

SOLAR CYCLE INDUCED VARIATIONS IN GONG p -MODE FREQUENCIES AND SPLITTINGS

Kiran Jain,¹ S. C. Tripathy,² and A. Bhatnagar³

*Udaipur Solar Observatory, Physical Research Laboratory
P.O. Box No.198, Udaipur - 313 004, INDIA*

ABSTRACT

We have analysed the recently available GONG p -mode frequencies and splitting coefficients for a period of three and half years, including the rapidly rising phase of solar cycle 23. The analysis of mean frequency shift with different activity indices shows that the shift is equally correlated with both magnetic and radiative indices. During the onset of the new cycle 23, we notice that the change in b_4 splitting coefficient is more prominent than the change in b_2 . We have estimated the solar rotation rate with varying depth and latitude. In the equatorial region, the rotation first increases with depth and then decreases, while an opposite behaviour is seen in the polar region. We also find a small but significant temporal variation in the rotation rate at high latitudes.

Subject headings: Sun: activity – Sun: oscillations – Sun: rotation

1. INTRODUCTION

It is now well established that the frequency and splitting coefficients of the solar p -mode oscillations vary during the sun's magnetic cycle. The initial results were obtained from the study of the low degree modes arising from irradiance measurements (Woodard and Noyes 1985) and observations from the Sun as a star (Elsworth et al. 1990). Libbrecht & Woodard (1990) extended this study for higher ℓ modes ($5 < \ell < 140$) and showed that the frequency shift increases with ℓ values. On the basis of observations made during the rising phase of cycle 22, Woodard et al. (1991) found a strong correlation between the frequency shift and the total surface magnetic field. The study of solar cycle 22 was extended to the initial phase of cycle 23 by several groups using the Global Oscillation Network Group (GONG) data and MDI data from the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO). Anderson, Howe, & Komm (1998) analysed two 3-month equivalent data sets from GONG and MDI during the early phase of the solar cycle 23 and found evidence of small

¹kiran@uso.ernet.in

²sushant@uso.ernet.in

³arvind@uso.ernet.in

shifts in frequency and a_4 splitting coefficients. Using the GONG data, Bhatnagar, Jain, & Tripathy (1999) studied the variation in frequencies for a period of two years starting from 1995 August. They also presented evidence that magnetic and radiative activity indices correlate differently with frequency shifts. A similar result was earlier obtained by Bachmann & Brown (1993) for the declining phase of cycle 21 and ascending phase of cycle 22. Howe, Komm, & Hill (1999) have also analysed GONG frequencies over modes near 3 mHz and confirm the solar cycle changes in mean frequencies. In addition to frequency changes, they analysed the splitting coefficients up to a_6 and reported that the even a coefficients show a larger correlation with the corresponding even Legendre component of the magnetic activity than with the average magnetic flux. Centroid frequencies and multiplet frequency splittings obtained from the MDI have also been studied by Dziembowski et al. (1998, 1999) who primarily concentrated on the solar cycle changes of the spherical structure of the Sun.

Recently, Basu & Antia (1999) and Howe, Komm, & Hill (2000) found a small temporal variation in GONG odd-order splitting coefficients upto 15th order. Using different inversion techniques, they found a systematic zonal flow migrating towards lower latitudes during the rising phase of the cycle. This flow can be associated with torsional oscillations as seen in BBSO data from 1986–1990 (Woodard and Libbrecht 1993a). These zonal variations of the Sun’s differential rotation were first noticed by Kosovichev & Schou (1997) using MDI data. They inferred subsurface flows from the rotational splittings of f -mode frequencies in the range of ℓ from 120 to 250 using 144 days time series. Toomre et al. (2000) have confirmed these zonal flows using both p - and f -mode splittings derived from MDI data.

The changes in frequencies and splittings are believed to be caused by a combination of variations in temperature, magnetic and velocity fields. Goldreich et al. (1991) proposed that the variations in solar eigen-frequencies are related to the perturbations in the surface magnetic fields. However, Kuhn (1998) argues that the frequency changes can not be due to the variation in surface magnetic field alone, because a reasonable explanation of the solar cycle acoustic changes must account for the apparent photometric cycle.

In this paper, we analyse the frequencies and splitting coefficients obtained from the GONG project to extend previous works to all possible frequency and ℓ ranges. We also infer the solar rotation rate as a function of depth and latitude using the analytical approach of Morrow (1988) involving the odd order splitting coefficients. Our results reveal a significant temporal variation in the rotation rate at high latitudes over the period of study.

2. FREQUENCY SHIFT AND ACTIVITY INDICES

The analysis presented here uses thirty three, 108-days frequency data sets (maximum available at the time of writing) from the GONG project, covering a period of more than 3 years from 1995 May 7 to 1998 October 17. Each data set consists of 3 GONG months (GMs), where each GM

extends over 36 days and contains m -averaged p -mode multiplets. The mode frequencies were estimated using the standard GONG analysis (Hill et al. 1996) and obtained by fitting orthogonal polynomials as defined in Ritzwoller & Lively (1991):

$$\nu_{n,l,m} = \nu_{n,l} + \sum_s c_{s,n,l} \gamma_{s,l}(m), \quad (1)$$

where $\gamma_{s,l}(m)$ are the orthogonal polynomials for given value of degree ℓ and $c_{s,n,l}$ are the splitting functions. The remaining symbols in Equation (1) have their usual meanings. Thus, this study differs from the earlier analysis of GONG data by Bhatnagar, Jain, & Tripathy (1999) and Howe, Komm, & Hill (1999), wherein the frequencies used were derived from Legendre polynomial expansion.

The mean shift $\delta\nu$ for a given ℓ and n is calculated from the relation

$$\delta\nu(t) = \sum_{n,\ell} \frac{\delta\nu_{n,\ell}(t)}{\sigma_{n,\ell}^2} / \sum_{n,\ell} \frac{1}{\sigma_{n,\ell}^2}, \quad (2)$$

where $\delta\nu_{n,\ell}(t)$ is the change in the measured frequency for a given ℓ and radial order n and $\sigma_{n,\ell}$ is the error in the observed frequency. The mean frequency shifts for GM 5–7 (1995 Sep. 23 – 1996 Jan. 13) and GM 33–35 (1998 Jul. 2 – 1998 Oct. 17), which represents low and high activity periods respectively, are given in Table 1 where GM 1–3 is taken as the reference. It is noted that there are very few modes in the frequency range of 1500–1900 μHz and 3900–4300 μHz . It is further observed that the errors in $\delta\nu$ for these frequency ranges are larger as compared to other ranges.

The variation of ν -averaged frequency shift for three different periods scaled with the inverse of the modal mass as a function of ℓ is shown in Figure 1, where the frequency shift is calculated from the mean over all relevant data points. It is clear from the panels in Figure 1 that the shifts are constant for ℓ between 40 to 80 in the frequency range of 1900–4300 μHz whereas there is large scatter at both low and high degrees. The temporal evolution of the mean frequency shift for different ℓ ranges scaled by the inverse of the modal mass is shown in Figure 2. Both these figures confirm that the frequency shift is a weak function of degree as was pointed out by Libbrecht & Woodard (1990). From GONG data, Howe, Komm, & Hill (1999) had also found no degree dependence for ℓ approximately between 50 to 90 around 3mHz.

In Figure 3, we show the variation of ℓ -averaged frequency shift as a function of frequency for three independent periods with reference to mean over all these periods. It is evident that the frequency shift has a strong dependence on the frequency which increases with increasing frequencies. This is also consistent with the result of Libbrecht & Woodard (1990) and Anguera Gubau et al. (1992). The temporal evolution of frequency shifts over the entire period of three and half years for different frequency ranges is shown in Figure 4. It is noted that the frequency shifts for all frequency ranges are small upto GM 24–26 and then increases rapidly. This behaviour of the shifts can be understood in terms of the rapid increase of solar activity represented in the same figure by means of the 10.7 cm radio flux. It is further noticed that the frequency shifts for all

frequency ranges seem to converge at GM 23–25. This particular aspect was investigated in detail using different combination of GMs as reference months. We conclude that this converging feature depends on reference frequencies and has no physical significance.

The mean shift is correlated with different solar activity indices by computing a mean value for each index over the same 108 days interval over which the frequencies were determined. The activity indices considered are: R_I , the International sunspot number obtained from the Solar Geophysical Data (SGD); KPMI, Kitt Peak Magnetic Index from Kitt peak full disk magnetograms; SMMF, Stanford Mean Magnetic Field from SGD; MPSI, Magnetic Plage Strength Index from Mount Wilson magnetograms; FI, total flare index from SGD and T. Ataç (1999, private communication); He I, equivalent width of He I 10830 Å line, averaged over the whole disk from Kitt peak; Mg II, core-to-wing ratio of Mg II line at 2800 Å from L. Floyd (1999, private communication); F_{10} , integrated radio flux at 10.7 cm from SGD. A linear least square fitting is carried out between mean activity indices and frequency shifts. The fitting parameters, χ^2 values and both Pearson's (r_p) and Spearman's (r_s) correlation coefficients are summarised in Table 2. It is observed that both the magnetic and radiative indices are equally correlated with the frequency shifts in contrast to the earlier results of Bachmann & Brown (1993) and Bhatnagar, Jain, & Tripathy (1999). This aspect needs to be investigated in detail with the availability of more high precision data sets in future. The correlation coefficients for different frequency ranges are given in Table 3. It is observed that the correlation has an increasing trend with frequency ranges and are marginally different at higher frequencies.

3. SPLITTING COEFFICIENTS

It is known that the solar differential rotation and other symmetry breaking factors like magnetic field can lift the degeneracy of the solar acoustic modes and split the eigen frequencies. Individual mode splittings can be represented by Legendre polynomial expansion in (m/L)

$$\nu_{n,\ell,m} = \nu_{n,\ell} + L \sum_{s=1}^m a_{s,n,\ell} P_s(m/L), \quad (3)$$

where the expansion coefficients $a_{s,n,\ell}$ are known as the splitting coefficients and $L^2 = \ell(\ell + 1)$. Alternatively, the splitting data can be expressed in terms of the splitting functions, $c_{s,n,\ell}$, as given in Equation (1). These functions are generally converted to a new set of splitting coefficients b 's by using the relation (Ritzwoller & Lavelly 1991)

$$c_s = \frac{(4\pi)^{1/2}}{(2\ell + 1)L^2 H_s^1 F_s} b_s, \quad (4)$$

where

$$H_s^1 = (-1)^{(\ell-1)} \begin{pmatrix} s & \ell & \ell \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

and

$$F_s = \left[\frac{(2\ell - s)!}{(2\ell + s + 1)!} \right]^{1/2}. \quad (6)$$

The odd-order coefficients measure the solar rotation while the even-order coefficients probe the symmetry about the equator. The nonzero values of these even coefficients reflect the pole–equator asymmetries in the solar structure. It may be noted that we have retained terms up to $s = 5$ because the coefficients and their errors are of similar magnitude for $s > 5$ in the data sets used in this study.

The temporal variation of b_2 and b_4 coefficients is shown in Figure 5. We find that b_2 has strong correlation with activity, whereas b_4 is anticorrelated. The results of linear regression analysis between these coefficients and activity indices are given in Table 4. It may be noted that b_2 has a better quantitative correlation than b_4 . We observe that during the onset of cycle 23, the change in b_4 is more prominent than the change in b_2 . Howe, Komm, & Hill (1999) have also studied the temporal variation of the b_2 and b_4 coefficients at 3 mHz and reported a phase shift of one year between them. The odd order coefficients, b_1 , b_3 and b_5 , calculated using the expression (4) are used to obtain information on the variation of the Sun’s rotation rate with depth and latitude.

3.1. Solar Rotation Rate

The solar rotation rate using the helioseismic data are generally obtained through two different methods: in *forward approach* the frequency splittings are computed for a chosen solar rotation model and then compared with the observed splittings. In the *inverse method* the measured frequency splittings are used directly to produce a single function for the angular velocity. In this study, we use the analytical method of Morrow (1988) where the appropriate combination of odd order splitting coefficients reflects the depth variation of angular velocity at chosen co-latitude ϕ , ($90^\circ - \theta$, where θ is the latitude).

$$\Omega^{nl}(\phi) = \sum_{i=0}^2 d_{2i+1}(\phi) a_{2i+1}^{nl}, \quad (7)$$

where

$$d_1 = 1, \quad (8)$$

$$d_3 = [1 - 5\cos^2\phi], \quad (9)$$

$$d_5 = [1 - 14\cos^2\phi + 21\cos^4\phi]. \quad (10)$$

The corresponding rotation rate at equator is given by

$$\Omega^{nl}(90^\circ) = a_1^{nl} + a_3^{nl} + a_5^{nl}, \quad (11)$$

and at pole

$$\Omega^{nl}(0^\circ) = a_1^{nl} - 4a_3^{nl} + 8a_5^{nl}. \quad (12)$$

Wilson, Burtonclay & Li (1997) have recently modified expressions (7-12) by including higher order splitting coefficients up to a_7 . The addition of further terms to these expressions seems to be of limited utility due to the larger relative errors of higher order coefficients. We compute a 's from c 's using Equations (61-63) of Ritzwoller & Lively (1991). In Figure 6, we show the variation of a_1 , a_3 and a_5 with degree. The non-zero values of these coefficients indicate that the solar rotation rate changes with depth and latitude.

In Figure 7, we show the sun's rotation rate at different latitudes as a function of depth approximated by ν/L which corresponds to the radius of lower turning point r_t as defined by the relation (Christensen-Dalsgaard & Berthomieu 1991)

$$r_t = \frac{c(r_t)}{2\pi} \frac{L}{\nu} \quad (13)$$

where c is the sound speed. A higher value of ν/L denotes a smaller value of r_t and hence a greater depth. As a result the low degree modes are sensitive to the rotation from surface to the core, while the high degree modes probe solar rotation near the surface. From Figure 7, we find that for $\theta = 0^\circ$ and 30° , the rotation rate first increases and then decreases as depth increases. On the other hand, the rotation rate for $\theta = 45^\circ$ and 60° remains constant below the base of the convection zone ($\nu/L \approx 70$), beyond which it starts increasing. We further notice that for $\theta = 60^\circ$, the increase in internal rotation rate above $\nu/L = 70$, is steeper than at $\theta = 45^\circ$. This suggests that, as the depth increases, the equatorial rotation rate decreases while the polar rotation rate increases. Wilson, Burtonclay & Li (1997) have analysed frequency splittings derived from first four months of GONG data and showed that the greatest changes in rotation occurs in the region below the base of the convection zone. They also found that the data does not support models exhibiting a discontinuous shear between the convection zone and a uniformly rotating radiative region. Thompson et al. (1996) have also estimated the solar rotation rate using the first few month's of GONG data. Our results derived from analytical expressions are in gross agreement with earlier studies.

The observed rotation rate is conventionally expressed in terms of even powers of $\cos\phi$:

$$\Omega(r, \phi) = A + B \cos^2\phi + C \cos^4\phi, \quad (14)$$

where ϕ as defined earlier is the solar co-latitude. The surface constants A , B , and C are related to splitting coefficients by the relations

$$a_1 = A + \frac{1}{5}B + \frac{3}{35}C, \quad (15)$$

$$a_3 = -\frac{1}{5}B - \frac{2}{15}C, \quad (16)$$

$$a_5 = \frac{1}{21}C. \quad (17)$$

Various workers have calculated these surface coefficients using different data sets. Brown et al. (1989) found $A = 462.8$ nHz, $B = -56.7$ nHz and $C = -75.9$ nHz for $r \geq 0.723 R_\odot$ using

CaII K intensity data taken from South pole. Based on 100-day observations made at BBSO for ℓ between 10 to 60, Libbrecht (1989) found the best fit with $A = 461$ nHz, $B = -60.5$ nHz and $C = -75.4$ nHz. We have derived these constants from the GONG data for the $20 \leq \ell \leq 100$ and obtained the best fit with $A = 459.54$ nHz, $B = -61.90$ nHz and $C = -70.20$ nHz. Using the odd-order splitting coefficients of GONG data derived from Legendre polynomial expansion, we obtain, $A = 459.97$ nHz, $B = -61.33$ nHz, and $C = -70.93$ nHz. The values obtained for A , B and C using GONG data are in close agreement with the earlier values.

In Figure 8, we plot the average surface rotation rate as a function of latitude using the derived coefficients. In the same plot, we have also shown the results from inversion techniques (Antia, private communication) and Doppler surface measurements (Snodgrass 1984). It is clear that the rotation rate changes significantly in mid latitude while the change in rotation rate near pole and equator is small. We find that the rotation rate derived from the GONG data agrees well with other results. However, the inverted rotation profile departs from other rotation rates beyond the latitude of 70° , probably due to the resolution limitation in inversion techniques.

Woodard and Libbrecht (1993b) studied the time variation of equatorial rotation rate for different ℓ ranges and suggested a small variation from year to year. To investigate temporal variations, Figure 9 shows the residual surface rate at four different latitudes, obtained after subtracting the average angular velocity shown in Figure 8 by long dash line. It is evident that at higher latitudes, the residual rotation rate, commonly known as zonal flows, is time dependent. This has a magnitude of approximately 2 nHz at a latitude of 60° . Recently Basu & Antia (1999), Howe, Komm, & Hill (2000) using GONG data, and Toomre et al. (2000) using MDI data, have also reported a small but significant time variation in the rotation rate. Our results derived from the analytical method are consistent with these inversion studies.

4. CONCLUSION

The mean frequency shift varies over the solar cycle and is correlated similarly with both the magnetic and radiative indices.

The b_2 splitting coefficient is linearly correlated with the activity indices, while b_4 is anti-correlated for all degree and frequency ranges.

We detect a small but significant variation in the rotation rate derived from the linear combination of odd order coefficients over a period of three and half years.

We thank the anonymous referee for his critical remarks which improved the manuscript. We also thank T. Ataç, L. Floyd, and R.K. Ulrich for supplying us the Flare index, Mg II, and MPSI data respectively and H. M. Antia for providing us the inversion results. This work utilises data obtained by the Global Oscillation Network Group project, managed by the National Solar Obser-

vatory, a Division of the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which is operated by AURA, Inc. under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation. The data were acquired by instruments operated by Big Bear Solar Observatory, High Altitude Observatory, Learmonth Solar Observatory, Udaipur Solar Observatory, Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, and Cerro Tololo Interamerican Observatory. NSO/Kitt Peak magnetic, and Helium measurements used here are produced cooperatively by NSF/NOAO; NASA/GSFC and NOAA/SEL. This work is partially supported under the CSIR Emeritus Scientist Scheme and Indo-US collaborative programme-NSF Grant INT-9710279.

REFERENCES

- Anderson, E. R., Howe, R., & Komm, R. 1998, in *Structure and Dynamics of the Sun and Sun-like Stars*, ed. S. G. Korzennik & A. Wilson (ESA-SP-418, Noordwijk: ESA), 901
- Anguera Gubau, M., Pallé, P. L., Pérez Hernández, F., Régulo, C., & Roca Cortés, T. 1992, *A&A*, 255, 363
- Bachmann, K. T., & Brown, T. M. 1993, *ApJ*, 411, L45
- Basu, S., & Antia, H. M. 1999, *IAU Colloquium* 179
- Bhatnagar, A., Jain, K., & Tripathy, S. C. 1999, *ApJ*, 521, 885
- Brown, T.M., Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., Dziembowski, W. A., Goode, P. R., Gough, D.O., & Morrow, C. A. 1989, *ApJ*, 343, 526
- Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., & Berthomieu, G., 1991, in *Solar Interior and Atmosphere*, ed. A. N. Cox, W. C. Livingston, & M. S. Matthews (Tucson: Univ. Arizona Press), 401
- Dziembowski, W. A., Goode, P. R., DiMauro, M. P., Kosovichev, A. G., & Schou, J. 1998, *ApJ*, 509, 456
- Dziembowski, W. A., Goode, P. R., Kosovichev, A. G., & Schou, J. 1999, *ApJ*, submitted
- Elsworth, Y., Howe, R., Isaak, G. R., McLeod, C. P., & New, R. *Nature*, 345, 322
- Goldreich, P., Murray, N., Willette, G., & Kumar, P. 1991, *ApJ*, 370, 752
- Hill, F., et al. 1996, *Science* 272, 1292
- Howe, R., Komm, R. & Hill, F. 1999, *ApJ*, 524, 1084
- Howe, R., Komm, R. & Hill, F. 2000, *Sol. Phys.*, in press
- Kosovichev, A. G., & Schou, J., 1997, *ApJ*, 482, 207

- Kuhn, J. R. 1998, in *Structure and Dynamics of the Sun and Sun-like Stars*, ed. S. G. Korzennik & A. Wilson (ESA-SP-418, Noordwijk: ESA), 871
- Libbrecht, K. G. 1989, *ApJ*, 336, 1092
- Libbrecht, K. G., & Woodard, M. F. 1990, *Nature*, 345, 779
- Morrow C. A. 1988, in *Seismology of the Sun and Sun like Stars*, ed. E. J. Rolfe (ESA-SP-286, Noordwijk: ESA), 137
- Ritzwoller, M. H., & Lavelle, E. M. 1991, *ApJ*, 369, 557
- Snodgrass, H. B. 1984, *Sol. Phys.*, 94, 13
- Thompson, M. J., et al. 1996, *Science*, 272, 1300
- Toomre, J., Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., Howe, R., Larsen, R. M., Schou, J., & Thompson, M. J., *Sol. Phys.*, 2000, in press
- Wilson, P. R., Burtonclay, D., & Li, Y. 1997, *ApJ*, 489, 395
- Woodard, M. F., Kuhn, J. R., Murray, N., & Libbrecht, K. G. 1991, *ApJ*, 373, L81
- Woodard, M. F., & Libbrecht, K. G. 1993a, *Science*, 260, 1778
- Woodard, M. F., & Libbrecht, K. G. 1993b, *ApJ*, 402, L77
- Woodard, M. F., & Noyes, R. W. 1985, *Nature*, 318, 449

Fig. 1.— The binned frequency shifts for three different GONG months, scaled by the inverse of the modal mass, as a function of degree ℓ . The shifts have been calculated with respect to the mean of all three periods. Error bars represent mean error in shift.

Fig. 2.— The temporal evolution of the frequency shifts scaled by the inverse of the modal mass for three different ℓ ranges: 20–40 (traingles), 40–80 (stars) and 80–100 (diamonds). The corresponding period is given in the upper x-axis.

Fig. 3.— The binned frequency shifts for three independent periods: GONG months 8–10 (squares), 23–25 (diamonds) and 33–35 (triangles) as a function of frequency. The shift has been calculated with reference to the mean of all three periods. Error bars represent mean error in shift.

Fig. 4.— The temporal evolution of frequency shifts for different frequency ranges: 1900–2300 μHz (plus), 2300–2700 μHz (stars), 2700–3100 μHz (squares), 3100–3500 μHz (dimonds), and 3500–3900 μHz (triangles). The solid line without symbols represents the total mean shift in the range of 1900–3900 μHz . The shifts have been calculated with respect to the mean of all 33 data sets. The solid line with crosses represents the scaled 10.7 cm radio flux.

Fig. 5.— The temporal evolution of b_2 (solid line) and b_4 (dashed line) coefficients derived from the splitting functions c_s . The solid line with diamonds represents the scaled 10.7 cm radio flux.

Fig. 6.— The variation of splitting coefficients with degree ℓ for GM 8–10. The solid line represents the average values of the coefficients for $\ell = 20$ –100. The 1σ errors are shown by dotted line.

Fig. 7.— The solar rotation rate as a function of ν/L , a proxy for the turning point radius r_t (Equation 13), for five different latitudes: $\theta=0^\circ$ (plus), $\theta=30^\circ$ (stars), $\theta=45^\circ$ (diamonds), $\theta=60^\circ$ (triangles), and $\theta=90^\circ$ (squares) are shown.

Fig. 8.— The variation of average solar rotation rate calculated from three term fits in even power of $\cos\phi$ are shown as a function of latitude. The solid line represents the rotation rate from Snodgrass (1984), dotted and dash-dot-dot-dot lines are for BBSO data sets, as derived by Brown et al. (1989) and Libbrecht (1989) respectively. Other lines represent rotation rates calculated from GONG data; long dash line for analytical results using orthogonal polynomials, and short dash for inversion results (Antia, private communication).

Fig. 9.— The temporal evolution of residual rotation rate near the surface at four different latitudes.

Table 1. NUMBER OF COMMON MODES AND FREQUENCY SHIFTS FOR ℓ BETWEEN 20 TO 100 AND DIFFERENT ν RANGES

Frequency range (μHz)	Number of modes	Frequency shifts (nHz)	
		GM 5–7	GM 33–35
$1500 \leq \nu \leq 1900$	6	11.4 ± 5.51	32.2 ± 6.12
$1900 \leq \nu \leq 2300$	71	-04.4 ± 1.71	40.9 ± 1.86
$2300 \leq \nu \leq 2700$	92	-05.8 ± 1.64	96.5 ± 1.82
$2700 \leq \nu \leq 3100$	66	-16.7 ± 1.71	173.7 ± 2.06
$3100 \leq \nu \leq 3500$	84	-13.4 ± 1.99	270.4 ± 2.39
$3500 \leq \nu \leq 3900$	110	-29.3 ± 3.63	338.3 ± 4.05
$3900 \leq \nu \leq 4300$	31	-34.5 ± 16.4	377.5 ± 17.6
$1900 \leq \nu \leq 3900$	423	-10.4 ± 0.08	139.1 ± 0.10

Table 2. FITTING AND CORRELATION STATISTICS IN THE FREQUENCY RANGE OF 1900–3900 μHz AND ℓ BETWEEN 20 TO 100

Activity index	slope (nHz per activity unit)	intercept (nHz)	χ^2	r_p	r_s
R_I	2.154 ± 0.07	-52.802 ± 0.24	14.0	0.98	0.93
KPMI	25.04 ± 0.90	-205.67 ± 0.74	26.6	0.96	0.82
F_{10}	2.758 ± 0.09	-230.84 ± 0.83	10.3	0.99	0.95
He I	8.416 ± 0.30	-391.20 ± 1.41	31.5	0.95	0.92
SMMF	7.283 ± 0.36	-85.356 ± 0.44	397	0.87	0.69
MPSI	136.6 ± 4.87	-48.772 ± 0.23	9.20	0.99	0.92
FI	27.69 ± 1.58	-39.642 ± 0.22	50.4	0.93	0.88
Mg II	19580 ± 697	-5001.6 ± 17.8	7.30	0.99	0.93

Table 3. CORRELATION STATISTICS FOR DIFFERENT FREQUENCY RANGES*

Activity index	1900–2300		2300–2700		2700–3100		3100–3500		3500–3900	
	r_p	r_s	r_p	r_s	r_p	r_s	r_p	r_s	r_p	r_s
R_I	0.92	0.86	0.97	0.88	0.98	0.92	0.99	0.95	0.98	0.93
KPMI	0.89	0.76	0.94	0.74	0.96	0.81	0.98	0.89	0.98	0.88
F_{10}	0.91	0.89	0.97	0.89	0.98	0.95	0.99	0.97	0.99	0.96
He I	0.84	0.86	0.93	0.84	0.95	0.90	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97
SMMF	0.70	0.69	0.77	0.68	0.80	0.67	0.82	0.68	0.82	0.72
MPSI	0.93	0.87	0.98	0.86	0.99	0.92	0.99	0.95	0.99	0.96
FI	0.84	0.84	0.91	0.85	0.92	0.88	0.94	0.90	0.94	0.87
Mg II	0.92	0.86	0.98	0.86	0.99	0.93	0.99	0.97	1.00	0.96

*Frequencies are in μHz

Table 4. FITTING AND CORRELATION STATISTICS FOR b_2 AND b_4 COEFFICIENTS

Activity index	slope (nHz per activity unit)	intercept (nHz)	χ^2	r_p	r_s
b_2					
R_I	10.26 ± 3.09	-221.48 ± 93.60	1.0	0.92	0.80
KPMI	122.28 ± 36.19	-973.70 ± 298.10	0.6	0.95	0.82
F_{10}	13.22 ± 3.94	-1076.61 ± 330.48	0.8	0.93	0.81
He I	40.96 ± 12.21	-1873.15 ± 565.20	0.8	0.94	0.88
SMMF	34.80 ± 14.60	-378.15 ± 174.85	6.3	0.88	0.75
MPSI	656.86 ± 195.16	-204.22 ± 89.24	0.7	0.93	0.80
FI	117.50 ± 63.70	-149.81 ± 88.81	0.7	0.91	0.81
Mg II	94543.52 ± 27952.12	-24119.43 ± 7134.69	0.6	0.94	0.85
b_4					
R_I	-7.45 ± 2.98	235.56 ± 90.23	1.8	-0.87	-0.57
KPMI	-81.62 ± 34.90	742.04 ± 287.49	2.6	-0.79	-0.42
F_{10}	-9.51 ± 3.80	866.84 ± 318.50	1.8	-0.88	-0.61
He I	-28.56 ± 11.78	1398.59 ± 545.15	2.2	-0.83	-0.52
SMMF	-24.96 ± 14.09	363.76 ± 168.69	5.0	-0.57	-0.32
MPSI	-467.32 ± 188.14	237.85 ± 85.97	1.9	-0.89	-0.64
FI	-116.72 ± 61.52	220.35 ± 85.60	2.5	-0.77	-0.56
Mg II	-65912.68 ± 26949.38	16907.71 ± 6878.67	2.1	-0.86	-0.54

















