

THE LOWER MAIN SEQUENCE AND MASS FUNCTION OF THE GLOBULAR CLUSTER MESSIER 4¹

Harvey B. Richer², James Brewer², Gregory G. Fahlman³, Brad K. Gibson⁴, Brad M. Hansen^{5,6}, Rodrigo Ibata⁷, Jasonjot S. Kalirai², Marco Limongi⁸, R. Michael Rich⁵, Ivo Saviane⁹, Michael M. Shara¹⁰ & Peter B. Stetson¹¹

ABSTRACT

The deepest optical image ever in a globular star cluster, a Hubble Space Telescope (HST) 123 orbit exposure in a single field of Messier 4, was obtained in 2 filters (F606W, F814W) over a 10 week period in early 2001. A somewhat shallower image obtained in 1995 allowed us to select out cluster and field objects via

¹Based on observations with the NASA/ESA Hubble Space Telescope, obtained at the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by AURA under NASA contract NAS 5-26555. These observations are associated with proposal GO-8679.

²Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of British Columbia, 6224 Agricultural Road, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z1, Canada. richer@astro.ubc.ca, jbrewer@astro.ubc.ca, jkalirai@astro.ubc.ca

³Canada France Hawaii Telescope, 65-1238 Mamalahoa Highway, Kamuela, HI 96743. fahlman@cfht.hawaii.edu

⁴Centre for Astrophysics and Supercomputing, Swinburne University, Mail 31, P.O. Box 218, Hawthorn, Victoria, 3122 Australia. bgibson@astro.swin.edu.au

⁵Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of California at Los Angeles, Math-Sciences 8979, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1562. hansen@astro.ucla.edu, rmr@astro.ucla.edu

⁶Hubble Fellow, Department of Astrophysical Sciences, 122 Peyton Hall, Princeton University, Princeton NJ 08544-1001.

⁷Observatoire de Strasbourg, 11 rue de l'Universite, F-67000 Strasbourg, France. ibata@newb6.u-strasbg.fr

⁸Osservatorio Astronomico di Roma, Via Frascati, 33, Rome, I-00040, Italy. marco@nemo.mporzio.astro.it

⁹European Southern Observatory, Alonso de Cordova 3107, Vitacura, Casilla 19001, Santiago 19, Chile. isaviane@eso.org

¹⁰American Museum of Natural History, Astrophysics Department, Central Park West & 79th Street, New York, NY 10024-5192. mshara@amnh.org

¹¹National Research Council, Herzberg Institute of Astrophysics, 5071 West Saanich Road, RR5, Victoria, BC V9E 2E7, Canada. peter.stetson@nrc.ca

their proper motion displacement resulting in remarkably clean color-magnitude diagrams that reach to $V = 30$, $I = 28$. The cluster main sequence luminosity function contains very few stars fainter than $M_V = 15.0$, $M_I = 11.8$ which, in both filters, is more than 2 magnitudes brighter than our limit. This is about the faintest luminosity seen among field Population II subdwarfs of the same metallicity. However, there remains a sprinkling of potential cluster stars to lower luminosity all the way down to our limiting magnitudes. These latter objects are significantly redder than any known metal-poor field subdwarf. Comparison with the current generation of theoretical stellar models implies that the masses of the lowest luminosity cluster stars observed are near $0.09M_\odot$. We derive the mass function of the cluster in our field and find that it is very slowly rising towards the lowest masses with no convincing evidence of a turnover even below $0.1M_\odot$. The formal slope between 0.65 and $0.09M_\odot$ is $\alpha = 0.75$ (Salpeter = 2.35) with a 99% confidence interval 0.55 – 1.05. A consistency check between these slopes and the number of observed cluster white dwarfs (WDs) yields a range of possible conclusions, one of which is that we have indeed seen the termination of the WD cooling sequence in M4.

Subject headings: globular clusters: individual (Messier 4) – stars: low-mass, mass function, white dwarfs

1. Introduction

Analysis of the Hubble Deep Field (HDF) (Williams *et al.* 1995), which is to date the deepest optical image ever taken, has produced a remarkable series of important results both in Galactic and extragalactic astronomy (see Ferguson *et al.* 2000 for a summary). With the original HDF as a model, we proposed to carry out an HDF-like project in the nearest globular cluster, M4. We were successful in obtaining a roughly similar amount of telescope time (123 orbits) for the 2 filters F606W ($V - 127,400$ sec) and F814W ($I - 192,400$ sec) as had been granted for the HDF. Our plan was to reach $V \sim 30$, $I \sim 28$ with s/n about 3 in each filter in a single M4 field located 6 core radii ($\sim 5'$) from the cluster center – the same field which we observed in 1995 (GO 5461).

The main science driver was to search for the oldest and coolest white dwarfs (WDs) in M4. The discussion of the WD population in M4 is contained in the following *Letter* (Hansen *et al.* 2002). Other science goals are to attempt to identify the termination of the hydrogen burning MS and hence set the location of the brown dwarf boundary for metal-poor stars, establish the MF in the cluster down to very low masses and use the cluster

WDs to extend the MF beyond the cluster turnoff, investigate the binary frequency in the cluster both through the location of stars in the CMD and via variability, explore for planets through stellar occultations, and examine the inner halo populations (Rich *et al.* 2002, in preparation) and compare it with that of the cluster.

2. The Data and Its Reduction

The complete data set consists of 15×2600 sec exposures in F555W, 9×800 sec in F814W (1995 – part of GO 5461 from cycle 4 – see Richer *et al.* 1995, 1997; Ibata *et al.* 1999) together with 98×1300 sec in F606W and 148×1300 sec obtained in F814W (2001 – GO 8679 in cycle 9). All images were secured with the same roll angle in the same field of M4 located at about 6 core radii from the cluster center. We also processed and reduced the small set of F814W images from GO 8153 but in the final reductions they were not used.

The new images as well as the earlier frames were preprocessed according to the recipes given in Stetson (1987) and Stetson *et al.* 1998. The individual frames were transformed to the coordinate system of the first new epoch frame using the MONTAGE2 program. Once the frames were all on the same coordinate system, those *corresponding to a particular epoch and filter* were averaged together with pixel rejection – the n highest pixels being rejected to eliminate cosmic ray contamination. From the HST WFPC2 Manual the mean number of pixels on a given chip affected by a cosmic ray hit in an 1800 sec exposure is about 20,000. Hence in a stack of $N \times 1300$ sec exposures we expect $0.02257N$ pixels at a given position to have suffered a hit. Taking the dispersion to be $\sqrt{0.02257N}$ and using 5σ rejection we find that in combining the 98 F606W images the highest 7 pixels should be rejected while for the 148 F814W images we should eliminate the highest 9. Statistically this approach is superior to a median of the frames, which is equivalent to a mean of $2/3$ of the number of frames, whereas in our procedure we used 93% of the images in constructing the mean.

For each chip there are 4 combined frames, V_{new} , V_{old} , I_{new} and I_{old} . A starlist was generated for each chip using DAOFIND on the I_{new} and V_{new} frames. We then (1) visually inspected each object in the list and rejected those objects that corresponded to detections of non-astronomical sources (such as diffraction spikes), (2) subtracted a spatially smoothed copy of the image from the image itself and inspected this image for missed stars, and finally (3) combined the 2 lists into our final starlist.

Stars on each of the chips within the 4 frames were photometered using ALLSTAR with the starlist as input. ALLSTAR fits a PSF to each object in the starlist and does recentering so as to achieve the best fit. Note that the ability of ALLSTAR to recenter is essential as this

allows shifts between stars on the frames to be measured which is critical when applying the starlist from the new frames onto the first epoch images as the proper motion of the cluster relative to the background amounts to about 1 HST pixel over the 6 year time baseline. To ensure that the individual frames were registered *on* the cluster stars, through an iterative process, we built a transformation file using only cluster stars (about 240 per chip) which move insignificantly relative to each other as the observed cluster velocity dispersion is 3.5 km/s (Peterson *et al.* 1996). This amounts to a total internal mean motion of about 0.02 pixels over the 6 years. To compensate for distortions in the HST optics, we used a 20-term transformation equation. This is in lieu of but equivalent to applying the Trauger *et al.* 1995 corrections. To improve astrometric accuracy, we expanded the pc pixels by a factor of 2 and the wf pixels by a factor of 3 using MONTAGE2. This process is similar to “drizzling” (Fruchter & Hook 2002). The individual frames were then averaged together with high pixel rejection as previously described. It was on these combined, expanded frames that the final photometry was carried out using ALLSTAR. No charge transfer corrections were made as the high background and the uniform distribution of the faint stars across the chips suggested that this was not a serious problem on these images.

The resulting proper motion displacement (PMD) diagram (Figure 1) separates cluster stars from the field population rather cleanly. The clump of non-cluster stars in the PMD diagram clearly has a larger dispersion than that of the cluster; with an internal velocity dispersion of only 0.02 pixels in 6 years, motions within M4 are unresolved to our level of precision. For stars in the V magnitude range 21 to 26, the measured PMD dispersion for the cluster is 0.082 pixels (0″0082) which we take as our positional measurement error (confirmed by simulation) while the field clump has a dispersion of 0.277 pixls (0″0277). A complete discussion of this population will be given in Rich *et al.* (2002, in preparation).

In the lower two sections of Figure 1 we display the CMDs for data in different areas of the PMD diagram. The data were transformed to Johnson V and Kron-Cousins I using stars measured in GO 5461 and calibrated with ground-based data as discussed in Richer *et al.* 1997. This resulted in a significant color term in the transformation of F606W to Johnson V. The transformation equations varied slightly from chip-to-chip but for wf2 it was $V = F606W + 0.268(\pm 0.014)(F606W - F814W) + 9.401(\pm 0.031)$. Photometric measurement errors alone for MS stars as returned by ALLSTAR ranged from 0.02 magnitudes at $V = 25$ (0.01 at $I = 22$) to 0.07 at 29 (0.04 at $I = 24.5$). This resulted in 1σ errors in magnitude and color for a MS star at $V = 29$, $V - I = 4.5$ of 0.10 and 0.12 respectively.

The left panels plot all the objects (stars as well as possible galaxies) measured from all 4 chips with no rejection criteria. The central panels isolate the cluster stars – objects within 0.5 pixel in radius (0″05) of the mean motion of the cluster. Our simulations suggest

that $< 1\%$ of cluster stars will have measured PMDs outside this radius. The right panels contain the inner halo stars, again isolated with a 0.5 pixel radius circle centered on their mean motion with respect to the cluster. Any faint unresolved galaxies will appear in this CMD.

3. The Approach to the Hydrogen-Burning Limit

M4 is ideally suited for studies of the pop II hydrogen burning limit, by virtue of its proximity and relatively (amongst globular clusters) low concentration. From the ground, the best attempt to study the lower main sequence was made by Kanatas *et al.* 1995, who were limited primarily by field star contamination. Deep images using NICMOS and WFPC on HST allowed Pulone, de Marchi & Paresce 1999 to probe estimated masses down to $\sim 0.15M_{\odot}$, but with poor statistics. A second epoch of HST observations allowed Bedin *et al.* 2001 to separate cluster members from background stars using the cluster proper motion, with the faintest cluster members measured at $F814W \sim 24.5$ with masses near $0.1M_{\odot}$. Bedin *et al.* determined a completeness-corrected luminosity function, finding that their last bin at $I \sim 24.5$ was statistically indistinguishable from zero. They note that if a larger number of stars are detected and this bin stays empty, then it would strongly indicate the location of the hydrogen burning limit. The considerably deeper observation described above allows us to examine this question in more detail.

Inspection of Figure 1 shows that, while there is indeed a paucity of stars at $V > 27.5$, $I > 23.8$, there does remain a trail of potential MS stars extending redwards, almost to $V = 30$. These stars are present even with very restrictive proper motion cuts and have a high probability of cluster membership (Figure 2) so we are convinced that the LF does not have an abrupt termination bluer than $V - I = 5$. Indeed, there is little reason to expect that it will as the current generation of low mass MS models (Baraffe *et al.* 1997, Cassisi *et al.* 2000, Montalbán *et al.* 2000) all predict large changes in luminosity and color with very small changes in mass near the hydrogen burning limit. Hence the approach to the hydrogen-burning mass limit should be characterised by an extended LF, such as is indeed seen in M4.

The least luminous MS stars observed in M4 are significantly fainter than any other known subdwarf at this metallicity (Leggett *et al.* 1998a; compare with LHS 1742a and LHS 377). An immediate consequence is that there should be correspondingly faint red subdwarfs in the field waiting to be discovered, although they will be rare. For every field subdwarf in the range $7.5 < M_V < 15$, there should be ~ 0.02 subdwarfs with $15 < M_V < 17.4$, or less than 1 in 30,000 of all stars in the Solar neighbourhood.

There is also a small sample of apparent cluster members located between the cooling sequence and the MS, and some are fainter in V than even the least luminous WD detected. It is possible that these are field objects with proper motions similar to the cluster. If they are cluster members, they could be low luminosity He WDs in binaries with extremely low mass MS stars. Indeed, such objects are predicted to be the remnants of cataclysmic variables currently accreting at very low rates (Townesley & Bildsten 2002). Alternatively, these may indicate a range of colors for pop II stars near the hydrogen-burning limit, since low mass, low metallicity stars can be quite blue (Saumon *et al.* 1994).

4. The Cluster Mass Function

The derivation of a MF from the LF for M4 is an uncertain procedure because the current theoretical models for low temperature stars of this metallicity do not fit the lower MS very well. Modelling difficulties are due to problems in treating convection and opacity in the optically thin, dense and cool outer atmospheres of these stars where molecules dominate and where non-ideal gas effects are important. This affects both the color- T_{eff} relations and the stellar luminosity. At the lowest masses severe problems with calculating the adiabatic gradient occur with resultant uncertainty in the mass-luminosity relation (Montalbán *et al.* 1999). With these caveats, we generated and display the cluster MF in Figure 3 using the models of Montalbán *et al.* to convert luminosities to masses. The input luminosity function was corrected for incompleteness by inserting in 4 trials a total of 4900 stars with magnitudes from $V = 20$ to 31 to each chip. For an artificial star to be considered recovered it had to be found on at least 3 of the frames (so that its motion could potentially be determined) as well as being recovered within 1 magnitude of its input brightness and within 0.5 pixels of its input position.

The resulting MF rises very slowly to low masses, with a formal slope $\alpha = 0.75$ ($N(m) \propto m^{-\alpha}$, Salpeter $\alpha = 2.35$). A χ^2 fit finds that slopes of $\alpha = 0.55 - 1.05$ are acceptable at the 99% confidence level, a tighter constraint will be possible when better lower MS models are available. There is no evidence that the MF turns over, even to the lowest masses we detect ($\sim 0.09M_{\odot}$). This assertion is more solid than might appear from the uncertain model fitting. The total number of stars fainter than $I \sim 21$ (brighter than this the models do fit the data) is consistent with the expectations based on the extrapolated MF down to $M \sim 0.09M_{\odot}$, regardless of the M-L relation. We can also predict the number of MS stars expected to $0.08M_{\odot}$ (the brown dwarf limit for solar metallicity). Extrapolating the current MF suggests that we should find 20 more stars redder than $V - I = 5$. If the hydrogen-burning limit for M4 is at higher mass, *e.g.* at $0.086M_{\odot}$ as suggested by the Montalbán *et*

al. models, this will drop to 8. Within this context it is of interest to note that we found no stars with I magnitudes in the range 25 – 27 that possess PMDs appropriate for cluster membership and are found solely on the I frames.

The WD population also allows us to constrain the MF slope above the cluster turnoff as discussed in Richer *et al.* 1997. The completeness-corrected WD counts are 602 to $V = 30$. The detected 570 MS stars between $0.09M_{\odot}$ and $0.65M_{\odot}$ (upper limit due to saturation) imply 1219 WDs if the slope $\alpha = 0.75$ extends all the way through the turnoff mass ($0.8M_{\odot}$) to the expected upper limit for WD progenitor masses ($8M_{\odot}$). Thus, we find a factor of two less than expected. However, if the MF slope is at the steep end of the acceptable range found above, then we predict 584 WDs, in agreement with the observed numbers. However, the WD counts are far above that expected if the global M4 IMF of Pulone *et al.* 1999 is adopted. They propose $\alpha \sim 2.4$ above the turnoff mass which would predict a paltry 264 WDs. Furthermore, if M4 has undergone significant mass segregation as they suggest, this number would be even smaller.

If there is a significant fraction of the cluster WD population which possess pure He atmospheres, they will have cooled beyond our detection limit (Hansen 1999) and will not be included in the above census. A population comprising 50% of all cluster WDs would allow a continuous power law $\alpha = 0.75$ from $0.09M_{\odot}$ to $8M_{\odot}$. Hence the constraints from WD counts that can be placed on the MS are dependent upon assumptions about the shape of the MF and the WD fraction with He atmospheres. However, the fact that all of the observed WDs seen can be accounted for with a MF slope of $\alpha \sim 1$ is at least consistent with a simple picture where most WDs are H-rich, and where we have indeed seen the termination of the WD cooling sequence in M4.

HBR and GGF are supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. HBR extends his appreciation to the Killam Foundation and the Canada Council for the award of a Canada Council Killam Fellowship. RMR, MS and IS acknowledge support from proposal GO-8679 and BH from a Hubble Fellowship HF-01120.01 both of which were provided by NASA through a grant from the Space Telescope Science Institute which is operated by AURA under NASA contract NAS5-26555. BKG acknowledges the support of the Australian Research Council through its Large Research Grant Program A00105171.

REFERENCES

Baraffe, I., Chabrier, G., Allard, F. & Hauschild, P.H. 2000, A&A 327, 1054

- Bedin, L.R., Anderson, J., King, I.R. & Piotto, G. 2001, ApJ 560, L75
- Cassisi, S., Castellani, V., Ciarcelluti, P., Piotto, G. & Zoccali, M. 2000, MNRAS, 315, 679
- Ferguson, H.C., Dickinson, M. & Williams, R. 2000, ARAA 38, 667
- Fruchter, A. & Hook R.N. 2002, PASP 114, 144
- Hansen, B.M.S. 1998, *Nature* 394, 860
- Hansen, B.M.S. 1999, ApJ 520, 680
- Hansen, B.M.S. *et al.* 2002, accepted for publication in ApJL, astro-ph/0205087
- Ibata, R.A. *et al.* 1999, ApJS 120, 265
- Kanatas, I. N., Griffiths, W. K., Dickens, R. J. & Penny, A. J., 1995, MNRAS, 272, 265
- Montalban, J., D’Antona, F. & Mazzitelli, I. 2000, A&A 360, 935
- Peterson, R.C., Rees, R.F. & Cudworth, K.M. 1995, ApJ 443, 124
- Pulone, L., de Marchi, G., & Paresce, F., 1999, A&A 342, 440
- Richer, H.B. *et al.* 1995, ApJ 451, L17
- Richer, H.B. *et al.* 1997, ApJ 484, 741
- Saumon, D., Bergeron, P., Lunine, J. I., Hubbard, W. B. & Burrows, A. 1994, ApJ, 424, 333
- Saumon, D. & Jacobson, S. B. 1999, ApJ 511, L107
- Stetson, P.B. 1987, PASP 99, 191
- Stetson, P.B. *et al.* 1998, ApJ 508, 491
- Trauger, J., Vaughan, A., Evans, R. & Moody, 1995, in *Calibrating the Hubble Space Telescope: Post Servicing Mission*, edited by A. Koratkar and C. Leitherer (STScI, Baltimore), p. 379
- Williams, R.E., *et al.* 1996, AJ 112, 1335

Fig. 1.— Upper – The PMD diagram centered on the cluster. Each unit is 1 HST pixel. Middle – The V, V–I CMDs for objects selected by PMD. The central panel is for M4 using stars with PMDs within $0''.05/6$ yrs of that of the mean motion of the cluster. Bottom – The I, V–I CMDs. In the center, the hook to the blue in the WD cooling sequence of M4 is as predicted by theory and is caused by H_2 opacity.

Fig. 2.— Upper – The PMD diagram highlighting with red dots all those objects with $V - I > 3.8$, $I < 25.5$ and motion within $0''.05/6$ yrs of that of the mean cluster PMD. This illustrates that there is a component of extremely red stars that, based on their motion, are very likely cluster members. Bottom – An expanded version of the PMD selected CMD indicating that these very red objects provide a natural extension to faint magnitudes of the cluster main sequence. All these stars are redder and fainter than any known field subdwarf at the metallicity of M4 (see Leggett *et al.* 1998b).

Fig. 3.— Upper – The M4 MS LF corrected for incompleteness and showing these corrections for both MS and WD stars. Lower – The M4 MS MF with power law slope $\alpha = 0.75$ indicated.





