# CHANDRA OBSERVATION OF 3C 212: A NEW LOOK AT THE X-RAY AND UV ABSORBERS

T. L. ALDCROFT<sup>1</sup>, A. SIEMIGINOWSKA<sup>1</sup>, M. ELVIS<sup>1</sup>, S. MATHUR<sup>2</sup>, F. NICASTRO<sup>1</sup>, AND S. S. MURRAY<sup>1</sup>

Accepted for publication in Ap.J.

## ABSTRACT

The red quasar 3C212 (z = 1.049) is one of the most distant and most luminous AGN which has shown evidence of an X-ray warm absorber. In order to further investigate this unusual quasar, we used *Chandra*/ACIS-S to observe 3C212 for 19.5 ksec, resulting in a net detection of ~ 4000 counts. The *Chandra* data confirm the presence of an excess absorbing column  $N_H \approx 4 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  at the quasar redshift, but we find no compelling evidence for a warm absorber. Using both the *Chandra* and archival ROSAT PSPC data, we obtain very good fits for both a partially covered neutral absorber and a low-ionization (U = 0.03) photo-ionized absorber. In the ultraviolet, 3C212 shows a strong associated Mg II absorber. Based on a moderate resolution ( $80 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) MMT spectrum we show that the absorber is highly saturated and has a covering fraction less than 60%, implying that the absorber is truly intrinsic to the quasar. Photo-ionization modeling of the Mg II absorber yields a constraint on the ionization parameter of U < 0.03, inconsistent with a warm UV/X-ray absorber. In addition to our spectral analysis, we find evidence in the ACIS image data for weak extended emission surrounding the quasar as well as emission corresponding to the radio lobes at a distance of 5" from 3C212. The statistical significance of these features is low, but we briefly explore the implications if the detections are valid.

Subject headings: quasars: absorption lines — quasars:<br/>individual (3C 212) — ultraviolet: galaxies — X-rays: galaxies

## 1. INTRODUCTION

3C212 (Q0855+143) is a radio-loud quasar at redshift = 1.049. It is one of the prototype "red quasars" (Smith & Spinrad 1980) which have very faint optical counterparts, but are bright in the near infrared  $(1-2\mu m)$ (Rieke, Lebofsky, & Kinman 1979). Red quasars could be missed in typical surveys, and Webster et al. (1995) proposed that up to 80% of radio-loud quasars are hidden in the optical. Studying the connection between this reddening and other quasar properties can provide insight into the structure of AGNs as well as their overall population statistics. If the red quasar colors are due to dust-reddening along the line of sight (see discussion in Elvis et al. 1994a), perhaps associated with an obscuring torus, it is reasonable to search for other forms of absorption. For IR-selected red guasars from the 2MASS sample (Cutri et al. 2001), Wilkes et al. (2002) found that the implied intrinsic absorption (based on the hardness ratio) is generally high  $(N_H \sim 10^{21} - 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2})$ , but that there is no correlation between redness and hardness ratio. This lack of a good correlation is problematic for simple orientation dependent models, but it may just be due to source spectral complexity which is not modelled due to limited signal to noise. As a complement to broad surveys of red quasars, 3C 212 provides the opportunity to study a single object in detail. In addition to being red in the optical, 3C 212 harbors an associated Mg II absorption system (Aldcroft, Bechtold, & Elvis 1994) and soft X-ray absorption detected with the ROSAT PSPC (Elvis et al. 1994a).

The ROSAT PSPC spectrum of 3C 212 was first pub-

<sup>1</sup> Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138

<sup>2</sup> Department of Astronomy, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA

Electronic address: taldcroft@cfa.harvard.edu

lished and carefully analyzed by Elvis et al. (1994a). These authors considered a wide variety of possible spectral shapes, including absorbed and unabsorbed powerlaws, pure blackbody radiation, and a Raymond-Smith thermal plasma. Their main conclusion regarding the X-ray spectrum was that all models which include excess absorption were consistent with the data. The excellent low-energy response of ROSAT down to 0.1 keV positively establishes the presence of absorption, but the high energy cutoff of just 2.4 keV allowed degeneracy in the physical emission mechanism.

Extending the X-ray spectral analysis, Mathur (1994) assumed a power-law as the underlying emission and considered the possibility of a warm absorber. They found an improvement (significant at 98% confidence, using the F-test) in the fit  $\chi^2$  statistic when a redshifted (z = 1.049) O VII absorption edge was added. However, the conclusion that Mathur (1994) reached, that 3C 212 harbors an X-ray/UV warm absorber with ionization parameter  $U \sim 0.3$ , is dependent on the assumed power-law shape.

In order to further understand this interesting object, 3C 212 was observed as a *Chandra* GTO target. In this paper we describe the results of the observation and our spectral and imaging analysis. In Section 2 we describe the observations and data reduction. Section 3 gives details of our spectral modeling of the point source, while in Section 4 we discuss the extended emission which may be present. Finally, in Section 5 we present an optical spectrum of 3C 212 and discuss the Mg II absorption, and in Section 6 we present a discussion of our findings.

#### 2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

# 2.1. Chandra

3C 212 was observed with *Chandra*(Weisskopf et al. 2002) using ACIS-S (Garmire et al. 2003) for 19.5 ksec

on 2000-10-26 (ObsID 434). The source was placed on the HRMA (van Speybroeck et al. 1997) optical axis at the default aimpoint of the ACIS S3 chip. A 1/8 subarray readout mode with 0.5 sec integrations was selected in order to mitigate pile-up. Within the broadband energy range 0.3 - 10 keV, a net total of 4006 counts in the 4" source region were detected, with the X-ray celestial coordinates of the source matching the optical 3C 212 coordinates to within  $\sim 1"$ .

The *Chandra* X-ray observation data was produced by the CXCDS automatic processing pipeline, version R4CU5UPD13.2. In order to take advantage of subsequent improvements in the ACIS response and gain calibration files, we used the CIAO tool acis\_process\_events to update the event file. CIAO<sup>3</sup> version 2.2 and CALDB<sup>4</sup> version 2.10 were used in all data analysis and processing tasks.

The spectral data reduction followed the standard CIAO 2.3 thread<sup>5</sup> to extract an ACIS spectrum: (1) Extract source events within a 4.0" radius; (2) Create the aspect histogram file; (3) Create the RMF and ARF files appropriate to the time-dependent source position on chip. In addition to the standard thread, the event data were filtered on energy to use the range 0.3 - 8.0 keV, and they were grouped to an average of 30 counts per bin. The actual minimum count value for each bin was chosen randomly from a gaussian distribution having a mean of 30, standard deviation of 5, and constrained to lie between 20 and 40.

It should be be noted that the region used to extract the spectrum includes the possible extended emission discussed in Section 4. However, this component is extremely faint ( $\leq 30$  counts) compared to the core, with a spectral distribution consistent with a 3 keV thermal plasma, and thus does not significantly affect the spectral fitting.

The *Chandra* ACIS-S image of the source is shown in Figure 1, with 0.5 arcsec spatial binning corresponding to the ACIS CCD pixel size. The pixel intensities have been scaled logarithmically. The X-ray emission does appears circularly symmetric, as would be expected for such an on-axis point source. Overlayed on the image are contours from the 3.6 cm VLA radio map (adapted from (Stockton & Ridgway 1998)). The possible extended emission and its relation to the radio jet is discussed in Section 4.

## 2.2. ROSAT

3C 212 was observed with the ROSAT PSPC (Pfefferman 1987; Trumper 1983) between 1992-05-12 to 1992-05-18 for a total of 21.6 ksec. Within a 2' source circle a net total of 770 counts were detected. The data were retrieved from the ROSAT public archive and the spectrum was extracted using CIAO tools. The background was determined from a circular annulus with inner and outer radii of 3' and 6', respectively. We used the standard on-axis PSPC response matrix file pspcb\_gain2\_256.rsp, and ignored channels 1-11 in our analysis. See Elvis et al. (1994a) for further discussion of the PSPC observation, including their Figure 1 which

shows the PSPC image and the contaminating point sources which required removal.

# 3. SPECTRAL FITTING

PSPC Spectral the ACIS fitting on and CIAO 2.2Sherpa data was carried outwith (Freeman, Doe, & Siemiginowska 2001), using Levenberg-Marquardt minimization and the Gehrels  $\chi^2$ statistic. As one would expect based on current knowledge of quasar X-ray spectra, e.g. Reeves & Turner (2000), the ACIS spectrum is highly inconsistent with a pure blackbody or Raymond-Smith plasma spectral shape. The best fit for a redshifted blackbody with Galactic absorption  $(N_H = 3.6 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2})$  gives  $\chi^2 = 367$  for 102 DOF, which is clearly disallowed. Thus the possibility of a thermal spectrum, left open with the ROSAT data (Elvis et al. 1994a), is no longer allowed.

In contrast, an absorbed power-law model fits the ACIS data quite well. The results of fitting power-law models with different absorption models are given in Table 1. Using only the ACIS data, we see a significant improvement in  $\chi^2$  when comparing the model with entirely Galactic absorption ( $N_H$  free) to a model with intrinsic neutral absorption at z = 1.049. To quantify the limit on absorber redshift, we fit a model with a redshifted absorber where the redshift of the intrinsic absorber was free to vary. Although not well constrained, the best fit value of absorber redshift is 1.2, which is a good match to the quasar redshift of z = 1.049. From our fit the 95% confidence lower limit on the absorber redshift is  $z_{abs} > 0.25$ .

Unfortunately, the response and calibration accuracy of ACIS-S fall off rapidly below about 0.5 keV, making it difficult to distinguish between different absorption scenarios. The key diagnostic edges of O VII and O VIII are shifted to 0.35 keV and 0.41 keV respectively at z=1.049. The low energy response of the PSPC data can help. Since the observations were taken about 8 years apart, variability is an obvious concern. However, we find only a moderate increase of 37% in source flux (0.5 - 2.5 keV) from the ROSAT to *Chandra* observation, and the power-law spectral index for non-BL Lac type quasars does not typically show large variability (Sambruna 1997).

The spectral fits and residuals for the remaining four models, which have absorption at z = 1.049, are plotted in Figure 2.

The results of simultaneous fitting of the ACIS + PSPC data sets are shown in the second section of Table 1. Here we fit exactly the same model to each data set, but add a relative normalization parameter which is free to vary. The first two models, which include only absorption at z = 0, give much larger values of  $\chi^2$  than the intrinsic absorption models, and can be excluded. A simple power-law with a neutral absorbing column of  $4.2 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  at the quasar redshift gives a good fit to the data, giving a  $\chi^2$  of 106.6 for 124 DOF. Adding a partial-covering factor (Figure 2a) improves the  $\chi^2$  only marginally, although the very lowest energy PSPC points are fit better. A larger improvement in the fit is found by adding an absorbing O VII edge at the quasar redshift (Figure 2b). This confirms the finding of Mathur (1994), though with the addition of the ACIS data and a well-constrained power-law photon index, we now find a smaller optical depth (1.3 versus 3.9) with a somewhat

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> http://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> http://cxc.harvard.edu/caldb/

 $<sup>^5</sup>$  http://asc.harvard.edu/ciao/threads/psextract/



FIG. 1.—  $18'' \times 12''$  segment of the 20 ksec ACIS-S exposure of 3C 212, overlayed with contours from the 3.6 cm VLA map. North is up and East is to the left.

TABLE 1 Spectral fit parameters

Model	Г	Amplitude	$N_{H,Gal}$	$N_{H,z}$	Other	$\chi^2$ (DOF)
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	
ACIS Only						
Gal $N_H$ (fixed)	$1.27\pm0.04$	$2.06\pm0.07$	(0.36)			232.7(102)
Gal $N_H$ (free)	$1.81\pm0.09$	$3.63\pm0.30$	$1.7\pm0.2$			99.1(101)
$N_H(z=1.049) \times$ O VII edge	$1.69\pm0.09$	$3.11\pm0.24$	(0.36)	$3.2^{+2.2}_{-5.1}$	$\tau_{edge} = 0.6^{+2.2}_{-3.2}$	83.2(100)
Part. Cov. $N_H(z = 1.049)$	$1.71\pm0.08$	$3.17\pm0.20$	(0.36)	$4.4^{+1.3}_{-0.7}$	$f_{PC} = 1.0^{+0.0}_{-0.1}$	83.3(100)
ACIS + PSPC						
Gal $N_H$ (fixed)	$1.25\pm0.04$	$2.06\pm0.07$	(0.36)			271.5(125)
Gal $N_H$ (free)	$1.81\pm0.09$	$3.57\pm0.30$	$1.6 \pm 0.2$			128.0(124)
$N_H(z=1.049)^e$	$1.71\pm0.08$	$3.14\pm0.20$	(0.36)	$4.2\pm0.1$		106.6(124)
Part. Cov. $N_H(z = 1.049)$	$1.72\pm0.08$	$3.19\pm0.22$	(0.36)	$4.8^{+1.5}_{-1.2}$	$f_{PC} = 0.94^{+0.06}_{-0.08}$	105.7(123)
$N_H(z=1.049) \times$ O VII edge	$1.69\pm0.08$	$3.07\pm0.18$	(0.36)	$2.3^{+2.1}_{-0.8}$	$\tau_{edge} = 1.3^{+0.6}_{-1.1}$	102.3(123)
ACIS + PSPC ( $\Gamma_{PSPC} = \Gamma_{ACIS} + 0.4$ )						
Gal $N_H$ (fixed)	$1.22\pm0.04$	$2.03\pm0.07$	(0.36)			316.0(125)
Part. Cov. $N_H(z = 1.049)$	$1.72\pm0.08$	$3.20\pm0.21$	(0.36)	$4.8^{+1.0}_{-1.2}$	$f_{PC} = 0.96^{+0.06}_{-0.07}$	96.5(123)
$N_H(z=1.049) \times$ O VII edge	$1.70\pm0.08$	$3.14\pm0.18$	(0.36)	$3.5^{+2.0}_{-1.1}$	$\tau_{edge} = 0.7^{+0.7}_{-1.3}$	95.6(123)
Warm Abs. $(z = 1.049)$	$1.71\pm0.06$	$3.20\pm0.23$	(0.36)	$5.0^{+1.3}_{-1.0}$	$\log U \leq -0.8$	99.0(122)

NOTES: Uncertainties are 90% confidence limits. Fit values in parentheses are frozen. (a) Power law photon index. (b) Power law normalization in units of  $10^{-4}$  photons cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> keV<sup>-1</sup> at 1 keV (c) Absorbing column ( $10^{21}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>) at z = 0. (d) Absorbing column ( $10^{21}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>) at quasar redshift. (e)  $f_{PC}$  = partial covering fraction,  $\tau_{edge}$  = edge optical depth, U = CLOUDY ionization parameter. (f) Best fit parameter values found by Mathur (1994).

improved detection confidence of 2- $\sigma$ . These values can be compared with the best fit values found by Mathur (1994) of  $\Gamma = 2.5$ ,  $N_{H,z} = 3.6 \times 10^{21}$ , and  $\tau_{\rm OVII} = 3.9$ . The power-law derived using only PSPC data is too steep to be compatible with the ACIS data.

The third section of Table 1 shows the results of simultaneous fitting of the ACIS and PSPC data sets, where we have imposed the constraint on spectral indices of  $\Gamma_{\rm PSPC} = \Gamma_{\rm ACIS} + 0.4$ . This is motivated by results which indicate that AGN spectral indices derived with the ROSAT PSPC are systematically steeper ( $\Delta\Gamma \approx 0.4$ ) than those from the ASCA SIS and the Einstein IPC (Fiore et al. 1994; Iwasawa, Fabian, & Nandra 1999; Laor et al. 1994). This applies for AGN data fit



FIG. 2.— Results of simultaneous spectral fitting of ACIS and PSPC data using two models with intrinsic (z = 1.049) absorption. The left plot shows the fit for a partially covered absorber model ( $f_{PC} = 0.94^{+0.06}_{-0.08}$ ) and the right plot shows the fit for an absorber with an O VII edge. The lower panels in each of the two plots show the ratio of data/model.

over the same energy band (0.5 - 2 keV) using a variety of spectal models, suggesting a problem in the effective area curve (Iwasawa, Fabian, & Nandra 1999). For the models with intrinsic absorption, we find a significant improvement in the fit  $\chi^2$ , but only small changes in the fit parameters (compared to the results for  $\Gamma_{PSPC} = \Gamma_{ACIS}$ ). The most notable change is that the best O VII edge opacity is decreased to  $\tau_{edge} = 0.7^{+0.7}_{-1.3}$ , implying that the edge is only marginally detected. We also fit the data with a warm absorber model which is based on CLOUDY (Version 94; Ferland 1996) calculations. The CLOUDY warm absorber model assumes a photo-ionized plasma with Solar metallicity, density of  $10^9$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, and a standard AGN ionizing continuum Mathews & Ferland (1987). A contour plot showing 1, 2, and  $3 - \sigma$  confidence intervals for absorber  $N_H$  versus ionization parameter U is given in Figure 3. This points to a neutral or low-ionization absorber, and the ionization parameter of  $\log U = -0.5$  found by Mathur (1994) is just outside our 3- $\sigma$  contour interval.

One feature which is evident in the ACIS ratio plots in Figure 2 is a significant bump at ~ 2.5 keV with a width of around 1 keV. This bump is consistent with the very broad iron line emission reported in MCG-6-30-15 during one 26 ksec interval (Iwasawa et al. 1996), which Reynolds & Begelman (1997) interpreted as evidence for a relativistic accretion disk surrounding a maximally rotating Kerr black hole. However, we have noticed similar residuals at this level (~ 30%) at the same observed frame energy are seen in other *Chandra* quasar spectra, and we conclude that the excess is most likely instrumental in nature.

### 4. EXTENDED EMISSION

Figure 1 shows that the 3C 212 X-ray emission appears slightly elongated along the axis defined by the radio jet. Furthermore, there is evidence of X-ray emission which



FIG. 3.— Plot of 1,2,3- $\sigma$  contours for a warm absorber model fit of 3C 212 data, indicating a low-ionization or neutral intrinsic absorber. The dashed lines show constraints on the ionization parameter assuming a one-zone UV/X-ray absorber. The limits are based on the Mg I/Mg II ratio (lower) and the Mg II/N<sub>H</sub>(X-ray) ratio (upper). We also show the warm absorber parameters found by Mathur (1994).

is correlated with the hot spots of radio emission, both in the jet and at the lobes.

Looking first at the elongation, Figure 4 shows that the X-ray image is slightly elongated in the soft band (0.3 - 2.5 keV). This is inconsistent with the expectation for a point source, given that the HRMA PSF is essentially circular at the location of this source. In the hard band (2.5 - 8.0 keV) no such feature is present, but it should be noted that even if the source were truly extended in both hard and soft band, the elongation would not be detectable the hard band due to the smaller number of



FIG. 4.— Soft-band image (0.3 - 2.5 keV) of 3C 212, showing apparent extended emission in the upper-right and lower-left quadrants. Net extended counts for each of two annuli were calculated using the indicated source and background wedges. The inner annulus (2-4") has a net of  $30 \pm 10$  counts, while the outer annulus (4-6") has  $-2 \pm 7$  counts. In the figure North is up and East is to the left.

counts.

To estimate the formal significance and luminosity of the possible elongated extended emission, we use a simple method of dividing the image into quadrants which correspond to extended source counts and background counts (Elvis, Briel, & Henry 1983). The geometry and regions are illustrated is Figure 4. We exclude the bulk of the central point source counts within 2'', and only examine two annular rings at radii of 2-4'' and 4-6''. The net counts are calculated simply by summing the counts in the two source wedges and subtracting the counts from the background wedges. For the inner annulus we find a net of  $30 \pm 10$  counts, while the outer annulus is consistent with no extended flux  $(-2 \pm 7 \text{ counts})$ . As a consistency check of the method, we carried out the same analysis for a Chandra ACIS-S observation of AO 0235+164 (ObsId 884). Fortuitously, this source is very similar to 3C 212 in spectral shape, observed flux, readout mode, and aimpoint on the CCD, which makes possible a direct comparison of the spatial flux distribution for the two observations. In AO 0235+164, we find that both annular rings are consistent with no asymmetric extended flux as seen in 3C212.

Another possible origin for the extended flux would be the ACIS readout streak associated with the clocking of the ACIS CCDs during readout. This must be considered because the direction of the extension corresponds with the readout direction. However, careful examination of the image reveals no evidence of a readout streak. Furthermore, the observation of AO 0235+164, which is a close analog to 3C 212 in both count rate (within 10%) and CCD frame time (0.5 sec), shows no elongation.

If the excess of 30 photons in 3C 212 originates in a thermal bremstraulung plasma at kT = 1.5 keV, the flux in the 0.3 - 2.5 keV band (observed frame) is  $f = 6 \times 10^{-15}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>. The same value of flux is also found in the 0.3 - 2.5 keV band in the quasar rest frame. At the redshift of 3C 212, this flux corresponds to a luminosity



FIG. 5.— Radial profile of of 3C212 (points with vertical error bars) and the SAOSAC model profile (line). There is no evidence for significant extended emission.

of  $L = 3.6 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  (for  $H_0 = 71 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ ,  $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.73$ , and  $\Omega_M = 0.27$ ).

A different way of searching for extended emission is to compare the observed radial profile to the predicted profile generated with the detailed SAOSAC HRMA raytrace model developed by the CXC calibration group (Tibbetts et al. 2000). An input spectrum corresponding to the partially-covered neutral absorber model was supplied to SAOSAC, which simulated a large number of rays being imaged through the HRMA. The resultant set of rays were then processed through a simple ACIS simulator which accounts for spacecraft dither and aspect blurring, ACIS pixelation, and the ACIS quantum efficiency. The resulting radial profile is shown as the solid line in Figure 5, with the corresponding 3C212 points shown as vertical error bars. By this method we also see a slight excess at radii of 3 - 10'', although this is within the systematic uncertainty of the SAOSAC model (D. Jerius, personal communication). Nevertheless, the profile clearly demonstrates that 3C212 is not embedded in an X-ray luminous cluster.

### 4.1. Jet emission?

The contours in Figure 1 correspond to the 3.6 cm VLA image, adapted from Figure 1 in Stockton & Ridgway (1998). Within the contours of the Southern and Northern lobes, we see 5 and 3 photons respectively. We can estimate the significance of these numbers by looking at the background rate for an annulus centered on the quasar at the radius of the lobes ( $\sim 5''$ ). For the Southern lobe, the expected counts within a 1.9" diameter circle is 1.4, which gives a probability of 1.6% of seeing 5 or more counts. For the Northern lobe, we expect 0.9 counts in a 1.5" diameter circle, so the chance probability of seeing 3 or more counts is 6.3%. Considering both lobes at once, the probability of seeing 8 or more counts is 0.26%. Since these locations are pre-defined by the radio lobes these are not a posteriori statistics and do suggest a detection.

These probability estimates must be taken with a grain of salt, and certainly the hot spot X-ray fluxes are highly uncertain, but it is nevertheless worth calculating the basic jet characteristics assuming the counts

are truly due to X-ray jet emission. In this case each component would have a luminosity on the order of  $L \sim 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ . The flux density at 5 GHz for the Southern and Northern hot spots are 13 mJy and 74 mJy respectively (Akujor et al. 1991). The slope of the spectrum between the radio and X-ray emission  $(F_{South}(1 \text{ keV}) = 1.93 \times 10^{-15} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1} \text{ and} F_{North}(1 \text{ keV}) = 1.17 \times 10^{-15} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1})$  gives spectral indices of  $\alpha_{South} = 1.04$  and  $\alpha_{North} = 0.94$  respectively  $(F \sim \nu^{-\alpha})$ . Note that the long lever arm from X-ray to radio makes  $\alpha$  only weakly dependent on the precise X-ray flux. Hot spots usually emit X-rays through synchrotron or synchrotron self-Compton process (SSC, jet synchrotron photons are inverse Compton scattered of the relativistic particles in the jet, see recent reviews by Harris & Krawczynski 2002; Wilson 2003). Based on the ratio of the radio to X-ray flux densities both processes can account for the observed X-rays from the hot spots in 3C212. These hot spots are at high redshift (z = 1.049) and if the bulk motion of the gas in the hot spot is high (Lorentz factors of a few) the X-ray emission may be due to the scattering of CMB photons on the relativistic electrons. This process is more effective at high redshift since the photon energy density of the CMB scales as  $(1 + z)^4$  (e.g. Hardcastle et al. 2002; Siemiginowska et al. 2002).

### 5. ASSOCIATED Mg II ABSORBER

3C 212 is known to harbor an associated Mg II absorption complex, with components at z = 1.0491 and z = 1.0477 (Aldcroft, Bechtold, & Elvis 1994). Figure 6 shows the Mg II emission region from a previously unpublished spectrum (resolution  $85 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ ) taken with the Multiple Mirror Telescope red channel echellette on 28-Dec-94. The spectrum was reduced and flux calibrated in the standard manner using the IRAF noao.imred.echelle package. For reference we also show a straight-line fit to the underlying quasar powerlaw continuum. Given that the Mg II absorption complex does not extend below the continuum level, these data do not require that the absorbing material obscures the continuum source. However, a geometry which covers 100% of the broad emission line (BEL) region without covering the small central continuum seems highly unlikely.

In the right panel of Figure 6 we show just the Mg II absorption complex, normalized by the continuum + BELR flux. The solid line shows a fit to the absorption profile using three gaussian Mg II absorbers, where we have fixed the Mg II components (at 2796 Å and 2803 Å) to have exactly the same amplitude and FWHM. The doublet line oscillator strengths have a 2:1 ratio, so the 1:1 ratio seen in the line optical depths over the profile demonstrates that the spectrum is consistent with fully saturated line components. Thus the profile essentially represents variation in covering fraction with outflow velocity. Assuming an optical depth  $\tau \geq 3$  over the width of the profile implies a lower limit on the Mg II column density of  $N(\text{MgII}) \gtrsim 1.1 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ . If both the continuum and BELR are absorbed, then the covering fraction is  $C_f \lesssim 0.4$ ; if only the continuum is absorbed then we have  $C_f \lesssim 0.6$ . One immediate conclusion is that the Mg II absorber in 3C 212 is intrinsic to the quasar: partial covering implies that the absorber size is comparable to the quasar UV emitting region and hence much less

than the size of typical intervening galactic ISM clouds.

Complementary data supporting this conclusion is found in Stockton & Ridgway (1998), who used Keck LRIS to carry out a comprehensive redshift survey of faint galaxies in the 3C 212 field. They found only one galaxy within 100" with a redshift very near that of 3C 212, and that galaxy redshift of z = 1.053 is inconsistent with the Mg II absorption redshift range of z = 1.0475 to z = 1.0492.

The observation of a saturated Mg II complex and nondetection of the corresponding Mg I line allows us to constrain the ionization level of the absorbing cloud material. We used CLOUDY (Version 94; Ferland 1996) to calculate the Mg ionization fractions as a function of the dimensionless incident ionization parameter U. In all models we used a particle density of  $10^7$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, a total absorbing column of  $3.5 \times 10^{21}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> (from the O VII edge model in Table 1), and solar abundances. In order to investigate the effect of the shape of the ionizing continuum, we used three different input spectral energy distributions (SED): (1) the standard CLOUDY radio-quiet AGN table model based on Mathews & Ferland (1987); (2) the average SED for radio-loud guasars (Elvis et al. 1994b; (3) the SED for the radio-loud guasar 3C351(Elvis et al. 1994a). We find that for the low-ionization Mg I and Mg II transitions, the results for the three different SEDs are nearly identical. The non-detection of the Mg I line at 2852 Å (rest) requires that  $N(MgI) \lesssim 1.6 \times$  $10^{12} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$  (at 2- $\sigma$  confidence) and hence  $\log U > -1.8$ .

If we assume that the X-ray and UV absorption are due to the same material (i.e. a one-zone model), then the observed lower limit on the Mg II column of  $N(\text{MgII}) \gtrsim$  $1.1 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  translates into an upper limit on the ionization parameter log U < -1.5. These constraints conflict with Mathur (1994), who argued that the observed Mg II is consistent with a moderately high ionization level of log U = -0.5. Part of the discrepancy is the assumed Mg II column, since they considered a range from  $\approx 2 \times 10^{13}$  to  $2 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  (based on lower quality optical spectroscopy). However, even at this extreme low column, our models indicate that log U < -0.8.

An important point to note from the Mg II profile in Figure 6 is that although part of the absorbing material appears to be inflowing at a velocity of up to ~  $200 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ , this may not be correct. The assumed quasar redshift of z = 1.0486 was derived from the [NeV](3426) line. However, in Seyfert galaxies this forbidden highionization line is found to be blueshifted relative to the lower ionization lines by as much as 450 km s<sup>-1</sup> (Erkens, Appenzeller, & Wagner 1997). This effect is correlated with the forbidden line FWHM. Given the rather broad profile of [NeV] in 3C 212 (over 700 km s<sup>-1</sup>), the Mg II absorbing material could well be outflowing.

### 6. DISCUSSION

X-ray grating spectra from *Chandra* and XMM-Newton have confirmed that ionized X-ray "warm" absorbers share an outflow velocity with the UV absorbers seen in the same AGN (e.g. Collinge et al. 2001; Kaastra et al. 2002; Kaspi et al. 2002), although the X-ray spectra lack the resolution to distinguish all the components seen in the STIS and FUSE UV spectra. These X-ray spectra are consistent with the high-ionization wind picture deduced by



FIG. 6.— Optical spectrum of 3C 212 near the Mg II emission line. Left panel shows the spectrum normalized by the underlying power-law continuum (dashed line). Right panel shows a close-up of the associated Mg II absorption complex, normalized by the continuum + BELR flux. The velocity scale applies to the Mg II(2796) line and is relative to the estimated quasar redshift z = 1.0486 derived from [NeV](3426). The solid line is indicates a fit to the profile using three gaussians.

Mathur, Elvis, & Wilkes (1995). However, in 3C 212 the UV absorber shows low-ionization Mg II with  $N(\text{Mg II}) \gtrsim 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  coexisting with an X-ray absorber with  $N_H \sim 4 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  and ionization U < 0.16. The two absorbers can only be from the same gas over the relatively narrow range of ionization parameter 0.016 < U < 0.03.

However, the available data do not require such a single-zone solution. Elvis (2000) proposed a two-phase medium in the wind with the cooler phase corresponding to the broad emission line region gas. The ionization of this gas is typically  $\log U \sim -1$  to -0.7, well in line with our observed limit (Figure 3). Krolik & Kriss (2001) instead suggest a multi-phase medium spanning a range of 30 in temperature. X-ray grating spectra do indicate at least two different ionization states in some AGN winds (Kaastra et al. 2002). The large variation in ionization within single objects inferred from high-resolution UV spectroscopy may also imply multiple absorbers and stratified ionization (e.g. NGC5548 Crenshaw & Kraemer 1999), although some of the apparent complexity seems to be analysis dependent (Krongold et al. 2003). In the particular case of FIRST J1044+3656, using a multi-phase model allows a much more physically plausible interpretation of the excited state absorption data, moving the absorber distance from 700 pc (single-zone, de Kool et al. 2001) to 4 pc (Everett, Königl, & Arav 2002).

A two-phase absorber is likely in 3C 212, since the lowionization (Mg II) material only partially covers ( $C_f \leq$ 0.6) the continuum source, while the X-ray absorber appears to cover the X-ray source completely ( $C_f > 0.89$ at 90% confidence). If this is the case, then the upper and lower-limit constraints (Figure 3) on the ionization of the UV absorbing material do not apply. The upper limit depends on the ratio of Mg II/ $N_H$ , so decoupling the absorbing regions clearly invalidates our calculation. For the lower limit, as one moves to lower ionization parameter the relative population abundance of Mg II increases faster than that of Mg I. By considering a lower UV absorbing cloud column (without the constraint that it matches the total X-ray absorbing column), the ionization can be much lower while maintaining the same observed Mg I and Mg II equivalent widths. This is analogous to typical intervening Mg II absorbers which arise in the ISM of foreground galaxies and typically do not show Mg I absorption (Steidel & Sargent 1992). Mathur (1994) point out that the lack of detected 21 cm absorption at the quasar redshift rules out a mostly-neutral absorber, but this assumes both a one-zone system as well as a 21 cm spin temperature of 100 K. The latter assumption is particularly unlikely in the vicinity of the quasar central black hole.

If instead both UV and X-ray absorbers have low ionization then we are probably seeing a different type of absorber, one which is commonly found in absorbed type 1.8 and 1.9 AGN (Risaliti et al. 2001). These AGN also have reddened continua, and broad emission lines with their broad components of H  $\beta$  (Seyfert 1.8's) or H  $\alpha$ and H  $\beta$  (Seyfert 1.9's) being suppressed. This strengthens the analogy with the red quasar 3C 212. These low-ionization absorbers may be unrelated to the highionization winds.

The uncertain Mg II velocity with respect to the nucleus leaves in doubt whether the low-ionization absorber is at rest (as in a torus) or in outflow as in the high-ionization winds. High-velocity low-ionization winds are seen in LoBALs (Low Ionization Broad Absorption Line systems). Risaliti, Elvis, & Nicastro (2002) find that the  $N_H(\text{eq})$  of the low-ionization absorbers in Seyfert 1.8, 1.9's varies in virtually all cases, and does so on timescales of a few months. They suggest that a wind similar to the high-ionization absorbers but extending to larger radii, and so lower ionization, could explain these absorbers as well.

High resolution X-ray spectra of  $3C\,212$  down to energies of  $\sim 0.1$  keV could discriminate between a single and a two-phase medium, measure the absorber covering factor, and might measure the velocity of the absorbing material. This should be feasible with the next generation of large area X-ray telescopes.

The authors would like thank Susan Ridgway for helpful discussions and for providing a VLA map of 3C 212. We would also like to thank the entire *Chandra* team for making possible the observations and data analysis. This

work was supported by NASA grant NAS8-39073.

#### REFERENCES

- Akujor, C. E., Spencer, R. E., Zhang, F. J., Davis, R. J., Browne,
- I. W. A., & Fanti, C. 1991, MNRAS, 250, 215 Aldcroft, T. L., Bechtold, J., & Elvis, M. 1994, ApJS, 93, 1
- Collinge, M. J. et al. 2001, ApJ, 557, 2
- Crenshaw, D. M. & Kraemer, S. B. 1999, ApJ, 521, 572
- Cutri, R., et al. 2001, in ASP Conf. Ser. 232, New Era of Wide Field Astronomy, ed. R. Clowes, A. Adamson, & G. Bromage (San Francisco: ASP), 78
- de Kool, M., Arav, N., Becker, R. H., Gregg, M. D., White, R. L., Laurent-Muehleisen, S. A., Price, T., & Korista, K. T. 2001, ApJ, 548, 609
- Elvis, M. 2000, ApJ, 545, 63
- Elvis, M., Briel, U. G., & Henry, J. P. 1983, ApJ, 268, 105
- Elvis, M., Fiore, F., Mathur, S., & Wilkes, B. J. 1994, ApJ, 425, 103
- Elvis, M. et al. 1994, ApJS, 95, 1
- Erkens, U., Appenzeller, I., & Wagner, S. 1997, A&A, 323, 707
- Everett, J., Königl, A., & Arav, N. 2002, ApJ, 569, 671
- Ferland, G.J., 1996, Hazy, a Brief Introduction to Cloudy, University of Kentucky Department of Physics and Astronomy Internal Report
- Fiore, F., Elvis, M., McDowell, J. C., Siemiginowska, A., & Wilkes, B. J. 1994, ApJ, 431, 515
- Freeman, P., Doe, S., & Siemiginowska, A. 2001, Proc. SPIE, 4477, 76
- Garmire, G. P., Bautz, M. W., Ford, P. G., Nousek, J. A., & Ricker, G. R. 2003, Proc. SPIE, 4851, 28
- Hardcastle, M. J., Birkinshaw, M., Cameron, R. A., Harris, D. E., Looney, L. W., & Worrall, D. M. 2002, ApJ, 581, 948
- Harris, D. E. & Krawczynski, H. 2002, ApJ, 565, 244
- Iwasawa, K. et al. 1996, MNRAS, 282, 1038 Iwasawa, K., Fabian, A. C., & Nandra, K. 1999, MNRAS, 307, 611 Kaastra, J. S., Steenbrugge, K. C., Raassen, A. J. J., van der Meer,
- R. L. J., Brinkman, A. C., Liedahl, D. A., Behar, E., & de Rosa, A. 2002, A&A, 386, 427
- Kaspi, S. et al. 2002, ApJ, 574, 643
- Kraemer, S. B., Crenshaw, D. M., Yaqoob, T., McKernan, B., Gabel, J. R., George, I. M., Turner, T. J., & Dunn, J. P. 2003, ApJ, 582, 125
- Kriss, G. A. et al. 2000, ApJ, 538, L17
- Krolik, J. H. & Kriss, G. A. 2001, ApJ, 561, 684

- Krongold, Y et al. 2003, ApJ, submitted.
- Laor, A., Fiore, F., Elvis, M., Wilkes, B. J., & McDowell, J. C. 1994, ApJ, 435, 611
- Mathews, W. G. & Ferland, G. J. 1987, ApJ, 323, 456
- Mathur, S. 1994, ApJ, 431, L75
- Mathur, S., Elvis, M., & Wilkes, B. 1995, ApJ, 452, 230
- Murray, N. & Chiang, J. 1995, ApJ, 454, L105
- Pfefferman, E., et al. 1987, Proc. SPIE, 733, 519
- Phillips, M. M., Baldwin, J. A., Atwood, B., & Carswell, R. F. 1983, ApJ, 274, 558
- Reeves, J. N. & Turner, M. J. L. 2000, MNRAS, 316, 234
- Reynolds, C. S. & Begelman, M. C. 1997, ApJ, 488, 109
- Rieke, G. H., Lebofsky, M. J., & Kinman, T. D. 1979, ApJ, 232, L151
- Risaliti, G., Marconi, A., Maiolino, R., Salvati, M., & Severgnini, P. 2001, A&A, 371, 37
- Risaliti, G., Elvis, M., & Nicastro, F. 2002, ApJ, 571, 234
- Sambruna, R. M. 1997, ApJ, 487, 536
- Siemiginowska, A., Bechtold, J., Aldcroft, T. L., Elvis, M., Harris, D. E., & Dobrzycki, A. 2002, ApJ, 570, 543
- Smith, H. E. & Spinrad, H. 1980, ApJ, 236, 419
- Steidel, C. C. & Sargent, W. L. W. 1992, ApJS, 80, 1
- Stockton, A. & Ridgway, S. E. 1998, AJ, 115, 1340
- Tibbetts, M., Edgar, R., Gaetz, T., Jerius, D., Michaud, K., & Nguyen, D. 2000, ASP Conf. Ser. 216: Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems IX, 9, 315
- Trumper, J. 1983, Adv. Space Res., 2, 4, 241
- van Speybroeck, L. P., Jerius, D., Edgar, R. J., Gaetz, T. J., Zhao, P., & Reid, P. B. 1997, Proc. SPIE, 3113, 89
- Webster, R. L., Francis, P. J., Peterson, B. A., Drinkwater, M. J., & Masci, F. J. 1995, Nature, 375, 469
- Weisskopf, M. C., Brinkman, B., Canizares, C., Garmire, G., Murray, S., & Van Speybroeck, L. P. 2002, PASP, 114, 1
- Wilkes, B. J., Schmidt, G. D., Cutri, R. M., Ghosh, H., Hines, D. C., Nelson, B., & Smith, P. S. 2002, ApJ, 564, L65
- Wilson, A., 2003, in the proceedings of the Bologna conference "The Physics of Relativistic Jets in the Chandra and XMM Era", New Astronomy Review in press (astro-ph/0301125)