

# Search for Cold Debris Disks around M-dwarfs

J.-F. Lestrade<sup>1</sup>, M. C. Wyatt<sup>2</sup>, F. Bertoldi<sup>3</sup>, W. R. F. Dent<sup>4</sup>, and K. M. Menten<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Observatoire de Paris - CNRS, 77 av. Denfert Rochereau, F75014, Paris, France  
e-mail: jean-francois.lestrade@obspm.fr

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB3 0HA, UK  
e-mail: wyatt@ast.cam.ac.uk

<sup>3</sup> Radioastronomisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Auf dem Hügel 71, Bonn, D-53121, Germany  
e-mail: bertoldi@astro.uni-bonn.de

<sup>4</sup> UK Astronomy Technology Center, Royal Observatory, Observatory, Edinburgh, EH9 3HJ, UK  
e-mail: dent@roe.ac.uk

<sup>5</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Auf dem Hügel 69, Bonn D-53121, Germany  
e-mail: kmenten@mpi-fr-bonn.mpg.de

Received 22 june 2006 ; accepted 15 september 2006

**Abstract.** Debris disks are believed to be related to planetesimals left over around stars after planet formation has ceased. The frequency of debris disks around M-dwarfs which account for 70% of the stars in the Galaxy is unknown while constraints have already been found for A- to K-type stars. We have searched for cold debris disks around 32 field M-dwarfs by conducting observations at  $\lambda = 850\mu\text{m}$  with the SCUBA bolometer array camera at the JCMT and at  $\lambda = 1.2\text{mm}$  with the MAMBO array at the IRAM 30-m telescopes. This is the first survey of a large sample of M-dwarfs conducted to provide statistical constraints on debris disks around this type of stars. We have detected a new debris disk around the M0.5 dwarf GJ842.2 at  $\lambda = 850\mu\text{m}$ , providing evidence for cold dust at large distance from this star ( $\sim 300\text{AU}$ ). By combining the results of our survey with the ones of Liu et al. (2004), we estimate for the first time the detection rate of cold debris disks around field M-dwarfs with ages between 20 and 200 Myr. This detection rate is  $13^{+6}_{-8}\%$  and is consistent with the detection rate of cold debris disks (9 – 23%) around A- to K-type main sequence stars of the same age. This is an indication that cold disks may be equally prevalent across stellar spectral types.

**Key words.** Stars : circumstellar matter; surveys; stars: low-mass; planetary systems : formation

## 1. Introduction

In star-forming regions, a large fraction of the M-type low-mass stars younger than 6 Myr have disks of gas and dust that are primordial materials for planet formation (Beckwith et al. 1990, Haisch et al. 2001, Dutrey et al. 1996, Andrews & Williams 2005, Muzerolle et al., 2006). However, little is known about the frequency of planetary systems around mature M-type dwarfs (ages  $> 10$  Myr) that account for 70 % of the stars in the Galaxy. For example, amongst the  $\sim 170$  stars hosting planets discovered by the radial velocity technique, only three are M-dwarfs (GJ876 (Marcy et al. 2001), GJ436 (Butler et al. 2004), and GJ581 (Bonfils et al. 2005)). This paucity may be due to selection effects because M-dwarfs are optically faint and chromospherically active, making radial velocity measurements more difficult than for solar-type stars (Endl et al. 2003). From microlensing events, it has been inferred that many M-dwarfs have planets with masses smaller than Jupiter. However

this inference is based on statistical arguments from a small number of events (Gaudi et al., 2002 and Beaulieu et al., 2006).

Another approach to estimate the frequency of planetary systems around stars is to measure the frequency of debris disks around them. A debris disk is made of planetesimals left over from the planetary formation processes. In these disks, dust grains are continuously regenerated by collisions and/or evaporation of the planetesimals. This dust absorbs stellar radiation at visual wavelengths and reradiates the energy at infrared (IR) to submillimeter (sub-mm) wavelengths. It is the large emitting surface area of these numerous grains that makes debris disks around stars observable in the IR and sub-mm, while the mass-dominant planetesimals remain undetected. IR to sub-mm excesses above photospheric emissions of a few hundreds main sequence A- to K-type dwarfs have been photometrically detected by IRAS (Aumann et al. 1984 ; Walker & Wolstencroft 1988 ; Backman & Paresce 1993 ; Mannings & Barlow 1998), by ISO (Habing et al. 2001 ; Spangler et al. 2001 ; Laureijs et al. 2002), and by Spitzer (Rieke et al. 2005 ; Beichman et al. 2005 ; Chen et al. 2005 ; Bryden et al. 2006). Furthermore, imaging of the emitting dust in the (sub)mm of a few debris disks has

Send offprint requests to: J.-F. Lestrade, e-mail : jean-francois.lestrade@obspm.fr

revealed substructures that are best interpreted as dust trapped in resonance with an unseen planet in the inner part of the system (Wyatt 2003, 2006). Also the large reflecting surface area of the dust grains is responsible for scattered light that provides a wealth of information useful to disclose the structure and dynamics of these systems (*e.g.*  $\beta$  Pic in Golimowski et al. 2006). Cold dust in the Kuiper Belt and warm dust in the asteroid belt are the debris of our solar system.

The first attempt to search for mid-IR excesses from field M-dwarfs, *i.e.* M-stars outside of star forming regions, yielded only three detections in the IRAS point source catalogue (Inseok Song et al., 2002). However, debris disks around M-dwarfs may be missed by such mid-IR surveys because M-dwarfs are underluminous ( $L = 0.1 - 0.001 L_{\odot}$ ) so that the irradiated dust is cold ( $<20$  K) and more easily detected at (sub)mm wavelengths. In this paper, we report on observations of 32 young M-dwarfs at  $\lambda = 850\mu\text{m}$  with the Submillimeter Common User Bolometer Array (SCUBA) at the James Clerk Maxwell Telescope (JCMT), and at  $\lambda = 1.2\text{mm}$  with the Max-Planck Millimeter Array (MAMBO) at the IRAM 30m telescope. Previously, only three field M-dwarfs have been observed in the (sub)mm (Liu et al. 2004). Our aim is to determine the frequency of debris disks around M-dwarfs.

Several studies have addressed the problem of planet formation around M-dwarfs and their associated debris disks. Mechanisms that might be specific to this stellar spectral type have been discussed by Johnstone et al. (1998), Laughlin et al. (2004), Throop & Bally (2005), Plavchan, Jura & Lipsky (2005). Our contribution to establishing the statistics of debris disks around M-dwarfs provides new observational constraints.

Section 2 briefly describes the target stars. Section 3 describes the observations at the JCMT and IRAM30m (sub)mm telescopes. Section 4 describes the results of these observations and provides details on the discovery of the debris disk around the M0.5 dwarf GJ842.2. We draw statistical conclusions on debris disks around M-dwarfs in section 5.

## 2. Target stars

Observations have shown that debris disks around main sequence stars of spectral types A to K with ages less than 150 – 400 Myr are dustier than older ones (Habing et al. 2001, Rieke et al. 2005). We therefore selected the youngest M-dwarfs in their post planetary formation phase with ages older than 10 Myr. We shall not denote them main-sequence stars because an M0 star, *e.g.*, reaches the main sequence only after 900 Myr (Siess, Dufour & Forestini 2000). We selected the M-dwarfs that belong to the Moving Groups identified by Montes et al. (2001) and Zuckerman & Inseok Song (2004a,b), since membership to such a group is a reliable age criterium. The selected stars belong to the Local Association open cluster (age = 20 – 150 Myr), IC2391 (35–55 Myr), the AB Dor moving group (100 – 125 Myr as revised by Luhman et al. 2005), the Castor moving group (200 Myr), the Ursa Maj moving group ( $500 \pm 100$  Myr as revised by King et al. 2003), and the Hyades open cluster (600 Myr). Note that HIP114066 is part of the AB Dor group according to Zuckerman & Inseok Song (2004a,b) but is named GJ9809 and assigned to the Local Association

by Montes et al. (2001). In our surveys, the completeness for M-dwarfs in the Local Association is 61 % (11 M-dwarfs observed/18 M-dwarfs in cluster), in Castor it is 75 % (6/8), in Ursa Major it is 40 % (4/10), in AB Dor it is 71 % (5/7), in Hyades it is 29 % (5/17), in IC2391 it is 50 % (1/2). The M-dwarfs of these clusters that are not included in our surveys were inaccessible to the telescopes because of their low declinations. The selected stars have distances between 2.6 pc and 33.8 pc. Our surveys at  $\lambda = 1.2\text{mm}$  and  $850\mu\text{m}$  include the observations of 32 different stars ; 12 were observed at the JCMT and 24 at the IRAM 30m telescope with four stars in common in the two surveys (GJ285, GJ393, GJ9809, GJ4247).

## 3. Observations

### 3.1. JCMT/SCUBA observations

The SCUBA bolometer array (Holland et al. 1999) at the JCMT in Hawaii at altitude 4092m was used to observe the 12 M-dwarfs listed in Table 1. SCUBA uses two arrays of 37 and 91 bolometers to simultaneously observe at  $850\mu\text{m}$  and  $450\mu\text{m}$ , respectively, the same region of the sky which is  $\sim 2.3'$  in size. The arrays are arranged as concentric hexagonal rings of bolometers at each wavelength. A fully sampled map of the  $2.3'$  region can be made by “jiggling” the arrays according to an optimized pattern (Holland et al. 1999) but requires a long integration time to reach the  $2\text{ mJy}/14''$  beam sensitivity sought in our program. Standard photometry with a single bolometer of the array (*i.e.* on-off observations for sky background subtraction) yields sensitive observations in less time but the target source must be smaller than the beam (FWHM= $14''$  at  $850\mu\text{m}$ ). This is not certain for most of our targets because of the large *a priori* uncertainty on disk sizes (factor 3 - 5). Thus, in order to optimize the use of our observing time, SCUBA data were taken in the *wide photometry* mode of JCMT described by Sheret, Dent and Wyatt (2004). It ensures that a source that is partially resolved or offset from the observed position is detected with maximum sensitivity. In practice, the arrays are moved through a 12-point pattern that makes the central bolometer of each array cycles through 4 inner points at  $1''$  from the target position and 8 outer points at  $7''$ .

In general, an observation consisted of 78 integrations of 38 seconds, which corresponds to the total on+off time of 50 minutes. During each integration, the telescope beam cycled through the 12-point pattern described above and the secondary mirror continuously chopped the sky over  $60''$  at  $7.8125\text{ Hz}$  in azimuth to remove any large-scale sky variations. Each observation of a target was preceded and followed by focusing and pointing. Atmospheric opacity  $\tau_{225\text{GHz}}$  was measured by radiometry several times per minute and by “skydips” once every few hours ;  $\tau_{225\text{GHz}}$ , the zenith opacity at 225 GHz, the operating frequency of the radiometer, was between 0.06 and 0.08 during our observations, corresponding to precipitable water vapour columns of  $\sim 1.2 - 1.6\text{ mm}$ . The absolute flux density scale was checked by 11 measurements of standard calibrators at  $850\mu\text{m}$  (Uranus, Saturn, CRL2688, CRL618) over the 7 sessions of our program between August 26 2004 and October 07 2004. From these measurements, we derived a conversion fac-

tor  $FCF = 222 \pm 15$  (Jy/Volt) for this period. The uncertainty in this factor provides the accuracy of our absolute flux density scale, which is better than 10 % at  $850\mu\text{m}$ . Observations at  $450\mu\text{m}$  are  $\sim 15$  times less sensitive than at  $850\mu\text{m}$  while the flux density is only 6 times higher for dust with a modified black-body spectrum and  $\beta = 1$ . None of our targets were detected at this shorter wavelength.

The SURF package (Jenness et al. 2002) was used to combine the data from different integrations, remove anomalous spikes, flatfield the array, subtract the sky-background noise level and apply atmospheric extinction corrections. The calibrated data were then analysed by a specific software to average the data of the central bolometer and to produce the map with the data of all the bolometers. This map is incomplete however because the sky is undersampled in the wide photometry mode. Nonetheless it allows to reliably detect a source, although interpretation of its measured flux density and morphology is somewhat complicated. For the two sources detected (GJ842.2 and GJ696), we also re-analysed the data with the Edinburgh custom data-reduction software written in IDL and found similar results. The observations of the 12 M-dwarfs were conducted on 2004 August 26, 29, 31, on 2004 September 19 and on 2004 October 3, 6, 7. In the course of this programme, GJ842.2 was observed 4 times, GJ82, GJ212, GJ696, and GJ890 twice and the other stars once.

### 3.2. IRAM 30m/MAMBO observations

The 117-channel MPIfR bolometer array MAMBO-2 (Kreysa et al. 1998) at the IRAM 30-m telescope on Pico Veleta at 2900m altitude near Granada in Spain was used to observe the 24 M-dwarfs listed in Table 2. MAMBO-2 operates at an effective frequency of 250GHz ( $\lambda = 1.20\text{mm}$ ) with a half-power spectrum bandwidth of 80GHz. At  $\lambda = 1.20\text{mm}$ , the IRAM 30-m telescope has an effective beam of  $10.7''$  (FWHM), smaller than the angular sizes of the potential debris disks for most of our targets. Simple on-off photometry pointed at the target would therefore not be a good strategy to detect a disk and multiple pointed observations, similar to wide photometry at JCMT, would be inefficient at the IRAM 30-m telescope because of the overhead due to the motion of this large telescope. Thus, we mapped the targets.

We used the standard on-the-fly scanning mode, where the telescope scans in the azimuthal direction. The signal from the sky was modulated by the secondary mirror wobbling over a throw of  $60''$  at 2 Hz in the scan direction (azimuth). The wobble frequency reflects a compromise between eliminating changes in the atmosphere on as short a time-scale as possible and the challenges involved in moving a 2-m secondary at this frequency and keeping it mechanically stable. For each target, we made a map,  $400 \times 320\text{ arcsec}^2$  in size, scanned at a velocity of  $4\text{ arcsec s}^{-1}$  and with an elevation spacing of 4 arcsec. This results in a fully-sampled map over this area with a  $\sim 2\text{mJy}/11''\text{beam}$  rms noise in the central region per observation of 33 minutes (on+off times). Some targets were observed twice or more in different scan directions and maps were co-added to improve this rms noise. In practice, however, weather

conditions for these multiple observations were different (opacity  $0.2 < \tau_{225\text{GHz}} < 0.45$ , low and medium sky-background noise) and thus, quite often, one of the maps dominates the final summation (except for GJ628). The opacity of the atmosphere was measured every other hour by performing a skydip, but was also continuously monitored with a radiometer located next to the telescope. Short on-off observations of position calibrators were carried out before and after each observation of the programme sources to check the telescope pointing which was found to be excellent ( $\sim 1''$ ). In order to set the absolute flux density scale, primary flux calibrators (including planets) were observed at the beginning and end of each run, which resulted in an absolute flux calibration uncertainty of  $\sim 15\%$  ( $1\sigma$ ).

The IRAM data were reduced using the MOPSIC software package (Zylka 1998). Data were despiked, filtered for atmospheric variations, flat-fielded and corrected for atmospheric opacity and turned into intensity maps and signal-to-noise ratio maps. The observations of the 24 M-dwarfs listed in Table 2 were conducted in December 2004, in January, February and May 2005, and in January and March 2006. Integration times were between 0.5 and 2 hours (see Table 2). GJ628 has been a special case, after detecting possibly significant peaks in the first maps, we extended our observations to 20 hours of integration to reach a map noise rms of  $0.4\text{mJy}/11''\text{beam}$  in order to search for diffuse emission between these peaks.

The relevant sensitivities for our SCUBA and MAMBO surveys are in terms of circumstellar dust mass rather than mJy. Although the sensitivity is  $\sim 2\text{mJy}/\text{beam}$  for each observations in both surveys, the sensitivity with MAMBO was enhanced by taking a higher number of observations and by averaging the data over a canonical disk area assumed as large as the Kuiper-belt. This averaging can be done also with the SCUBA wide photometry data but not as efficiently because the sky is not fully sampled. Also the dust mass sensitivity depends on the stellar distance squared, and the M-dwarfs observed with MAMBO are closer than the ones observed by SCUBA in general. The all-in sensitivity is  $\sim 1$  lunar mass of circumstellar dust for both surveys.

## 4. Results

### 4.1. JCMT/SCUBA results

In our JCMT/SCUBA sample of 12 M-dwarfs, we have detected significant peaked and extended emissions around GJ842.2 revealing a debris disk around this M0.5 dwarf. We analysed the data of GJ842.2 in two ways. First, we straightforwardly averaged the data of the central bolometer and made a significant detection with a flux density of  $4.8 \pm 1.3\text{mJy}$ . In effect, this flux density is the average of the emission at the 4 points  $1''$  away from the star position and at the 8 points  $7''$  away and may be underestimated depending on the morphology of the source (Sheret, Dent and Wyatt, 2004). Nonetheless, the source is detected and this is the important point for the survey. Second, we averaged the data from the first ring of bolometers (6 bolometers at mean radius  $25''$  from center), and found the significant mean brightness of  $2.4 \pm 0.6\text{mJy}/14''\text{beam}$  over this area. We have also averaged the data of the second

**Table 1.** JCMT/SCUBA wide photometry at 850 $\mu$ m.

Star	Sp. type	RA <sup>a</sup> (J2000)	DEC <sup>a</sup> (J2000)	Dist. (pc)	Log(L <sub>x</sub> ) <sup>b</sup> Log(ergs/s)	Moving Group	Integration time (hrs)	Flux density (mJy)	Size <sup>c</sup> (AU)	Dust temp <sup>d</sup> (K)	Dust mass <sup>e</sup> (moon)
GJ82	M4	01 59 23.5	58 31 16	12.0	28.75	Loc Ass.	2	2.0 $\pm$ 1.4	84	16	< 1.3
GJ212	M0.5	05 41 30.7	53 29 20	12.5	28.57	Loc Ass.	2	1.3 $\pm$ 1.4	88	23	< 0.8
GJ285	M4.5	07 44 40.1	03 33 08	5.9	28.62	Loc Ass.	1	-0.7 $\pm$ 1.9	41	20	< 0.3
GJ393	M2	10 28 55.5	00 50 27	7.2	26.84	Loc Ass.	1	1.9 $\pm$ 1.9	50	26	< 0.3
GJ507.1	M1.5	13 19 40.1	33 20 47	17.4	n/a	Loc Ass.	1	-0.4 $\pm$ 2.0	121	19	< 2.8
GJ696	M0	17 50 34.0	-06 03 01	21.9	n/a	Loc Ass.	2	0.8 $\pm$ 0.8	150	20	< 1.9
GJ9809	M0	23 06 04.8	63 55 34	24.9	29.43	Loc Ass.	1	-5.2 $\pm$ 2.3	174	18	< 7.6
GJ4247	M4	22 01 13.2	28 18 25	9.0	n/a	Castor	1	1.1 $\pm$ 2.1	63	18	< 0.9
GJ277B	M3.5	07 31 57.3	36 13 47	11.5	29.05	Castor	1	-2.1 $\pm$ 1.8	80	17	< 1.3
GJ842.2	M0.5	21 58 24.8	75 35 21	20.9	n/a	Castor	4	25 $\pm$ 4.6 <sup>f</sup>	300	13	28 $\pm$ 5
GJ890	M2	23 08 19.5	-15 24 35	21.9	29.22	Castor	2	-2.6 $\pm$ 1.6	153	16	< 4.5
GJ875.1	M3	22 51 53.7	31 45 15	14.2	28.92	IC2391	1	0.52 $\pm$ 2.1	99	17	< 2.4

<sup>a</sup> Observed positions, *i.e.* coordinates updated with the Hipparcos proper motions at the mean observation date of September 2004.

<sup>b</sup> ROSAT All Sky Survey of Nearby Stars : Huensch et al. 1999.

<sup>c</sup> Size is the radius of a debris disk as large as the telescope beam (14").

<sup>d</sup> Temperature is computed at this radius.

<sup>e</sup> Mass upper limits are based on the 3 $\sigma$  flux densities (see text).


<sup>f</sup> Total flux density of the extended emission and the South-West peak.

ring of bolometers further away at 45" and found  $0.83 \pm 0.70$  mJy/beam, *i.e.*, consistent with no detection. The third ring at 65" was used to remove sky-background noise and, thus, defines the zero-level of the flux density scale. This implies that extended emission exists out to  $\sim 25''$  and so the emitting disk is as large as  $\sim 500$  AU at the distance of GJ842.2 (20.9 pc). In an attempt to identify the general morphology of this extended emission, we split the field of view in eight sectors to average the data in each one of them (Fig. 1). In this decomposition, we used only two free parameters, the global orientation of the sectors and the arclength of the inner South-West sector, maximizing the signal-to-noise ratio in it. The sector radii are not free parameters but imposed by the SCUBA array. This averaging of the data indicates that the emission is non-uniformly distributed and is oriented along the North East - South West direction, suggesting an inclined disk. The plot at the bottom of Fig. 1 is a section of the brightness along this direction. The total flux density (brightness  $\times$  surface area) is estimated to be  $\sim 25 \pm 4.6$  mJy (*i.e.* S/N=5.5) by adding the flux densities of the 4 sectors along the North East - South West direction. Finally, we computed the likelihood that the offset peak corresponding to the South-West sector ( $\sim 11$  mJy at 7") is a background source. The probability to find a background source within a radius of 7" from an observed position in our 12 star survey is as low as 3%, computed from  $2100 \times (11/2.3)^{-1.5} \times \pi(7''/3600'')^2 \times 12$ , based on the source count power-law  $N(S) \propto S^{-1.5}$  normalized by 2100 sources/deg<sup>2</sup> for  $S > 2.3$  mJy at 850 $\mu$ m (Rowan-Robinson 2001). So we conclude that there is a cold debris disk around GJ842.2, possibly inclined, made of a peak of emission at 7" South West from the star ( $\sim 140$  AU at 20.9pc) embedded in low brightness emission extending over 25" ( $\sim 500$  AU). We emphasize that this attempt to identify the general morphology

of the disk is speculative and that the straightforward averaging of the data of the first ring of bolometers mentioned above that indicates an extended source of mean brightness  $2.4 \pm 0.6$  mJy/14"beam is our main result.

The total SCUBA flux density  $S_{850\mu\text{m}}$  of GJ842.2 was used to calculate the dust mass  $M_d$  of the disk by assuming a simple model of large grains (100 $\mu$ m in size) located at the mean radius of the extended disk (300 AU). We use the standard optically thin dust formula for (sub)mm emission [ $S_\lambda = M_d \times B(\lambda, T_g) \times \kappa_{abs} / d^2$ , where  $T_g$  is the grain temperature,  $d$  is the star distance,  $\kappa_{abs} = \kappa_{850\mu\text{m}} \left(\frac{850\mu\text{m}}{\lambda}\right)^\beta$  is the mass opacity for the modified black body, with  $\kappa_{850\mu\text{m}} = 1.7 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$  and  $\beta = 0.8$  for  $\sim 100\mu\text{m}$  size grains, Dent et al. (2000)]. At 300 AU, the dust temperature is only 13 K and the total dust mass is  $28 \pm 5$  lunar masses for  $S_{850\mu\text{m}} = 25 \pm 4.6$  mJy. Those simple assumptions provide only the magnitude of the cold dust mass for the disk of GJ842.2 but it shows clearly that it is larger than the dust mass estimated around the M1 dwarf AU Mic ( $\sim 1$  lunar mass) and around the M0.5 dwarf GL182 ( $\sim 2.1$  lunar mass) by Liu et al. (2004).

In addition, we reanalysed IRAS data of GJ842.2 with the on-line program *scanpi* and determined the color-corrected flux density  $57 \pm 12$  mJy at 12 $\mu$ m. This flux density matches the photospheric emission computed from the NextGen model for this M0 dwarf ( $T_{\text{photo}} = 3500$  K,  $g = 5.5$ ,  $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = 0.0$ ) by Allard et al. (2000, 2001), normalized by the B, V, R, I, J, H, K flux densities of GJ842.2 accessible in the SIMBAD data base (B, V bands : Third Catalogue of Nearby Stars (1991) by Gliese and Jahreiss, Astron. Rechen-Institut, Heidelberg ; B, R, I bands : USNO-B1.0 catalogue, Monet et al. 2003 ; J, H, K bands : 2MASS All-Sky catalogue of point sources, Skrutskie et al. 2006). The scanpi signals in the other IRAS bands were not significant ex-



**Fig. 1.** Brightness distribution around the M0.5 dwarf GJ842.2 at  $\lambda = 850\mu\text{m}$  based on the data from all the bolometers of the JCMT/SCUBA array. We made an attempt to identify the general morphology of the source in splitting the field of view in eight sectors and averaging the data in each one of them. As it is seen, the emission is non-uniformly distributed and is oriented along the North East - South West direction, suggesting an inclined disk. The plot at the bottom of the figure is a section of the brightness along this direction. The total flux density (brightness  $\times$  surface area) is estimated to be  $\sim 25 \pm 4.6 \text{ mJy}$  (*i.e.*  $S/N=5.5$ ) by adding the flux densities of the 4 quadrants along this direction. The FWHM beamwidth of the telescope is  $14''$  at  $850\mu\text{m}$ . Observed position of GJ842.2 is  $\alpha = 21^{\text{h}}58^{\text{m}}24.8^{\text{s}}$  and  $\delta = 75^{\circ}35'21''$  (J2000).

cept possibly at  $25\mu\text{m}$  ( $58 \pm 13 \text{ mJy}$ , color corrected). However, an IRAS flux density must be treated circumspectly when the signal-to-noise ratio is lower than 5. If this  $25\mu\text{m}$  flux density ( $4.5\sigma$ ) were real however, it would be clearly higher than the photospheric level of GJ842.2 and so, interestingly, indicative of warm dust close to the star. This needs confirmation by new observations.

For the M0.5 dwarf GJ696 in our survey, the central bolometer data did not yield any significant flux density in the central region close to this star (radius  $< 14''$ ) and data averaging of the first ring of bolometers ( $18'' - 35''$ ) did not reveal any extended emission at the  $1\sigma$  level of  $0.8 \text{ mJy}/14''\text{beam}$ . However, we have found a significant peak of emission,  $11 \text{ mJy}$  ( $4\sigma$ ) at  $20.8''$  and  $\text{PA}=+73^\circ$  (East-North-East) from the position of this star in the SCUBA incomplete map. It is possible that its true flux density is underestimated because of under-

sampling as mentioned above. This peak is 10 times higher than the noise of the first ring of bolometers. Thus, we do not regard this detection as evidence for a debris disk around GJ696, referring to the SCUBA map of  $\epsilon$  Eri which shows ratio between peaks and diffuse emission of less than 2 (Greaves et al. 2005). It is likely that the peak near GJ696 is a background source. We computed the likelihood a sub-mm background source be within a radius of  $21''$  over the whole 12 star sample using the source count at  $850\mu\text{m}$  by Rowan-Robinson (2001) and found a probability of 25 %. There is no corresponding  $1.4\text{GHz}$  radio counterpart in the NVSS catalogue by Condon et al. (1998) and no optical object in the USNO-B1 catalogue that is positionally coincident.

No peaked or diffuse emissions have been detected around the other 10 stars of our survey. In Table 1, we derived the upper limits on the dust masses of their potential debris disks using the  $3\sigma$  flux density upper limits and the dust temperature for a linear separation from the star corresponding to  $7''$  which is the angular extent well probed by wide photometry.

#### 4.2. IRAM 30m/MAMBO results


In our IRAM/MAMBO sample of 24 M-dwarfs (Table 2), we have detected emission in form of 5 isolated sources around the M3.5 dwarf GJ628 (Fig. 2). We have investigated whether or not these sources might simply be background galaxies. We have used the large catalogue USNO B1.0 (Monet et al. 2003) containing more than a billion objects with position uncertainties of  $\sim 0.3''$  to search for optical counterparts and summarized our results in Table 3. The only convincing association is for the source named MAMBO-W at  $\sim 154''$  West of GJ628 in Fig. 2, based on coincidence between MAMBO and USNO positions at the  $1\sigma$  level. In addition, this source MAMBO-W matches within  $\sim 1.7\sigma$  the position of the radio source NVSS163007-123940 in the  $1.4 \text{ GHz}$  NVSS catalogue by Condon et al. (1998), if  $\sigma$  is the NVSS and MAMBO position uncertainties quadratically combined. Hence, we conclude that MAMBO-W is a background source identified in the visible and in the radio as a quasi-flat spectrum source from cm (NVSS :  $5.6 \pm 0.5 \text{ mJy}$ ) to millimeter wavelengths (this paper :  $\sim 3.6 \text{ mJy}$ ). For the other four MAMBO sources, the likelihood of optical associations is low (position discrepancies in Table 3) and they have no radio counterpart in the NVSS catalogue. Using the density of mm background sources,  $600 \text{ sources deg}^{-2}$  for  $S_\lambda > 2 \text{ mJy}$  at  $1.2 \text{ mm}$ , found by Voss et al. (2006), we expect 1.1 background sources over the field that includes these four sources ( $r \leq 90''$ , dotted circle in Fig 2). In this probability calculation, we did not include the fields of the other 23 stars observed because their maps are not as deep as the one of GJ628. Since we observe more MAMBO sources than statistically expected from the sky background, they may be instead four dust clumps that are part of a large disk (radius  $\sim 400 \text{ AU}$ ) surrounding the M3.5 dwarf GJ628. In this case, their temperatures would be between 8 and 12K and their dust masses would be  $\sim 0.1$  lunar mass each. We found no significant mm diffuse emission between these point-like sources

at the 0.4 mJy/11''beam level after 20 hours of integration. If GJ628 were similar to  $\epsilon$  Eri, the expected diffuse component

**Table 2.** IRAM/MAMBO aperture photometry at  $\lambda = 1.2\text{mm}$ .

Star	Sp. Type	RA <sup>a</sup> (J2000)	DEC <sup>a</sup> (J2000)	Dist. (pc)	Log(L <sub>x</sub> ) <sup>b</sup> Log(ergs/s)	Moving Group	Integr. time (hrs)	$\theta$ ( $''$ )	Mean brightness <sup>c</sup> (mJy/11 $''$ beam)	Map noise rms (mJy/11 $''$ beam)	$F_{\theta}^d$ (3 $\sigma$ ) (mJy)	Dust temp <sup>e</sup> (K)	Dust mass <sup>f</sup> (moon)
GJ65A	M5.5	01 39 02.6	-17 56 59	2.6	27.59	Hyades	1.1	46	-0.30 $\pm$ 0.5	2.1	26	15	< 0.9
GJ109	M3	02 44 15.8	25 31 22	7.6	27.30	Hyades	1.3	16	0.67 $\pm$ 1.4	2.1	9	21	< 1.6
HIP16563B <sup>g</sup>	M0	03 33 14.0	46 15 19	33.8	n/a	AB Dor	0.9	4	0.35 $\pm$ 1.0 <sup>h</sup>	-	3	27	< 8.0
HIP17695	M3	03 47 23.4	-01 58 21	16.3	n/a	AB Dor	0.5	5	-0.79 $\pm$ 2.2	2.2	7	20	< 5.6
GJ3379	M4	06 00 04.5	02 42 23	5.4	n/a	Hyades	0.5	22	-0.06 $\pm$ 1.1	2.3	14	18	< 1.5
GJ234A	M4	06 29 23.6	-02 48 53	4.1	n/a	Loc Ass	1.1	29	0.76 $\pm$ 0.7	1.8	14	18	< 0.9
GJ285	M4.5	07 44 40.0	03 33 06	5.9	28.62	Loc Ass	1.1	20	-0.13 $\pm$ 1.0	1.7	9	17	< 1.1
GJ1111	M6.5	08 29 49.1	26 46 29	3.6	n/a	Castor	0.5	33	-0.34 $\pm$ 0.8	2.4	22	12	< 1.9
GJ393	M2	10 28 55.3	00 50 24	7.2	26.84	Loc Ass	1.7	18	-0.38 $\pm$ 1.1	1.5	7	24	< 0.8
GJ402	M4	10 50 51.8	06 48 25	6.8 <sup>i</sup>	n/a	Loc Ass	1.1	18	-0.24 $\pm$ 1.0	1.7	8	18	< 1.0
GJ447	M4	11 47 44.5	00 48 10	3.3	26.84	Ursa Ma	1.1	36	0.28 $\pm$ 0.4	1.4	14	18	< 0.6
GJ408	M2.5	11 00 04.1	22 49 57	6.6	26.60	Castor	1.1	18	0.16 $\pm$ 1.0	1.7	8	22	< 1.0
GJ569A	M2	14 54 29.3	16 06 03	9.8	28.54	Ursa Ma	1.1	12	1.76 $\pm$ 2.2	2.2	7	24	< 1.8
GJ628	M3.5	16 30 18.0	-12 39 51	4.3	26.48	Loc Ass	20.0	28	0.1 $\pm$ 0.2	0.4	3	12	< 0.4
GJ625	M1.5	16 25 24.8	54 18 13	6.6	26.70	Ursa Ma	0.5	18	-0.85 $\pm$ 1.5	2.5	12	26	< 1.3
HIP81084	M0.5	16 33 41.5	-09 33 12	31.9	n/a	AB Dor	0.5	4	0.63 $\pm$ 2.6	2.6	8	27	< 19
HIP86346	M0	17 38 39.6	61 14 16	24.5	n/a	AB Dor	0.5	5	-0.13 $\pm$ 2.1	2.1	6	27	< 8.2
GJ791.2	M4.5	20 29 48.6	09 41 19	8.9	27.89	Hyades	1.1	14	-0.98 $\pm$ 1.7	1.7	6	17	< 2.0
GJ849	M3.5	22 09 40.7	-04 38 26	8.8	n/a	Hyades	0.5	14	-1.32 $\pm$ 2.7	2.7	10	19	< 2.7
GJ876	M4	22 53 17.0	-14 15 52	4.7	n/a	Loc Ass	0.5	25	-0.07 $\pm$ 1.5	2.8	19	18	< 1.6
GJ873	M3.5	22 46 49.3	44 19 59	5.0	29.09	Ursa Ma	0.6	24	0.32 $\pm$ 1.1	2.0	13	19	< 1.1
GJ4247	M4	22 01 13.2	28 18 25	9.0	n/a	Castor	1.1	13	-0.18 $\pm$ 1.4	1.4	5	18	< 1.5
GJ856A	M3	22 23 29.1	32 27 32	16.1	29.46	AB Dor	1.1	8	-0.56 $\pm$ 1.5	1.5	4	21	< 3.3
GJ9809	M0	23 06 04.8	63 55 34	24.9	29.43	Loc Ass	0.5	7	0.36 $\pm$ 2.2	2.2	7	26	< 10

<sup>a</sup> Observed positions, *i.e.* coordinates updated with the Hipparcos proper motions at the mean observation date of July 2005.<sup>b</sup> ROSAT All Sky Survey of Nearby Stars : Huensch et al. 1999.<sup>c</sup> Mean brightness computed over the effective area of angular size  $\theta''$  corresponding to the canonical disk 120 AU in diameter.<sup>d</sup> Total flux density  $F_{\theta}$  is the 3 $\sigma$  map noise integrated over this canonical disk.<sup>e</sup> Dust temperature computed at 60 AU from the central star.<sup>f</sup> Dust mass upper limits based on  $F_{\theta}$ .<sup>g</sup> Designation and spectral type from Zuckerman & Inseok Song, 2004b and López-Santiago et al., 2006.<sup>h</sup> On-off single bolometer MAMBO standard photometry.<sup>i</sup> Based on parallax from the Research Consortium on Nearby Stars RECONS project ([www.chara.gsu.edu/RECONS](http://www.chara.gsu.edu/RECONS)).



**Fig. 2.** IRAM/MAMBO Signal-to-Noise map of the field around the M3.5 dwarf GJ628 at  $\lambda = 1.2\text{mm}$ . In this map, Signal-to-Noise ratio (S/N) is computed from the intensity map reduced with the shift-and-add method using the software package mopsic (Zylka 1998) and smoothed to the angular resolution  $12''$ . The S/N is computed by dividing the intensity at each pixel by the local rms ( $10 \times 10$  pixels) which is not uniform across this intensity map made by the scanning technique. The local rms  $\sigma$  is  $\sim 0.4\text{ mJy}/11''\text{beam}$  in the central region ( $r < 60''$ ) and degrades towards the edges of the map; for example,  $1\sigma$  is  $0.75\text{ mJy}/11''\text{beam}$  at  $r \sim 150''$ . The contours are  $-3\sigma, -2\sigma$  (dotted lines), and  $2\sigma, 3\sigma, 4\sigma$ ; The map pixel size is  $3.5''$ . The West component (MAMBO-W) is associated with a  $1.4\text{GHz}$  radio source ( $\times$  symbol) of the NVSS catalogue and with an optical object of the USNO-B1.1 catalogue ( $+$  symbol), and so it is a background source. The other four MAMBO components (NW, NE, E, SW) may be dust clumps of a large debris disk around GJ628 but the lack of diffuse emission between them requires additional observations for confirmation. Observed position of GJ628 at center of the map is  $\alpha = 16\text{h}30\text{m}18.0\text{s}$  and  $\delta = -12^\circ39'51''$  (J2000).

should be seen at the  $2\sigma$  level in Fig. 2. Note that close inspection of this map does show that there is a  $1\text{-}\sigma$  to  $2\text{-}\sigma$  emission extending between the E and NE MAMBO sources. It was not possible to image deeper with the MAMBO array in a reasonable observing time to confirm such an extended emission. Only additional observations, in the Far-IR, in scattered light or by a long-term astrometric monitoring of the mm sources that would share the proper motion of GJ628 ( $\sim 1.2''/\text{yr}$ ) if they are part of its debris disk, could provide decisive evidence for this intriguing result. We don't retain GJ628 as having a disk at this stage of our investigation.

We found the significant IRAS/*scanpi* flux densities  $385 \pm 21\text{ mJy}$  at  $12\mu\text{m}$  and  $110 \pm 25\text{ mJy}$  at  $25\mu\text{m}$  (color-corrected) for GJ628, but they match the NextGen photospheric emission computed for this M3.5 dwarf. So no mid-IR emission excess above photospheric level is observed.

For the other stars, no emission is apparent in their MAMBO maps, and no significant mean brightness is detected

when averaging the data over an effective disk with an angular diameter ( $\theta$ ) corresponding to the canonical diameter  $120\text{ AU}$ , *i.e.* the size of the Kuiper Belt. Hence, we have used the map noise rms of each star to derive the  $3\text{-}\sigma$  upper limit of its dust mass by averaging data over this canonical disk. In such a derivation, the  $3\sigma$  total flux density over the effective area ( $\theta''$ ) is  $F_\theta = 3 \times \text{rms} \times (\theta''/11'')$  (mJy), which takes into account that the measured brightness uncertainty decreases as  $\text{rms}/(\theta/11'')$ , *i.e.* as  $\text{rms}/\sqrt{\text{number of beams in the disk area}}$ , while the total flux density increases as  $(\theta/11'')^2$ , *i.e.* as  $(\text{number of beams in the disk area})^2$ . The dust mass is computed from this total flux density  $F_\theta$  using the optically thin dust model described above substituting  $F_\theta$  for  $S_\lambda$  and taking the absorption coefficient  $\kappa_{\text{abs}} = 1.3\text{ cm}^2\text{g}^{-1}$  at  $\lambda = 1.2\text{ mm}$ , according to the modified black-body law and  $\beta = 0.8$ . The implicit assumption of a disk seen face-on in this derivation provides a conservative upper limit for the total flux density and hence for the circumstellar dust mass since an inclined disk would be covered by a smaller number of beams. In Table 2, we report the values for  $\theta''$ , mean brightness, brightness uncertainty, map noise rms,  $F_\theta$  and dust mass upper limit. We do not confirm the  $2\sigma$  flux density of  $17\text{ mJy}$  for GJ873 (EV Lac) at  $\lambda = 1.1\text{ mm}$  reported by Doyle and Mathioudakis (1991).

## 5. Discussion

### 5.1. Debris disks in our sample of 32 M-dwarfs

Our surveys of 32 young M-dwarfs conducted at  $\lambda = 850\mu\text{m}$  and  $1.2\text{mm}$  yielded the detection of one disk around the M0.5 dwarf GJ842.2. The emission from this disk is a peak at  $7''$  South West from the star ( $\sim 140\text{ AU}$  at  $20.9\text{pc}$ ) embedded in low brightness emission extending over  $25''$  ( $\sim 500\text{ AU}$ ). We fitted a simple dust model by assuming large grains ( $\sim 100\mu\text{m}$ ) at mean disk radius ( $300\text{ AU}$ ,  $13\text{K}$ ) that yielded  $28 \pm 5$  lunar masses of cold dust for the total flux density measured. No other map shows convincing evidence for a debris disk in our survey, although the SCUBA incomplete map of the M0 dwarf GJ696 ( $21.9\text{ pc}$ ) shows a localized source ( $11 \pm 2.7\text{ mJy}$ ) at  $21''$  from the star but no extended emission at the level of  $0.8\text{ mJy}/14''\text{beam}$  over  $35''$ , and the MAMBO map of the M3.5 dwarf GJ628 ( $4.3\text{ pc}$ ) shows four mm sources within  $90''$  ( $400\text{ AU}$ ) from this star but no significant diffuse emission at the  $0.4\text{ mJy}/11''\text{beam}$  level. We do not consider these isolated clumps as sufficient evidence for debris disks around these last two stars. However, one of the most defining features of debris disks that have been imaged in the (sub)mm is that they are not smooth, but clumpy, and so we plan other observations to investigate these cases further.

It is interesting to discuss the lifetimes of grains in the debris disk of GJ842.2. Due to the low emission efficiency in the sub-mm of micron-sized grains, the  $\lambda = 850\mu\text{m}$  emission is expected to arise from grains larger than  $100\mu\text{m}$  (Wyatt & Dent 2002). Their collisional lifetime is  $t_{\text{coll}} \geq 250\text{ Myr}$  and their Poynting-Robertson (P-R) drag lifetime  $\geq 22\text{ Gyr}$  at distance  $r \geq 140\text{ AU}$ . These lifetimes are larger than the star age ( $200\text{ Myr}$ ) and so it means that these large grains at  $r \geq 140\text{ AU}$  could be original ("primordial") from the early protoplanetary



**Table 3.** Positions of the five IRAM/MAMBO sources in the map of GJ628 at  $\lambda = 1.2\text{mm}$  (Fig. 2) and their nearest optical objects referenced in the USNO-B1.0 catalogue.

Name	MAMBO				USNO-B1.0	
	$\alpha^a$ (J2000)	$\delta^a$ (J2000)	Flux <sup>b</sup> density (mJy)	Star-source separation (") (AU)	Object-source separation (")	Object magnitudes
MAMBO-W	16 30 07.5	−12 39 42	3.6	154 660	3.0 (1.0 $\sigma$ )	B=20.03 R=19.12
MAMBO-NW	16 30 15.6	−12 39 11	2.7	53 230	13.3 (4.0 $\sigma$ )	B=20.50 R=17.90
MAMBO-SW	16 30 13.9	−12 39 59	2.0	90 390	10.1 (3.0 $\sigma$ )	B=19.27 R=18.23
MAMBO-NE	16 30 19.7	−12 39 32	2.4	83 360	9.1 (2.5 $\sigma$ )	B=20.06 R=18.30
MAMBO-E	16 30 22.3	−12 39 25	1.8	68 290	6.9 (2.0 $\sigma$ )	B=21.63 I=18.61

<sup>a</sup> The coordinates uncertainties are 3.5" for MAMBO.<sup>b</sup> Flux densities are the peak values unaffected by gaussian smoothing of the map.

phase. On the other hand, grains closer to the star than 140 AU, if any, could not be primordial because of their shorter  $t_{\text{coll}}$ , *i.e.* more frequent constructive or destructive collisions. The large disk around GJ842.2 is thus unique in terms of the possible presence of primordial and processed large dust grains at such a late stage of evolution and implies a large protoplanetary disk at birth. Previous to our survey, only the field M-dwarfs AU Mic and GJ182 were detected in the sub-mm (Liu et al. 2004). These two stars are 10-20 Myr old (see Favata et al. 1998, and Barrado y Navascues et al. 1999 for the age of GJ182). The disk of AU Mic was resolved by several workers (Kalas et al. (2004), Liu (2004) and Krist et al. (2005)) that found the size  $\sim 200$  AU smaller than the one for GJ842.2 while these two stars have the same spectral type but one is twenty times younger. Further investigations should attempt to establish whether the environmental factor or the evolutionary factor controls these two disks.

Plavchan, Jura & Lipsky (2005) have not detected any warm debris disks via  $11\mu\text{m}$  excess in their sample of 9 M-dwarfs older than 10 Myr. These authors highlight that stellar wind drag rather than P-R drag is responsible for dispersal of the dust in these systems and this might explain the dearth of debris disks around M-dwarfs. For GJ842.2 ( $L_* = 0.063L_\odot$ ), a stellar wind with a mass-loss rate  $\dot{M}_{\text{sw}}$  20 times the solar rate ( $\dot{M}_\odot \sim 2 \cdot 10^{-14} \text{ M}_\odot/\text{yr}$ ) would be sufficient to remove the primordial grains of its disk in 200 Myr according to the P-R to stellar wind drag timescales ratio  $t_{\text{PR}}/t_{\text{sw}} \propto \dot{M}_{\text{sw}}/L_*$  (equation (3) of Plavchan, Jura & Lipsky (2005)). In fact, winds with mass-loss rates 1000 times the solar rate are observed for M-dwarfs, especially when young, and would make the existence of primordial dust after 200 Myr inconceivable. Unfortunately, no X-ray or CaII data are available that would allow an estimate of  $\dot{M}_{\text{sw}}$  for GJ842.2. However, GJ842.2 is not a flare star, and its  $H_\alpha$  absorption (equivalent width  $EW_{H_\alpha} = -0.545 \text{ \AA}$ , in Gizis, Reid & Hawley, 2002) indicates a moderate chromosphere and magnetic activity, and thus only a modest stellar wind (Mullan et al. 1992, 2001, and Wargelin & Drake 2001).

Hence, one can speculate that GJ842.2 does not have a mass-loss rate larger than 20 times the solar rate and its distant cold grains are unperturbed at age 200 Myr. Although GJ628 is not retained as having a debris disk in the present analysis, it is interesting to note that its X-ray luminosity ( $10^{26.79} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$  measured by ROSAT, Schmitt & Liefke (2004)) is low, similar to that of the quiet Sun ( $10^{26.5} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$ ), and its  $EW_{H_\alpha}$  ( $-0.234 \text{ \AA}$ , in Gizis, Reid & Hawley 2002) indicates a weak to moderate chromosphere. Hence, similarly to GJ842.2, if GJ628 were surrounded by cold grains, one can speculate that they would be unperturbed at age 20-150 Myr. Finally, we note also that there is no difference in disk detection rates between the subsample of 11 stars with high  $L_x$  (*i.e.*  $\text{Log}(L_x) > 27.5$ ) and the subsample of 7 stars with low  $L_x$  in Tables 1 and 2.

We have critically reviewed the literature to list the properties of the debris disks around A- to K-type main sequence stars in Table 4 and plotted their dust masses as a function of spectral types in Fig 3 after adding our results for the M-dwarfs. The 200 Myr old debris disk around GJ842.2 is relatively massive (28 lunar mass of dust) and may be the tip of the iceberg for the M-dwarf disks. We note that this mass is comparable to the highest disk mass of the A- to K-type main sequence stars plotted in Fig. 3. Future surveys will have to establish whether or not this upper envelope for the non-detected M-dwarfs in Fig. 3 is a real envelope to assert whether or not disks around M-dwarfs are as massive as around A- to K-type stars. Presently, this comparison is also complicated by the fact that the debris disks detected in the (sub)mm around these A- to K-type stars are from an IRAS-selected sample from essentially an all-sky survey, while the M-dwarfs in our analysis are from an unbiased (except for age) sample of 32 stars. Eventually, a broader comparison with protoplanetary disk masses and central star masses (Natta, Grinin & Mannings (2000) and Andrews & Williams, 2005) will allow to discuss how the mass of the central star impacts planet formation.

**Table 4.** Dust masses of debris disks around A- to K-type main sequence stars. Note that HD34700 and HD39944 previously classified as debris disks have been rejected; HD34700 is a T Tauri (Sterzik et al. 2005) and has gas emission (Dent et al. 2005) and HD39944 was confused with a background galaxy (Moór et al. 2006).

Star	Sp. Type	dist (pc)	age (Myr)	$S_\nu$ (mJy)	$\lambda$ (mm)	Disk radius (AU)	Dust temp (K)	Dust mass (moon)
$\tau$ Ceti	G8V	3.65	10000	$4.6 \pm 0.6^a$	0.85	55	40	0.04
$\epsilon$ Eri	K2V	3.22	730	$40 \pm 1.5^b$	0.85	60	37	0.26
GL182	M0.5	26.7	20-150	$4.8 \pm 1.2^c$	0.85	60	27	3.35
HD104860	F8	47.9	40	$6.8 \pm 1.2^d$	0.85	60	49	7.1
HD8907	F8	34.2	180	$4.8 \pm 1.2^d$	0.85	60	49	2.6
$\beta$ Pic	A5V	19.3	12	$104 \pm 10^e$	0.85	60	77	11
HD38393	F7V	8.97	1660	$2.4 \pm 1.0^f$	0.85	200	31	0.15
HD48682	G0V	16.5	3300	$5.5 \pm 1.0^f$	0.85	110	38	0.9
HD74067B	A2V	30	63	$7.2 \pm 1.5^h$	0.85	60	92	1.4
HD99803B	A3V	100	123	$4.7 \pm 1.6^h$	0.85	60	86	11.3
HD107146	G2V	28.5	80	$20 \pm 4^i$	0.85	60	47	7.8
$\eta$ Corvi	F2V	18.2	1000	$14.1 \pm 1.8^m$	0.85	150	43	2.5
HD112412	F1	25	28	$3.8 \pm 1.1^h$	0.85	60	64	0.8
HD128167	F2V	15.5	1700	$6.2 \pm 1.7^f$	0.85	320	31	1.2
HD131156	G4V	6.70	200	$6.2 \pm 1.9^j$	1.30	60	45	0.4
Vega	A0V	7.76	350	$45.7 \pm 5.4^f$	0.85	70	97	0.6
AU Mic	M1	9.9	12	$14.4 \pm 1.8^c$	0.85	40	31	1.2
Fomalhaut	A3V	7.69	280	$97 \pm 5^f$	0.85	80	61	1.6
HD218396	A5V	40	n/a	$28 \pm 11^k$	1.10	60	77	24.6


<sup>a</sup> Greaves et al. 2004 ; <sup>b</sup> Greaves et al. 1998 ; <sup>c</sup> Liu et al. 2004 ; <sup>d</sup> Najita & Williams 2005 ; <sup>e</sup> Zuckerman et al. 1993 ; <sup>f</sup> Sheret et al. 2004 ; <sup>h</sup> Wyatt et al. (2003) ; <sup>i</sup> Williams et al. 2004 ; <sup>j</sup> Holmes et al. 2003 ; <sup>k</sup> Sylvester et al. 1996 ; <sup>l</sup> Wilner et al. 2003 ; <sup>m</sup> Wyatt et al. 2005

## 5.2. Detection rate of dusty debris disks around M-dwarfs

We have combined our surveys (32 field M-dwarfs observed and 1 detection) with the JCMT survey of 3 field M-dwarfs with 2 detections by Liu et al. (2004). Note that their small sample has the same characteristics in ages, distances and sensitivity as ours ; AU Mic (9.9 pc) and GJ799 (10.3 pc) of the  $\beta$  Pic moving group (age 10 – 20 Myr) and GJ182 (26 pc) of the Local Association. In this combination, there are (1+2) detections out of (32+3) M-dwarfs searched, yielding the detection rate  $f = 8_{-6}^{+4}\%$  for cold debris disks in this sample of M-dwarfs with ages between 20 and 600 Myr observed in the (sub)mm. We have derived the uncertainty by computing the full width half maximum of the probability distribution  $P(k/N) = C_n^k (1-f)^{N-k} f^k$  that  $k$  detections be found in a sample of  $N$  stars searched if the *a priori* frequency of occurrence is  $f$ .

In order to compare detection rates between surveys, it is important to compare observations in the same wavelength regime since mid-IR surveys probe warm dust ( $\sim 100$ K) close to the star ( $\sim 1$  AU) while (sub)mm surveys probe cold dust ( $\sim 20$ K) distant from the star (100's AU). In the literature, there are two surveys of A- to K-type stars conducted in the sub-mm that are suited for comparison with our detection rate. A sample of A- and F-type main sequence stars not detected by IRAS with ages 10 – 170 Myr was conducted at  $850 \mu\text{m}$  by Wyatt, Dent & Greaves (2003) and a sample of F- to K- type stars

with ages 10 – 180 Myr was conducted at  $850 \mu\text{m}$  by Najita and Williams (2005). It is important also to trim the samples so that they have same age interval since the decay of dust in debris disks with time seen for A-type stars (Rieke et al. 2005) is likely to affect M-dwarfs too. For these considerations, we therefore have restricted our (sub)mm sample to the (3+20) M-dwarfs with ages 20–200 Myr, including the three M-dwarfs studied by Liu et al. (2004) satisfying this age limit. We then find that our detection rate  $f$  becomes  $13_{-8}^{+6}\%$  (3/23) for cold debris disks surrounding field M-dwarfs with ages between 20 and 200 Myr. This detection rate  $f$  compares well with the detection rate (9 – 14%) found in the A- and F-type star sample of Wyatt, Dent & Greaves (2003), and to the detection rate  $23_{-15}^{+13}\%$  (3 detections for 13 stars observed) in the F- to K-type star sample of Najita and Williams (2005). It is remarkable that these cold dust detection rates for M-dwarfs and A- to K-type dwarfs match. This may indicate that the populations of cold debris disks among early and late-type stars are alike. We have also considered the sub-sample of 89 F- to K-type stars with ages between 10 and 300 Myr observed at  $\lambda = 1.2\text{mm}$ ,  $2.7\text{mm}$  and  $3\text{mm}$  by Carpenter et al. (2005) that has a detection rate of 2%. It is somewhat lower than the rates above but the longer wavelengths used in their observations would have required deeper observations to be directly comparable to the other surveys.



**Fig. 3.** Dust masses of debris disks (filled circles) around A- to K-type main sequence stars (Table 4), around the two M-dwarfs AU Mic and GL182 from Liu et al. (2004) and around the M-dwarf GJ842.2 reported in this paper. In addition, we plot the dust mass  $3\sigma$  upper limits of potential disks for the non-detections of this paper and for the M-dwarf GJ799 reported in Liu et al. (2004) (red thin triangles= SCUBA and green thick triangles= MAMBO). The dust mass for the Kuiper Belt is shown here to indicate that present observations provide dust masses that are only the envelope of a possibly much larger population of debris disks. The dashed lines are plotted as a guide.

## 6. Conclusions

We have searched for cold debris disks around 32 field M-dwarfs at sub-mm and mm wavelengths. We report evidence for a new debris disk around the M0.5 dwarf GJ842.2 of age  $\sim 200$  Myr which is massive ( $28 \pm 5$  lunar masses) and large ( $\sim 500$  AU). We report also the detection of four mJy sources at 1.2mm around the M3.5 dwarf GJ628 that might be associated with a debris disk around this star unless they are background sources.

By combining the result of our surveys with the two cold debris disks discovered by Liu et al. (2004) in their observations of three field M-dwarfs, we derive for the first time the detection rate  $f = 13^{+6}_{-8}\%$  for cold debris disks surrounding M-dwarfs with ages 20–200 Myr. This detection rate for M-dwarfs is consistent with the detection rate 9 – 23% for cold disks around A- to K-type main-sequence stars with ages 10 – 180 Myr measured by Wyatt, Dent & Greaves (2003) and Najita and Williams (2005) in two sub-mm surveys. The consistency between these detection rates is the first indication that a population of cold disks is equally prevalent across stellar spectral types. The highest disk mass ( $28 \pm 5$  lunar masses for GJ842.2) among the M-dwarfs is comparable to the highest disk mass among the A- to K-type main sequence stars (Table 4 and Fig. 3). We plan additional observations of a larger sample of M-dwarfs to ascertain this detection rate and to better determine the dust mass upper envelope of field M-dwarfs. The dust mass for the Kuiper Belt is  $\sim 3 \cdot 10^{-4}$  lunar mass (Landgraf et al., 2002, and Moro-Martín & Malhotra, 2003), and, clearly,

deeper observations are required to establish the statistics of debris disks around mature stars over a large range of ages.

*Acknowledgements.* We are grateful to the staffs of the JCMT and of the IRAM 30-m telescope for their dedication in carrying out the observations reported in this publication. The JCMT project number was m04bu06. This research has made use of the SIMBAD database and of the VizieR catalog access tool, operated at the Centre de Données Stellaires (CDS), Strasbourg, France. This publication makes use of data products from the Two Micron All Sky Survey, from IRAS and Scanpi Processing maintained at the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center (IPAC), California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA.

Allard, F., Hauschildt, P. H., Alexander, D. R., Tamanai, A., & Schweitzer, A., 2001, *ApJ*, 556, 357

Allard, F., Hauschildt, P. H., & Schwenke, D., 2000, *ApJ*, 540, 1005

Andrews, S.M., Williams, J.P., 2005, *ApJ*, 631, 1134

Aumann, H. H., Beichman, C. A., Gillett, F. C. et al, 1984, *ApJ*, 278, L23-27

Backman D.E., Paresce, F., 1993, in *Protostars and Planets III*, ed. E.H. Levy and J.I. Lunine (Tucson : Univ. Press), 1253

Barrado y Navascus, D., Stauffer, J. R., Inseok Song, Caillault, J.-P., 1999, *ApJ*, 520, L123

Beaulieu, J.-P., et al., 2006, *Nature*, 439, 437

Beckwith, S.V.W., Sargent, A.I., Chini, R.S., Güsten, R., 1990, *AJ*, 99, 924

Beichman, C.A., Bryden, G., Rieke, G.H., Stansberry, J.A., et al, 2005, *ApJ*, 622, 1160

Beichman, C. A., Tanner, A., Bryden, G., Stapelfeldt, K. R., et al, 2006, *ApJ*, 639, 1166

Bonfils, X., Forveille, T., Delfosse, X., et al., 2005, *A&A*, 443, L15

Bryden, G., Beichman, C. A., Trilling, D. E., Rieke, G. H., et al, 2006, *ApJ*, 636, 1098

Butler, R.P., Vogt, S.S., Marcy, G.W., Fischer, et al., 2004, *ApJ*, 617, 580

Carpenter, J.M. et al., 2005, *AJ*, 129, 1049

Chen, C. H., Patten, B. M., Werner, M. W., Dowell, C. D., et al., 2005, *ApJ*, 634, 1372

Condon, J.J, Cotton, W.D., Greisen, E.W., et al., 1998, *AJ*, 115, 1693-1716

Dent, W. R. F., Walker, H. J. , Holland, W.S., & Greaves, J.S., 2000, *M.N.R.A.S.*, 314, 702

Dent, W. R. F., Greaves, J.S., Coulson, I.M., 2005, *M.N.R.A.S.*, 359, 663

Doyle, J.G. & Mathioudakis, M., 1991, *A & A*, 241, L41

Dutrey, A., Guilloteau, S., Duvert, G., Prato, L., Simon, M., Schuster, K., Ménard, F., 1996, *A&A*, 309, 493

Endl, M., Cochran, W.D., Tull, R.G., MacQueen, P.J., 2003, *AJ*, 126, 3099

Favata, F., Micela, G., Sciortino, S., D’Antona, F, 1998, *A&A*, 335, 218

Gaudi, B.S., et al., 2002, *ApJ*, 566, 453

Gizis, J.E., Reid, I. N., & Hawley, S.L., 2002, *AJ*, 123, 3356

Golimowski, D. A., Ardila, D. R., Krist, J. E., Clampin, M., Ford, H. C. et al., 2006, *AJ*, 131, 3109

Greaves, J.S. et al., 1998, *ApJ*, 506, L133

Greaves, J.S. et al., 2004, *MNRAS*, 351, 54

- Greaves, J. S., Holland, W. S., Wyatt, M. C., Dent, W. R. F., et al, 2005, *ApJ*, 619, 187
- Habing, H.J., Dominik, C., Jourdain de Muizon, M., Laureijs, R.J., et al., 2001, *A&A*, 365, 545
- Haisch, K.E., Lada, E.A., Lada, C.J., 2001, *ApJ*, 553, L153
- Holland, W.S. et al, 1998, *Nat.*, 392, 788-791
- Holland, W.S., et al, 1999, *MNRAS*, 303, 659
- Holland, W.S., et al, 1999, *MNRAS*, 303, 659
- Holmes, E. K., Butner, H.M., Fajardo-Acosta, S.B., Rebull, C.R., 2003, *AJ*, 125, 3334
- Huensch M., Schmitt J.H.M.M., Sterzik M.F., Voges W., 1999, *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. Ser.* 135, 319
- Inseok Song, Weinberger, A. J., Becklin, E. E., Zuckerman, B., Chen, C., 2002, *AJ*, 124, 514-518
- Jenness, T., Stevens, J.A., Archibald, E.N., Economou, F., Jessop, N.E., Robson, E.I., 2002, *MNRAS*, 336, 14
- Johnstone, D., Hollenbach, D., Bally, J., 1998, *ApJ*, 499, 758
- Kalas, P., Liu, M.C., Matthews, B.C., 2004, *Science*, 302, 1990
- King, J.R., Villarreal, A.R., Soderblom, D.R., Gulliver, A.F., Adelman, S.J., 2003, *AJ*, 125, 1980-2017
- Kreysa, E. et al., 1998, *Proc. SPIE*, 3357, 319
- Krist, J.E., et al., 2005, *A.J.*, 129, 1008
- Landgraf, M. et al., 2002, *AJ*, 123, 2857
- Laughlin, G., Bodenheimer, P & Adams, F.C., 2004, *ApJ*, 612, L73
- Laureijs, R.J., Jourdain de Muizon, M., Leech, K., et al., 2002, *A&A*, 387, 285
- Liu, M. C. et al., 2004, *ApJ*, 608, 526
- Liu, M.C. 2004, *Sci*, 305, 1442
- López-Santiago, J., Montes, D., Crespo-Chacon, I., Fernández-Figueroa, M.J., 2006, *ApJ*, 643, 1160.
- Luhman, K.L., Stauffer, J.R., Mamajek, E.E., 2005, *ApJ*, 628, L69-L72
- Mannings, V. & Barlow, M.J., 1998, *ApJ*, 497, 330
- Marcy, G., Fischer, D., Vogt, S.S., Lissauer, J.J., Rivera, E.J., 2001, *ApJ*, 556, 296
- Monet, D.G., Levine S.E., Cazian B., et al., 2003, *Astron. J.*, 125, 984
- Montes, D., López-Santiago, J., Gálvez, M. C., et al., 2001, *MNRAS*, 328, 45
- Moór, A., Abrahám, P., et al., 2006, *ApJ*, 644, 525
- Moro-Martín, A., Malhotra, R., 2003, *AJ*, 125, 2255
- Mullan, D.J., MacDonald, J., 2001, *ApJ*, 559, 353
- Mullan, D.J. et al, 1992, *ApJ*, 397, 225
- Muzerolle, J., Adame, L., D'Alessio, P., Calvet, N., Luhman, K. L., et al., 2006, *ApJ*, 643, 1003
- Najita, J., Williams, J.P., 2005, *ApJ*, 635, 625
- Natta, A., Grinin, V., Mannings, V., 2000, in *Protostars and Planets IV*, Book - Tucson : University of Arizona Press, 559
- Plavchan, P., Jura, M., & Lipsy, S.J., 2005, *ApJ*, 631, 1161
- Rieke, G. H., Su, K. Y. L., Stansberry, J. A., Trilling, D., et al., 2005, *ApJ*, 620, 1010.
- Rowan-Robinson, M., 2001, *ApJ*, 549, 745
- Schmitt, J. H. M. M. & Liefke, C., 2004, *A&A*, 417, 651
- Sheret, I., Dent, W.R.F., Wyatt, M.C., 2004, *MNRAS*, 348, 1282-1294
- Siess, L., Dufour, E. & Forestini, M., 2000, *A&A*, 358, 593
- Skrutskie, M.F., Cutri, R.M., Stiening, R., Weinberg, M.D. et al, 2006, *AJ*, 131, 1163.
- Spangler, C., Sargent, A.I., Silverstone, M.D., Becklin, E.E., & Zuckerman, B., 2001, *ApJ*, 555, 932.
- Sterzik, M.F., Melo, C.H.F., Tokorinin, A.A., van der Blik, N., 2005, *A & A*, 434, 67
- Sylvester, R.J., Skinner, C.J., Barlow, M.J., Mannings, V., 1996, *MNRAS*, 279, 915.
- Sylvester, R.J., et al, 2001, *MNRAS*, 327, 133.
- Throop, H.B., Bally, J., 2005, *ApJ*, 623, L149.
- Voss, H., Bertoldi, F., Carilli, C., Owen, F. N., et al., 2006, *A&A*, 448, 823
- Wargelin, B.J., Drake, J.J., 2001, *ApJ*, 546, 57
- Williams, J.P. et al, 2004, *ApJ*, 604, 414
- Wilner, D.J., Holman, M.J., Kuchner, M.J., Ho, P.T.P., 2003, *ApJ*, 596, 597
- Wyatt, M.C., Dent, W.R.F., 2002, *MNRAS*, 334, 589
- Wyatt, M.C., Dent, W.R.F., Greaves, J.S., 2003, *MNRAS*, 342, 876
- Wyatt, M.C., 2003, *ApJ*, 598, 1321
- Wyatt, M.C., Greaves, J.S., Dent, W.R.F., Coulson, I.M., 2005, *ApJ*, 620, 492
- Walker, H.J., Wolstencroft, R.D., 1988, *PASP*, 100, 1509.
- Zuckerman, B., Becklin, E.E., 1993, *ApJ*, 414, 793
- Zuckerman, B., Inseok Song, 2004a, *ARA&A*, 42, 685
- Zuckerman, B., Inseok Song, 2004b, *ApJ*, 613, L65
- Zylka, R., <http://www.iram.es/IRAMES/otherDocuments/manuals/Datared/>