.200	0.21	3.873	0.143	-0.013	4
36003		K5 V	4465	4.610	0.09 ¹²	3.179	0.070	0.063	6(2)
36162	HR 1832	A3 Vn	8260	4.280		1.427	0.064	-0.045	6(2)
37160	HR 1907	G8 IIIp	4751	2.900	-0.55	2.470	0.095	-0.123	6
38393	HR 1983	F6 V	6220	4.170	-0.07	2.081	0.092	0.513	6
38751	HR 2002	G8 III	4751	2.200	0.03	2.490	0.060	-0.468	6,11(2)
39587	HR 2047	G0 V	5814	4.400 ¹	0.08	1.671	0.091	-0.187	6
39970	HR 2074	A0 Ia	9400 ¹⁵	1.430		1.112	0.059	-0.034	6,11(2)
41117	HR 2135	B2 Iave	17463	2.700		1.002	0.055	†††	6(2),11
41636	HR 2153	G9 III	4690	2.500	-0.16	2.777	0.096	-0.130	6
43318	HR 2233	F6 V	6069	3.780	-0.30	1.756	0.061	0.165	6,11(2)
47205	HR 2429	K1 IV	4776	3.350	0.05	3.495	0.099	0.522	6
47914	HR 2459	K5 III	3999	1.400	0.05	4.097	0.066	0.067	6,11(2)
48433	HR 2478	K1 III	4465	1.500	-0.08	3.134	0.098	-0.132	6

Table 1. Continued.

HD	Name	Type ^a	T_{eff}^b	$\log g^b$	$[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]^b$	D_{4000}	ΔD_{4000}^c	Res. ^d	Runs ^e
48682	HR 2483	G0 V	5829	4.080	0.15 ¹	1.717	0.059	−0.158	6,11(2)
49161	HR 2503	K4 III	4276	1.850	0.23	3.535	0.100	−0.206	6
49293	HR 2506	K0 III	4635	1.850	−0.07	2.990	0.097	−0.048	6
50778	HR 2574	K4 III	4019	1.350	−0.13	4.413	0.107	0.466	6
51440	HR 2600	K2 III	4381	2.200	−0.35	3.227	0.061	0.007	6,11(2),13
54719	HR 2697	K2 III	4381	1.350	0.24	3.465	0.099	−0.142	6
54810	HR 2701	K0 III	4697	2.350	−0.30	2.650	0.095	−0.157	6
55575	HR 2721	G0 V	5640	4.280 ⁶	−0.30 ⁶	1.628	0.059	−0.190	6,11(2)
58207	HR 2821	K0 III	4776	2.350	−0.10	2.767	0.096	−0.073	6
58972	HR 2854	K3 III	4023	2.000	−0.30	3.534	0.101	−0.353	6
60522	HR 2905	M0 III-IIIb	3902	1.200	0.12	3.996	0.065	−0.170	6,11(2),13
61935	HR 2970	K0 III	4776	2.200	−0.02	2.917	0.096	0.024	6
62721	HR 3003	K5 III	3973	1.450	−0.01	3.948	0.075	−0.104	6,11(2)
64606		G8 V	5120	4.110	−0.92	1.974	0.060	−0.017	6,11(2)
66141	HR 3145	K2 III	4264	1.500	−0.23	3.435	0.100	−0.046	6
69267	HR 3249	K4 III	4032	1.600	−0.36	4.086	0.104	0.236	6
69897	HR 3262	F6 V	6156	4.150	−0.41 ⁴	1.499	0.090	−0.037	6
70272	HR 3275	K5 III	3931	1.050	−0.11	4.116	0.105	0.015	6
72184	HR 3360	K2 III	4669	2.650	0.34	3.197	0.092	−0.097	2,6
72324	HR 3369	G9 III	4864	1.600	0.17	2.780	0.053	−0.137	6,11(3)
73471	HR 3418	K2 III	4480	1.700	0.09	3.473	0.062	0.110	6,11(2)
73593	HR 3422	G8 IV	4717	2.250	−0.12	2.695	0.049	−0.204	6,11(4)
73665	HR 3427	K0 III	4965	2.350	0.16	2.762	0.039	−0.028	1,8(2),11(2)
73710	HR 3428	K0 III	4864	2.100	0.24	2.853	0.045	−0.113	6,8,11(3)
74377		K3 V	4913	4.630	−0.07 ¹²	2.757	0.062	0.022	6,8
74442	HR 3461	K0 III	4651	2.300	−0.04	2.997	0.097	−0.041	6
75732	HR 3522	G8 V	5139	3.700	0.13 ¹	2.608	0.078	0.051	6,11(2)
79211	BD+ 53 1321	M0 V	3769	4.710	−0.40 ¹⁴	3.036	0.097	0.223	6
82328	HR 3775	F6 IV	6314	4.010	−0.10 ⁴	1.503	0.059	−0.022	6,11(2)
83618	HR 3845	K3 III	4240	1.150	−0.05	3.813	0.063	0.190	6,11(2)
84937		F5 VI	6230	4.610	−2.10	1.265	0.049	−0.048	6(2),11(2)
85503	HR 3905	K2 III	4480	2.150	0.46	3.428	0.062	−0.213	6,11(2)
86728	HR 3951	G4 V	5675 ³	4.280 ³	0.11 ³	1.977	0.092	−0.008	6
88230		K7 V	3917	4.680	0.28 ¹	2.961	0.097	0.038	6
88284	HR 3994	K0 III	4971	2.700	0.22	3.142	0.061	0.319	6,11(2)
89010	HR 4030	G2 IV	5670	3.920	−0.03 ⁵	1.849	0.092	−0.071	6
89449	HR 4054	F6 IV	6334	4.060	−0.02	1.482	0.090	−0.050	6
90508	HR 4098	G1 V	5717	4.370	−0.23 ¹	1.706	0.060	−0.091	6,11(2)
94705	HR 4267	M5.5 III	3335	0.200		3.323	0.079	−0.015	6,11
95272	HR 4287	K0 III	4635	2.150	−0.06	3.636	0.100	0.591	6
97907	HR 4365	K3 III	4351	1.600 ⁷	−0.10 ⁷	2.736	0.095	−0.686	6
98230/1	HR 4374/5	G0 V	5670	4.410	−0.21 ¹	1.630	0.091	−0.207	6
101501	HR 4496	G8 V	5360	4.600 ⁵	−0.16 ⁵	1.999	0.045	−0.121	3,6
102328	HR 4521	K3 III	4457	2.350	0.41	3.669	0.068	0.038	6,11(2)
102870	HR 4540	F8 V	6030	4.050	0.18	1.602	0.091	−0.132	6
103095	HR 4550	G8 Vp	5069	4.600 ⁴	−1.32 ⁴	1.777	0.043	−0.122	3,6
106516	HR 4657	F5 V	6140	4.240	−0.82	1.492	0.090	0.021	6
108177		F5 VI	6050	5.040	−1.73	1.342	0.059	0.008	6,8
109995		A0 V	8300	3.500	−1.99	1.380	0.063	−0.089	6(2)
110897	HR 4845	G0 V	5898	4.290 ⁶	−0.44 ⁶	1.486	0.031	−0.141	3(2)
111721		G6 V	5103	2.870	−1.10	2.010	0.092	0.001	6
113226	HR 4932	G9 II-III	4994	2.100	0.08	2.588	0.051	−0.117	6(2),11(3)
113285		M8 III	2924 ¹⁶	0.000		2.553	0.061	0.084	6,8
114710	HR 4983	G0 V	5900	4.340	0.15	1.657	0.037	−0.163	3,6(2)

Table 1. Continued.

HD	Name	Type ^a	T_{eff}^b	$\log g^b$	$[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]^b$	D_{4000}	ΔD_{4000}^c	Res. ^d	Runs ^e
114762		F9 V	5814	4.260	-0.75 ⁴	1.379	0.042	-0.190	3
114946	HR 4995	G6 V	4930	3.610	-0.44	2.768	0.096	0.239	6
114961		M7 III	3014	0.000	-0.81	2.633	0.095	-0.046	6
115043		G1 V	6016	4.510	-0.08 ¹	1.705	0.046	0.034	3
115617	HR 5019	G6 V	5480	4.520	0.22	1.976	0.050	-0.262	3
117176	HR 5072	G5 V	5370	3.800	-0.21	1.990	0.033	-0.091	3,6(2),8(2)
120136	HR 5185	F7 V	6431	4.270	0.18 ¹	1.497	0.043	-0.027	3
120452	HR 5196	K0.5 III-IIIb	4760	2.600	0.03	3.126	0.098	0.179	6
121146	HR 5227	K2 IV	4428	3.000	-0.12	3.638	0.101	0.345	6
121370	HR 5235	G0 IV	6036	3.720	0.28 ⁶	1.689	0.091	-0.069	6
122563	HR 5270	G0 VI	4626	1.500	-2.60	1.632	0.091	-0.226	6
124897	HR 5340	K2 IIIp	4276	2.100	-0.42	3.166	0.099	-0.188	6
125454	HR 5366	G9 III	4775	2.500	-0.10	2.780	0.042	-0.061	6,8(3)
125560	HR 5370	K3 III	4381	1.650	0.31	3.454	0.099	-0.203	6
126327		M7.5 III	2943 ¹¹	0.000	-0.58	2.402	0.048	-0.112	6,8(2)
129312	HR 5480	G8 III	4834	1.550	0.00	2.532	0.094	-0.304	6
131977	HR 5568	K4 V	4493	4.650 ⁵	0.01 ⁵	3.015	0.067	-0.103	3
132142		K1 V	5057	4.500 ⁵	-0.55	2.386	0.049	0.126	3,11(2)
132345	HR 5582	K3 III-IVp	4374	1.600	0.42	3.928	0.102	0.182	6
134083	HR 5634	F5 V	6577	4.380	0.05 ⁵	1.438	0.038	-0.039	3,6
134439		K0 V	4990	4.510	-1.40	2.291	0.094	0.282	6
135722	HR 5681	G8 III	4834	2.450	-0.44	2.553	0.078	-0.010	4,6
136028	HR 5690	K5 III	4040	1.900	0.14	4.301	0.106	0.298	6
136202	HR 5694	F8 III-IV	6030	3.890	-0.07	1.679	0.091	0.014	6
137391	HR 5733	F0 V	7190	4.140	0.28	1.450	0.090	-0.018	6
137471	HR 5739	M1 III	3810	1.100	0.07 ¹⁴	3.708	0.102	-0.402	6
137759	HR 5744	K2 III	4504	2.050	0.12	3.714	0.101	0.363	6
139669	HR 5826	K5 III	3915	1.000	-0.10	4.504	0.107	0.375	6
140283		F3 VI	5650	4.240	-2.45	1.202	0.040	-0.025	3
140573	HR 5854	K2 III	4528	2.100	0.25	3.404	0.081	-0.010	4,6
141144	BD+01 3131	K0 III				3.006	0.097	††	6
141680	HR 5888	G8 III	4707	1.950	-0.30	2.807	0.091	0.012	2,6
142091	HR 5901	K0 III-IV	4751	2.850	0.02	2.875	0.079	-0.077	4,6
142373	HR 5914	F9 V	5718	4.140 ⁴	-0.39 ⁴	1.478	0.043	-0.255	3
142860	HR 5933	F6 V	6280	4.270	-0.25	1.479	0.090	-0.039	6
142980	HR 5940	K1 IV	4512	2.550	0.10	3.625	0.139	0.300	4
143107	HR 5947	K3 III	4318	1.700	-0.29	3.450	0.081	0.093	4,6
143761	HR 5968	G2 V	5835	4.080 ⁴	-0.19 ⁴	1.662	0.041	-0.078	3,6
144872		K3 V	4739	4.650	-0.25 ¹⁰	2.880	0.069	-0.112	3
145148	HR 6014	K0 IV	4849	3.450	0.13	2.968	0.065	0.053	4,6,11
145328	HR 6018	K0 III	4687	2.500	0.01	2.790	0.056	-0.236	4,6,11(2)
145675		K0 V	5353	4.490 ¹	0.27 ¹	2.777	0.063	0.365	3
147379A	Gl 617 A	M0 V	3720	5.000 ²	-1.50 ²	2.730	0.059	-0.040	8(2)
147379B	Gl 617 B	M3 V	3247	4.840		2.023	0.137	-0.194	8
147677	HR 6103	K0 III	4893	2.350	0.01	2.626	0.139	-0.148	4
148513	HR 6136	K4 IIIp	4046	1.000	0.20	4.474	0.144	0.457	4
148783	HR 6146	M6 III	3250	0.200	-0.06	3.304	0.053	0.128	6(2),11(2)
148816		F9 V	5815	4.000	-0.72	1.534	0.090	-0.043	6
149161	HR 6159	K4 III	3940	1.450	-0.13	3.835	0.147	-0.248	4
149661	HR 6171	K0 V	5133	4.560 ¹	0.01 ¹	2.705	0.061	0.222	3
151203	HR 6227	M3 IIIab	3640	0.700	-0.10 ¹⁴	3.852	0.103	-0.005	6
152792		G0 V	5647	4.120	-0.38 ¹	1.728	0.047	-0.051	3
153210	HR 6299	K2 III	4536	2.250	0.09	3.123	0.080	-0.162	4,6
157089		F9 V	5749	4.380	-0.57 ⁴	1.557	0.045	-0.095	3

Table 1. Continued.

HD	Name	Type ^a	T_{eff}^b	$\log g^b$	$[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]^b$	D_{4000}	ΔD_{4000}^c	Res. ^d	Runs ^e
157214	HR 6458	G1 V	5686	4.240 ⁶	-0.41 ⁶	1.655	0.041	-0.088	3,6
160693		G0 V	5733	4.220	-0.67 ⁵	1.632	0.085	0.007	5
161797	HR 6623	G5 IV	5390	3.830	0.23	2.289	0.093	-0.053	6
161817		A2 VI	7450	2.930	-1.71	1.377	0.090	-0.103	6
163506	HR 6685	F2 Ibe	6400	1.200	-0.41	1.425	0.064	-0.164	6(2)
164259	HR 6710	F3 V	6750	4.100	-0.12 ¹	1.428	0.061	-0.017	5,6
165195		K3p	4475	1.200	-2.70	2.122	0.083	0.115	2,5
165760	HR 6770	G8 III-IV	4957	2.000	-0.05	2.689	0.030	0.026	4,5(2),6(7),7,12
165908	HR 6775	F7 V	5883	4.230	-0.50 ⁶	1.481	0.090	-0.134	6
166620	HR 6806	K2 V	4941	4.650	-0.25 ¹	2.560	0.065	-0.041	5,6
167006	HR 6815	M3 III	3640	0.700	-0.17 ¹⁴	4.157	0.106	0.300	6
167042	HR 6817	K1 III	4949	3.050	-0.06	2.804	0.106	0.136	2(3),4
168720	HR 6868	M1 III	3810	1.100	0.00 ¹⁴	4.086	0.104	-0.024	6
168775	HR 6872	K2 III	4520	1.700	0.07	3.094	0.142	-0.199	4
170153	HR 6927	F7 V	5968	4.360	-0.33 ¹	1.521	0.062	-0.106	5,6
172958	HR 7030	B8 V	11300	3.750		1.162	0.059	-0.091	6,11(2)
175638	HR 7141	A5 V	8150	3.900		1.561	0.090	0.077	6
175743	HR 7148	K1 III	4635	2.450	-0.09	3.304	0.093	0.279	2,6
175751	HR 7149	K2 III	4697	2.200	0.03	3.035	0.142	0.008	4
175865	HR 7157	M5 III	3759 ¹¹	0.500	0.14 ¹⁴	3.461	0.100	-0.575	6
176301	HR 7171	B7 III-IV	13100	3.500		1.129	0.090	-0.041	6
176411	HR 7176	K2 III	4687	2.100	0.17	2.880	0.069	-0.261	4,11(2)
180928	HR 7317	K4 III	3969	1.300	-0.35	4.641	0.103	0.655	2,6
181984	HR 7352	K3 III	4443	2.400	0.39	4.053	0.098	0.419	5
182572	HR 7373	G8 IV H δ 1	5555	3.380	0.38 ⁵	2.571	0.095	0.297	6
184406	HR 7429	K3 III	4428	2.450	0.22	3.095	0.142	-0.433	4
185144	HR 7462	K0 V	5133	4.500 ⁵	-0.24 ⁵	2.468	0.088	0.142	5
185859	HR 7482	B0.5 Iae	21860	2.800		1.264	0.059	†††	6,11(2)
186408	HR 7503	G2 V	5831	4.060	0.12 ⁵	1.761	0.085	-0.100	5
186427	HR 7504	G5 V	5639	4.060	0.07 ⁵	2.161	0.061	0.165	5(2)
187691	HR 7560	F8 V	5968	3.990	0.10 ⁶	1.546	0.084	-0.207	5
187923	HR 7569	G0 V	5665	4.210	0.06 ¹	1.998	0.086	0.029	5
188056	HR 7576	K3 III	4355	2.150	0.42	3.705	0.063	-0.065	3,6
188512	HR 7602	G8 IV	5062	3.700	-0.35	2.516	0.095	0.157	6
188727	HR 7609	G5 Ib var	5685	1.670	0.00	1.826	0.060	-0.224	6,11(2)
190360	HR 7670	G6 IV + M6 V	5248	3.890	0.26	2.330	0.094	-0.194	6
190406	HR 7672	G1 V	5989 ⁸	4.350 ⁸	-0.07 ⁸	1.849	0.086	0.160	5
190603	HR 7678	B1.5 Iae	18800	2.410		1.495	0.090	†††	6
195593	HR 7847	F5 Iab	6600	1.950	0.12	2.169	0.093	0.648	6
195633		G0 V	5830	3.780	-1.12	1.842	0.085	0.376	5
197076	HR 7914	G5 V	5760	4.240	-0.08	1.944	0.086	0.113	5
198478	HR 7977	B3 Iae	16450 ⁹	2.100 ⁹	-0.23 ⁹	1.123	0.089	†††	6
199478	HR 8020	B8 Iae	11200	1.900		1.186	0.089	†††	6
199580		K0 III-IV	5039	3.500	-0.10	2.778	0.042	0.243	1,2(2),3,6
201626		G9p	4941 ⁷	2.000 ⁷	-1.50 ⁷	2.218	0.055	0.249	3
201891		F8 V-VI	5890	4.400	-1.23	1.658	0.085	0.228	5
203344	HR 8165	K0 III-IV	4669	2.600	-0.09	2.931	0.042	-0.049	1(2),2,3
205153		G0 IV	5910	3.700	-0.06	1.784	0.078	0.045	8
205650		F6 V	5810	4.450	-1.24	1.505	0.078	0.063	8
207260	HR 8334	A2 Ia	9450	2.090		1.582	0.090	0.440	6
208906		F8 V-VI	5930	4.280	-0.82	1.463	0.078	-0.052	8
210027	HR 8430	F5 V	6413	4.200	0.00 ¹	1.602	0.132	0.089	4
213470		A3 Ia	8700 ¹⁵	1.380		1.345	0.090	0.124	6
215648	HR 8665	F7 V	6010	3.830	-0.40	1.623	0.132	0.032	4

Table 1. Continued.

HD	Name	Type ^a	T_{eff} ^b	$\log g$ ^b	$[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ ^b	D_{4000}	ΔD_{4000} ^c	Res. ^d	Runs ^e
217476	HR 8752	G4 Ia	5100	1.500 ⁷	0.07 ¹	1.635	0.091	−0.949	6
219734	HR 8860	M2 III	3730	0.900	0.27 ¹⁴	3.990	0.104	−0.003	6
222368	HR 8969	F7 V	5959	3.940	−0.25 ⁶	1.644	0.133	−0.009	4
232078		K4-5 III-II	4000	0.400	−1.60	3.989	0.100	0.384	6,11(2)
232979	G1 172	K8 V	3769	4.700	−0.33 ¹⁴	2.959	0.091	0.146	5
	BD+ 17 4708	F8 VI	5900	3.930	−1.90	1.368	0.078	0.064	8
	BD+ 19 5116A	M4 V	3200	4.910		2.310	0.070	0.158	6(2)
	BD+ 19 5116B	M6 V	2950	5.060		2.238	0.098	0.438	6
	BD+ 43 0044B	M6 V	3107	5.080		2.013	0.101	−0.007	6
	BD+ 44 2051A	M2 V	3544	4.850		2.360	0.049	−0.232	6,8,11(2)
	BD+ 56 1458	K7 V	4070	4.700	−0.18 ¹³	3.098	0.063	0.089	6,8
	G1 699	M5 V	3153	5.000		1.992	0.066	−0.093	6(2)
	Luyton 789-6	M7e	2747	5.090		1.360	0.078	−0.182	8
	Ross 248	M6e	2799	5.120		1.832	0.146	0.228	6

^a Sources for spectral types are, in order of preference: W94, G93, the Bright Star Catalog (Hoffleit 1982) and the Simbad database. For the cluster stars (except for Coma and Hyades) we list positions in the HR diagram (*SGB*: subgiant branch; *GB*: giant branch; *HB*: horizontal branch, red clump stars; *AGB*: asymptotic giant branch).

^b Atmospheric parameters from the electronic table of W94, with the following additional sources and modifications: (1) Cayrel de Strobel et al. (1997). (2) Alonso et al. (1996). (3) Gratton et al. (1996). (4) Mean from sources 1, 2 and 3. (5) Mean from sources 1 and 2. (6) Mean from sources 1 and 3. (7) Thevenin (1998). (8) Marsakov and Shevelev (1995). (9) Gies and Lambert (1992). (10) Zakhohaj and Shaparenko (1996). (11) Dyck et al. (1996). (12) Computed from the indices Fe5270 and Fe5335 using the fitting functions of G93 (in terms of $(V - K)$). (13) Computed from the indices Fe5270 and Fe5335 using the fitting functions of W94 (in terms of T_{eff}). (14) Computed from the index Fe5270 using the fitting functions of W94 (in terms of T_{eff}). (15) From spectral type using table III of Johnson (1966). (16) Extrapolation in the relation T_{eff} versus spectral type of Ridgway et al (1980) —these temperatures differ from those given by W94—.

^c Total random errors in the $\lambda 4000$ Å break measurements.

^d Residuals from the derived fitting functions (observed − predicted). Stars not used to derive the empirical functions are marked with † (non cluster members, see G93), †† (lacking required atmospheric parameters) or ††† (emission-line supergiants).

^e Run number(s) in which the star was observed. Number of repeated observations within each run is giving in parenthesis.

sources of the stellar parameters and their associated errors are given in the original papers G93 and W94. In this work we assume that these errors are random and, thus, their effect in the fitting procedure is minimized through the use of a library containing a large number of stars. The other type of errors are those associated to D_{4000} measurements, which are the subject of this section. Undoubtly, an accurate knowledge of the errors is essential to guarantee the validity of the final product of this work, i.e., the fitting functions of the break.

Since, apart from the cluster members, most of the stars of the Lick/IDS library are bright, and considering the low signal-to-noise ratio required to measure the D_{4000} with acceptable accuracy, systematic errors are the main source of uncertainty.

5.1. Random errors

(i) *Photon statistics and read-out noise.* With the aim of tracing the propagation of photon statistics and read-out noise, we followed a parallel reduction of data and error frames. For a detailed description on the estimation of random errors in the measurement of line-strength indices we refer the interested reader to Cardiel et al. (1998). Starting with the analysis of the photon statistics and read-out noise, the reduction package REDUCE is able to generate error frames from the beginning of the reduction procedure, and properly propagates the errors throughout the reduction process. In this way, important reduction steps such as flatfielding, geometrical distortion corrections, wavelength calibration and sky subtraction, are taken into account. At the end of the reduction process, each data spectrum $S(\lambda_i)$ has its associated error spectrum $\sigma(\lambda_i)$, which can be employed to derive accu-

Table 2. Observational configurations.

Run	Dates	Telescope ^a	Spectrograph	Detector	Disp. ^b	$\Delta\lambda$ ^c	FWHM ^d
1	1–3 Nov 1991	WHT 4.2m	ISIS blue arm	EEV#6	2.70	3500–6850	16.0
2	8–10 Jun 1993	CAHA 3.5m	CTS	TEK#12	3.46	3750–7350	7.2
3	2–6 Jul 1994	INT 2.5m	IDS 235mm	TEK#3	3.30	3700–7100	5.2
4	9–10 Aug 1994	CAHA 3.5m	CTS	TEK#12	3.46	3700–7240	8.1
5	10–14 Sep 1994	INT 2.5m	IDS 235mm	TEK#3	3.30	3700–7100	5.8
6	13–19 Feb 1995	JKT 1.5m	RBS	TEK#4	0.91	3600–4530	2.8
7	15 Feb 1995	INT 2.5m	IDS 235mm	TEK#3	0.85	3634–4502	2.1
8	17–19 Dec 1995	WHT 4.2m	ISIS blue arm	TEK#1	2.90	3740–6700	12.3
9	15–16 Jan 1996	CAHA 3.5m	CTS	TEK#11	0.88	3654–4554	2.5
10	4 Aug 1996	WHT 4.2m	ISIS blue arm	TEK#2	1.53	3654–5219	4.9
11	28 Mar–1 Apr 1995	SPM 2.12m	B&Ch	TEK	4.00	3500–7592	8.3
12	3 Mar 1995	INT 2.5m	IDS 500mm	TEK#3	0.75	3670–4440	4.2
13	17–18 Nov 1996	CAHA 3.5m	CTS	SITE#6a	1.10	3569–5765	3.4

^a JKT, INT and WHT (La Palma, Spain), CAHA (Calar Alto, Spain), SPM (San Pedro Mártir, Mexico)

^b dispersion (Å/pixel)

^c wavelength range (Å)

^d measured spectral resolution (Å)

rate index errors. The errors in the break are computed by (Cardiel et al. 1998)

$$\Delta^2[D_{4000}]_{\text{photon}} = \frac{\mathcal{F}_r \sigma_{\mathcal{F}_b}^2 + \mathcal{F}_b \sigma_{\mathcal{F}_r}^2}{\mathcal{F}_b^4}, \quad (4)$$

with

$$\mathcal{F}_p \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N_p} [\lambda_i^2 S(\lambda_i)], \quad (5)$$

and

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{F}_p}^2 = \Theta^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N_p} [\lambda_i^4 \sigma^2(\lambda_i)], \quad (6)$$

where the subscripts b and r correspond, respectively, to the blue and red bandpasses of the break (p refers indistinctly to b or r), $S(\lambda_i)$ and $\sigma(\lambda_i)$ are the signal and the error in the pixel with central wavelength λ_i , Θ is the dispersion (in Å/pixel) assuming a linear wavelength scale, and N_p is the number of pixels covered by the p band (in general, fractions of pixels must be considered at the borders of the bandpasses). We have checked that the above analytical formulae exhibit an excellent agreement with numerical simulations. For the whole sample, the error of a typical observation introduced by these sources of noise is $\langle \Delta[D_{4000}]_{\text{photon}} \rangle = 0.038$.

(ii) *Flux calibration.* During each run we observed a number (typically around 5) of different spectrophotometric standard stars (from Massey et al. 1988 and Oke 1990). The break was measured using the average flux calibration curve, and we estimated the random error in flux calibration as the r.m.s. scatter among the different D_{4000} values

obtained with each standard. The typical error introduced by this uncertainty is $\langle \Delta[D_{4000}]_{\text{flux}} \rangle = 0.034$.

(iii) *Wavelength calibration and radial velocity correction.* These two reduction steps are potential sources of random errors in the wavelength scale of the reduced spectra. Radial velocities for field stars were obtained from the Hipparcos Input Catalogue (Turon et al. 1992), which in the worst cases are given with mean probable errors of $\sim 5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ($\sim 0.07 \text{ Å}$ at $\lambda 4000 \text{ Å}$). For the cluster stars, we used either published radial velocities for individual stars, if available, or averaged cluster radial velocities (Hesser et al. 1986: M3, M5, M10, M13, M71, M92, NGC6171; Friel 1989: NGC188; Friel & Janes 1993: M67, NGC7789; Turon et al. 1992: Coma, Hyades). Typical radial velocity errors for the cluster stars are $\lesssim 15 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ($\sim 0.2 \text{ Å}$ at $\lambda 4000 \text{ Å}$). To have an estimate of the random error introduced by the combined effect of wavelength calibration and radial velocity, we cross-correlated fully calibrated spectra corresponding to stars of similar spectral types. The resulting typical error is 20 km s^{-1} , being always below 75 km s^{-1} . This translates into a negligible error of $\langle \Delta[D_{4000}]_{\text{wavelength}} \rangle = 0.003$. However, it may be useful to estimate the importance of this effect when measuring the break in galaxies with large radial velocity uncertainties. As a reference, using the 18 spectra displayed in Fig. 3, a velocity shift of $\sim 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ translates into relative D_{4000} errors always below 1%. Furthermore, for K0 III stars we obtain $\Delta[D_{4000}]_{\text{wavelength}} \simeq 1.56 \times 10^{-4} \Delta v$, where Δv is the velocity error in km s^{-1} (this relation only holds for $\Delta v \leq 150 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; for Δv in the range from 150–1000 km s^{-1} the error increases slower, and remains below 0.1).

(iv) *Additional sources of random errors.* Expected random errors for each star can be computed by adding

quadratically the random errors derived from the three sources previously discussed, i.e.,

$$\Delta^2[D_{4000}]_{\text{random}} = \Delta^2[D_{4000}]_{\text{expected}} + \Delta^2[D_{4000}]_{\text{residual}}. \quad (8)$$

It is worth noting that this additional error was only needed for some runs. In the particular case of run 6, with a large number (54) of stars with multiple observations, the agreement between expected and measured error was perfect.

5.2. Systematic effects

The main sources of systematic effects in the measurement of spectral indices in stars are spectral resolution, sky subtraction and flux calibration.

(i) *Spectral resolution.* We have examined the effect of instrumental broadening in the break by convolving the 18 spectra of Fig. 3 with a broadening function of variable width. The result of this study indicates that, as expected, the break is quite insensitive to spectral resolution. As a reference, for a spectral resolution of 30Å (FWHM) the effect in the break is below 1%. Therefore, given the resolutions used in this work (last column in Table 2) no corrections are needed in any case.

(ii) *Sky subtraction.* Since the field giant and dwarf stars of the library are bright, the exposure times were short enough to neglect the effect of an anomalous subtraction of the sky level. However, most of the cluster stars are not bright, being necessary exposures times of up to 1800 seconds for the faintest objects. In addition, the observation of these stars, specially those in globular clusters, were performed with the unavoidable presence of several stars inside the spectrograph slit, which complicated the determination of the sky regions. In Cardiel et al. (1995) we already studied the systematic variations on the D_{4000} measured in the outer parts of a galaxy (where light levels are only a few per cent of the sky signal) due to the over- or under-estimation of the sky level. We refer the interested reader to that paper for details. Although there is not a simple recipe to detect this type of systematic effect, unexpectedly high D_{4000} values in faint cluster stars can arise from an anomalous sky subtraction.

(iii) *Flux calibration.* Due to the large number of runs needed to complete the whole library, important systematic errors can arise due to possible differences among the spectrophotometric system of each run. In order to guarantee that the whole dataset is in the same system, we compared the measurements of the stars in common among different runs. Since run 6 was the observing run with the largest number of stars (including numerous multiple observations) and with reliable random errors (see above), we selected it as our spectrophotometric reference system. Therefore, for each run we computed a mean offset with run 6, which was introduced when it was significantly different from 0 (using a t test). It is important to highlight that differences between a *true* spectrophotometric

$$\Delta^2[D_{4000}]_{\text{expected}} =$$

$$\Delta^2[D_{4000}]_{\text{photon}} + \Delta^2[D_{4000}]_{\text{flux}} + \Delta^2[D_{4000}]_{\text{wavelength}}. \quad (7)$$

However, additional (and unknown) sources of random error may still be present in the data. Following the method described in González (1993), we compared, within each run, the standard deviation of the D_{4000} measurements of stars with multiple observations with the expected error $\Delta[D_{4000}]_{\text{expected}}$. For those runs in which the standard deviation was significantly larger than the expected error (using the F -test of variances with a significance level $\alpha = 0.3$), a residual random error Δ_{residual} was derived and added to all the individual stellar random errors:

system and that adopted in this work may still be present. Therefore, we encourage the readers interested in the predictions of the present fitting functions, to include in their observations a number of template stars from the library to ensure a proper correction of the data.

5.3. Final errors

The comparison of measurements of the same stars in different runs also provides a powerful method to refine the random errors derived in Eq. 8. We followed an iterative method which consistently provided the relative offsets and a set of extra residual errors to account for the observed scatter among runs (see Cardiel 1999 for details).

As mentioned before, the data sample was enlarged by including 43 stellar spectra from the Pickles' (1998) library. The random errors in the D_{4000} indices measured in this subsample were estimated from the residual variance of a least-square fit to a straight line using all the stars (except supergiants) with $T_{\text{eff}} > 8400$ K (they follow a tight linear relation in the D_{4000} - θ plane). The typical error in Pickles' spectra was found to be 0.036.

6. D_{4000} measurements

Table 1 (columns 7 and 8) lists the final D_{4000} measurements and the associated random errors. Some sample spectra, exhibiting a diversity in spectral types, metallicities and gravities, are displayed in Fig. 3, whereas in Fig. 4 we show the break behaviour with effective temperature for the whole sample. It is clear from these plots that temperature is the main parameter governing D_{4000} . The effect of metallicity is clearly noticeable in panel 3(b) and by the position of globular cluster stars in Fig. 4. Also, some gravity dependences are also observed, especially between hot dwarf-giants and supergiants, and between cold dwarfs and giants.

The definition of the D_{4000} given in Eq. 1 resembles that of a color. However, the peculiar combination of ν and λ translates into the introduction of a wavelength weighting of the flux (Eq. 3). In order to facilitate the computation of the break, we have studied the effect of a redefinition of the index, namely

$$B_{4000} \equiv \frac{\int_{4050(1+z)}^{4250(1+z)} f_{\lambda} d\lambda}{\int_{3750(1+z)}^{3950(1+z)} f_{\lambda} d\lambda}. \quad (9)$$

Comparing this and the previous definition of D_{4000} (Eq. 3) for all the stars in run 6, we find that no single star deviates more than a 1% from the theoretical predicted ratio

$$\left. \frac{D_{4000}}{B_{4000}} \right|_{f_{\lambda}=\text{cte}} = 1.1619, \quad (10)$$

obtained for a constant f_{λ} . Therefore the above ratio can be safely used to convert between both break definitions.

7. The fitting functions

The main aim of this work is to derive empirical fitting functions for the D_{4000} in terms of the stellar atmospheric parameters: effective temperature, metallicity and surface gravity. After some experimentation, we decided to use $\theta \equiv 5040/T_{\text{eff}}$ as the temperature indicator, being $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ and $\log g$ the parameters for the metallicity and gravity. Following the previous works of G93 and W94, the fitting functions are expressed as polynomials in the atmospheric parameters, using two different functional forms:

$$D_{4000}(\theta, [\text{Fe}/\text{H}], \log g) = p(\theta, [\text{Fe}/\text{H}], \log g) \quad (11)$$

and

$$D_{4000}(\theta, [\text{Fe}/\text{H}], \log g) = \text{const.} + e^{p(\theta, [\text{Fe}/\text{H}], \log g)}, \quad (12)$$

where p is a polynomial with terms up to the third order, including all possible cross-terms among the parameters:

$$p(\theta, [\text{Fe}/\text{H}], \log g) = \sum_{k=0}^{19} c_k \theta^i [\text{Fe}/\text{H}]^j (\log g)^l, \quad (13)$$

with $0 \leq i + j + l \leq 3$.

The polynomial coefficients were determined from a least squares fit where all the stars were weighted according to the D_{4000} observational errors listed in Table 1. Note that this is an improvement over the procedure employed by G93 and W94 for the Lick indices.

Obviously, not all possible terms are necessary. The strategy followed to determine the final fitting function is the successive inclusion of terms, starting with the lower powers. At each step, the term which yielded a lower new residual variance was tested. The significance of this new term, as well as those of all the previously included coefficients, was computed using a t-test (i.e. from the error in the coefficient, we tested whether it was significantly different from zero). Note that this is equivalent to performing a F-test to check whether the unbiased residual variance is significantly reduced with the inclusion of the additional term. Following this procedure, and using typically a significant level of $\alpha = 0.10$, only statistically significant terms were retained. The problem is well constrained and, usually, after the inclusion of a few terms, a final residual variance is asymptotically reached and the higher order terms are not statistically significant. Throughout this fitting procedure we also kept an eye on the residuals to assure that no systematic behaviour for any group of stars (specially stars from any given cluster or metallicity range) was apparent.

After a set of trial fits, it was clear that temperature is the main parameter governing the break. Unfortunately, the behaviour of the D_{4000} could not be repro-




Fig. 3. Sample spectra of stars observed in run 6. Effective temperatures are given in parenthesis. Panel (a) is a sequence in spectral types for main sequence stars. Panel (b) shows stars with similar temperature but with a wide range in metallicity. Panel (c) displays a sequence in spectral types for giant stars, which can be compared with the lower part of the dwarf sequence in panel (a).




Fig. 4. D_{4000} as a function of $\theta \equiv 5040/T_{\text{eff}}$ for the whole sample. Stars are plotted using the same code as in G93 or W94

duced by a unique polynomial function in the whole temperature range spanned by the library, forcing us to divide the temperature interval into several regimes. The derived composite fitting function is shown in Fig. 5. In Table 3 we list the corresponding coefficients and errors, together with the typical error of the N stars used in each interval ($\sigma_{\text{typ}}^2 = N / \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^{-2}$), the unbiased residual variance around the fit (σ_{std}^2) and the determination coefficient (r^2).

In the high temperature regime ($\theta \leq 0.75$, $T_{\text{eff}} \geq 6700$ K) a dichotomic behaviour for dwarfs and giants on one side, and supergiants on the other, is clearly apparent. Therefore we derived different fitting functions for each gravity range. For the first group the amplitude of the break is quite constant and only the linear term in θ is statistically significant (note that we subdivide this range in two intervals to achieve a better fit). The independence on metallicity is naturally expected (see section 2) but note that an important fraction of the stars in this range either lack of a $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ estimation or are restricted to the solar value.

The behaviour of the cool stars ($0.75 \leq \theta \leq 1.3$, $3900\text{K} \leq T_{\text{eff}} \leq 6700$ K) is more complex and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$

terms are clearly needed. On the other hand, no gravity term is significant. However, whilst for the giant stars D_{4000} increases with θ all the way up to $\theta \approx 1.3$, for higher gravities it reaches a maximum at $\theta \approx 1.1$ and then levels off. Furthermore, separate fits for dwarfs and giants in this T_{eff} range (with a gravity cutoff around 3–3.5) yield residual variances that are significantly smaller than the variance from a single fit. Hence, we have derived different fitting functions for dwarfs and giants. This dichotomic behaviour of the break is not surprising since its strength is quite dependent on the depth of the CN bands (Fig. 1) which also shows a similar behaviour (G93) due to the onset of the dredge-up processes at the bottom of the giant branch. In Fig. 6 we show in detail the fitting functions derived for each gravity group in this temperature range.

Concerning the cool stars ($\theta \geq 1.3$, $T_{\text{eff}} \leq 3900$ K), the difference between giants and dwarfs is quite evident and two fitting functions have been derived (see also section 2). Again, the metallicity terms are not significant, although this may be, at least in part, due to the paucity of input metallicities in this range. It must be noted that the different fitting functions have been constructed with the constrain of allowing for a smooth transition in the




Fig. 5. D_{4000} as a function of $\theta \equiv 5040/T_{\text{eff}}$ for the sample, together with the derived fitting functions. Stars of different metallicities are shown with different symbol types, with sizes giving an indication of the surface gravity (in the sense that low-gravity stars, i.e. giants, are plotted with larger symbols). Concerning the fitting functions, in the low θ range, the solid line corresponds to dwarf and giant stars, whereas the dashed line is used for supergiants. For lower temperatures, thick and thin lines refer to giant and dwarf stars respectively. For each of these groups in the mid-temperature range, the different lines represent the metallicities $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = +0.5, 0, -0.5, -1, -1.5, -2$, from top to bottom.

predicted D_{4000} indices among the different T_{eff} and gravity ranges.

In Fig. 7 we plot the residuals from the fits as a function of effective temperature, metallicity and gravity. Note that no trends are apparent with any of these parameters. We have also checked for systematic residuals within any of the star clusters. Except for an unexplained negative offset for the Coma stars ($\Delta D_{4000} = 0.09$, not due to an error in the adopted metallicity), no systematic offsets have been found. For the 420 stars used in the fit, we derive an unbiased residual standard deviation $\sigma_{\text{std}} = 0.160$. This must be compared with the typical error in the D_{4000} , $\sigma_{\text{typ}} = 0.064$. Therefore, the residuals are, in the mean, a 2.5 factor larger than what should be expected solely from measurement errors. Since we are quite confident that this latter errors are realistic (see Section 5), and although some scatter may arise from the fact that the fitting functions are not able to reproduce completely the complex behaviour of the D_{4000} , most of the extra scatter

must arise from uncertainties in the input atmospheric parameters. For example, the residual D_{4000} scatter of 0.248 for the cool giants (at $\theta = 1.0$ and $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = 0.0$) can be fully explained by the combined effect of a 166 K uncertainty in T_{eff} and a 0.29 dex error in $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$, both consistent with the typical errors found by Soubiran, Katz & Cayrel (1998) when comparing atmospheric parameters from the literature. Another quantitative measurement of the quality of the present fitting functions is the determination coefficient for the whole sample $r^2 = 0.96$. This indicates that a 96% of the original variation of the break in the sample is explained by the derived fitting functions.

Since the goal of this work is to predict reliable D_{4000} indices for any given combination of input atmospheric parameters, we have investigated, using the covariance matrices of the fits, the random errors in such predictions. These errors are given in Table 4 for some representative sets of input parameters. Note that, as it should be expected, the uncertainties are smaller for near-solar metal-


Table 3. Parameters of the empirical fitting functions in each temperature and gravity range

Hot stars		$0.12 < \theta < 0.63$	$3 < \log g < 4.5$
polynomial fit			$N = 39$
c_0	:	0.6548 ± 0.0222	$\sigma_{\text{typ}} = 0.041$
θ	:	1.340 ± 0.049	$\sigma_{\text{std}} = 0.046$
			$r^2 = 0.96$
Warm stars		$0.63 < \theta < 0.75$	$3 < \log g < 4.5$
polynomial fit			$N = 23$
c_0	:	1.823 ± 0.140	$\sigma_{\text{typ}} = 0.051$
θ	:	-0.5068 ± 0.2030	$\sigma_{\text{std}} = 0.034$
			$r^2 = 0.35$
Hot supergiants		$0.19 < \theta < 0.69$	$0 < \log g < 3$
polynomial fit			$N = 18$
c_0	:	0.8613 ± 0.0328	$\sigma_{\text{typ}} = 0.041$
θ^3	:	1.849 ± 0.211	$\sigma_{\text{std}} = 0.079$
			$r^2 = 0.87$
Cool dwarfs		$0.75 < \theta < 1.08$	$3 < \log g < 5.1$
exponential fit (const. = 0.9)			$N = 161$
c_0	:	-8.154 ± 2.007	$\sigma_{\text{typ}} = 0.068$
θ	:	13.45 ± 4.28	$\sigma_{\text{std}} = 0.178$
[Fe/H]	:	-17.06 ± 7.28	$r^2 = 0.88$
θ [Fe/H]	:	38.12 ± 15.80	
θ^2	:	-4.769 ± 2.269	
θ^2 [Fe/H]	:	-20.69 ± 8.52	
Cool giants		$0.75 < \theta < 1.30$	$0 < \log g < 3.5$
exponential fit (const. = 0.9)			$N = 176$
c_0	:	-5.665 ± 1.563	$\sigma_{\text{typ}} = 0.081$
θ	:	9.279 ± 2.766	$\sigma_{\text{std}} = 0.261$
[Fe/H]	:	-3.273 ± 2.274	$r^2 = 0.85$
θ [Fe/H]	:	7.322 ± 4.266	
θ^2	:	-3.080 ± 1.218	
θ^2 [Fe/H]	:	-3.694 ± 1.986	
Cold dwarfs		$1.08 < \theta < 1.83$	$4.5 < \log g < 5.2$
exponential fit (const. = 1.15)			$N = 15$
c_0	:	-2.908 ± 2.949	$\sigma_{\text{typ}} = 0.078$
θ	:	6.535 ± 4.243	$\sigma_{\text{std}} = 0.194$
θ^2	:	-2.976 ± 1.506	$r^2 = 0.83$
Cold giants		$1.30 < \theta < 1.72$	$0 < \log g < 1.2$
polynomial fit			$N = 15$
c_0	:	9.525 ± 0.742	$\sigma_{\text{typ}} = 0.083$
θ	:	-4.094 ± 0.486	$\sigma_{\text{std}} = 0.211$
			$r^2 = 0.92$

licities. Interestingly, although the library does not include a high number of 0–B stars, the predicted indices at the hot end of the star sample are rather reliable.

8. Summary

We have derived a set of empirical fitting functions describing the behaviour of the break at $\lambda 4000$ Å in terms of the atmospheric stellar parameters: effective temperature, metallicity and surface gravity. This calibration can

**Fig. 6.** Details of the fitting functions in the mid-temperature range for a) giant ($\log g < 3.5$) and b) dwarf ($\log g > 3$) stars. See caption to Fig 5. Error bars for the D_{4000} measurements are also shown

be easily incorporated into stellar population models to provide accurate predictions of the D_{4000} for composite systems. In a forthcoming paper we will analyze the measurements of the break in old stellar populations at the light of the predictions of such models. Considering the volume covered by the employed stellar library (Lick/IDS + Pickles' hot subsample) in the stellar parameter space, the derived fitting functions suit the requirements to provide accurate D_{4000} predictions for populations with ages larger than about 0.1 Gyr, and $-1 \leq [\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \leq +0.5$ dex (see also W94). Note however that, since the break could be contaminated by nebular emission in galaxies with ongoing star formation, it is necessary to include this effect in the population modelling in order to predict reliable D_{4000} indices for such stellar populations. It should also be noted that the applicability of the derived fitting functions is safe as far as the abundance ratios of the library stars reflect those in the modeled stellar populations.

In order to facilitate the usage of the present D_{4000} fitting functions we have written a FORTRAN subroutine, available from:

<http://www.ucm.es/info/Astrof/D4000/D4000.html>.

This routine computes the value of the D_{4000} as a function of the input stellar parameters T_{eff} , $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ and $\log g$. The




Fig. 7. Residuals of the derived fitting functions (observed minus predicted) against the three input stellar parameters. Symbol types are the same as in Fig. 3. The length of the error bar is twice the unbiased residual standard deviation.

code performs smooth interpolations among temperature and gravity ranges, providing also an estimate of the error in the predicted index,

Acknowledgements. We thank Jesús Gallego (runs 3 and 5) and Jaime Zamorano (run 3) for carrying out the observations of some stars of the sample. We also thank Emilios Har-

Table 4. Uncertainties in the predicted D_{4000} values for some indicative sets of stellar parameters. For the cool stars ($T_{\text{eff}} \leq 6000\text{K}$) the term *giants* refers also to supergiant stars.

T_{eff}	[Fe/H]	dwarfs-giants	supergiants
30000		0.015	0.032
12000		0.008	0.023
7500		0.010	0.044
		dwarfs	giants
6000	0.5	0.045	0.156
6000	0.0	0.024	0.099
6000	-1.0	0.031	0.075
6000	-2.0	0.043	0.105
5000	0.5	0.103	0.079
5000	0.0	0.044	0.043
5000	-1.0	0.082	0.053
5000	-2.0	0.119	0.073
4000	0.5		0.167
4000	0.0	0.125	0.088
4000	-1.0		0.173
4000	-2.0		0.322
3200		0.094	0.082

laftis, Martín Guerrero and Reynier Peletier, the support astronomers who performed the service time observations of runs 7, 10 and 12, respectively. We are grateful to the anonymous referee for useful suggestions. The JKT, INT and WHT are operated on the island of La Palma by the Royal Greenwich Observatory at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias. The Calar Alto Observatory is operated jointly by the Max-Planck-Institute für Astronomie, Heidelberg, and the Spanish Comisión Nacional de Astronomía. This research has made use of the Simbad database (operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France), the NASA's Astrophysics Data System Article Service, and the Hipparcos Catalogue. This work was supported by the Spanish 'Programa Sectorial de Promoción del Conocimiento' under grant No. PB96-610.

References

- Abraham R.G., Smecker-Hane T.A., Hutchings J.B., Carlberg R.G., Yee H.K.C., Ellingson E., Morris S., Oke J.B., Rigler M., 1996, *ApJ*, 471, 694
- Alonso A., Arribas S., Martínez-Roger C., 1996, *A&AS*, 117, 227
- Barbaro G., Poggianti B.M., 1997, *A&A*, 324, 490
- Belloni P., Bruzual A.G., Thimm G.J., Roser H.-J., 1995, *A&A*, 297, 61
- Bressan A., Chiosi C., Tantaló R., 1996, *A&A*, 311, 425
- Bruzual A.G., 1983, *ApJ*, 273, 105
- Bruzual A.G., Charlot, S., 1996, in preparation
- Burstein D., Faber S.M., Gaskell C.M., Krumm N., 1984, *ApJ*, 287, 586

- Burstein D., Faber S.M., González J.J., 1986, *AJ*, 91, 1130
- Carbon D.F., Langer G.E., Butler D., Kraft R.P., Suntzeff N.B., Kemper E., Trefzger C.F., Romanishin W., 1982, *ApJS*, 49, 207
- Cardiel N., 1999, Ph.D. thesis, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain
- Cardiel N., Gorgas J., 1999, in preparation
- Cardiel N., Gorgas J., Aragón-Salamanca A., 1995, *MNRAS*, 277, 502
- Cardiel N., Gorgas J., Aragón-Salamanca A., 1998, *MNRAS*, 298, 977
- Cardiel N., Gorgas J., Cenarro J., González J. J., 1998, *A&ASS*, 127, 597
- Cayrel de Strobel G., Soubiran C., Friel E.D., Ralite N. François, 1997, *A&AS*, 124, 299
- Charlot S., Silk, J., 1994, *ApJ*, 432, 453
- Charlot S., Ferrari, F., Mathews G.J., Silk, J., 1993, *ApJ*, 419, L57
- Davidge T.J., Clark, C.C., 1994, *AJ*, 107, 946
- Davidge T.J., Grinder M., 1995, *AJ*, 109, 1433
- Dressler A., 1987, in *Nearly Normal Galaxies: From the Planck Time to the Present*, ed. S.M. Faber (New York: Springer-Verlag), 276
- Dressler A., Gunn J.E., 1990, in *Evolution of the Universe of Galaxies*, ed. R.G. Kron, *ASP Conf. Ser.*, 10, 200
- Dressler A., Shectman S.A., 1987, *AJ*, 94, 899
- Dyck H.M., Benson J., Van Belle G.T., Ridgway S.T., 1996, *AJ*, 111, 1705
- Faber S.M., 1973, *ApJ*, 179, 731
- Faber S.M., Friel E.D., Burstein D., Gaskell C.M., 1985, *ApJS*, 57, 711
- Friel E.D., 1989, *PASP*, 101, 244
- Friel E.D., Janes K.A., 1993, *A&A*, 267, 75
- Gies D.R., Lambert D.L., 1992, *ApJ*, 387, 673
- González J.J., 1993, Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Santa Cruz
- Gorgas J., Faber S.M., Burstein D., González J.J., Courteau S., Prosser C., 1993, *ApJS*, 86, 153 (G93)
- Gratton R.G., Carretta E., Castelli F., 1996, *A&A*, 314, 191
- Hamilton D., 1985, *ApJ*, 297, 371
- Hammer F., Flores H., Lilly S.J., Crampton D., Le Fevre O., Rola C., Mallen-Ornelas G., Schade D., Tresse L., 1997, *ApJ*, 481, 49
- Hesser J.E., 1986, *PASP*, 98, 403
- Hoffleit D., 1982, *The Bright Star Catalog*, Yale University Observatory, New Haven, CT
- Johnson H.L., 1966, *ARA&A*, 4, 193
- Johnstone R.M., Fabian A.C., Nulsen P.E.J., 1987, *MNRAS*, 224, 75
- Jones L.A., Worthey G., 1995, *ApJ*, 446, L31
- Kimble R.A., Davidsen A.F., Sandage A.R., 1989, *Ap&SS*, 157, 237
- Lang K.R., 1974, *Astrophysical Formulae*, Springer-Verlag, New York, p. 175
- Laurikainen E., Jaakkola T., 1985, *Ap&SS*, 109, 111
- Longhetti M., Rampazzo R., Bressan A., Chiosi C., 1998, *A&AS*, 130, 251
- Marsakov V.A., Shevelev Yu.G., 1995, *Bull. Inf. CDS* 47, 13
- Massey P., Strobel K., Barnes J.V., Anderson E., 1988, *ApJ*, 328, 315
- McClure R.D., van den Bergh S., 1968, *AJ*, 73, 313
- Moore C.E., Minnaert M.G., Houtgast J., 1966, *The solar spectrum 2935 Å to 8770 Å*, National Bureau of Standards Monograph, Washington
- Morgan W.W., 1959, *AJ*, 64, 432
- Munn J.A., 1992, *ApJ*, 399, 444
- Oke J.B., 1990, *AJ*, 99, 1621
- Pickles A.J., 1985, *ApJS*, 59, 33
- Pickles A.J., 1998, *PASP*, 110, 863
- Poggianti B.M., Barbaro G., 1997, *A&A*, 325, 1025
- Ponder J.M., Burstein D., O'Connell R.W., Rose J.A., Frogel J.A., Wu C.-C., Crenshaw D.M., Rieke M.J., Tripicco M., *AJ*, 116, 2297
- Rakos K.D., Schombert J.M., Kreidl T.J., 1991, *ApJ*, 377, 382
- Ridgway S.T., Joyce R.R., White N.M., Wing R.F., 1980, *ApJ*, 235, 126
- Rose J.A., 1984, *AJ*, 89, 1238
- Rose J.A., 1994, *AJ*, 107, 206
- Savage B.D., Mathis J.S., 1979, *ARA&A*, 17, 73
- Smith G.H., Shetrone D., Briley M.M., Churchill C.W., Bell R.A., 1997, *PASP*, 109, 236
- Songaila A., Cowie L.L., Hu E.M., Gardner J.P., 1994, *ApJS*, 94, 461
- Soubiran C., Katz D., Cayrel R., 1998, *A&AS*, 133, 221
- Spinrad H., 1980, in *IAU Symp. 92, Objects at High Redshift*, ed. G.O. Abell & P.J.E. Peebles (Dordrecht: Reidel), 39
- Spinrad H., 1986, *PASP*, 98, 269
- Straizys V., Sviderskiene Z., 1972, *Bull. Vilnius An. Obs.*, 35, 1
- Thevenin F., 1998, *Bull. Inf. CDS* 49 (in press)
- Trager S.C., Worthey G., Faber S.M., Burstein D., González J.J., 1998, *ApJS*, 116, 1
- Tripicco M.J., 1989, *AJ*, 97, 735
- Turon C., et al., 1992, *The Hipparcos Input Catalogue*, ESA SP-1136
- van den Bergh S., 1963, *AJ*, 68, 413
- van den Bergh S., Sackmann I.J., 1965, *AJ*, 70, 353
- Vazdekis A., Arimoto N., 1999, *ApJ*, submitted
- Vazdekis A., Casuso E., Peletier R.F., Beckman J.E., 1996, *ApJS*, 106, 307
- Wildey R.L., Burbidge E.M., Sandage A.R., Burbidge G.R., 1962, *ApJ*, 135, 94
- Worthey G., 1994, *ApJS*, 95, 107
- Worthey G., Ottaviani D.L., 1997, *ApJS*, 111, 377
- Worthey G., Faber S.M., González J.J., Burstein D., 1994, *ApJS*, 94, 687 (W94)
- Zakhzhaj V.A., Shaparenko E.F., 1996, *Kinematika Fiz. Nebesn. Tel.*, 12, part 2, 20