

## Theoretical and Observational Agreement on Mass Dependence of Cluster Life Times

Mark Gieles<sup>1</sup>, Holger Baumgardt<sup>2</sup>, Nate Bastian<sup>1,3</sup>, Henny Lamers<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Astronomical Institute, Utrecht University, Princetonplein 5, 3584 CC, Utrecht, The Netherlands*

<sup>2</sup> *Institute of Advanced Physical and Chemical Research RIKEN, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako-shi, Saitama 351-019, Japan*

<sup>3</sup> *European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Strasse 2 D-85748 Garching b. München, Germany*

<sup>4</sup> *SRON Laboratory for Space Research, Utrecht, The Netherlands*

**Abstract.** Observations and  $N$ -body simulations both support a simple relation for the disruption time of a cluster as a function of its mass of the form:  $t_{\text{dis}} = t_4 \times (M/10^4 M_{\odot})^{\gamma}$ . The scaling factor  $t_4$  seems to depend strongly on the environment. Predictions and observations show that  $\gamma \simeq 0.64 \pm 0.06$ . Assuming that  $t_{\text{dis}} \propto M^{0.64}$  is caused by evaporation and shocking implies a relation between the radius and the mass of a cluster of the form:  $r_h \propto M^{0.07}$ , which has been observed in a few galaxies. The suggested relation for the disruption time implies that the lower mass end of the cluster initial mass function will be disrupted faster than the higher mass end, which is needed to evolve a young power law shaped mass function into the log-normal mass function of old (globular) clusters.

### 1. Introduction

This study combines the results of theoretical and observational studies to the life time of stellar clusters. It is of vital importance to understand how disruption processes influence a cluster population in order to get better understanding of the observed luminosity and mass distribution. A key question in studying cluster mass functions is whether the power law mass distribution of young clusters, as observed in interacting/merging and starburst galaxies, will evolve into a log-normal mass distribution as observed for old globular cluster populations. If so, a preferential depletion of low mass clusters is necessary. The two most important dynamical processes influencing the cluster mass function are 1) evaporation, which is due to internal relaxation of the cluster and 2) tidal shocking. The first is a result of two body interactions within the cluster which drive the low mass stars through interaction with higher mass stars to the edge of the cluster until they reach a velocity greater than the escape velocity and leave the cluster. Tidal shocks can be caused by passing giant molecular clouds (GMCs) or when the cluster travels through a disk, bulge or spiral arm. The stars in the cluster gain kinetic energy which causes the cluster to expand and become less gravitationally bound.

## 2. Analytical expressions for the disruption time

The time scales involved for relaxation and shocks depend on the mass and the half-mass radius of the cluster:  $t_{\text{rh}} \propto M^{1/2} r_{\text{h}}^{3/2}$  and  $t_{\text{sh}} \propto M r_{\text{h}}^{-3}$ . Boutloukos & Lamers (2003) (BL03) and Zepf et al. (1999) have proposed that if a general dependence between the disruption time and the mass exists of the form  $t_{\text{dis}} \propto M^\gamma$ , that there should be a relation between the mass and the radius of the cluster:  $r_{\text{h}} \propto M^{0.07}$  in order to reduce both time scales to the simple expression  $t_{\text{rh}} \propto t_{\text{sh}} \propto M^{2/3}$ . This shallow relation between radius and mass has been observed for clusters in NGC3256 (Zepf et al. 1999) and several spiral galaxies (Larsen, these proceedings)

## 3. Empirical determination of disruption time

BL03 propose a scaling law for the disruption time of a star cluster:

$$t_{\text{dis}} = t_4 \times (M/10^4 M_\odot)^\gamma \quad (1)$$

with  $t_4$  the typical disruption time of a  $10^4 M_\odot$  cluster and  $\gamma$  a dimensionless index.  $t_4$  and  $\gamma$  can be derived from the age and mass distributions of a cluster sample. BL03 did this for different galaxies and found that  $t_4$  is varying per galaxy but that  $\gamma$  is remarkably constant with  $\gamma \simeq 0.62 \pm 0.06$ . Disruption according to Eq. 1 is needed to explain the observed mass and age distribution of clusters in M51 (see also Gieles et al., these proceedings)

## 4. Disruption of clusters with $N$ -body simulations

Baumgardt & Makino (2003) have studied disruption of clusters of different mass and in different orbits in an external tidal field by means of  $N$ -body simulations. A clear power-law relation between the disruption time and the initial mass is found. The mean predicted slope, corresponding to the  $\gamma$  value, is  $0.66 \pm 0.04$  which agrees very well with the empirical value. The scaling value  $t_4$  found in these simulations depends strongly on the distance to the galactic center and ranges between 2 Gyr and 10 Gyr for clusters in a spherical symmetric potential. This is much larger than the values found by BL03 ( $\pm 1$  Gyr for the solar neighborhood). This can be explained by the different definitions of the empirical disruption time and the calculated life time and by the fact that the simulations did not include a disk, spiral arms and GMCs which all decrease the life time of the cluster in the disk of our galaxy.

## References

- Baumgardt, H. & Makino, J. 2003, MNRAS, 340, 227  
 Boutloukos, S. G. & Lamers, H. J. G. L. M., 2003, MNRAS 338, 717  
 Zepf, S. E., Ashman, K. M., English, J., Freeman, K. C., & Sharples, R. M. 1999, AJ, 118, 752