# Discovery of a non-blazar gamma-ray transient near the Galactic plane: GRO J1838–04

M. Tavani<sup>1</sup>, R. Mukherjee<sup>2,3</sup>, J.R. Mattox<sup>4</sup>, J. Halpern<sup>1</sup>

D.J. Thompson<sup>5</sup>, G. Kanbach<sup>6</sup>, W. Hermsen<sup>7</sup>, S.N. Zhang<sup>8</sup>, R. S. Foster<sup>9</sup>

- 1. Columbia Astrophysics Laboratory, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027.
- 2. USRA, NASA/GSFC, Code 610.3, Greenbelt, MD 20771.
- 3. Physics Dept., McGill University, 3600 University St., Montreal, Que, H3A 2T8, Canada.
- 4. Astronomy Dept., Boston University, 725 Commonwealth Avenue, Boston, MA 02215.
- 5. Code 661, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771.
- 6. MPE, Giessenbachstrasse, Garching bei Munchen, D-85748, Germany.
- 7. SRON-Utrecht, Sorbonnelaan 2, 3584 CA Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- 8. Universities Space Research Association, NASA/MSFC, Huntsville, AL, 35812.
- 9. Code 7210, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC 20375.

Received \_\_\_\_\_; accepted \_\_\_\_\_

## ABSTRACT

We report the discovery of a remarkable gamma-ray transient source near the Galactic plane, GRO J1838–04. This source was serendipitously discovered by EGRET in June 1995 with a peak intensity of ~  $(4 \pm 1) \times 10^{-6}$  ph cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (for photon energies larger than 100 MeV) and a  $5.9\sigma$  significance. At that time, GRO J1838–04 was the second brightest  $\gamma$ -ray source in the sky. A subsequent EGRET pointing in late September 1995 detected the source at a flux smaller than its peak value by a factor of ~ 7. We determine that no radio-loud spectrally-flat blazar is within the error box of GRO J1838–04. We discuss the origin of the  $\gamma$ -ray transient source and show that interpretations in terms of AGNs or isolated pulsars are highly problematic. GRO J1838–04 provides strong evidence for the existence of a new class of variable gamma-ray sources.

Subject headings: gamma-rays: observations; galaxies: active; stars: neutron

Submitted to the Astrophysical Journal Letters: December 16, 1996 Accepted: February 3, 1997

#### 1. Introduction

EGRET has discovered ~40 unidentified gamma-ray sources concentrated near the Galactic plane (Thompson *et al.* 1995, 1996). The nature of these unidentified  $\gamma$ -ray sources is currently unknown. Of particular interest are time-variable EGRET sources with no obvious radio-loud blazar within their error boxes. Isolated pulsars similar to the Crab, Vela, and Geminga pulsars have an approximately constant  $\gamma$ -ray flux (e.g., Ramanamurthy *et al.* 1995) and cannot account for the existence of variable sources.

We report here the discovery of a strongly variable  $\gamma$ -ray source, GRO J1838–04. This source was detected during an observation of a field which included the Galactic plane (Galactic longitude between  $l = 17^{\circ}$  and  $l = 32^{\circ}$ ). This field contains other EGRET sources studied by our group, 2EG J1813–12 and 2EG J1828+01 (Tavani *et al.* 1997). Our search for time variable  $\gamma$ -ray sources near the Galactic plane is motivated by models of high-energy emission from Galactic sources (Tavani 1995). However, the possible detection by EGRET of extragalactic objects near the Galactic plane cannot *a priori* be excluded. We consider here blazars as plausible candidates of  $\gamma$ -ray emission (e.g., Montigny *et al.* 1995, Hartman *et al.* 1996, Mattox *et al.* 1996, hereafter M96).

## 2. Compton GRO observations of GRO J1838–04

The source GRO J1838–04 was identified processing EGRET data of the CGRO viewing period (VP) 423 (June 20-30, 1995). The source is located near the Galactic plane in a field centered at Galactic coordinates  $l = 27.31^{\circ}$  and  $b = +1.04^{\circ}$  with an elongated error box (99% confidence) of major axis ~ 1.4° and minor axis ~ 0.8°. The average  $\gamma$ -ray flux above 100 MeV for the whole VP 423 is  $\Phi = (3.3 \pm 0.7) \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ ph cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . The strongly time variable nature of the  $\gamma$ -ray emission from GRO J1838–04 is evident from the EGRET light curve shown in Fig. 1. As can be seen in Fig. 1, EGRET pointed in the direction of GRO J1838–04 about 16 times during a period of 4 years. Each exposure was typically 1-2 week long. The source was not detected by EGRET during all of the previous observations except during VP 334.0 and the combined VPs 421 and 422 with

detections above the  $3\sigma$  level. A  $\chi^2$  test for variability yields a probability of 0.0011 that all the EGRET observations of GRO J1838–04 are consistent with a constant flux. What makes GRO J1838–04 remarkable is the intensity level reached during the  $\gamma$ -ray flare, with a peak flux above 100 MeV of  $(4.0 \pm 1.1) \cdot 10^{-6}$  ph cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> reached during the last 3.5-day interval of VP 423. The  $\gamma$ -ray luminosity for isotropic emission corresponding to the peak flux is  $L_{\gamma} \simeq 7.2 \times 10^{34} d_{kpc}^2 \,\mathrm{erg \, s^{-1}}$ , where  $d_{kpc}$  is the source distance in kpc. Fig 1(b) shows the details of the VP 423 EGRET observations for three 3.5-day intervals. This is among the most intense  $\gamma$ -ray transients ever detected, with a  $\gamma$ -ray flux comparable with that of the Geminga pulsar and of AGN flare peak intensities as for 3C 279 (z = 0.538) (Kniffen et al. 1993) and 0528+134 (z = 2.07) (Mukherjee et al. 1996). The  $\gamma$ -ray flare in June 1995 is evident in Fig. 1(a), and the  $\gamma$ -ray flux substantially decreased before the next EGRET pointing at the source in late September 1995. We notice that prior to June 1995 the source was detected only once with marginal significance (~  $3.2\sigma$ ) during the period July 18-25 1994 (VP 334). Fig. 1(b) clearly shows the rapid time variability within a 3.5-day timescale interval. EGRET detected during VP 423 the rising part of a flare that presumably reached a  $\gamma$ -ray flux level even higher than the peak flux of  $\sim 4 \cdot 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{ph}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ . The EGRET spectrum above 30 MeV during the peak emission is consistent with a power-law photon spectrum of the form  $F(E) = k(E/E_o)^{-\alpha}$  with  $k = (3.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-9} \,\mathrm{ph} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1} \,\mathrm{MeV}^{-1}$  $\alpha = 2.09 \pm 0.18$  and  $E_o = 288$  MeV.

Analysis of COMPTEL data for VP 423 in the standard energy intervals 0.75-1 MeV, 1-3 MeV, 3-10 MeV and 10-30 MeV did not show an excess in the maximum likelihood skymaps at the position of GRO J1838–04. The  $2\sigma$  upper limit for the energy interval 10-30 MeV is  $3.5 \times 10^{-5}$  ph cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, and for the most sensitive interval of 1-3 MeV,  $3.2 \times 10^{-4}$  ph cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. These upper limits are roughly consistent with the extrapolation of the EGRET spectral fit for energies above 100 MeV, as shown in Fig. 2. We also searched the COMPTEL maximum likelihood maps of CGRO phase 1-4 periods in the four standard energy intervals for excess emission at the position of GRO J1838–04. It is interesting to note that single source-like features appear during VP 334.0 (1-3 MeV, 3-10 MeV) and during VP 302.3 (3-10 MeV) with a significance between 3.0 and 3.7  $\sigma$ . However, detections cannot be claimed, since in the standard COMPTEL maximum likelihood maps the underlying Galactic diffuse emission has not yet been included as a background component. A sufficiently accurate model of the diffuse emission in the COMPTEL energy range is not yet available. A proper modelling of the background component most likely will reduce the detection significance for VP 334.0 and VP 302.3.

BATSE can detect hard X-ray emission from transient sources with a daily sensitivity of ~ 75 mCrab (20-100 keV) (Harmon *et al.* 1993). We determined that no hard X-ray emission from the direction of GRO J1838–04 was detected during the VP 423 interval. For the whole duration of VP 423, the  $3\sigma$  upper limit in the 20-100 keV energy band is ~ 24 mCrab. Fig. 2 shows the multi-instrument CGRO spectrum of GRO J1838–04 during VP 423.

# 3. Search for counterparts

Fig. 3 shows the radio sources in the field of GRO J1838–04 as determined by the Galactic plane survey at 20 cm (Helfand *et al.* 1992). The EGRET error box of GRO J1838–04 is marked in Fig. 3 by the solid elliptical curve. We note the presence of several double-lobe sources (presumably extragalactic jet sources with jet axis pointing away from the line of sight), the radio pulsars PSR B1831–04 and PSR B1834–04 (Taylor, Manchester & Lyne 1993), and the center-filled supernova remnant SNR27.8+0.6 (Reich et al. 1984). No spectrally-flat bright radio source with blazar characteristics is within the 99% confidence error box of GRO J1838–04. We observed the brightest radio source in the error box (27.920+0.977, source 'A' of Fig. 3) at the Green Bank radio interferometer at 2.25 and 8.3 GHz during the period December 14 1995 - January 13 1996. We determined that this source has a constant flux ( $\sim 500$  mJy at 2.2 GHz) and a steep radio spectral index  $\alpha_r \simeq -1$ . The inferred radio flux at 5 GHz is  $S_5 \simeq 0.25$  Jy. Other radio sources within the error box are even fainter than 27.920+0.977. These radio properties are quite different from those of blazars associated with high confidence with EGRET sources (Montigny et al. 1995, Thompson et al. 1995, M96). Typically bright  $\gamma$ -ray blazars are strong ( $S_5 \gtrsim 1$  Jy) and spectrally flat ( $\alpha_r \gtrsim -0.5$ ) radio sources.

PSR B1831–04 and PSR B1834–04 appear to be radio pulsars with no anomalous emission. Their estimated distances are 2.3 and 4.6 kpc, respectively (Taylor *et al.* 1993). Their relatively small spindown luminosities  $(10^{32.5} \text{ and } 10^{33.1} \text{ erg s}^{-1})$  are well below the  $\gamma$ -ray luminosity of GRO J1838–04 put at their distances (even for 100% efficiency of conversion of spindown power into gamma-ray emission and a 10%  $\gamma$ -ray beaming factor).

SNR27.8+0.6 is estimated to be at a  $\sim 2$  kpc distance and of age 35,000-55,000 years (Reich *et al.* 1984). No pulsar is known to be associated with the remnant which appears to be of a center-filled plerionic type from its radio extended and core emission.

We determined that three X-ray sources in the ROSAT all-sky survey (RASS) database are within the 99% confidence error box of GRO J1838–04. We list here the names specifying their coordinates (equinox J2000): RXSJ183745.2-044829, RXSJ183824.1-045034, RXSJ183747.4-035951. None of these is associated with the radio sources of Fig. 3. For a typical countrate of  $0.025 \text{ cts s}^{-1}$  and column density  $N_H = 1.23 \cdot 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , the X-ray energy flux is estimated to be  $5.3 \cdot 10^{-12} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (0.1-2.4 keV band) for an assumed photon index of -2 (Voges 1996). The source RXSJ183745.2–044829 is coincident (5 arcsecs) with the bright F2 star ( $m \simeq 7$ ) HD 171954. The other two RASS sources have no known counterparts. We also checked that no IRAS sources are within the 99% confidence error box of GRO J1838–04.

#### 4. Discussion

We consider first the possibility that GRO J1838–04 is an extragalactic source of the type detected by EGRET at high Galactic latitudes. Our motivation is that the  $\gamma$ -ray time variability and flux levels of GRO J1838–04 are similar to those occasionally detected from AGNs. There are four blazars which EGRET has observed with  $\gamma$ -ray fluxes as large as GRO J1838–04 (3C 279, PKS 1622-297, CTA 26, & PKS 0528+134). The solid angle subtended by the Galactic plane sky area within  $\pm 2^{\circ}$  of Galactic latitude is ~ 0.4 steradians. The probability of finding a *bright*  $\gamma$ -ray blazar is in this case ~  $4 \times 0.4/4\pi \simeq 0.1$ . However, we note that all bright blazars with a peak  $\gamma$ -ray flux larger than  $10^{-6}$  ph cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (E>100)

MeV) have an average 5 GHz radio flux in excess of 1 Jy (M96). From the lack of such a counterpart for GRO J1838–04, the probability that this source is a blazar is substantially smaller than this estimate.

Indeed, if the same fraction  $f_q$  of spectrally-steep AGNs with  $S_5 \gtrsim 0.25$  Jy were producing  $\gamma$ -ray flares as for radio-loud and spectrally-flat AGNs with  $S_5 \gtrsim 1$  Jy ( $f_l \sim 0.1$ ), EGRET would have revealed a  $\gamma$ -ray sky substantially different from the observed one. This can be quantified because of very strong evidence for a correlation between the peak  $\gamma$ -ray flux of blazars and the average 5 GHz radio flux (M96). EGRET has detected ten blazars with a peak  $\gamma$ -ray flux above  $10^{-6}$  ph cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at E>100 MeV, and all of these sources have an average  $S_5 > 1$  Jy. We deduce that  $\gamma$ -ray blazars with  $S_5 \sim 0.25$  Jy (as source 'A') are at least a factor of 4 (at 90% confidence) less common than EGRET blazars with  $S_5 \sim 1$  Jy. Also, the great majority of blazars detected by EGRET and simultaneously monitored in the radio are spectrally-flat. Only two blazars out of a total of 41 sources have indices  $\alpha_r \sim -0.7$  from non-simultaneous radio measurements (M96). Therefore,  $\gamma$ -ray blazars with steep indices (as source 'A') are at least a factor of 8 (at 90% confidence) less common than EGRET blazars with flat indices. The probability that GRO J1838–04 can be identified with source 'A' as a blazar is less than 0.003. Identification of a blazar with the weaker radio sources of Fig. 3 is even less likely. EGRET observations require  $f_q \lesssim 0.03 f_l$ .

We note that at the  $\gamma$ -ray flux level near  $10^{-6}$  ph cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, there is no lack of sensitivity for EGRET observations near the Galactic plane. Thus, we conclude (99.7% confidence) that GRO J1838–04 is not a bright  $\gamma$ -ray blazar of the kind usually detected by EGRET. We cannot exclude the possibility that a new type of  $\gamma$ -ray AGN of unusual properties is the counterpart of GRO J1838–04. However, based on five and one half years of EGRET observations of AGNs, it is highly improbable that a first detection of such a new  $\gamma$ -ray AGN would occur near the Galactic center. Most likely, we are dealing with a Galactic phenomenon.

In principle, both binary and isolated compact objects in the Galaxy might produce variable  $\gamma$ -ray emission. Mechanisms for binary systems include: (1) special accretion and radiation processes onto the surface of a neutron star or black hole, (2) variable

shock-powered synchrotron emission from a relativistic pulsar wind termination shock in a binary system or supernova remnant (e.g., Tavani & Arons, 1997); (3) inverse Compton 'glowing' of relativistic particle winds (e.g., a pulsar wind) subject to cooling in time variable photon background baths (as close to periastron of a massive binary) (Tavani 1995); (4) particle acceleration and radiation in non-relativistic colliding winds of a massive binary. Isolated compact objects might produce gamma-rays because of: (5) (6) internal or quake-driven outburst activity from a neutron star. Model (1) can be relevant for a transient X-ray source showing sporadic accretion episodes. Among the brightest X-ray transients detected by BATSE above 100 mCrab, two sources show relativistic jet radio emission, GRS 1915+105 (Mirabel & Rodriguez 1994), and GRO J1655-40 (Hjellming & Rupen 1995; see also Harmon et al. 1995, Foster et al. 1996). A gamma-ray flare can be produced by transient acceleration processes along the jet in a hypothetical source similar to GRS 1915+105 and GRO J1655-40. However, the lack of significant hard X-ray emission from the direction of GRO J1838–04 as determined by BATSE argues against the existence of an object similar to known X-ray transients with jet emission. Both GRS 1915+105 and GRO J1655–40 show hard X-ray outbursts of intensity one-two orders of magnitude larger than the BATSE upper limit for GRO J1838–04. Models (2-4) based on optically thin emission are in principle plausible, since they refer to a population of binaries which might exist in the Galactic plane and to physical processes known to occur in pulsar binaries (Tavani 1995). We note that changes in the mass outflow or orbital parameters influencing the high-energy emission of Galactic binaries can take place within a few weeks/months. However, in the absence of a binary counterpart of GRO J1838–04, this interpretation is at the moment hypothetical. Model (5) requires the existence of a  $\gamma$ -ray pulsar with extreme time-variable magnetospheric emission. The low-power radiopulsars known in the GRO J1838–04 field, PSR B1831–04 and PSR B1834–04 are not known for any anomalous emission or glitching activity. Their spindown luminosities are smaller by one-two orders of magnitude than the required  $\gamma$ -ray luminosity. Any new transient  $\gamma$ -ray pulsar at the distance  $d_{kpc}$  is required from our observations to have a spindown luminosity larger than  $\sim 7 \cdot 10^{35} d_{kpc}^2 b (\varepsilon/0.1)^{-1} \,\mathrm{erg \, s^{-1}}$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is the efficiency of spindown conversion into  $\gamma$ -rays and b the  $\gamma$ -ray beaming factor. Model (6) requires outburst conditions and durations never observed before in compact stars. We notice that at the distance of 2 kpc, a compact object originating from SNR27.8+0.6 and moving with a velocity of ~  $330 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  for 50,000 years would reach an angular distance of ~  $0.5^{\circ}$  from the remnant's center corresponding to the centroid of the  $\gamma$ -ray error box of GRO J1838–04.

## 5. Conclusions

We determined that GRO J1838–04 is a strongly variable  $\gamma$ -ray source and that no blazar counterpart exists within its 99% confidence error box. If GRO J1838–04 is of extragalactic origin, then it shows a new manifestation of active galaxies. Any counterpart is shown here to have radio properties substantially different from those of blazars detected by EGRET. The lack of EGRET detections of low radio luminosity (and non-spectrally-flat) AGNs strongly constrains any extragalactic origin of GRO J1838–04. A Galactic origin of GRO J1838–04 is not as yet supported by plausible counterparts. Additional radio, X-ray and optical observations of the GRO J1838–04 error box are required to gain information on its counterpart. A search for binary systems and energetic pulsars in the GRO J1838–04 error box will be valuable in constraining the nature of the source.

Our results suggest the existence of a new class of  $\gamma$ -ray sources in addition to isolated pulsars and radio-loud blazars. A search for transient  $\gamma$ -ray sources near the Galactic plane by current and future high-energy missions is strongly encouraged.

We thank D. Helfand for exchange of information on unpublished data of Galactic plane radio surveys and M. Ruderman for discussions. We are grateful to W. Voges for his analysis of RASS data. Research partially supported by the NASA CGRO Guest Investigator Program (grant NAG 5-2729). Radio astronomy at the Naval Research Laboratory is supported by the Office of Naval Research.

#### 6. References

- Foster, R.S., Waltman, E.B., Tavani, M., Harmon, B.A. & Zhang, S.N., 1996, ApJ, 467, L81.
- Harmon, B.A., et al., 1993 in 2nd Compton Observatory Symposium, AIP Conf. no. 304, p. 210.
- Harmon, B.A., et al., 1995, Nature, 374, 703.
- Hartman, R.C., et al., 1996, ApJ, 461, 698.
- Helfand, D.J., et al., 1992, ApJS, 80, 211.
- Hjellming, R.M. & Rupen, M.P., 1995, Nature, 375, 464.
- Hunter, S., et al., 1996, submitted to ApJ.
- Kniffen, D., et al., 1993, ApJ, 411, 133.
- Mattox, J.R., Schachter, J., Molnar, L., Hartman, R.C., Patnaik, A.R., 1996, submitted to ApJ (M96).
- Mirabel, I.F., & Rodriguez, L.F., 1994, Nature 371, 46.
- Montigny, C.V., et al., 1995, ApJ, 440, 525.
- Mukherjee, R. et al., 1996, ApJ, 470, 831.
- Ramanamurthy, P.V., et al., 1995, ApJ, 450, 791.
- Reich, W., Furst, E. & Sofue, Y., 1984, A&A, 133, L4.
- Ruderman, M., 1996, private communication.
- Tavani, M., 1995, in *The Gamma-Ray Sky of SIGMA and GRO*, eds. M. Signore, P. Salati, G. Vedrenne (Dordrecht: Kluwer), p. 181.
- Tavani, M. & Arons, J., 1997, ApJ, in press.
- Tavani, M., et al., 1997, to be submitted to ApJ.

- Taylor, J.H., Manchester, R.N. & Lyne, A., 1993, ApJS, 88, 529.
- Thompson, D.J., et al., 1995, ApJS, 101, 259.
- Thompson, D.J., et al., 1996, ApJS, 107 (Nov. 1996).
- Voges, W., 1996, private communication.

# Figure Captions

Figure 1 (a) – Time history of  $\gamma$ -ray flux detected by EGRET from the field containing GRO J1838–04. TJD is the truncated Julian date (JD), TJD=JD-2,400,000.0. 1 $\sigma$  flux errors and  $2\sigma$  upper limits are reported, the upper limits being shown as downward arrows. The time interval is from April 1991 through the end of September 1995. EGRET data for VPs 421 and 422 have been combined. (b) Time history for the VPs 421, 422, 423 and 429, spanning a time interval from June 6 until September 27, 1995. EGRET data for VP 423 have been divided into three 3.5-day intervals (1 $\sigma$  flux error bars are shown).

**Figure 2** – Multi-instrument CGRO spectrum of GRO J1838–04 during the period of maximum  $\gamma$ -ray emission (VP 423, June 20-30, 1995);  $2\sigma$  upper limits are marked as downward arrows.

**Figure 3** – Radio sources of the Galactic plane survey at 20 cm (Helfand *et al.* 1992) with the 99% confidence EGRET error box of GRO J1838–04 marked by the solid ellipse. The diamond's size is proportional to the radio flux at 20 cm: source A, 738 mJ; source B, 264+99 mJ; source C, 23+27 mJy; source D, 83+377 mJy; source E, 69 mJy; source F, 68+41 mJy. Crosses indicate the positions of the radiopulsars PSR B1831-04 and PSR B1834-04. The dashed line circle approximates the extension of the supernova remnant G27.8+0.6 at 2.6 GHz (Reich *et al.* 1984). Filled circles give the positions of the RASS sources. No spectrally-flat radio-loud AGN is detected within the error box of GRO J1838–04.



Fig. 1 (b)



CGRO combined spectrum of GRO J1838-04 (VP 423)

– 15 –



Galactic longitude

Fig. 3