

Corotation: its influence on the chemical abundance pattern of the Galaxy

Yu.N.Mishurov¹, J.R.D.Lépine²
and
I.A.Acharova³

ABSTRACT

A simple theory for the chemical enrichment of the Galaxy which takes into account the effects of spiral arms on heavy elements output was developed. In the framework of the model with the corotation close to the position of the Sun in the Galaxy the observed abundance features are explained.

Subject headings: Galaxy: abundances - Galaxy: spiral arms: Galaxy - corotation

1. Introduction

The radial distribution of heavy elements in the Galaxy is a signature of the Galactic history and structure. Especially important information is brought by various features in the abundance pattern.

In early papers the radial variation of abundance was approximated by a function close to the linear one in logarithmic scale (see e.g. Harris, 1981; Harris & Pilachowski, 1984 and references therein). However, in the last decade some features of the chemical distribution were revealed that do not support the simple linear model. Indeed, Simpson et al (1995) and Vílchez & Esteban (1996) derived a plateau-like (or step-like) abundance structure of the H II regions. The same pattern was found by Twarog et al (1997) for open clusters, by Smart & Rolleston (1997) for B stars, by Caputo et al. (2001) for Cepheids. In recent papers Andrievsky et al. (2002, a,b) on the basis of

precise spectroscopic studies of Cepheids derived a "bimodal" abundance gradient with a plateau beyond the solar distance and a sufficiently steep gradient inside the solar circle. Finally, Maciel & Quireza (2000) found a parabolic metallicity distribution for the planetary nebulae, with a minimum near the solar distance.

What is the mechanism of such features formation? In this paper we will inspect the idea that the bimodal abundance gradient can be a consequence of the corotation resonance. The underlying idea is that at corotation the star formation rate presents a minimum, and consequently, the metallicity accumulated after a few billion years at this radius can also have minimum. Bearing in mind that since Marochnik et al (1972) and Crézé & Mennessier (1973) a lot of studies showed that the corotation is situated very close to the Sun (Nelson & Matsuda, 1976; Mishurov et al, 1979; Mishurov et al, 1997, Amaral & Lépine, 1997; von Linden et al., 1998; Leicht & Vasisht, 1998; Mishurov & Zenina, 1999a,b; Lépine et al, 2001; Fernandez et al., 2001 etc) it would be interesting to analyze the influence of the corotation on the abundance pattern.

On the other hand, according to Weinberg (1994), Englmaier & Gerhard (1999), Dehnen (2000) and others the corotation is situated very close to the galactic center at a distance ~ 4 kpc. So, investigating the chemical abundance struc-

¹Space Research Department, Rostov State University, 5 Zorge, Rostov-on-Don, 344090, Russia; E-mail: mishurov@phys.rnd.runnet.ru

²Instituto de Astronomia, Geofísica e Ciências Atmosféricas, Universidade de So Paulo, Cidade Universitária, São Paulo, SP, Brazil; E-mail: jacques@iagusp.usp.br

³Space Research Department, Rostov State University, 5 Zorge, Rostov-on-Don, 344090, Russia; E-mail: kfk@phys.rnd.runnet.ru

ture of the Galactic disk, we can hope to make a choice between the above models.

2. Basic idea and equations

Standard equations governing the chemical evolution of the galactic disk take into account both the creation of heavy elements and mass transfer between gaseous and stellar components (Tinsley, 1980). They are essentially the equations of continuity and convey the mass conservation law for a mixture: gas + stars + heavy elements (e.g. Lacey & Fall, 1989, hereafter LF; Portinari & Chiosi, 1999, hereafter PC). By means of these equations researchers usually try to simultaneously explain both the radial behavior of metal abundance and gaseous and stellar distributions. However the corresponding equations must be completed by the dynamical ones for instance by the Euler gasdynamic equation, the Boltzman equation for the stellar component, the Poisson equation for gravitation field etc. Only such an approach would enable us to derive all radial profiles in a self-consistent manner. Of course, this way is very complicated and most authors prefer to exclude the dynamical part of the problem (a rare exception is the paper of Martinet & Friedly, 1997) and consider the task in the framework of the standard scheme, specifying some model laws for the star formation rate (SFR), the infall rate of matter onto the Galactic disk, and the radial flows within the disk, so as to explain the observed radial distribution for chemical abundance, star density and interstellar gas density.

To make more prominent the effects of the spiral arms and of the corotation on the abundance pattern which are not masked by other factors, in the present paper we shall only concentrate our attention on the chemical enrichment. For this task we adopt the stationary model of Haywood et al. (1997) for Galactic evolution. According to these authors, gas density and infall rate are constant in time. This enables us to consider the chemical part of the problem separately for various laws of infall rate, radial flow and star formation rate (SFR)⁴. The corresponding equation we write in

a form close to that of LF:

$$\mu_g \frac{\partial Z}{\partial t} = P_Z \psi + f(Z_f - Z) - \mu_g u \frac{\partial Z}{\partial R} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R} (\mu_g D \frac{\partial Z}{\partial R}), \quad (1)$$

where μ_g is the surface density of interstellar gas, Z is the abundance of heavy elements, ψ is the star formation rate, P_Z is the mass fraction of a star ejected as newly synthesized heavy elements (Tinsley, 1980), u is the macroscopic radial velocity of the gas, f is the infall rate of matter onto the Galactic disk per unit area, Z_f is the abundance of infalling gas, t is time, R is galactocentric distance. In addition to the corresponding equation of LF we include the effect of diffusion (in the last term in the right hand side of Eq (1), D is the coefficient of heavy elements diffusion). The matter is that, due to stellar winds, supernovae explosions, Galactic fountains, etc., the interstellar gas is highly turbulent. This leads to gas mixing, which tends to smooth out the abundance gradients. For a phenomenological description of this process we introduced the diffusion term into Eq. (1).

From previous studies it is known that the influence of the SFR radial law happens to be very important on the Galactic abundance structure. Numerous approximation formulas were proposed for it. As a rule the SFR ψ is assumed to be proportional to some power of gas surface density μ_g . However this cannot be recognized as completely satisfactory. Indeed, it is well known that supernovae of all types - sources of heavy elements - are strongly concentrated in spiral arms (Bartunov et al, 1994). Hence synthesis of heavy elements in a given volume of matter takes only place when the volume occurs to be inside an arm. To take into account the effects of spiral arms and corotation on chemical enrichment we represent the SFR in a manner similar to Oort (1974), Wyse & Silk (1989) and PC:

$$\psi = \beta \mu_g^k |\Omega(R) - \Omega_P| \Theta(\nu) \quad (2)$$

where $\Omega(R)$ is the angular rotation velocity of the Galactic disk, Ω_P is the rotation velocity of the

nammical processes like Galactic contraction as a whole, influence of the bar and of the spiral structure etc.

⁴Of course, we abstain from explaining the stellar density distribution. In any case it is impossible to adequately derive the complicated structure of the stellar disk in the framework of models which do not take into account dy-

Galactic density waves responsible for spiral arms (recall that the spiral pattern rotates as a solid body, i.e. $\Omega_P = const$, whereas the Galactic disk rotates differentially, i.e. Ω is a function of R , the distance R_C where both the velocities coincide, i.e. $\Omega(R_C) = \Omega_P$, is called the *corotation radius*), β and k are some constants (we select the product $P_Z\beta$ so that $Z(R_\odot) = Z_\odot$,⁵ and consider two cases $k = 1$ and $k = 1.4$ Kennicutt, 1998), Θ is a cutoff factor (see below).

The factor $|\Omega - \Omega_P|$ in Eq (2) means a frequency of crossing spiral arms by some element of matter of Galactic disk. It appears due to the fact that the enrichment by heavy elements takes only place when the element of Galactic matter occurs to be within a spiral arms. An equivalent interpretation is that the SFR depends on the rate at which the gas penetrates the spiral arms.

Wyse & Silk (1989) and PC considered the case when the corotation resonance is situated at the very end of the Galaxy (model of Lin et al, 1969). So, they simply neglected by the value Ω_P in Eq (2).

In our paper we do not neglect Ω_P and consider several cases: with the corotation close to the position of the Sun ($R_C \approx R_\odot = 8.5 kpc$); corotation near the galactic center ($R_C = 4 kpc$) and far corotation ($R_C = 14 kpc$).

The cutoff factor Θ is required because the self-sustained Galactic density waves are known to exist only in the so-called wave zone between inner Lindblad resonance R_{in} where $\nu(R_{in}) = -1$ and the outer Lindblad resonance R_{out} where $\nu(R_{out}) = +1$ (Lin et al., 1969). So, we define $\Theta = 1$ for $|\nu| < 1$ and $\Theta = 0$ for $|\nu| > 1$. Here, $\nu = m(\Omega_P - \Omega)/\kappa$ is the dimensionless wave frequency, m is the number of arms, $\kappa = 2\Omega(1 + Rd\Omega/dR/2\Omega)^{1/2}$ is the epicyclic frequency.

The expression (2) for SFR means that we only consider an ordered star formation process triggered by spiral arms. Besides this, in the Galaxy, some sporadic (or spontaneous) star formation may take place. Hence, in general ψ should include the corresponding term. But we restrict ourselves

to the representation (2) for the SFR; in other words, we consider that the spontaneous SFR is negligible compared to that induced by the spiral arms.

From the above equation, for a given set of input functions, we can compute the time history of chemical evolution of the Galactic disk. However, in this paper we only consider the simplest asymptotic solution. Indeed, due to diffusion term in Eq (1), we expect that $\partial Z/\partial t \rightarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$. A typical diffusion time for the achievement of the asymptotic state is $t_D \sim L^2/D$ where L is a typical length, say $L \sim 1 kpc$. Let us estimate t_D and show that we may use the asymptotic regime. For our phenomenological theory we consider interstellar gas clouds as particles. So, we use the gas kinetic theory for the estimation of the diffusion coefficient:

$$\alpha \equiv \mu_g D = \frac{1}{3\pi\sqrt{2}} \frac{m_C}{a^2} H V_T$$

where m_C is the mass of a cloud, a is its typical radius, H is the thickness of gas layer ($H = 0.13 kpc$) and V_T is the thermal velocity of a cloud ($V_T = 6.6 km s^{-1}$, Stark, 1984). Following Falgarone & Puget (1986; see also Elmegreen, 1987) we adopt $m_C/a^2 = 100 M_\odot/pc^2$. Notice that for constant H and V_T the value α is constant as well. For a typical $\mu_g \sim 10 M_\odot/pc^2$ $t_D \sim 1.6 Gyr$. So, in the major part of the Galaxy we may use the above asymptotic approximation although in a more refined theory departures from it should be investigated.

As boundary conditions we adopt: at the "end" of the galactic disk, say at $R = R_G = 25 kpc$ $Z = Z_f$ ($Z_f = 0.17 Z_\odot$, $Z_\odot = 0.02$ Tinsley, 1980)⁶ and at the galactic center the abundance must be finite, therefore $\partial Z/\partial R = 0$ for $R = 0$ (in what follows we shall not be interested in the abundance structure of the central part of the Galaxy since chemical composition there is masked by very complicated processes due to the bar, the bulge etc.).

Let us now discuss the functions entering Eq (1). For the infall rate we adopt:

$$f = f_\odot \exp\left(-\frac{R - R_\odot}{\Delta}\right),$$

⁵According to various authors (see e.g. PC and references therein) the solar metallicity is greater than that of its surroundings. However Andrievsky et al. (2002a) showed that the Sun has a metallicity which is typical of its vicinity.

⁶Results depend weakly on the exact value R_G in the range 20 - 30 kpc

where $f_{\odot} = 3.5 M_{\odot} pc^{-2} Gyr^{-1}$ (Haywood et al, 1997).

For the surface gas density we use a simple representation

$$\mu_g = \mu_0 \exp\left(-\frac{R}{d} - \frac{d}{R}\right)$$

which imitates the hole in the central part of the disk with maximum μ_g at $R = d$ and is close to observed radial distribution of interstellar gas (Dame, 1993) for $d = 4 kpc$, the dependence being normalized as to give $\mu_g(R_{\odot}) = 10 M_{\odot} pc^{-2}$ (Haywood et al, 1997).

In all computations the rotation curve of Allen & Santillan (1994) with $R_{\odot} = 8.5 kpc$ and $V_{rot}(R_{\odot}) = 220 km s^{-1}$ was used.

3. Results and Discussion

First of all we consider a model with corotation close to the Sun ($R_C = R_{\odot}$) and radial inflow $u = 0$. Our experiments with Δ in the range 4 - 5 kpc (close to usually adopted) give similar results. In Fig 1 by solid lines are shown the abundance patterns for $k = 1.4$ (thick line) and $k = 1$ (thin line) for $\Delta = 5 kpc$. To feel the effects of corotation, diffusion and cutoff Θ -term on chemical enrichment we give the stationary solution of Eq (1) neglecting the diffusion ($k = 1.4$ - dotted line; $k = 1$ - dashed line). Note abrupt decrease of abundance in the last two cases beyond the Lindblad resonances due to cutoff Θ -term and the gap in the vicinity of the corotation radius. As it was expected those sharp features are smoothed out due to diffusion.

In Fig 2 thick line is the same as in Fig 1 but the dashed line represent the solution of Eq (1) for the corotation slightly closer to the galactic center, namely $R_C = 8 kpc$ and $k = 1.4$. Both the curves are superimposed on the observed abundance derived from Cepheids by Andrievsky et al. (2002 b). From this Figure it can be seen that the model with the corotation close to the Sun describes rather well the bimodal abundance gradient derived by Andrievsky et al (2002 b), with a plateau beyond the solar circle and a steep gradient inside of it.

In Fig 3 is shown the influence of radial gas flow: i) with constant velocity u : $u = +0.5 km s^{-1}$ and $u = -0.5 km s^{-1}$ and ii) with outflow from

the corotation with the same amplitude $0.5 km s^{-1}$ (Lépine et al, 2001). Thick line is the same as in Fig 1.

From the above Fig 1-3 one can see that it is possible to explain the abundance features like bimodal abundance structure of Andrievsky et al (2002) by means of combined influence of spiral arms, closeness of corotation to the solar galactocentric distance and radial gas flow on chemical enrichment.

In Figs 4,5 by solid lines the results are given for models with the corotation near the galactic center ($R_C = 4 kpc$, Fig 4) and far corotation ($R_C = 14 kpc$, Fig 5). In both pictures dotted lines represent stationary solutions obtained by neglecting the diffusion term. From these picture it is obvious that these models for Galactic spiral waves are not consistent with the observed abundance pattern.

We are grateful to S.M.Andrievsky for very useful discussion of the problem.

REFERENCES

- Allen, C., & Santillán, A., 1991, Rev. Mexicana Astron. Astrof 22, 255.
- Amaral, L.H., & Lépine, J.R.D., 1997, MNRAS, 286, 885
- Andrievsky, S.M., Kovtyukh, V.V., Luck, R.E., Lépine, J.R.D., Bersier, D., Maciel, W.J., Barbuy, B., Klochkova, V.G., Panchuk, V.E., % Karpishek R.U., 2002 a, A&A 381, 32.
- Andrievsky, S.M., Bersier, D., Kovtyukh, V.V., Luck, R.E., Maciel, W.J., Lépine, J.R.D., & Beletsky, Yu.V., 2002 b, A&A 384, 140.
- Bartunov, O.S., Tsvetkov, D.Yu., & Filimonova, I.V., 1994, Publ. Astron. Soc. Pacific 106, 1276.
- Caputo, F., Marconi, M., Musella, I., & Pont F., 2001, A&A 372, 544.
- Crézé, M., & Mennessier, M.O., 1973, A&A 27, 281.
- Dame, T.M., 1993, in *Back to the Galaxy*, eds Holt, S., & Verter, F., p. 267.
- Dehnen, W., 2000, AJ 119, 800.

- Elmegreen, B., 1987, in *Interstellar Processes*, eds Hollenbach, D.J., & Thronson, H.A., Jr. (Dordrecht: Reidel), p. 259
- Englmaier, P., & Gerhard, O., 1999, MNRAS 304, 512.
- Falgarone, E., & Puget, J.L., 1985, A&A 162, 235.
- Fernández, D., Figueras, F., & Torra, J., 2001, A&A 372, 833.
- Harris, H., 1981, AJ 86, 707.
- Harris, H., & Pilachowski, C., 1984, ApJ 282, 655.
- Haywood, M., Robin, A.C., & Crézé, M., 1997, A&A 320, 440.
- Kennicutt, R.C., Jr, 1998, ApJ 498, 541.
- Lacey, C.G., & Fall, S.M., 1985, ApJ 290, 154 (LF).
- Leitch, E.M., & Vasisht, G., 1998, New Astron 3, 51.
- Lépine, J.R.D., Mishurov, Yu.N., & Dedikov, S.Yu., 2001, ApJ 546, 234.
- Lin, C.C., Yuan, C., & Shu, F.H., 1969, ApJ 155, 721.
- Linden, von S., Otmianowska-Mazur, K., Lesch, H., & Skupniewicz, G., 1998, A&A 333, 79.
- Maciel, W.J., & Quireza, C., 1999, A&A 345, 629.
- Marochnik, L.S., Mishurov, Yu.N., & Suchkov, A.A., 1972, Aph&SS 19, 285.
- Martinet, L., & Friedly, D., 1997, A&A 323, 363.
- Mishurov, Yu.N., Pavlovskaya, E.D., & Suchkov A.A., 1979, Astron. Zhurnal (Soviet) 56, 268.
- Mishurov, Yu.N., Zenina, I.A., Dambis, A.K., Mel'nik, A.M., & Rastorguev, A.S., 1997, A&A 323, 775.
- Mishurov, Yu.N., & Zenina, I. A., 1999 a, A&A 341, 81.
- Mishurov, Yu.N., & Zenina, I.A., 1999 b, Astronomy Reports 43, 487.
- Nelson, A.H., & Matsuda, T., 1977, MNRAS 179, 663.
- Oort, J.H., 1974, in IAU Symposium 58, *The Formation and Dynamics of Galaxies*, ed. Shakeshaft, J.R. (Dordrecht:Reidel), p. 375.
- Portinari, L., & Chiosi, C., 1999, A&A 350, 827 (PC).
- Simpson, J.P., Colgan, S.W.J., Rubin, R.H., Erickson, E.F., & Haas, M.R., 1995, ApJ 444, 721.
- Smart, S.J., & Rolleston, W.R., 1997, ApJ 481, L47.
- Stark, A.A., 1984, ApJ 281, 624.
- Tinsley, B.M., 1980, Fund. Cosmic Phys. 5, 287.
- Twarog, B.A., Ashman, K.M., Anthony-Twarog, B.J., 1997, AJ 114, 2556.
- Vílchez, J.M., & Esteban, C., 1996, MNRAS 280, 720.
- Weinberg, M.D., 1994, ApJ 420, 597.
- Wyse, F.G., & Silk, J., 1989, ApJ 339, 700.

Figure captions

Fig. 1 - The theoretical radial abundance distribution for the corotation radius close to the Sun position, i.e. $R_C = R_\odot = 8.5 \text{ kpc}$. Solid lines: thick - $k = 1.4$, thin - $k = 1$. Dotted and dashed lines describe the stationary solution of Eq (1) neglecting the diffusion term for $k = 1.4$ and $k = 1$ correspondingly. Radial inflow $u = 0$.

Fig. 2 - The theoretical abundance gradient (solid and dashed lines) superimposed on the observational data derived by Andrievsky et al (2002 b) for Cepheids (crosses). The thick line is the same as in Fig 1, the dashed one corresponds to the corotation radius slightly closer to the Galactic center then in the previous case, namely $R_C = 8 \text{ kpc}$. Radial inflow $u = 0$.

Fig. 3 - The theoretical abundance gradient taking into account the radial inflow: i) $u = +0.5 \text{ kms}^{-1}$ - dashed line; ii) $u = -0.5 \text{ kms}^{-1}$ - dotted line; iii) outflow from the corotation (Lépine et al, 2001) with the amplitude 0.5 kms^{-1} - dashed - dotted line, the corotation radius being situated at the Sun galactocentric distance. The thick solid line is the same as in Fig. 1.

Fig. 4 - The theoretical abundance gradient for the model with the corotation near the galactic center, $R_C = 4 \text{ kpc}$ and $k = 1.4$ - solid line. Dashed line represents the stationary solution neglecting the diffusion.

Fig. 5 - The same as Fig. 4 but for the far corotation model, $R_C = 14 \text{ kpc}$.

This figure "f1.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0203458v1>

This figure "f2.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0203458v1>

This figure "f3.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0203458v1>

This figure "f4.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0203458v1>

This figure "f5.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0203458v1>