


INTERSECTION HOMOLOGY OF TORIC VARIETIES AND A CONJECTURE OF KALAI

TOM BRADEN AND ROBERT MACPHERSON

Suppose that a d -dimensional convex polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is *rational*, i.e. its vertices are all rational points. Then P gives rise to a polynomial $g(P) = 1 + g_1(P)q + g_2(P)q^2 + \cdots$ with non-negative coefficients as follows. Let X_P be the *associated toric variety* (see §6 – our variety X_P is $d + 1$ -dimensional and affine). The coefficient g_i is the rank of the $2i$ -th intersection homology group of X_P .

The polynomial $g(P)$ turns out to depend only on the face lattice of P , (see §1). It can be thought of as a measure of the complexity of P ; for example, $g(P) = 1$ if and only if P is a simplex.

Suppose that $F \subset P$ is a face of dimension k . We construct an associated polyhedron P/F as follows (see the figure below): choose an $(n - k - 1)$ -plane L whose intersection with P is a single point p of the interior of F . Let L' be a small parallel displacement of L that intersects the interior of P . Then P/F is the intersection of P with L' ; it is only well-defined up to a projective transformation, but its combinatorial type is well-defined. Faces of P/F are in one-to-one correspondence with faces of P which contain F .



The first author was supported by NSF grant DMS 9304580.

In Corollary 6, we show that

$$g(P) \geq g(F)g(P/F)$$

holds, coefficient by coefficient. This was conjectured by Kalai in [10], where some of its applications were discussed. The special case of the linear and quadratic terms was proved in [11]. Roughly, this inequality means that the complexity of P is bounded from below by the complexity of the face F and the normal complexity $g(P/F)$ to the face F .

The principal idea is to introduce *relative g -polynomials* $g(P, F)$ for any face F of P (§2). These generalize the ordinary g -polynomials since $g(P, P) = g(P)$. They are also combinatorially determined by the face lattice. They measure the complexity of P relative to the complexity of F . For example, if P is the join of F with another polytope, then $g(P, F) = 1$ (the converse, however, does not hold).

Our main result gives an interpretation of the coefficients $g_i(P, F)$ of the relative g -polynomials as dimensions of vector spaces arising from the topology of the toric variety X_P . This shows that the coefficients are positive. Kalai's conjecture is a corollary.

The combinatorial definition of the relative g -polynomials $g(P, F)$ makes sense whether or not the polytope P is rational. We conjecture the positivity $g(P, F) \geq 0$ in general. This would imply Kalai's conjecture for general polytopes.

This paper is organized as follows: The first three sections are entirely about the combinatorics of polyhedra. They develop the properties of relative g -polynomials as combinatorial objects, with the application to Kalai's conjecture. The last three sections concern algebraic geometry. A separate guide to their contents is included in the introduction to §§4 - 6.

1. g -NUMBERS OF POLYTOPES

Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a d -dimensional convex polytope, i.e. the convex hull of a finite collection of points affinely spanning \mathbb{R}^d . The set of faces of P , ordered by inclusion, forms a poset which we will denote by $\mathcal{F}(P)$. We include the empty face $\emptyset = \emptyset_P$ and P itself as members of $\mathcal{F}(P)$. It is a graded poset, with the grading given by the dimension of faces. By convention we set $\dim \emptyset = -1$. Faces of P of dimension 0, 1, and $d - 1$ will be referred to as vertices, edges, and facets, respectively.

Given a face F of P , the poset $\mathcal{F}(F)$ is clearly isomorphic to the interval $[\emptyset, F] \subset \mathcal{F}(P)$. The interval $[F, P]$ is the face poset of the polytope P/F defined in the introduction.

Given the polytope P , there are associated polynomials (first introduced in [13]) $g(P) = \sum g_i(P)q^i$ and $h(P) = \sum h_i(P)q^i$, defined recursively as follows:

- $g(\emptyset) = 1$
- $h(P) = \sum_{\emptyset \leq F < P} (q-1)^{\dim P - \dim F - 1} g(F)$, and
- $g_0(P) = h_0(P)$, $g_i(P) = h_i(P) - h_{i-1}(P)$ for $0 < i \leq \dim P/2$, and $g_i(P) = 0$ for all other i .

The coefficients of these polynomials will be referred to as the g -numbers and h -numbers of P , respectively. For our purposes, the g -polynomials will be of primary interest; the h -polynomials will not play a role here.

These numbers clearly depend only on the poset $\mathcal{F}(P)$. In fact, as Bayer and Billera [1] showed, they depend only on the flag numbers of P : given a sequence of integers $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ with $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n \leq d$, an I -flag is an n -tuple $F_1 < F_2 < \dots < F_n$ of faces of P with $\dim F_k = i_k$ for all k . The I -th flag number $f_I(P)$ is the number of I -flags. Letting P vary over all polytopes of a given dimension d , the numbers $g_i(P)$ and $h_i(P)$ can be expressed as a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of the $f_I(P)$.

Conjecturally all the $g_i(P)$ should be nonnegative for all P . This is known to be true for $i = 1, 2$ [9]. For higher values of i , it can be proved for rational polytopes using the interpretation of $g_i(P)$ as an intersection homology Betti number of an associated toric variety.

Proposition 1. *If P is a rational polytope, then $g_i(P) \geq 0$ for all i .*

2. RELATIVE g -POLYNOMIALS

The following proposition defines a relative version of the classical g -polynomials.

Proposition 2. *There is a unique family of polynomials $g(P, F)$ associated to a polytope P and a face F of P , satisfying the following relation: for all P, F , we have*

$$(1) \quad \sum_{F \leq E \leq P} g(E, F)g(P/E) = g(P).$$

Proof. The equation (1) can be used inductively to compute $g(P, F)$, since the left hand side gives $g(P, F) \cdot 1$ plus terms involving $g(E, F)$ where $\dim E < \dim P$. The induction starts when $P = F$, which gives $g(F, F) = g(F)$. Uniqueness is clear. \square

As an example, if F is a facet of P , then $g(P, F) = g(P) - g(F)$. Just as before we will denote the coefficient of q^i in $g(P, F)$ by $g_i(P, F)$.

We have the following notion of relative flag numbers. Let P be a d -polytope, and F a face of dimension e . Given a sequence of integers $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ with $-1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n \leq d$ and a number $1 \leq k \leq n$ with $i_k \geq e$, define the relative flag number $f_{I,k}(P, F)$ to be the number of I -flags (F_1, \dots, F_n) with $F \leq F_k$. Note that letting $k = n$ and $i_n = d$ gives the ordinary flag numbers of P as a special case. Also note that the numbers $f_{I,k}$ where $i_k = e$ give all products of the form $f_J(F)f_{J'}(P/F)$.

Proposition 3. *Fixing $\dim P$ and $\dim F$, the relative g -number $g_i(P, F)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of the $f_{I,k}(P, F)$.*

Proof. Use induction on $\dim P/F$. If $P = F$, then we have $g(P, P) = g(P)$ and the result is just the corresponding result for the ordinary flag numbers. If $P \neq F$, the equation (1) gives

$$g(P, F) = g(P) - \sum_{e=\dim F}^{\dim P-1} \sum_{\substack{\dim E=e \\ F \leq E < P}} g(E, F)g(P/E).$$

For every e the coefficients of the inner summation on the right hand side are \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of the $f_{I,k}(P, F)$, using the inductive hypothesis. \square

The following theorem is the main result of this paper. It will be a consequence of Theorem 19.

Theorem 4. *If P is a rational polytope and F is any face, then $g_i(P, F) \geq 0$ for all i .*

Corollary 5 (Kalai's conjecture). *If P is a rational polytope and F is any face, then*

$$g(P) \geq g(F)g(P/F),$$

where the inequality is taken coefficient by coefficient.

Proof. For any face E of P the polytope P/E is rational, so we have $g(P) = g(F, F)g(P/F) + \text{other nonnegative terms}$. \square

3. SOME EXAMPLES AND FORMULAS

This section contains further combinatorial results on the relative g -polynomials. They are not used in the remainder of the paper.

First, we give an interpretation of $g_1(P, F)$ and $g_2(P, F)$ analogous to the ones Kalai gave for the usual g_1 and g_2 in [9]. We begin by recalling those results from [9].

Give a finite set of points $V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ define the space $\mathcal{Aff}(V)$ of affine dependencies of V to be

$$\{a \in \mathbb{R}^V \mid \sum_{v \in V} a_v = 0, \sum_{v \in V} a_v \cdot v = 0\}.$$

If V_P is the set of vertices of a polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, then $\mathcal{Aff}(V_P)$ is a vector space of dimension $g_1(P)$.

To describe $g_2(P)$ we need the notion of stress on a framework. A framework $\Phi = (V, E)$ is a finite collection V of points in \mathbb{R}^d together with a finite collection E of straight line segments (edges) joining them. Given a finite set S , we denote the standard basis elements of \mathbb{R}^S by $1_s, s \in S$. The space of stresses $\mathcal{S}(\Phi)$ is the kernel of the linear map

$$\alpha: \mathbb{R}^E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^V \otimes \mathbb{R}^d,$$

defined by

$$\alpha(1_e) = 1_{v_1} \otimes (v_1 - v_2) + 1_{v_2} \otimes (v_2 - v_1),$$

where v_1 and v_2 are the endpoints of the edge e . A stress can be described physically as an assignment of a contracting or expanding force to each edge, such that the total force resulting at each vertex is zero.

To a polytope P we can associate a framework Φ_P by taking as vertices the vertices of P , and as edges the edges of P together with enough extra edges to triangulate all the 2-faces of P . Then $g_2(P)$ is the dimension of $\mathcal{S}(\Phi_P)$.

Given a polytope P and a face F , define the closed union of faces $N(P, F)$ to be the union of all facets of P containing F . Note that $N(P, \emptyset) = \partial P$, and $N(P, P) = \emptyset$. Let V_N be the set of vertices of P in $N(P, F)$, and define a framework Φ_N by taking all edges and vertices of Φ_P contained in $N(P, F)$.

Theorem 6. *We have*

$$g_1(P, F) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{Aff}(V_P) / \mathcal{Aff}(V_N), \text{ and}$$

$$g_2(P, F) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{S}(\Phi_P) / \mathcal{S}(\Phi_N),$$

using the obvious inclusions of $\mathcal{Aff}(V_N)$ in $\mathcal{Aff}(V_P)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\Phi_N)$ in $\mathcal{S}(\Phi_P)$.

The proof for g_1 is an easy exercise; the proof for g_2 will appear in a forthcoming paper [3].

Next, we have a formula which shows that $g(P, F)$ can be decomposed in the same way $g(P)$ was in Proposition 2. Given two faces E, F of a polytope P , let $E \vee F$ be the unique smallest face containing both E and F .

Proposition 7. *For any polytope P and faces $F' \leq F$ of P , we have*

$$g(P, F) = \sum_{F' \leq E} g(E, F') g(P/E, (E \vee F)/E).$$

Proof. As usual, we show that this formula for $g(P, F)$ satisfies the defining relation of Proposition 2. Fix $F' \leq F$, and define $\hat{g}(P, F)$ to be the above sum. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{F \leq D} \hat{g}(D, F) g(P/D) &= \sum_{\substack{F' \leq E \\ F \vee E \leq D}} g(P/D) g(E, F') g(D/E, (E \vee F)/E) \\ &= \sum_{F' \leq E} g(E, F') g(P/E) \\ &= g(P). \end{aligned}$$

Since the computation of $g(P, F)$ from Proposition 2 only involves computation of $g(E, F)$ for other faces E of P , this proves that $\hat{g}(P, F) = g(P, F)$, as required. \square

Finally, we can carry out the inversion implicit in Proposition 2 explicitly. First we need the notion of polar polytopes. Given a polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we can assume that the origin lies in the interior of P by moving P by an affine motion. The polar polytope P^* is defined by

$$P^* = \{ x \in (\mathbb{R}^*)^d \mid \langle x, y \rangle \leq 1 \text{ for all } y \in P \}.$$

The face poset $\mathcal{F}(P^*)$ is canonically the opposite poset to $\mathcal{F}(P)$. Define $\bar{g}(P) = g(P^*)$.

Proposition 8. *We have*

$$(2) \quad g(P, F) = \sum_{F \leq F' \leq P} (-1)^{\dim P - \dim F'} g(F') \bar{g}(P/F').$$

Proof. We use the following formula, due to Stanley [14]: For any polytope $P \neq \emptyset$, we have

$$(3) \quad \sum_{\emptyset \leq F \leq P} (-1)^{\dim F} \bar{g}(F) g(P/F) = 0.$$

Now define $\hat{g}(P, F)$ to be the right hand side of (2). We will show that the defining property (1) of Proposition 2 holds.

Pick a face F of P . We have, using (3),

$$\sum_{F \leq E \leq P} g(E, F) g(P/E) = \sum_{F \leq F' \leq E \leq P} (-1)^{\dim E - \dim F'} g(F') \bar{g}(E/F') g(P/E)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{F \leq F' \leq P} g(F') \sum_{F' \leq E \leq P} (-1)^{\dim E - \dim F'} \bar{g}(E/F') g(P/E) \\
&= g(P),
\end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

INTRODUCTION TO §§4 - 6

Sections 4-6 of this paper concern the topology of algebraic varieties. They may be read independently from the combinatorics of §1-3.

The principal result, Theorem 16 of §5, is this: Consider a subvariety Y of a complex algebraic variety X . Suppose that there is a blowup $p: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that $p^{-1}Y$ has a neighborhood in \tilde{X} that is homeomorphic to a line bundle over $p^{-1}Y$. Then the restriction of the intersection homology sheaf $\mathbf{IC}^*(X)$ of X to Y is a direct sum of shifted intersection homology sheaves.

For our applications, we need a slight strengthening of this result. The neighborhood of $p^{-1}Y$ will only be a Seifert bundle $E \rightarrow B$, a generalization of a line bundle which allows fibers to be quotients by cyclic groups. These are treated in §4. In §6 we apply the principal result to the inclusion of toric varieties $Y_F \subset X_P$, where $Y_F \simeq X_{P/F}$ is the closure of the torus orbit corresponding to F . If x is the unique torus-fixed point of X , then $g_i(P, F)$ measures the number of copies of the intersection homology sheaf $\mathbf{IC}^*(\{x\})$ that appear with shift $2i$ in the restriction of the intersection homology sheaf of X_P to Y_F .

4. SEIFERT BUNDLES

In this section we investigate maps of algebraic varieties $E \rightarrow B$ which are nearly line bundles, but which allow fibers to be quotients by cyclic groups.

Definition. A *Seifert bundle* is an affine map $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ of algebraic varieties, together with a section (which we will sometimes call the zero section) $s: B \rightarrow E$, and an algebraic \mathbb{C}^* -action on E , so that:

- giving B the trivial \mathbb{C}^* -action, π and s are \mathbb{C}^* -equivariant,
- each fiber $\pi^{-1}(b)$ is a curve whose normalization is isomorphic to the complex line, on which \mathbb{C}^* acts by multiplication by a character $x \mapsto x^{n_b}$, $n_b > 0$.

Lemma 9. *If (E, B, π, s) is a Seifert bundle, and n_b is a constant on all of B , then E is topologically a complex line bundle over B .*

Proof. It is enough to show this locally, so assume B is an affine variety, and take $b \in B$. Then E is also affine, and the \mathbb{C}^* action on E induces an action, and hence a nonnegative grading, on the coordinate ring $A(E)$. Take a polynomial f which doesn't vanish on $\pi^{-1}(b)$; we can assume it is homogeneous. Shrinking B if necessary, we can assume f doesn't vanish on any fiber of π .

Let $Y \subset E$ be the subvariety defined by the equation ($f = 1$). We will show that $\pi|_Y: Y \rightarrow B$ is proper. The lemma follows from this claim; if $\pi|_Y$ is proper, then the natural bijection $Y/G \rightarrow B$, where G is the group of d th roots of unity, $d = \deg f$, is proper and hence a homeomorphism. Then, since the G -action is free, $Y \rightarrow B$ is a covering map. For a small (topological) neighborhood U of b we can thus define a continuous section $\sigma: B \rightarrow Y$. The map $(b, t) \mapsto t \cdot \sigma(b)$ gives the required local trivialization of E .

To show the claim, take a compact set $K \subset B$. Choosing a homogeneous system (f_1, \dots, f_s) of generators for $A = A(E)$ over its zeroth graded piece A_0 defines an embedding

$$E \subset B \times \mathbb{C}^n$$

as a closed subvariety, and the \mathbb{C}^* action on E is given by a linear \mathbb{C}^* action on \mathbb{C}^n . Let $r > 0$ be the smallest character of \mathbb{C}^* appearing in a diagonalization of this action; it is the smallest of the degrees of the f_i . Then if S^{2n-1} is the set of elements of norm one in \mathbb{C}^n , the set

$$\pi^{-1}(K) \cap (B \times S^{2n-1})$$

is compact, and so the values $|f|$ takes on it are bounded away from zero, say by δ . Thus $\pi^{-1}(K) \cap Y$ is a closed subset of $K \times N_{1/\delta^r}$, where $N_a \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is the closed ball of vectors of norm $\leq a$, and so is compact. \square

Corollary 10. *Any Seifert bundle E over B maps to a (topological) line bundle E' over B by a finite map.*

Proof. We can take the least common multiple

$$n = \operatorname{lcm}_{b \in B} n_b$$

of the numbers n_b , since there are only finitely many distinct values of n_b . Setting $E' = E/G$ where G is the group of n th roots of unity in \mathbb{C}^* does the trick. \square

Intuitively, the zero-section map s for a Seifert bundle will be a “ \mathbb{Q} -homology normally nonsingular inclusion”. We have the following generalization of a result of [8] about normally nonsingular inclusions:

Proposition 11. *Let (E, B, π, s) be a Seifert bundle. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$s^* \mathbf{IC}^\bullet(E) \cong \mathbf{IC}^\bullet(B).$$

We need a small lemma first.

Lemma 12. *Let X be a pseudomanifold, acted on by a finite group G , and let Y be a G -invariant subspace. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$IH_*(X/G, Y/G; \mathbb{Q}) \cong IH_*(X, Y; \mathbb{Q})^G$$

between the intersection homology of the pair $(X/G, Y/G)$ and the G -stable part of the intersection homology of (X, Y) .

Proof. Give X a G -invariant triangulation. Then the intersection homology of X can be expressed by means of simplicial chains of the barycentric subdivision, see [12, Appendix]. Now the standard argument in [4, p. 120] can be applied. \square

Proof of Proposition 11. By Corollary 10, we can map E to a line bundle E' by a finite map. Let s', π' denote the section and projection maps for E' . Let $\mathbf{A} = s^* \mathbf{IC}^\bullet(E)$, $\mathbf{A}' = (s')^* \mathbf{IC}^\bullet(E')$. Because E' is a line bundle over B , \mathbf{A}' is isomorphic to $\mathbf{IC}^\bullet(B)$.

Let $U \subset B$ be a Zariski open subset where n_b is constant. Then $E|_U = \pi^{-1}(U)$ is a line bundle over B , so $F|_U$ is a one-dimensional constant local system. We will show that for any point $p \in B$ there are isomorphisms

$$j_p^* \mathbf{A} \cong j_p^* \mathbf{A}', \quad j_p^! \mathbf{A} \cong j_p^! \mathbf{A}'$$

between the stalks and costalks of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{A}' (or more precisely isomorphisms between their cohomology groups), where j_p is the inclusion. It follows that F satisfies the perversity axioms defining the intersection homology sheaf from [8].

To show the claim, note that since the \mathbb{C}^* action retracts both E and E' onto B , we have isomorphisms

$$\mathbf{A} \cong R\pi_* \mathbf{IC}^\bullet(E), \quad \mathbf{A}' \cong R\pi'_* \mathbf{IC}^\bullet(E').$$

So we can describe the stalks and costalks of F and F' as follows. Let N be a small neighborhood of p in B , and let L be its boundary. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}^i j_p^* \mathbf{A} &= IH_{n-i}(\pi^{-1}(N), \pi^{-1}(L); \mathbb{Q}), \\ \mathbb{H}^i j_p^! \mathbf{A} &= IH_{n-i}(\pi^{-1}(N); \mathbb{Q}), \end{aligned}$$

where n is the real dimension of B , and similarly for \mathbf{A}' . The claim now follows from Lemma 12, using the fact that the finite group G is

contained in \mathbb{C}^* and hence acts trivially on the intersection homology groups above. \square

5. SEIFERT RESOLUTIONS

Definition. A *Seifert resolution* of an inclusion $Y \subset X$ of irreducible algebraic varieties is a variety \tilde{X} together with a proper, surjective map $p: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, so that, if $\tilde{Y} = p^{-1}(Y)$, then p induces an isomorphism of $\tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{Y}$ with $X \setminus Y$, and the inclusion $\tilde{Y} \subset \tilde{X}$ is the zero section of a Seifert bundle.

Now suppose X is a connected normal algebraic variety with a non-trivial algebraic \mathbb{C}^* action. Let Y be an irreducible subvariety contained in the fixed point set of X . Let $U = \{x \in X \mid \overline{\mathbb{C}^* \cdot x} \cap Y \neq \emptyset\}$. We say that Y is an *attractor* for the \mathbb{C}^* action if for all points $x \in U$ the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \cdot x$ exists and lies in Y and the only points $x \in U$ for which $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \cdot x$ lies in Y are already in Y .

Theorem 13. *If U is an open neighborhood of Y and Y is an attractor, then the pair (Y, X) has a Seifert resolution.*

Proof. We will show that (Y, U) has a Seifert resolution; this will be enough. By [15], every point $y \in Y$ has a \mathbb{C}^* -invariant affine neighborhood $U_y \subset U$. Let A_y be its coordinate ring. The \mathbb{C}^* action induces a grading on A_y which is nonnegative because Y is an attractor. Further, if R_y is the coordinate ring of $Y_y = U_y \cap Y$, the natural quotient map $A_y \rightarrow R_y$ identifies R_y with the zeroth graded piece of A_y . Thus there is a projection map $\rho_y: U_y \rightarrow Y_y$; these glue to give $\rho: U \rightarrow Y$.

Furthermore, the varieties and maps $\text{Proj}(A_y) \rightarrow Y_y$ glue to give a variety \tilde{Y} and a proper map $q: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ (in other words, we let $\tilde{Y} = (U \setminus Y)/\mathbb{C}^*$). We also have a map $k: U \setminus Y \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ satisfying $q \circ k = \rho|_{U \setminus Y}$.

Define a morphism $U \setminus Y \rightarrow U \times_Y \tilde{Y}$ by sending x to $(x, k(x))$. Let \tilde{U} be the closure of the image of this map, and let $p: \tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ and $\pi: \tilde{U} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ be the restrictions of the projections of $U \times_Y \tilde{Y}$ on the first and second factor, respectively. \tilde{U} will be the required Seifert resolution.

Note that $p^{-1}(Y) = Y \times_Y \tilde{Y} \cong \tilde{Y}$. The map p is proper, because the projection

$$U \times_Y \tilde{Y} \rightarrow U \cong U \times_Y Y$$

is proper. It is now easy to check that \tilde{U} is a Seifert bundle over \tilde{Y} . \square

Definition. Call an object \mathbf{A} in $D^b(X)$ *pure* if it is a direct sum of shifted intersection homology sheaves

$$(4) \quad \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathbf{IC}^{\bullet}(Z_{\alpha}; \mathcal{L}_{\alpha})[n_{\alpha}],$$

where each Z_{α} is an irreducible subvariety of X , \mathcal{L}_{α} is a simple local system on a Zariski open subset U_{α} of the smooth locus of Z_{α} , and n_{α} is an integer.

Lemma 14. *If \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} are objects in $D^b(X)$ and $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}$ is pure, then so is \mathbf{A} .*

Proof. Denote $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B}$ by \mathbf{C} . Since \mathbf{C} is pure, it is isomorphic to the direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} {}^p H^i(\mathbf{C})[-i]$$

of its perverse homology sheaves. Each ${}^p H^i(\mathbf{C}) = {}^p H^i(\mathbf{A}) \oplus {}^p H^i(\mathbf{B})$ is a pure perverse sheaf, and since the category of perverse sheaves is abelian, ${}^p H^i(\mathbf{A})$ is pure. Then the composition

$$\bigoplus {}^p H^i(\mathbf{A})[-i] \rightarrow \bigoplus {}^p H^i(\mathbf{C})[-i] \cong \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$$

induces an isomorphism on all the perverse homology sheaves, and hence is an isomorphism (see [2]). \square

Also note that the decomposition (4) of a pure object \mathbf{A} is essentially unique: any other such decomposition will be the same up to a reordering of the terms and replacing the local system \mathcal{L}_{α} by another local system \mathcal{L}'_{α} on U'_{α} , so that \mathcal{L}_{α} and \mathcal{L}'_{α} agree on $U_{\alpha} \cap U'_{\alpha}$.

Theorem 15. *If a pair of varieties (Y, X) has a Seifert resolution, then the pullback $j^* \mathbf{IC}^{\bullet}(X)$ of the intersection homology sheaf by the inclusion is a pure object in $D^b(Y)$.*

Proof. Consider the fiber square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}} & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow p \\ Y & \xrightarrow{j} & X \end{array}$$

where j, \tilde{j} are the inclusions, and $q = p|_{\tilde{Y}}$. Because p and q are proper we have

$$Rq_* \tilde{j}^* \mathbf{IC}^{\bullet}(\tilde{X}) \cong j^* Rp_* \mathbf{IC}^{\bullet}(\tilde{X}).$$

The left hand side is $Rq_*\mathbf{IC}^\bullet(\tilde{Y})$ by Proposition 11, which is pure by the decomposition theorem of [2]. The decomposition theorem also implies that $\mathbf{A} = Rp_*\mathbf{IC}^\bullet(\tilde{X})$ is pure, and because $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism on a Zariski dense subset, the intersection homology sheaf of X must occur in \mathbf{A} with zero shift. Thus the right hand side becomes

$$j^*(\mathbf{IC}^\bullet(X)) \oplus j^*\mathbf{A}',$$

where \mathbf{A}' is pure. The result now follows from Lemma 14. \square

6. TORIC VARIETIES

We will only sketch the properties of toric varieties that we will need. For a more complete presentation, see [7]. Throughout this section let P be a d -dimensional rational polytope in \mathbb{R}^d .

Define a toric variety X_P as follows. Embed \mathbb{R}^d into \mathbb{R}^{d+1} by

$$(x_1, \dots, x_d) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_d, 1),$$

and let $\sigma = \sigma_P$ be the cone over the image of P with apex at the origin in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} . It is a rational polyhedral cone with respect to the standard lattice $N = \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$. More generally, if F is a face of P , let σ_F be the cone over the image of F ; set $\sigma_\emptyset = \{0\}$.

Then define $X = X_P$ to be the affine toric variety X_σ corresponding to σ . It is the variety $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[M \cap \sigma^\vee]$, where

$$\sigma^\vee = \{x \in (\mathbb{R}^{d+1})^* \mid \langle x, y \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } y \in \sigma\}$$

is the dual cone to σ , M is the dual lattice to N , and $\mathbb{C}[M \cap \sigma^\vee]$ is the semigroup algebra of $M \cap \sigma^\vee$. It is a $(d+1)$ -dimensional normal affine algebraic variety, on which the torus $T = \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{C}^*)$ acts. Let $f_v: X_P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the regular function corresponding to the point $v \in M \cap \sigma^\vee$.

The orbits of the action of T on X are parametrized by the faces of P . Let F be any face of P , including the empty face, and let

$$\sigma_F^\perp = \{x \in \sigma^\vee \mid \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \sigma_F\}$$

be the face of σ^\vee dual to σ_F . Then the variety

$$O_F := \{x \in X \mid f_v(x) \neq 0 \iff v \in M \cap \sigma_F^\perp\}$$

is an orbit, isomorphic to the torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{d-e}$, where $e = \dim F$. Furthermore, all T -orbits arise this way. Thus X_P has a unique T -fixed point $\{p\} = O_P$.

Given a face F , the union

$$U_F = \bigcup_{E \leq F} O_E$$

is a T -invariant open neighborhood of O_F . There is a non-canonical isomorphism $U_F \cong O_F \times X_F$ where X_F is the affine toric variety corresponding to F , considered as a polytope in the affine space spanned by F , with the lattice given by its intersection with N . If O'_E denotes the orbit of X_F corresponding to a face $E \leq F$, then O_E sits in $U_F \cong O_F \times X_F$ as $O_F \times O'_E$.

The union

$$Y_F = \bigcup_{F \leq E} O_E$$

is the closure $\overline{O_F}$. It is isomorphic to the affine toric variety $X_{P/F}$. More precisely, Y_F is the affine toric variety corresponding to the cone $\tau = \sigma/\sigma_F$, the image of σ projected into $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}/\text{span } \sigma_F$, with the lattice given by the image of N . It is an easy exercise to show that τ is the cone over a polytope of the type P/F .

The connection between toric varieties and g -numbers of polytopes is given by the following result. Proofs appear in [5, 6].

Proposition 16. *The local intersection homology groups of X_P are described as follows. Let x be a point in O_F , and let j_x be the inclusion. Then*

$$\dim \mathbb{H}^{2i} j_x^* \mathbf{IC}^\bullet(X_P) = g_i(F),$$

and $\mathbb{H}^k j_x^* \mathbf{IC}^\bullet(X_P)$ vanishes for odd k .

Now fix a face F of P .

Lemma 17. *There exists a \mathbb{C}^* action coming from a one-dimensional subtorus of T so that the fixed point set is $Y_F = \overline{O_F}$ and for any $x \in X_P$,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \cdot x \in Y_F.$$

Proof. Let $a \in N \cap \sigma$ be a lattice point in the relative interior of σ_F . This defines a \mathbb{C}^* action on X_P by letting, for all $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $x \in X_P$, and $v \in M \cap \sigma^\vee$,

$$f_v(t \cdot x) = t^{\langle a, v \rangle} f_v(x).$$

The required property of this action is clear. \square

Thus we can apply Theorem 13 to obtain a Seifert resolution \tilde{Y} of the pair (Y_F, X_P) . Although we will not need this, a description of \tilde{Y} is quite interesting. Let $\Delta(a)$ be the fan obtained by coning off all the faces of σ to the one-dimensional cone τ containing a . Then \tilde{Y} is the toric variety $X_{\Delta(a)}$.

So by Theorem 15, if $j: Y_F \rightarrow X_P$ is the inclusion, the pullback $\mathbf{A} = j^* \mathbf{IC}^\bullet(X_P)$ is a direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathbf{IC}^\bullet(Z_{\alpha}, \mathcal{L}_{\alpha})[n_{\alpha}]$$

of shifted simple intersection homology sheaves.

Lemma 18. *All the terms in this decomposition are of the form*

$$\mathbf{IC}^\bullet(Y_E, \mathbb{Q}_{O_E})[n]$$

where $E \geq F$ and $-n$ is a nonnegative even integer.

Proof. Since the sheaf $\mathbf{IC}^\bullet(X_P)$ is invariant under the action of T , so is the pullback \mathbf{A} ; it follows that all the varieties Z_{α} are T -invariant. Second, the isomorphism $U_F \cong O_F \times X_F$ implies that the homology sheaves of $\mathbf{IC}^\bullet(X_P)$, and hence of \mathbf{A} , are constant on each orbit; thus no nonconstant local systems can occur. Finally, the assertion about the shifts follows from Proposition 16. \square

Thus we can write

$$(5) \quad \mathbf{A} = \bigoplus_{E \geq F} \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathbf{IC}^\bullet(Y_E; \mathbb{Q})[-2i] \otimes V_E^i,$$

for some finite dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces V_E^i .

Now we come to the main result, which gives an interpretation of the combinatorially defined polynomials $g(P, F)$ for rational polytopes which implies nonnegativity, and hence Theorem 4. Let $\{p\} = O_P$ be the unique T -fixed point of X_P .

Theorem 19. *The relative g -number $g_i(P, F)$ is given by*

$$g_i(P, F) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} V_P^i.$$

Proof. Taking this for the moment as a definition of $g(P, F)$, we will show that the defining relation of Proposition 2 holds. First we need to interpret the vector spaces V_E^i for $F \leq E \neq P$. There is a commutative diagram of inclusions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y'_F & \xrightarrow{j'} & X_E \\ k' \downarrow & & \downarrow k \\ Y_F & \xrightarrow{j} & X_P \end{array}$$

where j' be the inclusion of $Y'_F = \overline{O'_F}$ in X_E , k is the inclusion of X_E in $U_E \cong O_E \times X_E$ as $\{x\} \times X_E$, and k' is the restriction of k .

Then k is a normally nonsingular inclusion, so we have

$$(j')^* k^* \mathbf{IC}^\bullet(X_P) = (j')^* \mathbf{IC}^\bullet(X_E) =$$

$$\bigoplus_{F \leq F' \leq E} \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathbf{IC}^*(Y'_{F'}; \mathbb{Q})[-2i] \otimes W_{F'}^i$$

for some vector spaces $W_{F'}^i$. On the other hand, since k' is a normally nonsingular inclusion, it is also equal to

$$(k')^* \mathbf{A} = \bigoplus_{F \leq F' \leq E} \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathbf{IC}^*(Y'_{F'}; \mathbb{Q})[-2i] \otimes V_{F'}^i.$$

Comparing terms, we see that $W_{F'}^i \cong V_{F'}^i$, so we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} V_E^i = g_i(E, F).$$

The theorem now follows; the defining relation of Proposition 2 expresses two different ways of writing the dimensions of the stalk intersection homology groups of X_P at the fixed point p . On the one hand, they are given by the coefficients of $g(P)$, by Proposition 16. On the other hand they are given by

$$\sum_{F \leq E \leq P} g(E, F)g(P/E),$$

using (5). □

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bayer and L. Billera, *Generalized Dehn-Sommerville Relations*, Inv. Math. **79** (1985), 143–157.
- [2] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, and P. Deligne, *Faisceaux Pervers*, Astérisque **100** (1982).
- [3] T. Braden and R. MacPherson, *Stress and Intersection Homology*, in preparation.
- [4] G. E. Bredon, *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, Academic Press, 1972.
- [5] J. Denef and F. Loeser, *Weights of exponential sums, intersection cohomology, and Newton polyhedra*, Inv. Math. **106** (1991), 275–294.
- [6] K.-H. Fieseler, *Rational intersection cohomology of projective toric varieties*, Jour. reine angew. Math. **413** (1991), 88–98.
- [7] W. Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*, Annals of Math Studies 131, Princeton.
- [8] R. M. Goresky and R. D. MacPherson *Intersection homology II*, Inv. Math. **71** (1983), 77–129.
- [9] G. Kalai, *Rigidity and the lower bound theorem I*, Inv. Math. **88** (1987), 125–151.
- [10] G. Kalai, *A new basis of polytopes*, J. Comb. Th. A **49** (1988), 191–209.
- [11] G. Kalai, *Some aspects of the combinatorial theory of convex polytopes*, in Polytopes: Abstract, Convex and Computational, T. Bisztriczky, P. McMullen, R. Schneider and A. Ivić Weiss, eds., NATO ASI Series C, vol 440, Kluwer Dordrecht/Boston/London, (1994), 205–229.

- [12] R. D. MacPherson and K. Vilonen, *Elementary construction of perverse sheaves*, *Inv. Math.* **84** (1986), 403–435.
- [13] R. Stanley, *Generalized h -vectors, intersection cohomology of toric varieties, and related results*, in *Commutative Algebra and Combinatorics*, M. Nagata and H. Matsumura, eds., *Adv. Stud. Pure Math* 11, Kinokunia, Tokyo, and North-Holland, Amsterdam/New York, (1987), 187–213.
- [14] R. Stanley, *Subdivision and local h -vectors*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **5** (1992), 805–851.
- [15] H. Sumihiro, *Equivariant completion*, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **14** (1974), 1–28.

IAS, PRINCETON

E-mail address: `braden@math.ias.edu`

IAS, PRINCETON