NUCLEOSYNTHESIS IN THE PRESENCE OF PRIMORDIAL ISOCURVATURE BARYON FLUCTUATIONS

Karsten Jedamzik¹ and George M. Fuller²

¹Physics Research Program
Institute for Geophysics and Planetary Physics
University of California
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
Livermore, CA 94550

²Department of Physics University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093-0319

Abstract

We study big bang nucleosynthesis in the presence of large mass-scale, non-linear entropy fluctuations. Overdense regions, with masses above the local baryon-Jeans mass, are expected to collapse and form condensed objects. Surviving nucleosynthesis products therefore tend to originate from underdense regions. We compute expected surviving light element (²H, ³He, ⁴He, ⁷Li) abundance yields for a variety of stochastic fluctuation spectra. In general, we find that spectra with significant power in fluctuations on length scales below that of the local baryon Jeans mass produce nucleosynthesis yields which are in conflict with observationally inferred primordial abundances. However, when this small scale structure is absent or suppressed, and the collapse efficiency of overdense regions is high, there exists a range of fluctuation spectral characteristics which meet all primordial abundance constraints. In such models abundance constraints can be met even when the pre-collapse baryonic fraction of the closure density is $\Omega_b \approx 0.2 h^{-2}$ (h is the Hubble parameter in units of 100 km s⁻¹Mpc⁻¹). Nucleosynthesis in these models is characterized by high ²H/H and low ⁴He mass fraction relative to a homogeneous big bang at a given value of $\Omega_b h^2$. A potentially observable signature of these models is the production of intrinsic primordial abundance variations on baryon mass-scales up to $10^{10} M_{\odot} - 10^{12} M_{\odot}$.

Subject headings: cosmology: theory - early universe - theory - nucleosynthesis, abundances - theory - large scale structure: general

1. Introduction

In this paper we calculate the nucleosynthesis to be expected in the presence of primordial isocurvature baryon number (hereafter, PIB) fluctuations. Such fluctuations recently have been proposed as possible seeds for large scale structure formation (Peebles 1987ab; Suginohara & Suto 1992; Cen, Ostriker, & Peebles 1993). Density fluctuations in PIB models are essentially entropy fluctuations. In an earlier series of papers (Jedamzik & Fuller 1994; Jedamzik, Fuller, & Mathews 1994) we have examined the evolution and nucleosynthesis effects of small mass-scale nonlinear entropy fluctuations. In the present paper we extend our nucleosynthesis study to larger mass-scale fluctuations, including those relevant for PIB models.

Proposed PIB models invoke a spectrum of entropy fluctuations characterized by increasing amplitude with decreasing fluctuation mass scale. In these models the relationship between the fluctuation amplitude, $\delta\rho/\rho$, and the mass contained inside the region of the fluctuation, M, can be written as

$$\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho} \sim M^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{6}} \tag{1}$$

where n is a spectral index. Spectra of this form are fully specified by giving the index, n, and the mass scale, M_{unity} , on which $\delta \rho/\rho = 1$. Typical spectral indices employed in PIB models include n = 0 or n = -1, where accompanying values of M_{unity} are

$$10^{11} \stackrel{>}{\sim} \frac{M_{unity}}{M_{\odot}} \stackrel{>}{\sim} 10^6 \ . \tag{2}$$

We have no compelling microscopic theory for how PIB fluctuations could be generated in general, though there are some cogent suggestions. Yokoyama & Suto (1991) and Dolgov & Silk (1992) have proposed microscopic mechanism for the generation of baryon number (entropy) fluctuations. These schemes exploit quantum fluctuations in a CP-violating angle to effect a spatial variation in baryon-to-photon number. The resulting fluctuations can be on the large mass-scales of interest in PIB models if the baryon-generating process occurs before, or during, an inflationary epoch.

A common, though not necessarily inevitable, feature of inflationary baryogenesis models is the production of both matter and antimatter domains separated by astrophysically significant length scales. The production of antimatter domains can be avoided if the baryon number fluctuation amplitudes are below unity on all length scales. In this case, however, the resulting light element abundance yields from nucleosynthesis will be only slightly altered from abundance yields in homogeneous big bang nucleosynthesis at the same average baryon-to-photon ratio η (for a discussion of small amplitude fluctuations in η and primordial nucleosynthesis yields see Epstein & Petrosian 1973).

Though large scale structure considerations in PIB models utilize only the large massscale linear ($\delta\rho/\rho < 1$) end of the spectrum in equation (1), successful models may well require some small mass-scale, nonlinear ($\delta\rho/\rho > 1$) structure in order to produce collisionless dark matter and/or to effect late re-ionization of the universe (Cen *et al.* 1993). Of course, the biggest hurdle which PIB models face may well be stringent observational limits on cosmic background radiation anisotropy (Gorski & Silk 1989; Chiba, Sugiyama, & Suto 1993; Hu & Sugiyama 1994).

If any PIB model for structure formation must invoke a nonlinear lower mass-scale fluctuation tail in a spectrum like that in equation (1), or if such a tail is an inevitable consequence of some microscopic fluctuation generation mechanism, then primordial nucleosynthesis effects may well provide constraints on the model. These constraints could be complimentary to cosmic-background-anisotropy constraints, as nucleosynthesis probes a different end of the fluctuation spectrum. In this paper we aim to see under what circumstances such constraints on PIB-like models could be found. Our study and our derived constraints will generally apply to any models which have nonlinear entropy fluctuations not just PIB-like models for large scale structure formation.

Studies of homogeneous big bang nucleosynthesis (HBBN) only allow a narrow range for the fraction of the closure density that can be contributed by baryons

$$0.01 \lesssim \Omega_b h^2 \lesssim 0.015 , \qquad (3)$$

where h is the Hubble parameter in units of 100 kms⁻¹Mpc⁻¹ (cf. Walker *et al.* 1991; Smith, Kawano, & Malaney 1993). Models of inhomogeneous big bang nucleosynthesis (IBBN) with sub-horizon scale nonlinear entropy fluctuations cannot significantly change the confusions of HBBN as to the upper limit on $\Omega_b h^2$ (cf. Jedamzik, Fuller, & Mathews 1994; Thomas *et al.* 1994).

However, there has been a longstanding suggestion that large mass scale entropy fluctuations might provide a way to circumvent the HBBN upper bound on $\Omega_b h^2$ (Harrison 1968; Zeldovich 1975; Rees 1984; Sale & Mathews 1986). In these schemes regions of

relatively large baryon-to-photon ratio (η) collapse to form inert remnants and therefore remove their "bad" nucleosynthesis products from the primordial medium. The nucleosynthesis products which *survive* in these models reflect the relatively lower baryon density of regions which do not collapse. In principle, the pre-collapse $\Omega_b h^2$ could be considerably larger than the value of this quantity (presumbly $\Omega_b h^2 \approx 0.01 - 0.015$) which characterizes the low density medium which surrounds collapsed regions.

Indeed, studies of the fate of large mass entropy fluctuations in the epoch between primordial nucleosynthesis and some time after recombination indicate that overdense regions would collapse with high efficiency (Hogan 1978, 1993; Kashlinsky & Rees 1983; Loeb 1993). These studies show that the evolution of large entropy fluctuations is dominated by photon-electron Thomson drag (Hogan 1993; Loeb 1993). Any fluctuation with a baryon mass larger than about

$$M_J^b \approx 3 \times 10^5 M_{\odot} \left(\frac{\Omega_b h^2}{0.1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} , \tag{4}$$

will shrink slowly, with Thomson drag providing an efficient mechanism for cooling the collapsing protons and electrons, and also damping rotation. The end result of this process will be the production of a condensed object, either a black hole or possibly, if fragmentation occurs, many small brown dwarfs (Kashlinsky & Rees 1983; Hogan 1993). Note that the mass scale in equation (4) is essentially coincident with the *local baryon Jeans mass*. Of course, the true Jeans mass in a radiation dominated environment is close to the horizon mass. By local baryon Jeans mass we mean the effective Jeans mass one would calculate inside a fluctuation by neglecting all photon stresses.

We note, however, that the studies of Harrison (1968), Zeldovich (1975), Rees (1984), and Sale & Mathews (1986) provide what are at best simplistic treatments in their calculations of nucleosynthesis yields in the presence of collapsing regions. In fact, all of these studies except Sale & Mathews (1986) treat the distribution of η in a two-phase, bi-modal fashion. All of these studies neglect the significant complication that fluctuations may reside inside larger mass-scale fluctuations, the so called cloud-in-cloud problem. None of these prior studies addresses the nucleosynthesis effects of fluctuations below that in equation (4) - such fluctuations are damped by expansion against Thomson drag (cf. Hogan 1993; Jedamzik & Fuller 1994), but on time scales that allow significant nucleosynthesis effects (Alcock et al. 1990; Jedamzik, Fuller, & Mathews 1994).

Recently, Gnedin, Ostriker, & Rees (1994) have re-examined the problem of nucleosynthesis with collapsing entropy fluctuations. These authors provide a more sophisticated numerical treatment of nucleosynthesis from regions of varying density and, additionally, attempt to take account of light element "reprocessing" effected by accretion on black holes (Gnedin & Ostriker 1992). They conclude that significant power on fluctuation scales below the limit in equation (4) could lead to unacceptable nucleosynthesis which, in turn, could wreck any attempt to employ collapsing high-mass-scale fluctuations to circumvent HBBN bouns on $\Omega_b h^2$. They do, however provide for several loopholes in this conclusion: phase correlations in fluctuations; and invocation of finely tuned IBBN scenarios for small mass-scale fluctuations.

However, Gnedin, Ostriker, & Rees (1994) do not attempt to take account of the effects of the cloud-in-cloud problem. Furthermore, their suggestion that baryon diffusive effects on small scales may provide a loophole on the $\Omega_b h^2$ limit is suspect, as it requires an extreme, though not impossible, degree of fine tuning.

In this paper we attempt a detailed numerical treatment of nucleosynthesis with various stochastic entropy fluctuation spectra. We explicitly treat the cloud-in-cloud problem. We find that any significant power in stochastic fluctuations on mass-scales smaller than that in equation (4) inevitably leads to overproduction of ⁴He and ⁷Li relative to observationally inferred limits on these abundances. Furthermore, we argue that persistence of fluctuations down to mass scales on which baryon diffusive effects are significant is unlikely to change our conclusions. Finally, we show that only under a restrictive set of circumstances (i.e. no significant power in fluctuations on mass scales below M_J^b and high collapse efficiency for scales above M_J^b) it is possible to evade HBBN bounds on $\Omega_b h^2$. We note that evasion of the bound on $\Omega_b h^2$ in these models also usually requires that the primordial abundance of ⁷Li be close to the Population I vaule of ⁷Li/H $\approx 10^{-9}$.

In Section 2 we discuss our model for fluctuation evolution and nucleosynthesis and the numerical techniques employed. In Section 3 we present results for nucleosynthesis yields for various fluctuation spectra and assumed fluctuation evolution parameters. We compare these to observationally inferred abundances. We give conclusions in Section 4.

2. Simulations of baryon-to-photon fluctuations

In this section we discuss our numerical calculations of fluctuation evolution and nucleosynthesis. The basis of our models is a stochastic distribution of fluctuations in baryon-to-photon ratio on various scales. These scales will be selected in such a manner as to roughly approximate the density distribution in an overall spectrum like that in equation (1). Note, however, that much is hidden in a simplistic power law density distribution like equation (1). Going from equation (1) to a numerical representation of fluctuation amplitude and mass-scale distribution is not a unique procedure. In what follows we present our numerical approach to this problem and discuss the statistics of our numerically generated distributions of baryon-to-photon number.

2.1. The numerical models

As a first step, we generate a stochastic distribution of baryon-to-photon number by employing a spatially inhomogeneous gaussian random variable, A(x). This gaussian random variable can be Fourier decomposed to give,

$$A(x) = 2\sum_{k} A_k \cos(kx + \phi_k) , \qquad (5)$$

where x is a spatial coordinate. In this expression the amplitudes A_k and phases ϕ_k are chosen randomly according to the distribution functions,

$$P_{\phi}(\phi_k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \quad \phi_k \in [0, 2\pi] ,$$
 (6a)

$$P_A(A_k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sigma_k} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{A_k^2}{\sigma_k^2}\right) \quad A_k \in [-\infty, \infty] . \tag{6b}$$

Note that our adoption of the distribution function in equation (6a) implies that there will be no correlations between the phases of different Fourier modes - of course, this is the definition of a gaussian random variable. We adopt a power law dependence on wavenumber for the variance, σ_k ,

$$\sigma_k^2 \sim k^n \ , \tag{7}$$

where n is a spectral index, not to be confused with that in equation (1).

The spatially dependent baryon-to-photon ratio , $\eta(x)$, is taken to be a function of the spatially fluctuating random variable A(x). We consider three different models:

$$\eta(x) = \eta_N A^2(x) ; (8a)$$

$$\eta(x) = \eta_N A^{10}(x) ;$$
(8b)

$$\eta(x) = \eta_N (A^{10}(x) + a) ;$$
(8c)

where η_N and a are constants. Note that the functions in equations (8abc) were deliberately chosen to be positive-definite. This choice guarantees that antimatter-domains are avoided.

Our choice of the spatial distributions in equations (8abc) is not based on specific baryogenesis scenarios. Rather, we have chosen these models simply to generate a wide variety of stochastic baryon number distributions. It remains to be shown if baryon number distributions of these, or similar characteristics, could arise naturally during the evolution of the very early universe.

In this paper we analyze a one-dimensional analogue to a three-dimensional distribution of entropy (or, equivalently, η). By using a one-dimensional distribution of η we are able to investigate a much wider range of mass scales in a single simulation. The analogy to the three-dimensional theory is attained by replacing the spectral index n in equation (7) with n/3. For example, n=-3 corresponds to a scale-invariant Harrison-Zeldovich spectrum in the three-dimensional theory; whereas n=-1 corresponds to the Harrison-Zeldovich spectrum in one dimension.

In what follows we will always refer to the three-dimensional spectral index when we wish to characterize a particular one-dimensional distribution in η . In a one-dimensional calculation we compute the "mass" of a region by multiplying "density" (or amplitude $\delta\rho/\rho$) by the length scale of the region. In three dimensions, masses are the product of $\delta\rho/\rho$ and a volume. The transformation of spectral indices $n\mapsto n/3$, insures that the functional dependence of $\delta\rho/\rho$ on mass-scale (e.g. equation 1) that we derive in our one-dimensional calculation is the same as that in an equivalent $(n/3 \mapsto n)$ three-dimensional case.

It is well known that diffusive and hydrodynamic processes occuring during the epoch of primordial nucleosynthesis can significantly alter the light-element abundance yields. Diffusive processes will play an important role during nucleosynthesis when significant small-scale fluctuations on mass scales between $M \sim 10^{-21} M_{\odot}$ and $M \sim 10^{-11} M_{\odot}$ are

present. Nuclear abundance yields in such scenarios will certainly be highly geometry dependent so that a full three-dimensional treatment would be needed. (Mathews et al. 1990; Meyer et al. 1991). However, we will suggest below that, for a truly stochastic baryon number distribution (e.g. minimal phase correlations between different Fourrier modes of the distribution), the presence of such small-scale fluctuations cannot be reconciled with the observationally inferred primordial abundance limits. We will therefore not be concerned with diffusive processes.

In the case where diffusive and hydrodynamic processes during nucleosynthesis are unimportant, average light-element abundance yields are determined by a weighted average over the standard homogeneous big bang yields of separate regions at different baryon-to-photon ratios. In this study we obtain the light-element abundance yields by employing the homogeneous big bang nucleosynthesis code of Wagoner, Fowler, & Hoyle (1967) as updated by Kawano (1992).

In our calculations the light-element contributions from overdense regions, which are expected to collapse and form compact objects, are excluded from the abundance average. We choose all regions which are overdense on average by some critical amount Δ_{cr} to be "destined" for collapse. We define the overdensity parameter, Δ_{λ} , to be,

$$\Delta_{\lambda} = \frac{\eta_{\lambda}(x) - \langle \eta \rangle}{\langle \eta \rangle} \,. \tag{9}$$

In the above expression $\langle \eta \rangle$ denotes the cosmic average baryon-to-photon ratio and $\eta_{\lambda}(x)$ represents the average baryon-to-photon ratio within a region of size λ around space coordinate x. In our numerical prescription only regions with $\Delta_{\lambda} = \Delta_{cr}$ are taken to collapse. If a region is found to have $\Delta_{\lambda} > \Delta_{cr}$ we look for a larger region, which contains the original, for which $\Delta_{\lambda} = \Delta_{cr}$. This larger region is taken to collapse. In other words, we take the *largest* scale for which $\Delta_{\lambda} = \Delta_{cr}$ to collapse and take everything inside to oblivion.

The above prescription for determining which regions collapse is a widely used analytic tool in the study of structure formation (cf. Press & Schechter 1974). This procedure is also confirmed by comparison of the analytic results to numerical simulations (Efstathiou et al. 1988). Note that the Press-Schechter analysis has been recently modified in order to account properly for the cloud-in-cloud problem (Jedamzik 1994).

The epoch at which an overdense region collapses is determined, for a given cosmological model, predominantly by its initial average overdensity. For example, the formation of

supermassive black holes in PIB models requires early collapse and high initial overdensities (Hogan 1993).

Partly, this requirement arises because Thomson-drag on background photons becomes a less efficient mechanism for entropy transport and rotational damping at lower cosmic temperature. A certain determination of the fate of a collapsing cloud would require a full three-dimensional hydrodynamic simulation. Determining the fate of overdense regions is important, since we need to know whether or not to count their freeze-out big bang nucleosynthesis products in the final abundance yields of the diffuse, "uncollapsed", surviving background of primordial gas.

The value of Δ_{cr} where the efficiency of collapse becomes large depends on the cosmological model and the ionization history of the universe (Hogan 1993). We define the collapse efficiency parameter, f, to be the fraction of regions with $\Delta_{\lambda} = \Delta_{cr}$ which actually do collapse. In this paper we will simply treat Δ_{cr} and f as parameters to be varied. We will determine the sensitivity of our calculated light-element abundance yields, and ratio of diffuse baryons to dark baryons, to these parameters.

To determine the light-element abundances in the presence of fluctuations it is not sufficient to consider only the average densities of regions. Rather, in principle, a detailed knowledge of the baryon number distribution on all scales is required. Toward meeting this requirement, we define $P_{\eta}(x)$ to be the probability that the region at point x has baryon-to-photon ratio η . We will find, ultimately, that all small mass scale fluctuations $(M < M_J^b)$ would have to be suppressed in order that a PIB-like fluctuation spectrum would be able to produce nucleosynthesis consistent with observational constraints. If we utilize this result, and simply assume that on small enough scales fluctuation amplitudes go to zero, then we can exploit the overall translational symmetry of the universe to write $P_{\eta}(x) = P(\eta)$. In other words the probability to find a region with baryon-to-photon ratio η is independent of x; mathematically $P(\eta)$ is the probability of finding any point to have baryon-to-photon ratio η . By translational symmetry in this argument we mean that any one large region of the universe (large enough to have $\bar{\eta}$) must be fully equivalent and similar to any other such region.

Note that writing $P(\eta)$ makes sense only if there is a small scale cutoff in structure. In our numerical calculations any scale smaller than this cutoff scale is taken to be homogeneous. The probability for finding any such spatial zone to have baryon-to-photon ratio η is $P(\eta)$. The probability that a given scale λ has average baryon-to-photon ratio η is defined to be $P_{\lambda}(\eta)$. Clearly, with this definition, $P_{\lambda}(\eta) = P(\eta)$ for all $\lambda \leq \lambda_c$, where λ_c is the cutoff scale. We will discuss the statistical relationship between $P_{\lambda}(\eta)$ and $P(\eta)$ for larger scales below.

It is quite important for what follows to note that $P(\eta)$, and any mass-based statistical criteria for describing mass distributions, will be invariant under the transformation from one dimension to three dimensions as outlined above. An example of an invariant mass-based distribution function is the following: the distribution of masses which have overdensities equal to Δ_{cr} .

We wish to stress the necessity of a numerical treatment for the reliable determination of nuclear abundance yields. Furthermore, there are many subtle pitfalls involved in estimating abundance yields in an inhomogeneous environment. For example, it is not adequate to simply average over nucleosynthesis yields resulting from all regions below some threshold, $\eta \leq \eta_{cr}$. This procedure is inadequate since such regions could possibly be underdense clouds within collapsing overdense regions. As another example, an analytic computation of $P_{\lambda}(\eta)$ is difficult (impossible) since the transformation in equations (8abc) introduces phase correlations between the different Fourier modes of the baryon number distribution. We therefore expect the stochastic baryon number distribution of our model to have a non-gaussian character.

We have simulated three types of stochastic baryon number distributions by applying the transformation in equation (8abc) to a gaussian random variable. For these simulations we generated 10^5 Fourier modes of the gaussian random variable. The wavevectors of these Fourier modes were determined by applying periodic boundary conditions in a box extending from zero to one. A small part of these distributions are shown in Figures 1abc. Note that the value of 10^0 on the logarithmic abscissa corresponds to the cosmic average baryon-to-photon ratio, $<\eta>$, in all three figures.

In Figure 1a most of the peaks in the distribution of η are seen to be between values of 5 and 10 (e.g., five to ten times average density); whereas, the distribution shown in Figure 1b exhibits peaks with values between 10 and 100. The distribution of η in Figure 1c also exhibits large overdense peaks. However, in this distribution there are no regions with very low density. The dotted boxes in these figures indicate the regions which are overdense by the critical amount, Δ_{cr} . These regions will be expected to collapse very early on. It is obvious from the figures that such overdense regions often are centered around very prominent peaks. Note, however, that these overdense regions can include underdense

material at small baryon-to-photon ratios as well. We will analyze the nucleosynthesis resulting from these baryon-to-photon number distributions in Section 3.

2.2. Statistics of the baryon-to-photon number distributions

In this section we will investigate the statistics of the baryon-to-photon number distributions generated by the prescription outlined in section 2.1. Consider first a gaussian random variable A. The probability distribution $\bar{P}(A)$ for finding a small region to have value A is given by the gaussian distribution,

$$\bar{P}(A) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{tot}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{A^2}{\sigma_{tot}^2}\right), \qquad (10a)$$

where

$$\sigma_{tot}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right) \int_0^{k_c} dk \ \sigma_k^2 \ . \tag{10b}$$

Note the relationship between these expressions and those for $P_A(A_k)$ and σ_k^2 in equations (6b) and (7). Here $(L/2\pi)^{-1}$ is the volume in wavevector space in which there is one Fourier mode. Equation (10) makes use of the fact that the sum, A, of several normally distributed quantities (e.g., the amplitudes of the uncorrelated Fourier modes, A_k) itself follows a gaussian distribution. The square of the variance, σ_{tot}^2 , of this gaussian distribution in A is then given by the sum of the squares of the individual variances of the Fourier modes, σ_k^2 . Note that in equation (10b) we introduce a cutoff-wavevector k_c , since we assume that there are no small-scale fluctuations. In particular, we take $\sigma_k \approx 0$ for $k > k_c = 2\pi/\lambda_c$.

With the help of equation (10a) we can derive the probability function $P(\eta)$. Assuming a transformation of the form $\eta(x) = \eta_N(A^{2m}(x) + a)$ we obtain,

$$P(\eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{m\sigma_{tot}\eta_N} \left(\frac{\eta}{\eta_N} - a\right)^{\frac{1}{2m} - 1} \exp\left\{\frac{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\eta}{\eta_N} - a\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}}{\sigma_{tot}^2}\right\},\tag{11}$$

where η falls in the range $\eta \in [a\eta_N, \infty]$. In this expression note that m is an integer index, while η_N and a are constants.

It should be stressed that it is not possible to obtain the probability function $P_{\lambda}(\eta)$ by simply employing equation (11) with a modified variance $\sigma_{tot} \mapsto \sigma \sim \int_0^k dk' \sigma_{k'}^2$. This is because with a positive definite transformation such as that in equation (8abc) the average

 η of a region is determined by the larger-scale Fourier modes with k' < k as well as by the smaller-scale Fourier modes k' > k. We therefore must resort to numerical techniques in order to analyze the baryon-to-photon number distribution on larger scales.

We define the integrated variance in the distribution of η on mass scales below the cutoff scale to be $\sigma(M < M_c)$. If M_c is the mass scale corresponding to the cutoff wavevector k_c , then we can define

$$\sigma_{\eta}(M < M_c) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\langle \eta \rangle} \int_{a\eta_N}^{\infty} d\eta \left(\eta - \langle \eta \rangle \right)^2 P(\eta) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (12)

The quantity σ_{η} determines the likely magnitude of fluctuation amplitudes. For the transformation $\eta(x) = \eta_N \left(A^{2m}(x) + a \right)$ we can derive, for example,

$$\sigma_{\eta}(M < M_c) = \frac{1}{\langle \eta \rangle} \frac{\eta_N}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(2\sigma_{tot}^2 \right)^m \left[\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2m + \frac{1}{2}) - \Gamma^2(m + \frac{1}{2}) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{13}$$

where the average baryon-to-photon ratio is given by

$$<\eta> = \frac{\eta_N}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left[\left(2\sigma_{tot}^2 \right)^m \Gamma(m + \frac{1}{2}) + a\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \right].$$
 (14)

If we apply equation (13) to the transformation in equation (8a) we obtain $\sigma_{\eta}(M < M_c) = \sqrt{2}$. By contrast, the fluctuation amplitudes for the transformations in equations (8bc) are larger and yield $\sigma_{\eta}(M < M_c) \approx 27$. It should be noted that σ_{η} is independent of σ_{tot} .

We have investigated numerically the statistics of the baryon-to-photon number distributions on large scales. Figures 2abc show the distribution functions $P_{\lambda}(\eta)$ on different mass scales M (or, equivalently, spatial scales λ) for the three transformations in equations (8abc). In these figures the value of 1 on the abscissa corresponds to the average baryon-to-photon ratio; whereas, the scale of the ordinate is chosen in each case so that the complete distribution of $P_{\lambda}(\eta)$ is displayed. The lines on these figures are for the distribution function $P_{\lambda}(\eta)$ on mass scales $M=M_c$ (solid), $M=10M_c$ (dotted), $M=100M_c$ (short-dashed), and $M=1000M_c$ (long-dashed). We will determine the numerical value of M_c in the following section. The dashed-dotted line shows the result from equation (11) for comparison. It can be seen from these figures that the distribution functions $P_{\lambda}(\eta)$ are highly non-gaussian on small scales, but approach a gaussian distribution character on large scales. Note that the variance, σ_{η} , decreases as the mass scale increases.

A non-gaussian distribution $P_{\lambda}(\eta)$ on small scales could only result if there were phase correlations between the different Fourier modes of the baryon-to-photon number distribution. We note that phase correlations are introduced in our procedure as a result of our application of the transformation equation (8abc) to the uncorrelated gaussian random variable. Similiar results (e.g., non-gaussian distributions on small scales and gaussian distributions on large scales) have been obtained by Yamamoto *et al.* (1992). These authors analyzed the baryon-to-photon number distribution resulting from the inflationary baryogenesis scenario proposed by Yokoyama & Suto (1999). That baryogenesis scenario assumed that the production of baryon number was proportional to the a trigonometric function of a gaussian random variable, e.g. $\eta(x) = \eta_0 sin(A(x))$.

In Figures 3abc we show the fluctuation variance $\sigma_{\eta}(M)$ as a function of mass scale for the three different transformations in equations (8abc). In each of these figures the dotted line gives $\sigma_{\eta}(M)$ in the case where the variance of the Fourier amplitudes is taken to be constant, $\sigma_k^2 = constant$. The dashed line in these figures corresponds to choosing $\sigma_k^2 \sim k^{-2.4}$. Here, the index -2.4 is the "three-dimensional" index. For purposes of comparison in these figures we show the root-mean-square mass fluctuation expected for a gaussian random variable,

$$\sigma_{\eta}(M) = \left(\frac{\delta M}{M}\right)_{\text{r.m.s.}} = \sigma_{\eta}(M_c) \left(\frac{M}{M_c}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{6}}.$$
 (15)

The solid lines in these figures correspond to $\sigma_{\eta}(M)$ from equation (15) with n=0 (lower line) and n=-1.5 (upper line).

2.3. Normalization of the baryon-to-photon number distributions

We can now determine the absolute magnitude of the cutoff mass-scale, M_c , for models with different spectral indices, n, and variances, $\sigma_{\eta}(M_c)$. Primordial baryon-to-photon number fluctuations are constrained by the observed structure of the universe and by observationally inferred primordial light element abundances. Fluctuations are also constrained by the high degree of isotropy of the cosmic microwave background radiation (hereafter, CMBR). However, we will assume here that an early reionization can erase any preexisting anisotropies in the CMBR caused by the baryon-to-photon number fluctuations. It is not at all obvious whether a given PIB spectrum of fluctuations will result in early reionization and thus have a chance of escaping constraints from CMBR anisotropy limits.

The variance, or root-mean-square fluctuations in mass, $(\delta M/M)_{\rm r.m.s.}$, at the present epoch has been determined by Davis & Peebles (1983). They find $(\delta M/M)_{\rm r.m.s.} \approx 1$ in a volume of size $(8 \, {\rm h^{-1}Mpc})^3$. This volume would correspond to a mass scale of $M_8 \approx 6 \times 10^{13} M_{\odot} (\Omega_b h^2/0.1) h^{-3}$. It is well known that sub-horizon, super-Jeans-mass size baryon number perturbations grow proportionally to the scale factor of the universe during a matter dominated epoch. (cf. Kolb & Turner 1990). This result generally obtains after recombination and when fluctuations are in the linear regime $(\delta M/M << 1)$. For example, such baryon number perturbations will have grown by a factor of $(1 + z_R)$ between the epoch of recombination and the present epoch if a standard recombination scenario with recombination redshift $z_R \approx 1100$ is assumed.

If the universe stays ionized at redshifts $z < z_R$, the growth of perturbations will be inhibited by the coupling of photons to baryons. In the limit where the photon mean free path, l_{γ} , is larger than the fluctuation size, l_f , growth of baryon number perturbations is inhibited by Thomson drag between photons and electrons. This drag force effectively suppresses any perturbation growth for redshifts above $z_{drag} \approx 200 - 300$ (cf. Peebles 1971). For redshifts below z_{drag} , Thomson drag rapidly becomes inefficient and so cannot hinder the growth of perturbations. In the limit when $l_{\gamma} < l_f$, sub-horizon scale entropy perturbations behave like oscillating sound waves. Note that the photon mean free path has a comoving size of roughly $\sim 8h^{-1}{\rm Mpc}$ (corresponding to M_8) at a redshift of $z \approx 200$.

In order that cosmic structure in primordial isocurvature baryon number fluctuation models not be "overproduced", it is necessary that the primordial root-mean-square mass fluctuations on the mass scale M_8 be smaller than $(1+z)^{-1}$,

$$\sigma_{\eta}(M_8) \equiv \left(\frac{\delta M}{M}\right)_{M_8} \lesssim \frac{1}{1+z} , \qquad (16)$$

where $1100 \lesssim z \lesssim 200$. Here the range in redshifts results from two extreme scenarios: standard recombination; and ionization fully maintained down to low redshifts.

Note that equality in equation (16) pertains to the case when the primordial isocurvature baryon number fluctuations are the seeds for the presently observed cosmic structure. It is, however, also conceivable that the formation of structure on large scales is mainly due to, for example, adiabatic fluctuations. In this case perhaps only the small-mass scale fluctuations are dominated by the primordial isocurvature baryon number fluctuation component. The inequality in equation (16) would apply in this latter example. By using equations (15) and (16) we can derive a limit on the mass cutoff,

$$M_c \lesssim 6 \times 10^{13} M_{\odot} \left(\frac{\Omega_b h^2}{0.1}\right) h^{-3} \left\{ (1+z_R) \sigma_{\eta}(M_c) \right\}^{-\frac{6}{3+n}}.$$
 (17)

Our nucleosynthesis results show that this mass cutoff must not fall below M_J^b . If it did, we would produce unacceptable light element abundances. Furthermore, unless $\sigma_{\eta}(M_c) \gtrsim 1$ there would be essentially no interesting effects on nucleosynthesis (e.g., no early collapse of overdense regions with significant mass fractions). For a given spectral index it is not always clear that these two requirements are not mutually exclusive.

As an example of a scenario that *does* meet both criteria consider $M_c \approx 3 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$, $\sigma_{\eta}(M_c) \approx 1$, n = 0, and $z_r \leq 250$. By contrast, an example of a scenario which will not work has $M_c \approx 1 \times 10^3 M_{\odot}$, $\sigma_{\eta}(M_c) \approx 10$, n = -1, and $z_r \approx 1100$.

2.4. Convergence of numerical results

We have performed detailed primordial nucleosynthesis calculations with the numerical techniques outlined above. In Figures 4a and 4b we present several measures of convergence accuracy as functions of numbers of Fourier modes employed in our numerical study.

For the results shown in Figure 4a we employ $\langle \eta \rangle = 6 \times 10^{-10}$, $\Delta_{cr} = 1.5$, n = 0, and the transformation in equation (8a). In Figure (4a) the upper panel shows the ratios of ²H/H (solid line), Y_p (dotted line), and ⁷Li/H (short-dashed line) to their converged values as functions of the number of zones employed. Also shown in this figure is the ratio of Ω_b/Ω_{diff} to its converged value. Here Ω_{diff} is the fractional contribution of baryons in surviving, uncollapsed, regions to the closure density. It is clear that good convergence is obtained for cases with more than a few thousand Fourier modes.

The lower panel of Figure 4a shows $\sigma_{\eta}(M_c)$ (solid line) and $20 \times \sigma_{\eta}(1000 \times M_c)$ (dotted line) as functions of the number of Fourier modes. Convergence of σ_{η} is good whenever more than a few thousand Fourier modes are employed.

For the computations presented in Figure 4b we employ $\langle \eta \rangle = 1.2 \times 10^{-9}$, $\Delta_{cr} = 2.0$, n = 0, and the transformation in equation (8b). The quantities plotted in these figures are the same as in Figure 4a, and the notation is the same. Again, we note the rapid convergence of light element abundance yields and Ω_b/Ω_{diff} with increasing number of

Fourier modes (upper panel). In the lower panel, however, we must conclude that the calculation for σ_{η} remains unconverged, even for 10⁵ Fourier modes.

The reason for the lack of convergence in σ_{η} for the case in Figure 4b stems from the fact that the calculations of σ_{η} are dominated by (rare) high- σ events in the gaussian random variable. Note that this is not the case for the calculations of the light element abundances. Therefore, we can predict the light-element abundances in this case with confidence, though we are unable to predict accurately the effective spectral index, n, which correspond to our distribution for η in the cases where the parameters of Figure 4b are adopted. Similar conclusions would obtain if we had employed the transformation law in equation (8c) instead of that in equation (8b).

3. Results

In this section we describe the results of our numerical study of primordial nucleosynthesis in the presence of large mass scale, PIB-like, entropy fluctuations. Here we will discuss not only the light element (2 H, 3 He, 4 He, 7 Li) nucleosynthesis yields from various models for the distribution of η , but also the fraction of baryons in these models which survive collapse and comprise the "diffuse" primordial gas. We will characterize the surviving diffuse component of baryons by its fractional contribution to closure, $\Omega_{diff}h^{2}$. In what follows $\Omega_{b}h^{2}$ refers to the baryonic fraction of the closure density *prior* to freeze-out from nuclear statistical equilibrium and, thus, prior to any significant amount of collapse.

In Figure 5a and Figure 5b we present nucleosynthesis results for a model of the distribution of η which is characterized by the transformation in equation (8a), spectral index n=0 (employed in equation 7), $M_c > M_J^b$, and various values of $\Omega_b h^2$ and Δ_{cr} . In Figure 5a the panel at upper left gives the ⁴He mass fraction, Y_p , as a function of $\Omega_b h^2$. The panel at upper right in this figure gives the ratio of produced ²H to hydrogen, ²H/H, as a function of $\Omega_b h^2$. Similarly, ⁷Li/H and ³He/H versus $\Omega_b h^2$ are shown in the panels at lower left and right, respectively. In Figure 5b we plot $\Omega_{diff} h^2$ versus $\Omega_b h^2$ for various models. In Figure 5a the solid lines give the results for standard HBBN at the indicated values of $\Omega_b h^2$.

The other lines in Figures 5a and 5b are as follows: The dotted line is for $\Delta_{cr} = 1.25$; the short-dashed line is for $\Delta_{cr} = 1.5$; the long-dashed line is for $\Delta_{cr} = 1.75$; while the dashed-dotted line is for $\Delta_{cr} = 2$.

Note that several general trends are evident in Figures 5a and 5b. First we note that for all values of Δ_{cr} and $\Omega_b h^2$ considered here Y_p is lower than the HBBN yield, while 2 H/H and 3 He/H are higher than the HBBN yield. Our models clearly retain the well known "⁷Li dip", but in general 7 Li/H can be slightly smaller, comparable to, or larger by a factor up to 5, than the yield from HBBN at a given $\Omega_b h^2$

These results are easily understood by comparison of the panels in Figure 5a to the graph in Figure 5b. Clearly 2 H/H is high in inhomogeneous models compared to HBBN at the same $\Omega_b h^2$ because the surviving diffuse baronic component is characterized by $\Omega_{diff} h^2 < \Omega_b h^2$. In fact, since in HBBN 2 H/H yields rise very steeply with decreasing η , we can identify two competing effects in 2 H production. Regions with smaller η produce relatively more 2 H, but they make a relatively smaller contribution to the total surviving mass of baryons. We find that the regions which are most effective in producing 2 H have $\eta \approx 3 \times 10^{-11}$. Since in primordial nucleosynthesis 3 He is produced by 2 H(p, γ) 3 He, it is not surprising that 3 He, like 2 H, is always high compared to HBBN at the same $\Omega_b h^2$.

The situation for ⁴He is straightforward. Since Y_p is a rising function of η in HBBN, it is obvious that when $\Omega_{diff}h^2 < \Omega_bh^2$ inhomogeneous models will produce low Y_p relative to HBBN at the same Ω_bh^2 .

By contrast, the behavior of the ${}^{7}\text{Li}/\text{H}$ yield in our inhomogeneous models is more complicated. In part, this is due to the two principle production channels for ${}^{7}\text{Li}$. These are ${}^{3}\text{H}(\alpha,\gamma){}^{7}\text{Li}$, which is dominant in low density regions where ${}^{2}\text{H}$ (thus also ${}^{3}\text{H}$) is high, and ${}^{3}\text{He}(\alpha,\gamma){}^{7}\text{Be}(e^{-},\nu_{e}){}^{7}\text{Li}$, which is dominant in higher density regions. Since, to some extent, our models average over regions which have baryon-to-photon ratios on opposite sides of the dip, our ${}^{7}\text{Li}/\text{H}$ yields are high compared to HBBN for a fair range of $\Omega_{b}h^{2}$. Note, however, two interesting features of our ${}^{7}\text{Li}/\text{H}$ results: (1) the dip is offset to higher $\Omega_{b}h^{2}$ than the HBBN dip; and (2) ${}^{7}\text{Li}/\text{H}$ at higher $\Omega_{b}h^{2}$ is lower than the yield in HBBN.

A surprising feature of Figure 5a is that the light element abundance yields in our inhomogeneous model are actually fairly insensitive to the values of the parameter Δ_{cr} which is employed. We conclude that, even in cases where both the criterion in Δ_{cr} required for collapse and the collapse efficiencies are not accurately determined, we can predict the nucleosynthesis for a given PIB-like fluctuation spectrum with fair confidence. This result is quite important since the collapse efficiencies may well depend not only on the fluctuation's overdensity but also on internal geometry, intrinsic angular momentum, and the local environment (e.g., neighboring fluctuations). We therefore expect collapse

efficiencies to not change discontinuously from zero to one at some value Δ_{cr} , but rather approach unity in a continuous fashion over some interval of overdensities.

However, these conclusions are dependent on the assumption that collapsing regions do not explode and produce large amounts of explosive nucleosynthesis (cf., Fuller, Woosley, & Weaver 1986). Furthermore, the results in Figure 5 depend critically on having a significant fraction of the baryons collapse. In the case where only a small fraction of the baryons collapse (i.e. Δ_{cr} very large) we would find Y_p and ($^7\text{Li/H}$) to be increased considerably over the results displayed in Figure 5a.

At this point we wish to summarize the observational constraints on the light-element abundances. The situation for the 4 He mass fraction, Y_p , is as follows. The best determination of Y_p is obtained from observations of 4 He-recombination lines in metal-poor, extragalactic H II regions. The existing data has been analyzed by a number of authors (Walker *et al.* 1991; Fuller, Boyd, & Kalen 1991; Pagel *et al.* 1992; Mathews, Boyd, & Fuller 1993, Olive & Steigman 1994). It is generally believed that the upper limit on Y_p should be somewhere in the range $Y_p \lesssim 0.24 - 0.245$, with the "favored" value for Y_p around $Y_p \approx 0.23$.

However, more recently it has been pointed out that the observational determination of Y_p is subject to several systematic uncertainties which have previously not been well appreciated (Skillman & Kennicut 1993; Skillman, Terlevich, & Garnett 1994; Sasselov & Goldwirth 1994). When all the systematic uncertainties are taken into account, such as uncertainties associated with the determination of emissivities and corrections due to the possible existence of some neutral helium in H II regions, a firm upper limit on Y_p may be as large as $Y_p \lesssim 0.252$. A lower limit on Y_p , which is of less constraining power for PIB-like models than the upper limit, should be somewhere around $Y_p \gtrsim 0.21-0.22$. It is clear that an accurate determination of the primordial ⁴He-mass fraction from the existing data is actually not that straightforward.

The situation is not very different for the primordial abundances of ²H, and ³He. It is common practice to give the upper limit for the sum of ²H and ³He (Walker *et al.* 1991). This is done since it is not known to what extent ²H, the most fragile of the light elements, has been destroyed in stars prior to the formation of the solar system. Before the very recent claims of an extragalactic observation of ²H by Songaila *et al.* (1994), the deuterium-to-hydrogen number ratio had been estimated only for the solar system. By considering the sum of ²H and ³He some of the uncertainties in the determination of the

individual abundances are evaded, since the destruction of $^2\mathrm{H}$ is expected to lead to the production of $^3\mathrm{He}$ via $^2\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{p},\gamma)^3\mathrm{He}$. In any case, it is commonly believed that the upper limit on the sum of these light elements is $(^2\mathrm{H}+^3\mathrm{He})/\mathrm{H} \lesssim 10^{-4}$ (Smith *et al.* 1993). The lower limit for deuterium is usually given at $(^2\mathrm{H/H}) \gtrsim 1.8 \times 10^{-5}$.

Recently, Songaila et al. (1994) observed an isotope-shifted Lyman- α absorption line in a Lyman- α cloud system which lies along the line of sight to a quasar. The existence of an absorption line is well explained if the Lyman- α cloud has a deuterium-to-hydrogen number fraction as large as $(^2H/H) \approx 1.9 \times 10^{-4} - 2.5 \times 10^{-4}$. This observation may cast doubt on the previously believed upper limit on primordial $(^2H+^3He)/H$. It may, however, also be that the detected absorption line comes from hydrogen as opposed to deuterium. This could occur if, by coincidence, a small component of the Lyman- α cloud system has a small collective-velocity relative to the main cloud. In such a case, the existence of an isotope-shifted Lyman- α absorption line might be mimicked. Further observations of Lyman- α cloud systems will hopefully provide us with a reliable primordial deuterium abundance.

The situation for the primordial 7 Li abundance is controversial as well. Spite & Spite (1982) detected a 7 Li abundance plateau for hot, low-metallicity Population II halo stars over some temperature and metallicity range. A commonly held view is that the 7 Li-abundance of (7 Li/H) $\approx 1.4 \times 10^{-10}$ observed in the "plateau"-stars is the primordial one (Spite & Spite 1982; Thorburn 1994). When uncertainties in the employed model atmospheres for the plateau-stars and "small" amounts of diffusion-induced 7 Li depletion are taken into account, the upper limit on the primordial value of 7 Li may be larger by a factor which is roughly between one and two (Deliyannis, Demarque, & Kawaler 1990).

The above interpretation of the data precludes the possibility of significant ⁷Li depletion in plateau-stars. However, it has been shown by Pinsonneault, Deliyannis, & Demarque (1992) and Chaboyer & Demarque (1994) that ⁷Li in Population II stars is depleted by up to an order of magnitude, or more, over the entire surface temperature range (including the Spite-plateau) when microscopic diffusion and rotation of stars are included in the stellar models. Furthermore, only combined models which include rotation and diffusion can simultaneously explain the very different ⁷Li depletion patterns in old Population II stars and young Population I stars (Pinsonneault *et al.* 1992; Chaboyer, Demarque, & Pinsonneault 1994ab). This may be a powerful argument for the validity of

the combined models. Combined models predict a $^7\text{Li-abundance}$ of $(^7\text{Li/H}) \sim 1 \times 10^{-9}$, much larger than the value of the Spite-plateau.

It has been pointed out that a possible detection of ⁶Li in two population II stars (Smith, Lambert, & Nissen 1982; Hobbs & Thorburn 1994) may provide an argument against significant depletion. This is because ⁶Li, presumbly produced by cosmic ray spallation of heavier elements over the entire history of the universe, would have been depleted below detection level along with ⁷Li. On the other hand, the observations of three highly ⁷Li-depleted plateau stars (Thorburn 1994) indicates that significant ⁷Li-depletion in plateau stars may occur, at least for some stars.

The situation remains controversial. It should be noted that the primordial ⁷Li abundance, when determined with confidence, could be a crucial argument against, or indication for, the existence of small-scale and/or large-scale baryon-to-photon inhomogeneity during the nucleosynthesis epoch.

The implications of the observatially inferred primordial abundance constraints for the model results displayed in Figures 5a and 5b are as follows. There seem to be two possible ranges in $\Omega_b h^2$ which could yield agreement with abundance constraints within the above-mentioned observationally inferred primordial abundance uncertainties. For $\Omega_b h^2 \approx 0.015$ we find from Figure 5a that (2 H/H) $\approx 2 \times 10^{-4} - 4 \times 10^{-4}$; $Y_p \approx 0.225 - 0.235$; and (7 Li/H) $\approx 3.5 \times 10^{-10} - 4.5 \times 10^{-10}$ depending on the employed Δ_{cr} . To be correct, this scenario would have to assume that there was a modest amount of 7 Li depletion in halo stars and a high primordial deuterium abundance.

For the range $\Omega_b h^2 \approx 0.03 - 0.05$, we obtain $(^2\text{H/H}) \approx 4 \times 10^{-5} - 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$; $Y_p \approx 0.24 - 0.25$; and $(^7\text{Li/H}) \approx 5 \times 10^{-10} - 1.5 \times 10^{-9}$. This model would then require a significant ^7Li depletion, a relatively low deuterium abundance and high ^4He abundance. For comparison, the preferred range for $\Omega_b h^2$ in homogeneous big bang nucleosynthesis is somewhere in the range $\Omega_b h^2 \approx 0.005 - 0.015$, mostly depending on what primordial deuterium abundance is adopted.

Another generic feature of our models with large-scale inhomogeneity in η is evident from Figure 5b. Even though the abundance yields in these models tend to agree with observationally inferred abundance limits for higher $\Omega_b h^2$ than the allowed range of this quantity in standard homogeneous big bang models, the fractional contribution of the diffuse, "surviving" baryons to the closure density, $\Omega_{diff}h^2$, tends to be *lower* than the allowed range of $\Omega_b h^2$ in homogeneous models. For a good agreement between abundance yields and observationally inferred abundance limits in PIB-like models, the low-density regions must have approximately the preferred average standard homogeneous baryon-to-photon ratio (i.e. $\Omega_b h^2 \approx 0.0125$ for ($^2\text{H/H}$) $\lesssim 10^{-4}$). Therefore, $\Omega_{diff} h^2$ is lower than the $\Omega_b h^2$ from a homogeneous big bang, since only the low-density regions in the universe contribute to the diffuse baryons. The high-density regions do not contribute since these presumbly collapse and form condensed, dark objects.

This way of lowering $\Omega_{diff}h^2$ compared to Ω_bh^2 inferred from homogeneous big bang nucleosynthesis is quite analogous to the scenario proposed by Jedamzik, Mathews, & Fuller (1994). In that paper it was shown that there is no lower limit on Ω_bh^2 in inhomogeneous primordial nucleosynthesis scenarios, since it may be that only a certain fraction of space has baryons with baryon-to-photon ratio $\eta \approx 3 \times 10^{-10}$, with the remaining fraction of space depleted in baryons. Such a scenario could provide an explanation for the small amount of baryons observed in luminous form (i.e., galaxies and diffuse intergalactic gas). The fractional contribution of luminous baryons to the closure density is $\Omega_b^{lum} \approx 0.003 - 0.007$. This value can be much smaller than the Ω_b inferred from homogeneous big bang nucleosynthesis. It is interesting to note that inhomogeneous PIB-like models conceivably could provide a natural explanation for the small value of Ω_b^{lum} .

In Figure 6a and 6b we present nucleosynthesis results for a model of the distribution of η which is characterized by the transformation in equation (8b), spectral index n=0, $M_c>M_J^b$, and various values of Ω_bh^2 and Δ_{cr} . The notation is as in Figure 5a and 5b. The assumed values for Δ_{cr} in these calculations are as follows: the dotted line is for $\Delta_{cr}=1.5$; the short-dashed line is for $\Delta_{cr}=2$; the long-dashed line is for $\Delta_{cr}=2.5$; and the dashed-dotted line is for $\Delta_{cr}=3$.

It is seen from Figure 6a and 6b that most of the general trends observed in the PIB-like model of Figure 5a and 5b are retained. It is evident that there is a range in $\Omega_b h^2$ $(0.04 \lesssim \Omega_b h^2 \lesssim 0.07)$ for which all the abundance constraints may be satisfied. In this range we find $(^2H/H) \approx 9 \times 10^{-5} - 3 \times 10^{-4}$; $Y_p \approx 0.235 - 0.248$; and $(^7Li/H) \approx 7 \times 10^{-10} - 2 \times 10^{-9}$, depending on the value of Δ_{cr} which is employed. Clearly, such models would be ruled out if the 7 Li abundance of the Spite-plateau in halo stars represents the actual primordial abundance. Low values for $\Omega_b h^2 \lesssim 0.02$ are ruled out by deuterium overproduction. While the models of Figure 5 are characterized by collapse ratios $\Omega_b/\Omega_{diff} \approx 2.5 - 10$, a much larger fraction of the baryons would collapse in the model of Figure 6, $\Omega_b/\Omega_{diff} \approx 25 - 75$.

In Figure 7a and 7b we present the results for a distribution in η caracterized by the transformation in equation (8c), spectral index n=0 and $M_c > M_J^b$. As in Figure 5 and 6 we give results for various $\Omega_b h^2$ and Δ_{cr} . The lines are for $\Delta_{cr} = 1.5$ (dotted line), $\Delta_{cr} = 2$ (short-dashed line), $\Delta_{cr} = 2.5$ (long-dashed line), and $\Delta_{cr} = 3$ (dashed-dotted line).

In this model, the low ⁴He-mass fraction, and high (²H/H)-number ratio compared to a HBBN model at the same $\Omega_b h^2$ is most pronounced. The collapse ratios, Ω_b/Ω_{diff} , are very similiar to the collapse ratios in the model of Figure 6, in particular $\Omega_b/\Omega_{diff} \approx 25-75$. In the range $\Omega_b h^2 \approx 0.06-0.2$ we obtain abundance yields of (²H/H) $\approx 4 \times 10^{-5}-4 \times 10^{-4}$, $Y_p \approx 0.225-0.25$, and (⁷Li/H) $\approx 9 \times 10^{-10}-3 \times 10^{-9}$ depending on the value for Δ_{cr} . These abundance yields may agree with observationally inferred abundance limits when significant ⁷Li depletion in population II halo stars occurs. It is remarkable that the allowed (albeit with high ⁷Li) range of $\Omega_b h^2$ in these models is between a factor of ten and fourty larger than the allowed range of $\Omega_b h^2$ in HBBN models. The upper end of this range in $\Omega_b h^2$ may even allow for baryons to provide closure density when the Hubble parameter is smaller than $h \lesssim 0.45$.

We have also investigated the nucleosynthesis results in PIB-like models as a function of the collapse efficiency parameter f as defined in Section 2. In Figures 8a and 8b we present the nucleosynthesis yields and ratios Ω_b/Ω_{diff} for a distribution in η characterized by the transformation in equation (8a), spectral index n=0, $M_c>M_J^b$, and $\Delta_{cr}=1.5$. In this figure we vary $\Omega_b h^2$ and the collapse efficiency parameter. The notation in these figures is similiar to that in Figures 5,6 and 7.

The different lines correspond to the following collapse efficiencies: f = 100% (lower dotted lines), f = 97.5% (short-dashed lines), f = 95% (long dashed lines), f = 90% (dashed-dotted lines), and f = 80% (upper dotted lines). Note that the lower dotted lines in Figures 8a and 8b represent the results for the same model as the short-dashed lines in Figures 5a and 5b. These lines are shown for comparison. Note that the lower dotted lines in the Y_p and ($^7\text{Li/H}$) panels of Figures 8a and 8b are to be associated with the upper dotted lines in the ($^2\text{H/H}$) and ($^3\text{He/H}$) panels of Figure 8a.

It is not surprising to find that the ⁴He and ⁷Li abundances increase and the ²H and ³He abundances decrease when the collapse efficiency parameter decreases. This is because with lower collapse efficiency more high-density regions contribute their nucleosynthesis yields to the diffuse baryons. The high-density regions produce relatively larger amounts

of ⁴He and ⁷Li and smaller amounts of ²H and ³He than the low-density regions. In this model, however, it is evident that collapse efficiencies do not have to be extremely close to 100% in order to avoid gross overproduction of ⁴He and ⁷Li.

In Figures 9a and 9b we present the nucleosynthesis yields and survival ratios Ω_b/Ω_{diff} for a distribution in η characterized by the transformation equation (8b), spectral index $n=0,\ M_c>M_J^b$, and $\Delta_{cr}=2$. We show models with collapse efficiencies f=100% (dotted lines), f=99% (short-dashed lines), and f=97.5% (long-dashed lines). Note that the dotted lines in Figures 9a and 9b represent the results for the same model as the short-dashed lines in Figure 6a and 6b.

From Figures 9a and 9b it is evident that the collapse efficiencies for these models would have to be very close to 100% in order to avoid overproduction of 4 He and, especially, 7 Li. This conclusion stands in contrast to that derived from the models of Figures 8a and 8b, and is easily understood by an examination of the results presented in Figure 1b. This figure shows a small part of the distribution in η from which the model results of Figures 9a and 9b have been computed. The distribution is characterized by very overdense peaks. If only a very few of these peaks did not collapse, their contributions to the 7 Li component in the surviving diffuse baryons from these high-density regions would be very significant, perhaps even dominant.

Our computation of the abundance yields from a particular model as a function of the collapse efficiency parameter f proceeds in the following manner. First, the algorithm finds all the regions which are overdense by the critical amount Δ_{cr} . Then, the algorithm randomly chooses a fraction (1-f) of these overdense regions to not collapse and thus to contribute to the abundance yields of the surviving diffuse baryons.

This procedure may actually not lead to a very accurate assessment of the variations in abundance yields associated with the uncertainties of collapse of particular regions. Realistically, an overdense region may not completely collapse because of its peculiar angular momentum and local environment. However, we expect the very overdense peaks within an overdense region to collapse with high efficiency. A better assessment of the variations in abundance yields due to collapse efficiency uncertainties may be obtained by averaging over the abundance yields for a range of Δ_{cr} . Figures 5-7, which show the abundance yields of different models for different Δ_{cr} , may therefore provide a more realistic estimate for the anticipated magnitude of variations in abundance yields due to collapse efficiency uncertainties. In any case, Figure 9a illustrates that a very large fraction (\gtrsim 99%) of the

overdense peaks of a distribution characterized by very overdense peaks must collapse in order that ⁴He and ⁷Li not be overproduced.

In Figure 10a and 10b we show the nucleosynthesis results and ratios Ω_b/Ω_{diff} for a distribution in η characterized by transformation equation (8c), spectral index n=0, $M_c>M_J^b$, $\Delta_{cr}=2$, and varying Ω_bh^2 and collapse efficiencies f. The lines represent f=100% (dotted lines), f=99% (short-dashed lines), and f=97.5% (long-dashed lines). The conclusions drawn from these figures are quite similiar to the conclusions for the model investigated in Figures 9a and 9b. A large fraction ($f \gtrsim 99\%$) of very overdense peaks has to form dark remnants in order that ⁷Li and ⁴He not be overproduced.

In our study we have so far assumed that the fluctuation cutoff mass scale, M_c , is larger than the mass scale M_J^b . The local baryon Jeans mass, M_J^b , divides fluctuation evolution into two regimes: overdense fluctuations on mass scales $M > M_J^b$ ultimately are expected to collapse; whereas, overdense fluctuations on mass scales $M < M_J^b$ will expand, and their nucleosynthesis yields will mix with the diffuse baryons. We have examined the nucleosynthesis yields of PIB-like models when fluctuations exist on mass scales below M_J^b .

In Figures 11a and 11b we show the nucleosynthesis yields and fraction Ω_b/Ω_{diff} for a PIB-like model with a distribution in η characterized by the transformation equation (8a), spectral index n=0, $\Delta_{cr}=1.5$, and collapse efficiency f=100%. In this figure we have varied the cutoff mass scale M_c . The dotted line is for $M_c>M_J^b$, the short-dashed line is for $M_c=M_J^b/3$, the long-dashed line is for $M_c=M_J^b/6$, and the dashed-dotted line is for $M_c=M_J^b/10$. Note that the dotted lines represent the results of our "reference" model, which have already been shown by the short-dashed lines in Figure 5a and 5b and the dotted lines in Figure 8a and 8b.

Compared to the reference model, the ${}^{4}\text{He}$ and ${}^{7}\text{Li}$ yields increase and the ${}^{2}\text{H}$ and ${}^{3}\text{He}$ yields decrease whenever M_c falls below M_J^b . These results are as expected, since sub-Jeans mass size overdense fluctuations which are not included in super-Jeans mass size overdense regions will expand and contribute large amounts of ${}^{4}\text{He}$ and ${}^{7}\text{Li}$ to the diffuse baryons. This material from sub-Jeans mass size overdense fluctuations will also dilute the (${}^{2}\text{H}/\text{H}$) and (${}^{3}\text{He}/\text{H}$) ratios relative to the reference model. Note that ${}^{4}\text{He}$ and ${}^{7}\text{Li}$ (for most of the "interesting" range in $\Omega_b h^2$) will even increase over the HBBN yields as the fluctuation cutoff mass scale is decreased.

It is evident from Figure 11b that the fraction of material included in regions which meet the requirement to have overdensity Δ_{cr} on a mass scale larger than M_J^b decreases

as the cutoff mass scale M_c decreases. Equivalently, the ratio Ω_b/Ω_{diff} decreases with decreasing M_c as well. In the limit where Ω_b/Ω_{diff} approaches unity, there is no collapse and the abundance yields would be given by a weighted average over the HBBN yields of all the regions of the entire distribution. The model results displayed by the dashed-dotted lines are actually not far removed from that limit $(\Omega_b/\Omega_{diff} \lesssim 2)$. For comparison, the same model but without any collapse $(\Omega_b/\Omega_{diff} = 1)$ would yield $(^2H/H) = 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$, $Y_p = 0.242$, and $(^7Li/H) = 8.4 \times 10^{-10}$ for $\Omega_b h^2 = 0.01$. These abundance yields differ only slightly from the yields for $\Omega_b h^2 = 0.01$ (dashed-dotted line).

It is quite surprising that even for a distribution of η as inhomogeneous as that in Figure 1a, and without any collapse, there exists a narrow interval in $\Omega_b h^2$ where all the observational abundance constraints may be satisfied. In particular, for $0.006 \lesssim \Omega_b h^2 \lesssim 0.0012$ agreement between the computed abundance yields and the observationally inferred abundance constraints is possible within the observational uncertainties. Note that this range for $\Omega_b h^2$ is close to the range for $\Omega_b h^2$ inferred from HBBN. Agreement between abundance yields and observationally inferred abundance limits would require significant ⁷Li depletion and a high deuterium abundance ($^2H/H$) $\gtrsim 1 \times 10^{-4}$.

We have implicitly assumed so far that there is a cutoff mass scale which is larger than $M_c \gtrsim 10^{-11} M_{\odot}$ (approximately sixteen orders of magnitude smaller than $M_J^b!$). If there were fluctuations on mass scales below $M_{\sim}^{<}10^{-11} M_{\odot}$, diffusive and hydrodynamic processes during the epoch of primordial nucleosynthesis would alter the abundance yields from what we have calculated.

It is not straightforward to estimate accurately the effects of diffusive processes during the nucleosynthesis era on the abundance yields of stochastic baryon number distributions such as those shown in Figures 1abc. The nucleosynthesis yields of a regular lattice of fluctuation sites in the mass range $10^{-21}M_{\odot} \lesssim M \lesssim 10^{-11}M_{\odot}$ have been investigated in detail (Kurki-Suonio et~al.~1988; 1990; Mathews et~al.~1990; Jedamzik et~al.~1994). Depending on the fluctuation characteristics, especially the separation of adjacent fluctuations in the regular lattice, Y_p is usually larger (but can be smaller) than the Y_p of a homogeneous model at the same average $\Omega_b h^2$. The number ratio of ($^7\text{Li}/\text{H}$) tends to be much larger in such inhomogeneous models than its HBBN value. On the other hand, most inhomogeneous scenarios with diffusion tend to yield slightly lower values for Y_p and ($^7\text{Li}/\text{H}$) than do inhomogeneous models which neglect diffusive processes.

The abundance yields for a truly stochastic distribution may be roughly approximated by averaging over the abundance yields from different regular lattices of fluctuation sites with varying fluctuation separation lengths. Such an average should always increase Y_p and ($^7\text{Li/H}$) relative to their respective HBBN yields (Meyer et al. 1991). Therefore, we expect models which have small-scale fluctuations and diffusive processes during the nucleosynthesis era to produce ^4He and ^7Li yields which are far above the dotted lines shown in Figure 11a. We also expect such models to yield ^7Li and ^4He slightly below the dashed-dotted lines in Figure 11a. The situation for the other light elements, ^2H and ^3He , is more complicated. These issues are investigated in more detail by Kurki-Suonio, Jedamzik, & Mathews (1994). These authors will treat diffusive processes during the nucleosynthesis epoch explicitly and combine their results with the results of the present study.

Note that our arguments implicitly assume that there is some turnover in effective spectral index of the baryon number distribution, from $n_{eff} = 0$ on large mass scales, to an $n_{eff} = -3$ Harrison-Zeldovich character on small mass scales. If there were not such a turnover in effective spectral index, there would either be no significant fraction of baryons collapsing (since fluctuation amplitudes on M_J^b -size mass scales are very small), or fluctuation amplitudes on small mass scales $M_{\sim}^{<}10^{-11}M_{\odot}$ would have to be extremely large ($\Delta \gtrsim 10^8$).

In Figures 12a and 12b we show the nucleosynthesis yields and fraction Ω_b/Ω_{diff} for a distribution of η characterized by the transformation equation (8b), spectral index $n=0, \Delta_{cr}=2$, and varying $\Omega_b h^2$ and M_c . The lines represent results for $M_c>M_J^b$ (dotted), $M_c=M_J^b/3$ (short-dashed), $M_c=M_J^b/6$ (long-dashed), and $M_c=M_J^b/10$ (dashed-dotted). In these figures the dotted line represents the results of our reference model which have already been shown by the short-dashed lines in Figures 6a and 6b and the dotted lines in Figures 9a and 9b.

When the cutoff mass scale is decreased, Y_p and (⁷Li/H) abundance significantly increase, and (²H/H) and (³He/H) decrease relative to the results of the reference model. Since this particular distribution in η includes very overdense peaks ($\Delta \sim 100$), it is not surprising that the ⁴He and ⁷Li yields *increase* over the yields of a HBBN scenario at the same $\Omega_b h^2$.

For the particular distribution investigated here, there seems to be no interval in $\Omega_b h^2$ for which all the abundance constraints may be met. This result implies that models

with stochastic large- and small-scale, large-amplitude ($\Delta \sim 100$) inhomogeneities in the baryon-to-photon ratio are ruled out by the observationally inferred primordial light element abundances. We can only expect possible agreement between the abundance yields of such models and observationally inferred abundance limits when there is a fluctuation cutoff mass scale $M_c \gtrsim M_J^b$. Furthermore, our discussion about the effects of diffusive processes during the nucleosynthesis era should indicate that the existence of small-scale fluctuations down to the mass range $M \sim 10^{-21} - 10^{-11} M_{\odot}$ is not likely to change these conclusions.

In Figures 13a and 13b we present the nucleosynthesis yields from a distribution in η characterized by transformation equation (8c), spectral index n=0, $\Delta_{cr}=2$, and for cutoff mass scales $M_c > M_J^b$ (dotted), $M_c = M_J^b/3$ (short-dashed), $M_c = M_J^b/6$ (long-dashed), and $M_c = M_J^b/10$ (dashed-dotted). It is evident from these figures that for $M_c < M_J^b$ there seems to be no range in $\Omega_b h^2$ where the production of all the light elements is consistent with observationally inferred abundance constraints. Note that this model is characterized by large-amplitude fluctuations, and so is similar to the model considered in Figures 12a and 12b.

We have investigated the dependence of nucleosynthesis yields in PIB-like models on the effective spectral index of the distribution in η . We have employed spectral indices n=0 and n=-2.4 in equation (7). Note that n here denotes the three-dimensional spectral index. The $\sigma_{\eta}(M)$ (or, equivalently, $\delta\rho/\rho$ as a function of mass scale) for the resultant distributions in η has been presented in Figures 3abc. These figures illustrate that the effective spectral index of the distribution in η is $n_{eff} \approx 0$ for n=0 and $n_{eff} \approx -1.5$ for n=-2.4. We note, however, that there is some uncertainty associated with the exact value of n_{eff} .

In Figures 14a and 14b we show the nucleosynthesis yields and Ω_b/Ω_{diff} for a distribution in η characterized by transformation equation (8a), $\Delta_{cr}=1.5$, $M_c>M_J^b$, and effective spectral indices $n_{eff}\approx 0$ (dotted lines) and $n_{eff}\approx -1.5$ (dashed lines). It is surprising to find that there is so little dependence of the nucleosynthesis yields on the effective spectral index of the distribution in η . In general, for decreasing n_{eff} the ⁴He mass fraction, Y_p , decreases slightly, whereas the number ratios (²H/H) and (³He/H) increase slightly. The number ratio (⁷Li/H) decreases for large $\Omega_b h^2$, and increases for small $\Omega_b h^2$ when n_{eff} decreases.

These trends can be easily understood on inspection of Figure 14b. For a smaller n_{eff} , a larger fraction of the baryons collapse. In turn, a larger fraction of collapsing baryons

implies that the average $\Omega_{diff}h^2$ is smaller, which ultimately leads to smaller ⁴He yields and larger ²H and ³He yields. The ⁷Li yield increases or decreases for decreasing Ω_b/Ω_{diff} depending on whether Ω_bh^2 is smaller than, or larger than, the Ω_bh^2 at the ⁷Li dip. Our results show that the nucleosynthesis yields in PIB-like models are not very dependent on the effective spectral index of the distribution of η in the interval $-1.5 \lesssim n_{eff} \lesssim 0$. Note that PIB-models for large scale structure formation would prefer spectral indices somewhere between $n_{eff}=0$ and $n_{eff}=-1$.

These conclusions are confirmed by the results shown in Figures 15a and 15b and Figures 16a and 16b. These figures show nucleosynthesis yields and Ω_b/Ω_{diff} for models with $\Delta_{cr}=2,\ M_c>M_J^b$, and two different spectral indices: $n_{eff}\approx 0$ (dotted lines) and $n_{eff}\approx -1.5$ (dashed lines). The calculations described by Figures 15a and 15b employ transformation equation (8b) for the generation of the distribution of η ; whereas, calculations described by Figures 16a and 16b employ transformation equation (8c) for the generation of the distribution of η .

There is a unique prediction of cosmological models which contain non-linear, intermediate to large scale primordial isocurvature baryon number fluctuations. Since such models are characterized by inhomogeneity in the baryon-to-photon ratio during the nucleosynthesis epoch, we expect the production of *intrinsic* spatial variations in the primordial light element abundances. In contrast, HBBN models predict a universal set of cosmic light element abundances.

To illustrate this point, we define the probability distribution function $P_{\lambda}(Y_p)$. This function gives the probability for finding a region of size λ to have average ⁴He mass fraction Y_p . Note that the definition of $P_{\lambda}(Y_p)$ is analogous to the definition of $P_{\lambda}(\eta)$ in Section 2. In a similar fashion we define probability functions $P_{\lambda}(^{2}H/H)$, $P_{\lambda}(^{3}He/H)$, and $P_{\lambda}(^{7}Li/H)$ to give the probability for finding a region of size λ with average number ratios of $(^{2}H/H)$, $(^{3}He/H)$, and $(^{7}Li/H)$, respectively.

In Figures 17a, 17b, and 17c we display these numerically determined probability functions for various scales λ and different models of the distribution of η . In these figures the panels in the upper left-hand corners display $P_{\lambda}(Y_p)$, whereas the panels in the lower left-hand corners display $P_{\lambda}(^{7}\text{Li/H})$. The panels in the upper and lower right-hand corners display $P_{\lambda}(^{2}\text{H/H})$ and $P_{\lambda}(^{3}\text{He/H})$, respectively. In each panel we show four probability distribution functions. These distribution functions are determined for the scales $\lambda < \lambda_c$ (solid lines), $\lambda = 100\lambda_c$ (dotted lines), $\lambda = 1000\lambda_c$ (short-dashed lines), and $\lambda = 1000\lambda_c$ (short-dashed lines), and $\lambda = 1000\lambda_c$

 $10000\lambda_c$ (long-dashed lines). Note that in our "one-dimensional" theory the length scale λ is proportional to the mass scale M.

In Figure 17a we show probability distribution functions for a model spatial distribution of η which is characterized by the transformation equation (8a), spectral index n=0, $\Delta_{cr}=1.5$, and $M_c>M_J^b$. Furthermore, we assume a cosmic average baryon-to-photon ratio of $<\eta>=6\times 10^{-10}$. This corresponds to a value of $\Omega_b h^2=0.0224$.

The panels in Figure 17a clearly illustrate that there is a finite width to the abundance probability distribution functions. In other words, there is a finite probability to find regions of mass scale M to have abundances which are smaller, or larger, than average cosmic abundances. The widths of the distribution functions decrease as the mass scale (or, equivalently, λ) increases. On the smallest mass scales (solid lines), there is a fairly wide range of about equally probable primordial abundances. On the largest mass scales (long-dashed lines), the intrinsic widths of the probability distribution functions are approximately $4 \times 10^{-3} < Y_p >$ for the 4 He mass fraction, $10^{-1} < (^2\text{H/H}) >$ for the deuterium-to-hydrogen number ratio, $5 \times 10^{-2} < (^3\text{He/H}) >$ for the 3 He-to-hydrogen number ratio, and $7 \times 10^{-2} < (^7\text{Li/H}) >$ for the 7 Li-to-hydrogen number ratio. Here the brackets denote the cosmic average abundances for this particular model for the distribution of η .

In Figure 17b we show probability distribution functions for a spatial distribution of η which is characterized by the transformation equation (8b), spectral index n=0, $\Delta_{cr}=2$, and $M_c>M_J^b$. In this model we assume a cosmic average baryon-to-photon ratio of $<\eta>=1.2\times10^{-9}$. We observe the same trends and features in this figure as were observed in Figure 17a. However, the intrinsic widths in the distribution functions for the largest scales ($\lambda=10^4\lambda_c$) are much larger than those in Figure 17a. This is because the distribution investigated in Figure 17b includes large-amplitude fluctuations in η , whereas the fluctuation amplitudes of the distribution investigated in Figure 17a are moderate. On the largest scales we find approximate widths of the distribution functions to be $2\times10^{-2} < Y_p>$ for the ⁴He mass fraction, $0.4<(^2\text{H/H})>$ for the deuterium-to-hydrogen number ratio, $0.25<(^3\text{He/H})>$ for the ³He-to-hydrogen number ratio, and $0.3<(^7\text{Li/H})>$ for the ⁷Li-to-hydrogen number ratio.

In Figure 17c we display distribution functions for a spatial distribution of η which is characterized by the transformation equation (8c), spectral index n = 0, $\Delta_{cr} = 2$, and

 $M_c > M_J^b$. For this calculation we have assumed a cosmic average baryon-to-photon ratio of $<\eta>=3\times10^{-9}$.

For the smallest scales, the probability distribution functions display a peak which is not centered at the cosmic average abundances. This is easily understood by inspection of Figure 1c, where it is obvious that in the present model there exists a minimum baryon-to-photon ratio η_{min} . A large fraction of the universe in this model has η_{min} , so that the probability to find a small region with abundance yields pertaining to η_{min} is large. The intrinsic wdths of the distribution functions on the largest scales are somewhat smaller than those found in Figure 17b.

These results imply that PIB-like models which have an intermediate-scale, non-linear fluctuation component would lead to intrinsic primordial abundance variations on small, as well, as large mass scales. Note that a mass scale of $M=10^4 M_c$ assumed for the probability distribution functions shown in Figures 17abc can easily correspond to mass scales as large as $M\sim 10^{11}-10^{12}M_{\odot}$, depending on the assumed value of the cutoff mass scale, M_c . We do not expect small-scale primordial abundance variations to survive to the present epoch. This is because mixing mechanisms, such as shock waves induced by supernovae explosions, should be efficient enough to mix the primordial material on intermediate mass scales.

It is, however, questionable if mixing could erase preexisting primordial abundance variations on mass scales as large as $M \sim 10^9 - 10^{11} M_{\odot}$. In fact, it is well known that the ⁴He mass fraction observed in metal-poor, extragalctic H II regions exhibits a significant variation between objects of the same metallicity. Typical ⁴He mass fractions are in the range 0.22 - 0.26. It has been suggested that this spread is not due to observational uncertainties, but rather represents a real physical variations. However, even if these suggestions are correct, there are several chemical evolution effects which may produce such a spread (Campbell 1992).

In any case, the intrinsic spread in the ⁴He mass fractions observed in metal-poor H II regions may be used to put upper limits on the magnitude of preexisting primordial abundance variations. In order to derive useful upper limits on large-scale inhomogeneity, the details of the mixing of primordial material would have to be considered. In this way, cosmological models which include primordial, non-linear, isocurvature baryon number fluctuations could be further constrained.

4. Conclusions

We have examined the primordial nucleosynthesis process in the presence of large mass scale, nonlinear entropy fluctuations. A variety of fluctuation spectra were considered, including some which have the characteristics of the spectra of PIB models extrapolated to smaller mass scales. Our computations provide for the collapse of overdense regions with masses above the local baryon Jeans mass, M_J^b (equation 4). The baryons, and hence the nucleosynthesis products, which avoid incorporation into condensed objects were found to originate mostly from underdense regions. A complicating feature of nucleosynthesis calculations in a field of stochastically distributed fluctuations arises from the fact that a particular underdense region may reside inside a larger overdense region which, in turn, might be destined for collapse. In our computations we have included a detailed numerical treatment of this "cloud-in-cloud" problem, and we have found that such a treatment was important for the accurate estimate of light element (2 H, 3 He, 4 He, 7 Li) abundance yields.

In general, we have found that PIB-like spectra with significant small-scale, largeamplitude structure (i.e., non-linear, $\Delta >> 1$, structure on mass scales $M < M_J^b$) produced light element abundance yields which were in conflict with observationally-inferred limits for almost any pre-nucleosynthesis Ω_b . This may represent an important nucleosynthesisbased constraint on PIB models for large scale structure formation, if the underlying microscopic mechanisms which generated fluctuations in these models somehow demanded the presence of nonlinear small-scale structure.

However, if such small scale fluctuations were absent or suppressed, then our computations have shown that there exists a range of fluctuation spectral characteristics which produce light element abundance yields in agreement with observationally-inferred limits. Particular distributions of baryons were found to produce acceptable nucleosynthesis yields even when the pre-nucleosynthesis baryonic fraction of the closure density was as high as $\Omega_b \approx 0.2h^{-2}$ (i.e., roughly closure density for small Hubble parameter). On the other hand, the fractional contribution of the diffuse, "uncollapsed" baryons to the closure density, Ω_{diff} , was found to tend to be lower than the $\Omega_b^{\rm HBBN}$ inferred from standard homogeneous big bang nucleosynthesis. Typical values for $\Omega_{diff} < 0.01$ may be in better accord with the observed fractional contribution of the luminous baryons to the closure density ($\Omega_{lum} \approx 0.003 - 0.007$) than Ω_b^{HBBN} .

In any case, we have found that such a relaxation of the homogeneous big bang limit on Ω_b would usually require that the primordial abundance of ⁷Li be closer to the observed

Population I value than to the Spite "plateau" value. In turn, this would demand that there had been a fair amount of destruction of ⁷Li in the Spite plateau stars, a conceivable though controversial possibility. Future observations may resolve this issue.

In our models which met abundance constraints we found that ${}^2{\rm H/H}$ was high and the ${}^4{\rm He}$ mass fraction low relative to a homogeneous big bang at a given value of $\Omega_b h^2$. Obviously, this would have to be the case if these models were to meet abundance limits for values of Ω_b that were larger than the limit on this quantity from the homogeneous big bang. The important point is that PIB-like models possessing small scale fluctuation cutoffs could alter the relative abundances of ${}^2{\rm H}$, ${}^3{\rm He}$, ${}^4{\rm He}$, ${}^7{\rm Li}$ over those from a homogeneous big bang, while still meeting abundance constraints within observational uncertainties. More accurate observational determinations of any two of these light element abundances might provide a signature for or, more likely, a constraint on such PIB-like models.

We have pointed out that a potential signature of a PIB-like distribution of entropy at the nucleosynthesis epoch would be the observation of a significant and *intrinsic* variation in the primordial abundances of the light elements, especially deuterium. Our calculations have predicted that such PIB-like models could produce light element abundance variations on baryon mass scales up to $10^{10}~{\rm M}_{\odot}$ to $10^{12}~{\rm M}_{\odot}$.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge useful conversations with Craig Hogan and Grant Mathews. K.J. wishes to acknowledge the hospitality of the Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics at the University of Chicago. This work was supported in part by NSF Grant PHY91-21623. It was also performed in part under the auspices of the US Department of Energy by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract number W-7405-ENG-48.

5. References

Alcock, C.R., Dearborn, D.S.P., Fuller, G.M., Mathews, G.J., & Meyer, B. 1990, Phys.Rev.Lett., 64, 2607

Cen, R., Ostriker, P., & Peebles, P.J.E. 1993, 415, 423

Chaboyer, B. & Demarque, P. 1994, ApJ, in press

Chaboyer, B., Demarque, P., & Pinsonneault, M.H. 1994, preprint astro-ph/9408058

Chaboyer, B., Demarque, P., & Pinsonneault, M.H. 1994, preprint astro-ph/9408059

Chiba, T., Sugiyama, N., & Suto, Y. 1994, preprint UTAP-93-165

Davis, M. & Peebles, P.J.E. 1983, ApJ, 267, 465

Deliyannis, C.P., Demarque, P., & Kawaler, S.D. 1990, ApJS, 73, 21

Dolgov, A. & Silk, J. 1993, Phys.Rev. D, 47, 4244

Efstathiou, G., Frenk, C.S., White, S.D.M., & Davis, M. 1988, MNRAS, 235, 715

Epstein, R.I. & Petrosian, V. 1975, ApJ, 197, 281

Fuller, G.M., Woosley, S.E., & Weaver, T.A. 1986, ApJ, 307, 675

Fuller, G.M., Boyd, R., & Kalen, J. 1991, ApJL, 371, L11

Gnedin, N.Y. & Ostriker, J.P. 1992, ApJ, 400, 1

Gnedin, N.Y., Ostriker, J.P., & Rees, M.J. 1994, preprint

Gorski, K.M. & Silk, J. 1989, ApJL, 346, L1

Harrison, E.R. 1968, A.J., 73, 533

Hobbs, L. & Thorburn, J.A. 1994, ApJL, 428, L25

Hogan, C.J. 1978, MNRAS, 185, 889

Hogan, C.J. 1993, ApJL, 415, L63

Hu, W. & Sugiyama, N. 1994, preprint CfPA-TH-94-16

Jedamzik, K. & Fuller, G.M. 1994, ApJ, 423, 33

Jedamzik, K., Fuller, G.M., & Mathews, G.J. 1994, ApJ, 423, 50

Jedamzik, K., Mathews, G.J., & Fuller, G.M. 1994, ApJ, in press

Jedamzik, K. 1994, preprint astro-ph/9408080

Kashlinsky, A. & Rees, M.J. 1983, 205, 955

Kawano, L.H. 1992, preprint Fermilab-Pub-92/04-A

Kolb, E.W. & Turner, M.S. 1990, in *The Early Universe* (Reading: Addison-Wesley), pg 321ff

Kurki-Suonio, H., Matzner, R.A., Centrella, J., Rothman, T., & Wilson, J.R. 1988, Phys.Rev. D, 42, 1047 Kurki-Suonio, H., Matzner, R.A., Olive, K.A., & Schramm, D.N. 1990, ApJ, 353, 406

Kurki-Suonio, H., Jedamzik, K., & Mathews, G.J. 1994, in preparation

Loeb, A. 1993, ApJ, 403, 542

Mathews, G.J., Meyer, B.S., Alcock, C.R., & Fuller, G.M. 1990, ApJ, 358, 36

Mathews, G.J., Boyd, R., & Fuller, G.M. 1993, ApJ, 403, 65

Meyer, B.S., Alcock, C.R., Mathews, G.J., & Fuller, G.M. 1990, Phys.Rev. D, 43, 1079

Olive, K.A. & Steigman, G. 1994, preprint UMN-TH-1230/94

Pagel, B.E.J., Simonson, E.A., Terlevich, R.J., & Edmunds, M.G. 1992, MNRAS, 255, 325

Peebles, P.J.E. 1971, *Physical Cosmology* (Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press)

Peebles, P.J.E. 1987a, ApJL, 315, L73

Peebles, P.J.E. 1987b, Nature, 327, 210

Pinsonneault, M.H., Deliyannis, C.P., & Demarque, P. 1992, ApJS, 78, 179

Press, W.H. & Schechter, P. 1974, ApJ, 187, 425

Rees, M.J. 1984, in Formation and Evolution of Galaxies and Large Structures in the Universe, editor D.Reidel Publishing Comp.,pg 271

Sale, K.E. & Mathews, G.J. 1986, ApJL, 309, L1

Sasselov, D. & Goldwirth, D.S. 1994, ApJ, in press

Skillman, E.D., Kennicut Jr., R.C. 1993, ApJ, 411, 655

Skillman, E.D., Terlevich, R., & Garnett, D.R. 1994, ApJ, in press

Smith, V.V., Lambert, D.L., & Nissen, P.E. 1982, ApJ, 408, 262

Smith, M.S., Kawano, L.H., & Malaney, R.A. 1993, ApJS, 85, 219

Songaila, A., Cowie, L.L., Hogan, C.J., & Rugers, M. 1994, Nature, 368, 599

Spite, F. & Spite, M. 1982, A& A, 115, 357

Suginohara, T. & Suto, Y. 1992, ApJ, 387, 431

Thomas, D., Schramm, D.N., Olive, K.A., Meyer, B., Mathews, G., & Fields, B. 1994, ApJ, 430, 291

Thorburn, J.A. 1994, ApJ, 421, 318

Wagoner, R.V., Fowler, W.A., & Hoyle, F. 1967, ApJ, 148, 3

Walker, T.P., Steigman, G., Schramm, D.N., Olive, K.A., & Kang, H.-S. 1991, ApJ, 376, 51

Yamamoto, K., Nagasawa, M., Sasaki, K., Suzuki, H., Yokoyama, J. 1992, Phys.Rev. D, 46, 4206

Yokoyama, J. & Suto, Y. 1991, 379, 427

Zeldovich, Ya. B. 1975, Soviet Astr. Lett., 1, 5

6. Figure Captions

- Figure 1a A one-dimensional baryon-to-photon number distribution $\eta(x)$ divided by the average baryon-to-photon ratio $\langle \eta \rangle$ as a function of space coordinate x (solid line). This distribution has been generated by employing the transformation equation (8a) to a gaussian random variable. The dotted boxes indicate those regions which are overdense on average by the critical amount Δ_{cr} . In this figure we have used $\Delta_{cr} = 1.5$. Note that the full simulation-"volume" extends from x = 0 to x = 1. The figure shows only a small part of the full distribution.
- Figure 1b The notation in this figure is as in Figure 1a. The distribution shown in this figure has been generated by employing the transformation equation (8b) to a gaussian random variable. For this figure we have used a critical overdensity of $\Delta_{cr} = 2$.
- Figure 1c The notation in this figure is as in Figure 1a. The distribution shown in this figure has been generated by employing the transformation equation (8c) to a gaussian random variable. For this figure we have used a critical overdensity of $\Delta_{cr} = 2$.
- Figure 2a Probability distribution functions $P_{\lambda}(\eta)$ to find a region of size λ (or, equivalently, mass M) with average baryon-to-photon ratio η . These distribution functions are plotted as a function of the ratio $\eta/<\eta>, where <math><\eta>$ is the cosmic average baryon-to-photon ratio. Distribution functions are shown for the mass scales $M=M_c$ (solid line), $M=10M_c$ (dotted line), $M=100M_c$ (short-dashed line), and $M=1000M_c$ (long-dashed line). Here M_c is the cutoff mass scale below which baryon-to-photon fluctuations are assumed to be suppressed. For comparison, the dashed-dotted line shows the analytically determined distribution function $P(\eta)$ (equation 11). Note that the ordinate scales differently for different distribution functions. The baryon-to-photon distribution has been generated by employing the transformation equation (8a) to a gaussian random variable.
- **Figure 2b** The notation in this figure is as in Figure 2a. Distribution functions are shown for a baryon-to-phton distribution generated by employing transformation equation (8b) to a gaussian random variable.
- **Figure 2c** The notation in this figure is as in Figure 2a. Distribution functions are shown for a baryon-to-photon distribution generated by employing transformation equation (8c) to a gaussian random variable.
- Figure 3a The variance $\sigma_{\eta}(M)$ as a function of the ratio of mass to cutoff mass scale (M/M_c) . We have generated the baryon-to-photon distribution by employing transformation

- equation (8a) to a gaussian random variable. The dotted line shows $\sigma_{\eta}(M)$ for a distribution where a spectral index n=0 of the gaussian random variable has been used (equation 7). The dashed line shows $\sigma_{\eta}(M)$ for a model where n=-2.4 has been used. For comparison we also show $\sigma_{\eta}(M)$ from equation (15) with n=0 (lower solid line) and n=-1.5 (upper solid line).
- Figure 3b The notation in this figure is as in Figure 1a. For this figure we use a distribution in η which is characterized by transformation equation (8b).
- Figure 3c The notation in this figure is as in Figure 3a. For this figure we use a distribution in η which is characterized by transformation equation (8c).
- Figure 4a Convergence of numerical results as a function of the number of Fourier modes employed in the simulation. Upper panel the ratios (2 H/H) (solid line), 4 He mass fraction Y_p (dotted line), (7 Li/H) (short-dashed line), and (Ω_b/Ω_{diff}) to their convergent values as noted in the figures. Lower panel the variances $\sigma_{\eta}(M_c)$ (solid line), and $20 \times \sigma_{\eta}(1000 \times M_c)$ (dotted line). In these simulations we have used the transformation equation (8a), spectral index n = 0, and $\Delta_{cr} = 1.5$.
- Figure 4b The notation in this figure is as in Figure 4a. For this figure we have used a distribution in η characterized by transformation equation (8b), spectral index n = 0, and $\Delta_{cr} = 2$.
- Figure 5a Light-element nucleosynthesis yields for a model distribution of η which is characterized by the transformation equation (8a), spectral index $n=0,\ M_c>M_J^b$, and various values of $\Omega_b h^2$ and Δ_{cr} . The panel in the upper left-hand corner shows the ⁴He mass fraction Y_p as a function of $\Omega_b h^2$, whereas the panel in the lower left-hand corner shows the (⁷Li/H) number ratio as a function of $\Omega_b h^2$. The panels in the upper and lower right-hand corners show the (²H/H) and (³He/H) number ratios as a function of $\Omega_b h^2$, respectively. The dotted line is for $\Delta_{cr}=1.25$, the short-dashed line is for $\Delta_{cr}=1.5$, the long-dashed line is for $\Delta_{cr}=1.75$, while the dashed-dotted line is for $\Delta_{cr}=2$. The solid lines give the results of standard homogeneous big bang nucleosynthesis for comparison.
- **Figure 5b** The values for $\Omega_{diff}h^2$ as a function of Ω_bh^2 for those models for which the nucleosynthesis yields are shown in Figure 5a.
- Figure 6a Nucleosynthesis yields for a model distribution of η which is characterized by the transformation equation (8b), spectral index n = 0, $M_c > M_J^b$, and various $\Omega_b h^2$ and Δ_{cr} . The different lines show results for models with $\Delta_{cr} = 1.5$ (dotted line), $\Delta_{cr} = 2$

- (short-dashed line), $\Delta_{cr} = 2.5$ (long-dashed line), and $\Delta_{cr} = 3$ (dashed-dotted line). The notation in this figure is as in Figure 5a.
- Figure 6b The values for $\Omega_{diff}h^2$ as a function of Ω_bh^2 for those models for which the nucleosynthesis yields are shown in Figure 6a.
- Figure 7a Nucleosynthesis yields for a model distribution of η which is characterized by the transformation equation (8c), spectral index n=0, $M_c>M_J^b$, and various $\Omega_b h^2$ and Δ_{cr} . The different lines show results for models with $\Delta_{cr}=1.5$ (dotted line), $\Delta_{cr}=2$ (short-dashed line), $\Delta_{cr}=2.5$ (long-dashed line), and $\Delta_{cr}=3$ (dashed-dotted line). The notation in this figure is as in Figure 5a.
- Figure 7b The values for $\Omega_{diff}h^2$ as a function of Ω_bh^2 for those models for which the nucleosynthesis yields are shown in Figure 7a.
- Figure 8a Nucleosynthesis yields for a model distribution of η which is characterized by the transformation equation (8a), spectral index n=0, $M_c>M_J^b$, $\Delta_{cr}=1.5$, and various $\Omega_b h^2$ and collapse efficiency parameters f. The different lines show results for models with f=100% (lower dotted line in the panels for Y_p and ($^7\text{Li/H}$)), f=97.5% (short-dashed line), f=95% (long-dashed line), f=90% (dashed-dotted line), and f=80% (upper dotted line in the panels for Y_p and ($^7\text{Li/H}$)). Note that the lower dotted lines in the panels for Y_p and ($^7\text{Li/H}$) correspond to the upper dotted lines in the panels for h2 and ($^3\text{He/H}$), and vice versa. The notation in this figure is as in Figure 5a.
- Figure 8b The values for $\Omega_{diff}h^2$ as a function of Ω_bh^2 for those models for which the nucleosynthesis yields are shown in Figure 8a. In this figure the results shown by the lower dotted line correspond to the results shown by the lower dotted lines in the panels for Y_p and ($^7\text{Li/H}$) in Figure 8a.
- Figure 9a Nucleosynthesis yields for a model distribution of η which is characterized by the transformation equation (8b), spectral index n=0, $M_c>M_J^b$, $\Delta_{cr}=2$, and various $\Omega_b h^2$ and and collapse efficiency parameters f. The different lines show results for models with f=100% (dotted line), f=99% (short-dashed line), and f=97.5% (long-dashed line). The notation in this figure is as in Figure 5a.
- **Figure 9b** The values for $\Omega_{diff}h^2$ as a function of Ω_bh^2 for those models for which the nucleosynthesis yields are shown in Figure 9a.
- Figure 10a Nucleosynthesis yields for a model distribution of η which is characterized by the transformation equation (8c), spectral index n = 0, $M_c > M_J^b$, $\Delta_{cr} = 2$, and various

- $\Omega_b h^2$ and collapse efficiency parameters f. The different lines show results for models with f = 100% (dotted line), f = 99% (short-dashed line), and f = 97.5% (long-dashed line). The notation in this figure is as in Figure 5a.
- Figure 10b The values for $\Omega_{diff}h^2$ as a function of Ω_bh^2 for those models for which the nucleosynthesis yields are shown in Figure 10a.
- Figure 11a Nucleosynthesis yields for a model distribution of η which is characterized by the transformation equation (8a), spectral index n=0, $\Delta_{cr}=1.5$, and various $\Omega_b h^2$ and cutoff mass scales M_c . The different lines show results for models with $M_c > M_J^b$ (dotted line), $M_c = M_J^b/3$ (short-dashed line), $M_c = M_J^b/6$ (long-dashed line), and $M_c = M_J^b/10$ (dashed-dotted line). The notation in this figure is as in Figure 5a.
- Figure 11b The values for $\Omega_{diff}h^2$ as a function of Ω_bh^2 for those models for which the nucleosynthesis yields are shown in Figure 11a.
- Figure 12a Nucleosynthesis yields for a model distribution of η which is characterized by the transformation equation (8b), spectral index n=0, $\Delta_{cr}=2$, and various $\Omega_b h^2$ and cutoff mass scales M_c . The different lines show results for models with $M_c > M_J^b$ (dotted line), $M_c = M_J^b/3$ (short-dashed line), $M_c = M_J^b/6$ (long-dashed line), and $M_c = M_J^b/10$ (dashed-dotted line). The notation in this figure is as in Figure 5a.
- Figure 12b The values for $\Omega_{diff}h^2$ as a function of Ω_bh^2 for those models for which the nucleosynthesis yields are shown in Figure 12a.
- Figure 13a Nucleosynthesis yields for a model distribution of η which is characterized by the transformation equation (8c), spectral index n=0, $\Delta_{cr}=2$, and various $\Omega_b h^2$ and cutoff mass scales M_c . The different lines show results for models with $M_c > M_J^b$ (dotted line), $M_c = M_J^b/3$ (short-dashed line), $M_c = M_J^b/6$ (long-dashed line), and $M_c = M_J^b/10$ (dashed-dotted line). The notation in this figure is as in Figure 5a.
- Figure 13b The values for $\Omega_{diff}h^2$ as a function of Ω_bh^2 for those models for which the nucleosynthesis yields are shown in Figure 13a.
- Figure 14a Nucleosynthesis yields for a model distribution of η which is characterized by the transformation equation (8a), $M_c > M_J^b$, $\Delta_{cr} = 1.5$, various $\Omega_b h^2$, and two different effective spectral indices n_{eff} for the distribution of η . The dotted line is for a model with $n_{eff} \approx 0$, whereas the dashed line is for a model with $n_{eff} \approx -1.5$. The notation in this figure is as in Figure 5a.
- Figure 14b The values for $\Omega_{diff}h^2$ as a function of Ω_bh^2 for those models for which the nucleosynthesis yields are shown in Figure 14a.

- Figure 15a Nucleosynthesis yields for a model distribution of η which is characterized by the transformation equation (8b), $M_c > M_J^b$, $\Delta_{cr} = 2$, various $\Omega_b h^2$, and two different effective spectral indices n_{eff} for the distribution of η . The dotted line is for a model with $n_{eff} \approx 0$, whereas the dashed line is for a model with $n_{eff} \approx -1.5$. The notation in this figure is as in Figure 5a.
- **Figure 15b** The values for $\Omega_{diff}h^2$ as a function of Ω_bh^2 for those models for which the nucleosynthesis yields are shown in Figure 15a.
- Figure 16a Nucleosynthesis yields for a model distribution of η which is characterized by the transformation equation (8c), $M_c > M_J^b$, $\Delta_{cr} = 2$, various $\Omega_b h^2$, two different effective spectral indices n_{eff} for the distribution of η . The notation in this figure is as in Figure 5a.
- Figure 16b The values for $\Omega_{diff}h^2$ as a function of Ω_bh^2 for those models for which the nucleosynthesis yields are shown in Figure 16a.
- Figure 17a The probability distribution functions $P_{\lambda}(^{2}\text{H/H})$, $P_{\lambda}(^{3}\text{He/H})$, $P_{\lambda}(Y_{p})$, and $P_{\lambda}(^{7}\text{Li/H})$ as defined in the text. The panel in the upper left-hand corner shows $P_{\lambda}(Y_{p})$, while the panel in the lower left-hand corner shows $P_{\lambda}(^{7}\text{Li/H})$. The panels in the upper and lower right-hand corners show $P_{\lambda}(^{2}\text{H/H})$ and $P_{\lambda}(^{3}\text{He/H})$, respectively. Each panel shows four probability distribution functions determined on different scales λ . The solid line is for $\lambda \leq \lambda_{c}$, the dotted line is for $\lambda = 1000\lambda_{c}$, the short-dashed line is for $\lambda = 10000\lambda_{c}$, whereas the long-dashed line is for $\lambda = 10000\lambda_{c}$. The distribution functions in this figure have been computed from a model spatial distribution in η characterized by the transformation equation (8a), spectral index n = 0, $M_{c} > M_{J}^{b}$, $\Delta_{cr} = 1.5$, and average baryon-to-photon ratio $< \eta > = 6 \times 10^{-10}$.
- Figure 17b The notation in this figure is as in Figure 17a. The probability distribution functions shown here have been computed from a model spatial distribution of η which is characterized by transformation equation (8b), spectral index n = 0, $M_c > M_J^b$, $\Delta_{cr} = 2$, and $<\eta>=1.2\times10^{-9}$.
- Figure 17c The notation in this figure is as in Figure 17a. The probability distribution functions shown here have been computed from a model spatial distribution of η which is characterized by transformation equation (8c), spectral index n = 0, $M_c > M_J^b$, $\Delta_{cr} = 2$, and $< \eta >= 3 \times 10^{-9}$.