

# DETERMINANT OF PERIOD INTEGRALS

TAKESHI SAITO, TOMOHIDE TERASOMA

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University  
of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo Bunkyo, 113 Tokyo, Japan  
Department of Mathematical Sciences, University  
of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba Meguro, 153 Tokyo, Japan

We prove a formula for the determinant of period integrals. Period integrals are comparison between Betti cohomologies and de Rham cohomologies. Our formula Theorem 1 in Section 4 expresses the determinant of period integrals as the product of the periods evaluated at the relative canonical cycles and of product of special values of the  $\Gamma$ -function. The formula is a Hodge version of Theorem 1 of [S2] for  $\ell$ -adic cohomology. Together with this, it gives a motivic formula, Theorem 3 in Section 5. It particularly implies that the category of motives of rank 1 associated to an algebraic Hecke character is closed under taking the determinant of cohomology. Hence it gives a support to a conjecture of Deligne, Conjecture 8.1 iii [D4]; a motive of rank 1 is associated to an algebraic Hecke character. A typical example of our formula is that the period of Fermat hypersurfaces are product of special values of  $\Gamma$ -function (cf. proof of Lemma 5.5). The main theorem for  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$  is a reformulation of a theorem of the second named author [T] Theorem 1.2. The theorem is proved by reducing to this case by induction on dimension using a Lefschetz pencil.

Content of each section is as follows. In Section 1, we review generalities on integrable connections and local systems as in [D1] and define the determinant of period integrals. In Section 2, first we define relative Chow group and relative top chern class and give its basic properties as in [S1] Section 1. The rest of the section is devoted to a proof of an adelic description, Proposition 1, of the relative Chow group. In Section 3, the pairing with a relative 0-cycles are defined. To define it, we develop a theory of tame symbols. Its philosophical back ground is explained in Remark in the text. In Section 4, we prove the main theorem, Theorem 1. For curves, a stronger version, Theorem 2, involving Deligne-Riemann-Roch [D3] is also proved. From the point of view of Riemann-Roch, the reason why the canonical class appears in the formula is the equality  $\sum (-1)^q ch(\Omega_X^q(\log D)) = (-1)^n c_n(\Omega_X^1(\log D))$  for  $n = \dim X$ . In the final Section 5, first we reformulate a formula, Theorem S (cf. Theorem 1 [S2]), for  $\ell$ -adic cohomology using relative Chow group. Finally a motivic version, Theorem 3, is proved. There Jacobi sum à la Anderson [A] appears.

This paper is a full version of [ST]

*Remark.* We have changed the definition of the determinant of the period in [ST] to its inverse, in order to make it compatible with [D4] 8.7. Because of this change, the

sign in Theorem loc.cit and Corollary of Theorem 1 in Section 4 looks apparently inconsistent. We apologize for inconvenience.

## 1. CONNECTIONS AND LOCAL SYSTEMS.

Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 0 and  $U$  be a smooth separated scheme over  $k$ . An integrable connection  $\nabla$  on a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_U$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  of finite rank is an additive morphism  $\nabla : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_U^1$  satisfying  $\nabla(fe) = f\nabla e + e \otimes df$  for local sections  $f$  of  $\mathcal{O}_U$  and  $e$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\nabla^2 = 0 : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_U^2$ . Let  $X$  be a smooth separated scheme over  $k$  of dimension  $n$  including  $U$  as the complement of a divisor  $D$  with simple normal crossings. A divisor  $D$  is called to have simple normal crossings if its irreducible components  $D_{i,i \in I}$  are smooth and their intersections  $D_J = \bigcap_{i \in J} D_i$  for  $J \subset I$  are transversal. Let  $\Omega_X^q(\log D)$  be the sheaf of differential  $q$ -forms with logarithmic poles along  $D$ . For a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{E}_X$ , we call a logarithmic integrable connection an additive morphism  $\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_X \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)$  satisfying  $\nabla(fe) = f\nabla e + e \otimes df$  for local sections  $f$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  and  $e$  of  $\mathcal{E}_X$  and  $\nabla^2 = 0$ . We call a logarithmic integrable connection  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  an extension of an integrable connection  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  on  $U$  to  $X$  when an isomorphism  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)|_U \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  is given.

**Lemma 1.1.** *For an integrable connection  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  on  $U$ , the following conditions (1)-(3) are equivalent.*

- (1) *There exists an extension  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  to  $X$ .*
- (2) *There exists an extension  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  to  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{E}_X$  is reflexive.*
- (3) *For the generic point  $\eta_i$  of each irreducible component  $D_i$  of  $D$ , there exists a free  $\mathcal{O}_{X, \eta_i}$ -module  $\mathcal{E}_i$  of finite rank and a logarithmic integrable connection  $\nabla : \mathcal{E}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_i \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)_{\eta_i}$  extending the generic fiber  $\nabla : \mathcal{E}_\xi \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\xi \otimes \Omega_{X, \xi}^1$  at the generic point  $\xi$  of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that (2) implies (1) and (3). On the other way, each of (1) and (3) implies that there exists an open subscheme  $U'$  of  $X$  including  $U$  such that the codimension of  $X - U' \geq 2$  and a locally free extension  $\mathcal{E}_{U'}$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  to  $U'$ . If  $j : U' \rightarrow X$  denotes the open immersion,  $\mathcal{E}_X = j_* \mathcal{E}_{U'}$  is a reflexive extension of  $\mathcal{E}$  to  $X$ .

We say an integrable connection  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  on  $U$  is regular along  $D$  if the equivalent conditions in Lemma 1.1 are satisfied.

**Corollary.** *Let  $X$  and  $X'$  be proper smooth schemes over  $k$  including  $U$  as the complement of divisors  $D$  and  $D'$  with simple normal crossings respectively. For an integrable connection  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  on  $U$ , it is regular along  $D$  if and only if so is along  $D'$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume there is a proper morphism  $X' \rightarrow X$  inducing identity on  $U$ . Then by (1), regularity along  $D$  implies that along  $D'$ . By (3) we have the inverse implication.

We say an integrable connection  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  on  $U$  is regular along boundary, if there is one (hence for any) proper  $X$  including  $U$  as the complement of a divisor  $D$  with simple normal crossings such that it is regular along  $D$ . The integrable connections on  $U$  regular along boundary form a neutral Tannakian category.

In the following, we always consider reflexive extension unless we say otherwise explicitly. Let  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  be a reflexive logarithmic integrable connection on  $(X, D)$ .

The de Rham complex

$$\begin{aligned} DR(\mathcal{E}_X) &= (\mathcal{E}_X \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)) \\ &= [\mathcal{E}_X \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{E}_X \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{E}_X \otimes \Omega_X^2(\log D) \rightarrow \cdots] \end{aligned}$$

is defined as usual. For each component  $D_i$  of  $D$ , the residue  $\text{res}_i \nabla \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_{D_i}}(\mathcal{E}_X|_{D_i})$  of  $\nabla$  at  $D_i$  is defined as the map induced by

$$\mathcal{E}_X \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{E}_X \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D) \xrightarrow{id \otimes \text{res}_i} \mathcal{E}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{D_i}.$$

We define the characteristic polynomial  $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_X, i}(T) = \det(T - \text{res}_i \nabla) \in \kappa(\eta_i)[T]$ . It is in  $k_i[T]$  where  $k_i$  is the constant field of  $D_i$  by [D1] Chapitre II Proposition 3.10 (ii). We say an extension  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  of  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  is small (resp. big) at  $D_i$  if any integer  $n \leq 0$  (resp.  $n \geq 1$ ) is not a root of the polynomial  $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_X, i}(T) = 0$ . We say  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  is small if it is small at every irreducible component  $D_i$ . The extension  $(\mathcal{O}_X(-D), d)$  of  $(\mathcal{O}_U, d)$  is small since  $\Phi_i(T) = T - 1$ . An extension  $\mathcal{E}_X$  is small if and only if its dual  $\mathcal{E}_X^* = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_X, \mathcal{O}_X(-D))$  is big.

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  be a reflexive extension. If  $\text{res}_i \nabla \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_{D_i}}(\mathcal{E}_X|_{D_i})$  is an isomorphism at  $\eta_i$ , it is an isomorphism on  $D_i$ .*

*Proof.* We use the following elementary fact.

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a reflexive  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module on a regular scheme  $X$ . Then the complement of the open subscheme  $W$  of  $X$  where  $\mathcal{E}$  is locally free is of codimension  $\geq 3$ .*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that  $\mathcal{E}$  is free at a point  $x$  of codimension 2. Taking a regular divisor  $D \ni x$ , it is enough to show  $\mathcal{E}|_D$  is torsion free. Let  $j : W \cap D \rightarrow D$  be the open immersion. Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is reflexive, we see that  $\mathcal{E}|_D$  is a submodule of  $j_* j^*(\mathcal{E}_X|_D)$  and is torsion free.

Let  $j : W \cap D_i \rightarrow D_i$  be the open immersion. Since  $\mathcal{E}_X$  is reflexive, we see that  $\mathcal{E}_X|_{D_i}$  is a submodule of the reflexive sheaf  $j_* j^*(\mathcal{E}_X|_{D_i})$ . By the assumption,  $\det \text{res}_i \nabla$  is a non-zero constant on  $W \cap D_i$ . Hence  $\text{res}_i \nabla$  induces an automorphism of  $j_* j^*(\mathcal{E}_X|_{D_i})$ . Now the assertion is a consequence of the following elementary fact.

**Lemma 1.4.** *Let  $f$  be an automorphism of a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  on a noetherian scheme  $X$  and  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$  be a sub-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module stable by  $f$ . Then the restriction  $f|_{\mathcal{N}}$  is an automorphism.*

*Proof.* We have an increasing sequence of submodules  $\mathcal{N} \subset f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}) \subset \cdots$ . Hence we have  $f^{-n}(\mathcal{N}) = f^{-n-1}(\mathcal{N})$  for some  $n$  and  $f(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{N}$ .

For an integrable connection  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  on  $U$  regular along the boundary, the category of small extensions (resp. big extensions) of  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  to  $X$  are non empty and cofinal since  $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_X(\sum n_j D_j), i}(T) = \Phi_{\mathcal{E}_X, i}(T + n_i)$ .

**Lemma 1.5.** *For small (resp. big) extensions  $\mathcal{E}_X \subset \mathcal{E}'_X$  of  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ , the inclusion of the de Rham complexes  $DR(\mathcal{E}'_X) \subset DR(\mathcal{E}_X)$  is a quasi-isomorphism.*

*Proof.* We give a proof for the small case and the big case is done in the same way. There is a sequence of extensions  $\mathcal{E}_X = \mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{E}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}_\ell \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}_m = \mathcal{E}'_X$  of

extensions of  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  such that  $\mathcal{E}_\ell/\mathcal{E}_{\ell-1}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_{D_{i(\ell)}}$ -module for  $1 \leq \ell \leq m$ . In fact, a reflexive extension  $\mathcal{E}_X \subset \mathcal{E}''_X \subset \mathcal{E}'_X$  is determined by a family of sub  $\mathcal{O}_{X, \eta_i}$ -modules  $\mathcal{E}_{X,i} \subset \mathcal{E}''_{X,i} \subset \mathcal{E}'_{X,i}$  such that  $\nabla(\mathcal{E}''_{X,i}) \subset \mathcal{E}''_{X,i} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)$  for each irreducible component  $D_i$  (cf. proof of Lemma 1.1).

By induction, we may assume that there exists an irreducible component  $D_i$  such that the quotient  $\mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{E}'_X$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_{D_i}$ -module. We show that the quotient  $DR(\mathcal{E}_X)/DR(\mathcal{E}'_X) = (\mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{E}'_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{D_i}} \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)|_{D_i})$  is acyclic. We define a decreasing filtration  $F$  on  $DR(\mathcal{E}_X)/DR(\mathcal{E}'_X)$  by

$$F^p(DR(\mathcal{E}_X)/DR(\mathcal{E}'_X))^q = \begin{cases} 0 & q < p \\ \mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{E}'_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{D_i}} \Omega_{D_i}^q(\log D|_{D_i}) & q = p \\ \mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{E}'_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{D_i}} \Omega_X^q(\log D)|_{D_i} & q > p. \end{cases}$$

Here  $D|_{D_i} = \bigcup_{j \neq i} D_j \cap D_i$ . The  $gr$ -complex  $Gr_F^p$  is given by

$$\text{res}_i \nabla \otimes id : \mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{E}'_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{D_i}} \Omega_{D_i}^p(\log D|_{D_i}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{E}'_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{D_i}} \Omega_{D_i}^p(\log D|_{D_i}).$$

By the assumption that  $\mathcal{E}_X$  is small and by Lemma 1.4,  $\text{res}_i \nabla$  is an isomorphism on  $\mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{E}'_X$ . Thus Lemma 1.5 is proved.

For an integrable connection  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  on  $U$  regular along boundary, we define the de Rham cohomology with compact support  $H_c^q(U, DR(\mathcal{E}))$  for  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $X$  be a proper smooth  $k$ -scheme including  $U$  as the complement of a divisor  $D$  with simple normal crossings. Then we define

$$H_c^q(U, DR(\mathcal{E})) = \text{proj lim}_{(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla): \text{small}} H^q(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)).$$

Here  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  are the small extension of  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  to  $X$ . By Lemma 1.5, all the transition morphisms are isomorphisms. It is independent of choice of  $X$ . This fact is proved for example by the Serre duality below. By Lemma 1.5 and by the isomorphism  $Rj_* DR(\mathcal{E}) \simeq j_* DR(\mathcal{E})$ , the usual de Rham cohomology  $H^q(U, DR(\mathcal{E}))$  is isomorphic to  $H^q(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X))$  for big  $\mathcal{E}_X$ . For a logarithmic connection  $\mathcal{E}_X$  and its dual  $\mathcal{E}_X^* = \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}_X, \mathcal{O}_X(-D))$ , the pairing

$$H^p(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)) \otimes H^q(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X^*)) \xrightarrow{\cup} H^n(X, \Omega_X^n) \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_{X/k}} k$$

for  $p + q = 2n$  is perfect by Serre duality. Therefore the pairing

$$H_c^p(U, DR(\mathcal{E})) \otimes H^q(U, DR(\mathcal{E}^*)) \rightarrow k$$

for  $p + q = 2n$  is perfect. By Riemann-Roch, the Euler number  $\chi_c(U, DR(\mathcal{E})) = \sum_p (-1)^p \dim_k H_c^p(U, DR(\mathcal{E}))$  is  $\text{rank } \mathcal{E} \times \chi_c(U)$ , where  $\chi_c(U)$  is the Euler number  $\chi_c(U, DR(\mathcal{O}_U))$ .

Let  $k_0$  be a subfield of the complex number field  $\mathbb{C}$ . We assume  $k$  is a finite extension of  $k_0$ . Let  $X, U$  and  $D$  over  $k$  be as above. Let  $X^{an}$  be the complex manifold with underlying set  $X(\mathbb{C}) = \text{Hom}_{k_0\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{C}, X)$ . An integrable connection  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  on  $U$  defines an analytic integrable connection  $(\mathcal{E}^{an}, \nabla^{an} : \mathcal{E}^{an} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{an} \otimes \Omega_U^{1, an})$  on  $U^{an}$ . The sheaf  $\text{Ker } \nabla^{an}$  of flat sections is a local system of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces on  $U^{an}$ . The canonical map  $\text{Ker } \nabla^{an} \rightarrow DR(\mathcal{E}^{an}) = (\mathcal{E}^{an} \otimes \Omega_U^{\bullet, an})$  is a quasi-isomorphism by Poincaré's lemma.

**Lemma 1.6.** *A reflexive extension  $\mathcal{E}_X$  is small if and only if the analytic de Rham complex  $DR(\mathcal{E}_X)^{an}$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $j_!^{an} DR(\mathcal{E})^{an}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{E}_X$  is small, we have  $DR(\mathcal{E}_X)^{an}|_{D^{an}} = 0$  by Lemma 1.5. We show that, if  $\mathcal{E}_X$  is not small, the cohomology sheaf  $\mathcal{H}^0(DR(\mathcal{E}_X)^{an})|_{D^{an}} \neq 0$ . Take a maximal small extension  $\mathcal{E}'_X \subset \mathcal{E}_X$ . By replacing  $\mathcal{E}_X$  by  $\mathcal{E}_X \cap \mathcal{E}'_X(D_i)$  and shrinking  $X$  if necessary, we may assume that  $\mathcal{E}_X$  and  $\mathcal{E}'_X$  are free,  $D = D_i$  is irreducible and that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{E}'_X$  is a free  $\mathcal{O}_D$ -module. By the maximality of  $\mathcal{E}'_X$ , the characteristic polynomial  $\det(T - \text{res}\nabla, \mathcal{F}) \in k_i[T]$  has 0 as a solution. We show that the coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{E}_X'' = \text{Ker}(\text{res}\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{F})$  is an extension of  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ . The composition  $\mathcal{E}_X \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{E}_X \otimes \Omega_X^2(\log D) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{E}'_X \otimes \Omega_D^1$  is given by  $\text{res}\nabla \otimes id$ . Hence on  $\mathcal{E}_X''$ , we have  $(\text{res}\nabla \otimes id) \circ \nabla = \text{res} \circ \nabla^2 = 0$ . This means  $\mathcal{E}_X''$  is an extension. By replacing  $\mathcal{E}_X$  by  $\mathcal{E}_X''$ , we may further assume that  $\text{res}\nabla$  is 0 on  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{E}'_X$ . The logarithmic connection  $\nabla$  on  $\mathcal{E}_X$  induces an integrable connection  $\nabla : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega_D^1$ . Then we have exact sequences of complexes

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow DR_X(\mathcal{E}'_X) \rightarrow DR_X(\mathcal{E}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow DR_D(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \rightarrow DR_D(\mathcal{F})[-1] \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption  $\mathcal{E}'_X$  is small we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}^q(DR_X(\mathcal{E}_X)^{an}) \simeq \begin{cases} \text{Ker } \nabla^{an} \text{ on } \mathcal{F}^{an} & q = 0, 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus Lemma 1.6 is proved.

The local system  $\text{Ker } \nabla^{an}$  defines a monodromy representation of the fundamental group  $\pi_1(U^{an}, \bar{x}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}(\bar{x}))$  for  $\bar{x} \in U^{an}$ . For an open subscheme  $D'_i$  of an irreducible component  $D_i$  of  $D$  where  $\mathcal{E}_X|_{D'_i}$  is locally free, let  $T_i \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}_X^{an}|_{D_i^{an}})$  be the limit of the positive generator of the monodromy along  $D_i^{an}$ . Then we have

$$T_i = \exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1} \text{res}_i \nabla^{an})$$

by [D1] Chapitre II Proposition 3.11.

Let  $F$  be another subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$ . For a smooth separated scheme  $U$  over  $k_0$ , we define  $M_{k_0, F}(U)$  to be the category consisting of triples  $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), V, \rho)$

- (1) A locally free  $\mathcal{O}_U$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  of finite rank with an integrable connection  $\nabla : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_U^1$  which is regular along the boundary.
- (2) A local system  $V$  of  $F$ -vector spaces on the complex manifold  $U^{an}$ .
- (3) A morphism  $\rho : V \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{an}$  on  $U^{an}$  inducing an isomorphism  $V \otimes_F \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker} \nabla^{an}$  of local systems of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces on  $U^{an}$ .

We call  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  the de Rham component,  $V$  the Betti component and  $\rho$  the comparison isomorphism of a triple  $\mathcal{M}$ . The category  $M_{k_0, F}(U)$  has a natural structure of neutral tannakian category. The unit object is  $\mathbf{1} = ((\mathcal{O}_U, d), F, \text{canonical map})$ . If  $U$  is defined over a finite extension  $k$  of  $k_0$ , for an element  $p \in (k \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C})^\times$  an object  $[p] = ((\mathcal{O}_U, d), F, p^{-1} \times \text{canonical map})$  is defined. For an object  $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), V, \rho)$  of  $M_{k_0, F}(U)$ , we call  $\text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E}_X = \text{rank}_F V$  the rank of  $\mathcal{M}$  and define the determinant  $\det \mathcal{M}$  to be the triple  $((\det_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E}, \text{trace } \nabla), \det V, \det \rho)$ . The objects of rank 1 of  $M_{k_0, F}(U)$  and the isomorphisms form a commutative Picard category

$P_{k_0, F}(U)$  with respect to the tensor product. Following the general terminology [D3] (1.4.1), [D7] (4.1), a category  $P$  is called a commutative Picard category, if the following condition is satisfied. The category  $P$  is non empty, every morphism is an isomorphism, it has a functor  $\otimes : P \times P \rightarrow P$ , an associativity constraint  $\otimes \circ (\otimes \times id) \simeq \otimes \circ (id \times \otimes) : P \times P \times P \rightarrow P$  and a commutativity constraint  $\otimes \simeq \otimes \circ c : P \times P \rightarrow P$  compatible with the associativity constraint [Sa] I 1.2 and for each object  $L$  of  $P$ , the functors  $L \otimes$  and  $\otimes L$  are self-equivalence of  $P$ . The isomorphism classes of objects of  $P$  form a commutative group called the class group  $Cl(P)$  of  $P$ . We write the class group of  $P_{k_0, F}(U)$  by  $MPic_{k_0, F}(U)$ . For a finite extension  $k$  of  $k_0$ , the class group  $MPic_{k_0, F}(\text{Spec } k)$  is canonically isomorphic to  $k^\times \backslash (k \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C})^\times / (F^\times)^{Hom_{k_0}(k, \mathbb{C})}$  by  $p \mapsto [p]$ . For an object  $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{E}, V, \rho)$  of  $P_{k_0, F}(k)$  with bases  $e$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $v$  of  $V$ , its class  $[\mathcal{M}]$  is the class of  $e/\rho(v)$ . Here we identify  $k \otimes \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{Hom_{k_0}(k, \mathbb{C})}$  by  $x \otimes y \mapsto (\sigma(x)y)_\sigma$ .

For a smooth separated scheme  $U$  over a finite extension  $k$  of  $k_0$  and  $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), V, \rho) \in M_{k_0, F}(U)$ , we define the determinant of periods

$$per(\mathcal{M}), per_c(\mathcal{M}) \in k^\times \backslash (k \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C})^\times / (F^\times)^{Hom_{k_0}(k, \mathbb{C})}$$

as the class  $[\det R\Gamma(U, \mathcal{M})]$  and  $[\det R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M})] \in MPic_{k_0, F}(\text{Spec } k)$  defined below. Let  $X$  be a proper smooth scheme over  $k$  including  $U$  as the complement of a divisor  $D$  with simple normal crossings. For an extension  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  of  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  to  $X$ , we have an isomorphism  $H^q(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)) \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C} \simeq H^q(X^{an}, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)^{an})$  by GAGA. The isomorphism  $\rho$  induces an isomorphism  $H^q(X^{an}, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)^{an}) \simeq H_c^q(U^{an}, V) \otimes_F \mathbb{C}$  (resp.  $H^q(U^{an}, V) \otimes_F \mathbb{C}$ ) if  $\mathcal{E}_X$  is small (resp. big). Hence we obtain canonical isomorphisms  $H_c^q(\rho) : H_c^q(U, DR(\mathcal{E})) \otimes_k \mathbb{C} \simeq H_c^q(U^{an}, V) \otimes_F \mathbb{C}$  and  $H^q(\rho) : H^q(U, DR(\mathcal{E})) \otimes_k \mathbb{C} \simeq H^q(U^{an}, V) \otimes_F \mathbb{C}$ . The triples

$$\begin{aligned} H_c^q(U, \mathcal{M}) &= (H_c^q(U, DR(\mathcal{E})), H_c^q(U^{an}, V), H_c^q(\rho)) \\ H^q(U, \mathcal{M}) &= (H^q(U, DR(\mathcal{E})), H^q(U^{an}, V), H^q(\rho)) \end{aligned}$$

are objects of  $M_{k_0, F}(\text{Spec } k)$ . Taking the alternating tensor product of the determinant,

$$\begin{aligned} \det R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M}) &= \otimes_q (\det H_c^q(U, \mathcal{M}))^{\otimes (-1)^q}, \\ \det R\Gamma(U, \mathcal{M}) &= \otimes_q (\det H^q(U, \mathcal{M}))^{\otimes (-1)^q} \end{aligned}$$

$\in M_{k_0, F}(k)$  are defined. Thus the periods

$$per_c(\mathcal{M}) = [\det R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M})], \quad per(\mathcal{M}) = [\det R\Gamma(U, \mathcal{M})]$$

$\in k^\times \backslash (k \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C})^\times / (F^\times)^{Hom_{k_0}(k, \mathbb{C})}$  are defined. More concretely, if  $k = k_0$ , and, for each  $q$ , if  $e^q$  is a basis of  $k$ -vector space  $H_c^q(U, DR(\mathcal{E}))$ ,  $v^q$  is a basis of  $F$ -vector space  $H^q(U^{an}, V)$  and if  $P^q$  is the matrix representing  $H_c^q(\rho)$  with respect to the bases  $e^q$  and  $v^q$ , then  $per_c(\mathcal{M}) = \prod_q (\det P^q)^{(-1)^{q+1}} \in k^\times \backslash \mathbb{C}^\times / F^\times$ . Our main theorem (Theorem 1 in Section 4) is a formula for the period  $per_c(\mathcal{M}) \cdot per_c(1)^{-\text{rank } \mathcal{M}}$ . By Serre duality and Poincaré duality, the canonical pairing

$$H_c^q(U, \mathcal{M}) \times H^q(U, \mathcal{M}^*) \rightarrow \mathbf{1}(-\dim X)$$

is perfect for  $p + q = 2 \dim X$ . Hence we obtain

**Lemma 1.7.** *The canonical pairing*

$$\det R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M}) \times \det R\Gamma(U, \mathcal{M}^*) \rightarrow \mathbf{1}(-\dim X \cdot \chi_c(U, \mathcal{M}))$$

*is perfect. The equality*

$$\text{per}_c(\mathcal{M}) \cdot \text{per}_c(1)^{-\text{rank } \mathcal{M}} = (\text{per}(\mathcal{M}^*) \cdot \text{per}(1)^{-\text{rank } \mathcal{M}})^{-1}$$

*holds.*

We define a variant  $\det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M})$  of  $\det R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M})$  as follows. For a field  $k$ , let  $B_k$  be the image of the homomorphism  $\partial : k(T)^\times \rightarrow k(T)^\times; f(T) \mapsto f(T)/f(T-1)$ . The map  $\partial$  induces an isomorphism  $k(T)^\times/k^\times \rightarrow B_k$ . Let  $\Delta : k(T)^\times \rightarrow k^\times$  be the homomorphism characterized by  $\Delta f = (-1)^n a_n a_0^{-1}$  for  $f(T) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i T^{n-i}$ ,  $a_n a_0 \neq 0$  and  $\Delta T = 1$ . It is well-defined since it is equal to the product of the tame symbols  $(\cdot, T)_0 \cdot (\cdot, T)_\infty : k(T)^\times \rightarrow k^\times$ . Since  $\Delta|_{k^\times} = 1$ , there is a homomorphism  $\Gamma : B_k \rightarrow k^\times$  characterized by the property  $\Gamma \circ \partial = 1/\Delta$ . Let  $\Gamma : \mathbb{C}(T)^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be the homomorphism characterized by  $T - \alpha \mapsto \Gamma(\alpha)$  for  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$ ,  $T + n \mapsto (-1)^n (n!)^{-1}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\Gamma|_{\mathbb{C}^\times} = 1$ . By  $\Gamma(\alpha + 1) = \alpha \Gamma(\alpha)$ , it is an extension of  $\Gamma : B_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  above. For  $k_0 \subset \mathbb{C}$  and a finite extension  $k$  of  $k_0$  as above, we define  $\Gamma : k(T)^\times \rightarrow (k \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C})^\times = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{\text{Hom}_{k_0}(k, \mathbb{C})}$  as the composite of the natural map  $k(T)^\times \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}(T)^\times)^{\text{Hom}_{k_0}(k, \mathbb{C})}$  and  $\Gamma$  for  $\mathbb{C}(T)^\times$ . we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_k & \xrightarrow{\Gamma} & k^\times \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ k(T)^\times & \xrightarrow[\Gamma]{} & (k \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C})^\times. \end{array}$$

For a matrix  $M \in M(k, n)$ , we define  $\Gamma(M) = \Gamma(\det(T - M)) \in (k \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C})^\times$ . For an extension  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  of an integrable connection on  $U$ , we put

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_X}(T) = \prod_{i \in I} N_{k_i/k} \Phi_{\mathcal{E}_X, i}(T)^{c_i}$$

$\in k(T)^\times$ . Here  $c_i$  is the Euler number  $\chi_c(D_i^*) = \dim_{k_i} R\Gamma_c(D_i^*, DR(\mathcal{O}_{D_i^*}))$  of  $D_i^* = D_i - \bigcup_{j \neq i} D_j$ . When  $k$  is a finite extension of a subfield  $k_0$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ , we put  $\Gamma(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X) = \Gamma(\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_X}(T)) \in (k \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C})^\times$  and  $\Gamma_{D_i}(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X) = \Gamma(\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_X, i}(T)) \in (k_i \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C})^\times$ .

**Lemma 1.8.** *For extensions  $\mathcal{E}'_X \subset \mathcal{E}_X$  of an integrable connection  $\mathcal{E}$ , we have*

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_X}(T)/\Phi_{\mathcal{E}'_X}(T) \in B_k.$$

*If  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{E}'_X$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_{D_i}$ -module for an irreducible component  $D_i$  of  $D$ , the residue  $\text{res}_i \nabla$  induces an  $\mathcal{O}_{D_i}$ -linear endomorphism of  $\mathcal{F}$  and*

$$\partial \det(T - \text{res}_i \nabla : \mathcal{F}) = \Phi_{\mathcal{E}_X, i}(T)/\Phi_{\mathcal{E}'_X, i}(T)$$

*in  $k_i(T)$ .*

*Proof.* The second assertion follows from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D_i) (= \mathcal{T}or_1^{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{D_i})) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'_X|_{D_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_X|_{D_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0.$$

The first assertion is deduced from the second one by the argument as in the proof of Lemma 1.5.

We put  $\det(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{E}'_X) = \Gamma(\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_X(T)}/\Phi_{\mathcal{E}'_X(T)}) \in k^\times$ . Let  $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), V, \rho)$  be an object of  $M_{k_0, F}(U)$ . Then we define  $\det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M})$  to be

$$\text{proj lim}_{(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla): \text{small}} (\det R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)), \det R\Gamma_c(U^{an}, V), \Gamma(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X)^{-1} \times \det R\Gamma_c(U, \rho)).$$

Here the transition map  $\det R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}'_X)) \rightarrow \det R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X))$  for small extensions  $\mathcal{E}'_X \subset \mathcal{E}_X$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  is  $\det(\nabla, \mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{E}'_X) \in k^\times$  times the natural map induced by the quasi-isomorphism  $DR(\mathcal{E}'_X) \rightarrow DR(\mathcal{E}_X)$ . We also put  $\det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, DR(\mathcal{E})) = \text{proj lim}_{(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla): \text{small}} \det R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X))$ . We define  $\text{per}_c^\Gamma(\mathcal{M}) = [\det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M})] \in MPic_{k_0, F}(k)$ . The class  $\Gamma(\nabla : \mathcal{M}) \in MPic_{k_0, F}(k)$  of  $\Gamma(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X) \in (k \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C})^\times$  is independent of the choice of a small extension  $\mathcal{E}_X$ . It is clear that

$$\text{per}_c^\Gamma(\mathcal{M}) = \text{per}_c(\mathcal{M}) \cdot \Gamma(\nabla : \mathcal{M}).$$

## 2. RELATIVE CHOW GROUP

In this section, we define and study the relative Chow group  $CH^n(X \bmod D)$  of dimension 0 and the relative canonical cycle  $c_{X \bmod D} \in CH^n(X \bmod D)$ . They are slight modifications of those in [S1] Section 1. Let  $X$  be a smooth scheme over a field  $k$ . We call a finite family  $D = (D_i)_{i \in I}$  of regular subschemes of  $X$  a regular family in  $X$ . A typical example is the family of irreducible component of a divisor  $D$  with simple normal crossings. By abuse of notation, we use the same letter  $D$  for the divisor itself and for the family of its components. Let  $\mathcal{K}_n(X)$  denote the sheaf of Quillen's K-group on  $X_{Zar}$ . Namely the Zariski sheafification of the presheaf  $U \mapsto K_n(U)$  [Q]. For a regular family  $D$  in  $X$ , let  $\mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)$  be the complex  $[\mathcal{K}_n(X) \rightarrow \oplus_i \mathcal{K}_n(D_i)]$ . Here  $\mathcal{K}_n(X)$  is put on degree 0 and  $\mathcal{K}_n(D_i)$  denotes their direct image on  $X$ . It is the truncation at degree 1 of the complex  $\mathcal{K}_{n, X, D}$  studied in [S1] and there is a natural map  $\mathcal{K}_{n, X, D} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)$ . For  $n = \dim X$ , we call the hypercohomology  $H^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D))$  the relative Chow group of dimension 0 and write

$$CH^n(X \bmod D) = H^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)).$$

We recall the definition of the relative top chern class. Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module of rank  $n$ . We call a family of surjective morphisms  $r_i : \mathcal{E}|_{D_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_i}$  for  $i \in I$  a partial trivialization of  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $D$ . Let  $V = \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}) = \text{Spec}(S(\mathcal{E}^*))$  be the covariant vector bundle associated to  $\mathcal{E}$ . For  $i \in I$ , let  $\Delta_i = r_i^{-1}(1)$ , where  $r_i : V|_{D_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{D_i}^1$  is the induced map by  $r_i : \mathcal{E}|_{D_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_i}$  and  $1 \in \mathbb{A}^1$  is the 1-section. The family  $\Delta$  is a regular family in  $V$ . Let  $\{0\} \subset V$  denote the zero section. Then the horizontal arrows in the diagram below are isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_{\{0\}}^n(V, \mathcal{K}_n(V \bmod \Delta)) & \longleftarrow & H_{\{0\}}^n(V, \mathcal{K}_n(V)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X, \mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow & & & & \\ H^n(V, \mathcal{K}_n(V \bmod \Delta)) & \longleftarrow & H^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) & \stackrel{=}{=} & CH^n(X \bmod D) \end{array}$$

by the purity and homotopy property of K-cohomology. The relative top chern class  $c_n(\mathcal{E}, r) \in CH^n(X \bmod D)$  is defined as the image of  $1 \in H^0(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $D$  be



a divisor with simple normal crossings and  $n = \dim X$ . Then the relative canonical class  $c_{X \bmod D}$  is defined as a relative top chern class by

$$c_{X \bmod D} = (-1)^n c_n(\Omega_X^1(\log D), \text{res}) \in CH^n(X \bmod D).$$

Here the Poincare residue  $\text{res}_i : \Omega_X^1(\log D)|_{D_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_i}$  defines a partial trivialization  $\text{res}$  of  $\Omega_X^1(\log D)$  on  $D$ .

We give some basic properties (cf. [S1] Section 1) of relative chern classes used in the proof of main results in Section 4. For  $i \in I$ , the natural map  $\mathcal{K}_n(D_i)[-1] \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)$  induces a map  $i_{i*} : H^{n-1}(D_i, \mathcal{K}_n(D_i)) \rightarrow H^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D))$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $(\mathcal{E}, \rho)$  be a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module of rank  $n$  partially trivialized on a regular family  $D$ . For  $i \in I$ , let  $f_i \in \Gamma(D_i, \mathbb{G}_m)$  and  $\sigma = (\sigma_i)_{i \in I}$  be another partial trivialization of  $\mathcal{E}$  defined by  $\sigma_i = f_i^{-1} \rho_i$ . Then we have*

$$c_n(\mathcal{E}, \sigma) = c_n(\mathcal{E}, \rho) - \sum_{i \in I} i_{i*}(f_i \cup c_{n-1}(\mathcal{E}_i)).$$

Here  $c_{n-1}(\mathcal{E}_i) \in H^{n-1}(D_i, \mathcal{K}_{n-1})$  is the chern class of the locally free  $\mathcal{O}_{D_i}$ -module  $\mathcal{E}_i = \text{Ker}(\rho_i : \mathcal{E}|_{D_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_i})$  and  $\cup$  is the cup-product.

In Lemma 2.2, we consider a partition  $I = I_1 \amalg I_2$  of the index set  $I$  of a regular family  $D$ . We put  $D_1 = (D_i)_{i \in I_1}$  and  $D_2 = (D_i)_{i \in I_2}$ . There is a cup-product

$$H^m(X, \mathcal{K}_m(X \bmod D_1)) \times H^r(X, \mathcal{K}_r(X \bmod D_2)) \rightarrow H^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D))$$

for  $n = m + r$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules of rank  $m, n$  and  $r$  respectively,  $\rho$  be a partial trivialization of  $\mathcal{E}$  on a regular family  $D$ . Assume that on  $D_1$ , the partial trivialization  $\rho$  induces a partial trivialization  $\sigma = \rho|_{\mathcal{F}}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  and that on  $D_2$  it induces  $\tau$  of  $\mathcal{G}$ . In other word, for  $i \in I_1$ , the restriction  $\rho_i : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_i}$  is surjective and, for  $i \in I_2$  the restriction  $\rho_i : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_i}$  is 0. Then we have*

$$c_n(\mathcal{E}, \rho) = c_m(\mathcal{F}, \sigma) \cup c_r(\mathcal{G}, \tau).$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $E$  be a regular divisor of  $X$  and let  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  of the same rank  $n$  and a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -module  $\mathcal{G}$  of rank  $m$ . Let  $\varphi : V = \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow W = \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{F})$  be the associated map,  $j : K \rightarrow V$  be the inclusion of the sub-vector bundle  $K = \mathbb{V}(\text{Ker } \varphi|_E) \subset V_E$  of rank  $m$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{E/X}^*$  be the conormal invertible  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -module. Then we have*

$$\varphi^*([0_W]) = [0_V] + j_* \left( \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} c_k(\mathcal{G}) c_1(\mathcal{N}_{E/X}^*)^{m-1-k} \right)$$

in  $H^n(V, \mathcal{K}_n(V \bmod \Delta))$  for a regular family  $\Delta \subset V$  such that  $\Delta \cap (\{0_V\} \cup K) = \emptyset$ . Here  $[0_V]$  is the image of 1 by

$$H^0(\{0_V\}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_{\{0_V\}}^n(V, \mathcal{K}_n) \rightarrow H^n(V, \mathcal{K}_n(V \bmod \Delta))$$

and  $j_*$  denotes the Gysin map

$$H^{m-1}(K, \mathcal{K}_{m-1}) (\simeq H^{m-1}(E, \mathcal{K}_{m-1})) \rightarrow H_K^n(V, \mathcal{K}_n) \rightarrow H^n(V, \mathcal{K}_n(V \bmod \Delta)).$$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  be partial trivializations on  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  respectively on a regular family  $D$ . Assume the divisor  $E$  does not meet  $D$  and  $\sigma|_{\mathcal{E}} = \rho$ . Let  $\mathcal{I} = \text{Im } \varphi|_E$  and  $i_{E*} : H^{n-1}(E, \mathcal{K}_{n-1}(E)) \rightarrow H^n_E(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X)) \rightarrow H^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D))$  be the Gysin map. Then we have*

$$c_n(\mathcal{F}, \sigma) = c_n(\mathcal{E}, \rho) + i_{E*}(c_{n-m}(\mathcal{I}) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} c_k(\mathcal{G}) c_1(\mathcal{N}_{E/X}^*)^{m-1-k})$$

in  $H^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D))$ .

*Proof.* Clear from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^{m-1}(K, \mathcal{K}_{m-1}) & \longrightarrow & H^{n-1}(V_E, \mathcal{K}_{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & H^n(V, \mathcal{K}_n(V \bmod \Delta)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H^{m-1}(E, \mathcal{K}_{m-1}) & \xrightarrow{\cup c_{n-m}(\mathcal{I})} & H^{n-1}(E, \mathcal{K}_{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{i_{E*}} & H^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the pull-back and the upper horizontal arrows are the Gysin maps.

In Corollary 2, we assume the regular divisor  $E$  of  $X$  meets transversely with  $D_i$  for  $i \in I$ . Let  $D_E$  be the regular family  $(D_i \cap E)_{i \in I}$  and let  $i_{E*}$  denote the canonical map

$$\begin{aligned} i_{E*} : H^{n-1}(E, \mathcal{K}_{n-1}(E \bmod D_E)) &\simeq H^n_E(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) \\ &\rightarrow H^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)). \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  of the same rank  $n$  and an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -module  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  be partial trivialization of  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $D$  such that  $\rho = \sigma|_{\mathcal{E}}$ . Then  $\sigma$  induces a partial trivialization  $\sigma|_{\mathcal{I}}$  on the locally free  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -module  $\mathcal{I} = \text{Image } \varphi|_E$  of rank  $n-1$  and we have*

$$c_n(\mathcal{F}, \sigma) = c_n(\mathcal{E}, \rho) + i_{E*} c_{n-1}(\mathcal{I}, \sigma|_{\mathcal{I}}).$$

In Lemma 2.4, we fix an element  $0 \in I$  and assume that  $E = D_0$  is a regular divisor of  $X$  and that  $E$  meets transversely with  $D_i$  for  $i \in I, \neq 0$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  of the same rank  $n$  and locally free  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -module  $\mathcal{G}$  of rank  $m$ . Let  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  be partial trivialization of  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $D$  such that  $\rho = \sigma|_{\mathcal{E}}$ . For  $i \in I, \neq 0$ , we assume that on  $D_i \cup E$  either  $\rho_0 \oplus \rho_i : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_i \cap E}^{\oplus 2}$  is surjective or  $\rho_0|_{D_i \cap E} = \rho_i|_{D_i \cap E}$ . Then we have*

$$c_n(\mathcal{F}, \sigma) = c_n(\mathcal{E}, \rho).$$

Proofs of Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 and of Corollary 2 are done in the same way as Propositions 1, 2 and 3 and Corollary loc.cit respectively and we omit them. Proof of Lemma 2.4 can be simplified considerably and we give it here.

*Proof of Lemma 2.4.* Let  $V = \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})$  and  $W = \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{F})$  and let  $\Delta_V$  and  $\Delta_W$  be the regular family of closed subschemes of  $V$  and  $W$  defined by partial trivializations  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  respectively. Since  $K \cap \rho^{-1}(1) = \emptyset$ , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^n(W, \mathcal{K}_n(W \bmod \Delta_W)) & \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} & H^n(V, \mathcal{K}_n(V \bmod \Delta_V)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) & \xlongequal{\quad} & H^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) \end{array}$$

of isomorphisms. By Lemma 2.3, it is enough to show that  $j_* : H^{m-1}(K, \mathcal{K}_{m-1}) \rightarrow H^n(V, \mathcal{K}_n(V \bmod \Delta_V))$  is the 0-map. We consider a complex

$$\mathcal{K}_n(V \bmod \Delta)' = [\mathcal{K}_n(V) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{K}_n(\Delta_i) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I, \neq 0} \mathcal{K}_n(\Delta_i \cap \Delta_0)].$$

Since  $j_*$  factors  $H^n(V, \mathcal{K}_n(V \bmod \Delta)')$ , it is enough to show  $j_* : H^{m-1}(K, \mathcal{K}_{m-1}) \rightarrow H^n(V, \mathcal{K}_n(V \bmod \Delta_V)')$  is the 0-map. It is proved by the same argument as in the last 6 lines of the first paragraph of the proof of Proposition 4 loc. cit.

In the rest of this section, we give an adelic presentation of the form

$$CH^n(X \bmod D) \simeq \text{Coker} \left( \partial : \bigoplus_{y \in X_1} B_y \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_0} A_x \right).$$

Here  $X_i$  denotes the set of the points of  $X$  of dimension  $i$ .

To define the right hand side, we first introduce some terminology on the tame symbol. For a vector space  $L$  of dimension 1 over a field  $K$ , we put  $A(L) = (\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L^{\otimes m})^\times$ . It is an extension of  $\mathbb{Z}$  by  $K^\times$  since the algebra  $\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L^{\otimes m}$  is isomorphic to the Laurent polynomial ring  $K[T, T^{-1}]$ . Its underlying set is the disjoint union  $\amalg_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \{K\text{-basis of } L^{\otimes m}\}$ . Let  $R$  be a noetherian local integral ring of dimension 1 such that the normalization  $\tilde{R}$  is finite over  $R$ . Let  $K$  be the fraction field and  $\kappa$  be the residue field of  $R$ . We review the definition of the order  $\text{ord} : K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and the tame symbol  $\partial : K_2(K) \rightarrow \kappa^\times$ . For a maximal ideal  $\tilde{x}$  of  $\tilde{R}$ , let  $\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}} : K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\partial_{\tilde{x}} : K_2(K) \rightarrow \kappa(\tilde{x})^\times$  be the usual order and the tame symbol

$$\partial_{\tilde{x}}(\{f, g\}) = (-1)^{\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}} f \cdot \text{ord}_{\tilde{x}} g} f^{\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}} g} g^{-\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}} f}(\tilde{x})$$

for the discrete valuation ring  $\tilde{R}_{\tilde{x}}$ . Then  $\text{ord} = \sum_{\tilde{x} \mapsto x} [\kappa(\tilde{x}) : \kappa] \text{ord}_{\tilde{x}}$  and  $\partial = \prod_{\tilde{x} \mapsto x} N_{\tilde{x}/x} \circ \partial_{\tilde{x}}$ . For an invertible  $R$ -module  $L$ , we define a pairing

$$(\ , \ ) : A(L_K) \times K^\times \rightarrow A(L_\kappa)$$

generalizing the tame symbol as follows. We put  $A(L) = \amalg_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \{R\text{-basis of } L^{\otimes m}\}$  with the structure of abelian group defined by the tensor product. The abelian group  $A(L_K)$  is the amalgamated sum  $A(L) \oplus_{R^\times} K^\times$ . We define the pairing by requiring that, on  $A(L) \times K^\times$ , it is the composition of (the reduction)  $\times \text{ord} : A(L) \times K^\times \rightarrow A(L_\kappa) \times \mathbb{Z}$  and the obvious pairing and that, on  $K^\times \times K^\times$ , it is the tame symbol  $\partial : K^\times \times K^\times \rightarrow \kappa^\times$ . Since they coincide on  $R^\times \times K^\times$ , the pairing is well-defined.

The pairing  $(\ , \ ) : A(L_K) \times K^\times \rightarrow A(L_\kappa)$  is computed on the normalization  $\tilde{R}$  as follows. Let  $\tilde{x}$  be a maximal ideal of  $\tilde{R}$ . The tame symbol  $(\ , \ )_{\tilde{x}} : A(L_K) \times K^\times \rightarrow A(L_{\kappa(\tilde{x})})$  is defined in the same way as above. We also have the norm map  $A(L_{\kappa(\tilde{x})}) \rightarrow A(L_\kappa)$ . A basis  $\ell$  of  $L_{\kappa(\tilde{x})}^{\otimes e}$  is of the form  $a \cdot \ell_0^{\otimes e}$  for  $a \in \kappa(\tilde{x})^\times$  and a basis  $\ell_0$  of  $L_\kappa$ . The basis  $N(\ell) = N_{\kappa(\tilde{x})/\kappa}(a) \cdot \ell_0^{\otimes e \cdot [\kappa(\tilde{x}) : \kappa]}$  of  $L_\kappa^{\otimes e \cdot [\kappa(\tilde{x}) : \kappa]}$  is independent of the choice of  $\ell_0$  and well-defined. The norm map  $\ell \mapsto N(\ell)$  defines a homomorphism  $N_{\kappa(\tilde{x})/x} : A(L_{\kappa(\tilde{x})}) \rightarrow A(L_\kappa)$  and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \kappa(\tilde{x})^\times & \longrightarrow & A(L_{\kappa(\tilde{x})}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow N_{\kappa(\tilde{x})/x} & & \downarrow N_{\kappa(\tilde{x})/x} & & \downarrow [\kappa(\tilde{x}) : \kappa] \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \kappa^\times & \longrightarrow & A(L_\kappa) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

is commutative. We have

$$(\ , \ )_\kappa = \prod_{\tilde{x}} N_{\kappa(\tilde{x})/\kappa} \circ (\ , \ )_{\tilde{x}}.$$

We define  $A_x$  for  $x \in X$ . For  $x \in X$ , we put  $I_x = \{i; x \in D_i\}$ . For  $i \in I_x$ , let  $N_i(x)$  be the one-dimensional  $\kappa(x)$ -vector space  $\mathcal{O}_X(-D_i) \otimes \kappa(x)$ . We put  $A_{x,i} = A(N_i(x))$  and write the canonical map  $\text{ord}_i : A_{x,i} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . The abelian group  $A_x$  is the fiber product  $(\prod_{i \in I_x})_{\mathbb{Z}} A_{x,i}$  with respect to  $\text{ord}_i$ . It is an extension of  $\mathbb{Z}$  by  $\bigoplus_{i \in I_x} \kappa(x)^\times$ . We define  $B_y$  for  $y \in X$ . For  $i \in I_y$ , let  $B_{y,i}$  be the amalgamated sum  $(A_{y,i} \otimes \kappa(y)^\times) \oplus_{\kappa(y)^\times \otimes \kappa(y)^\times} K_2(y)$ . It is an extension of  $\kappa(y)^\times$  by  $K_2(y)$ . The group  $B_y$  is defined as the fiber product  $(\prod_{i \in I_y})_{\kappa(y)^\times} B_{y,i}$  with respect to  $\text{ord} \otimes \text{id} : B_{y,i} \rightarrow \kappa(y)^\times$ .

The homomorphism  $\partial$  is the direct sum of the  $(x, y)$ -components  $\partial_{x,y} : B_y \rightarrow A_x$  for  $x \in X_0$  and  $y \in X_1$ . This fits in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i \in I_y} K_2(\kappa(y)) & \longrightarrow & B_y & \longrightarrow & \kappa(y)^\times \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I_y} (\ , \ )_x & & \downarrow \partial_{x,y} & & \downarrow \text{ord}_x \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i \in I_x} \kappa(x)^\times & \longrightarrow & A_x & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

and is 0 unless  $x$  is not in the closure  $Y$  of  $\{y\}$ . Here  $\text{ord}_x : \kappa(y)^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is the usual order and  $(\ , \ )_x : K_2(\kappa(y)) \rightarrow \kappa(x)^\times$  is the tame symbol. The local component  $\partial_{x,y} : B_y \rightarrow A_x$  is determined by its  $i$ -th components  $\partial_{x,y,i} : B_{y,i} \rightarrow A_{x,i}$  for  $i \in I_y$  and  $\partial_{x,y,i} : \kappa(y)^\times \rightarrow A_{x,i}$  for  $i \in I_x - I_y$ . We use the tame symbol  $(\ , \ )$  defined above for the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{Y,x}$  and the invertible module  $\mathcal{O}(-D_i)$ . For  $i \in I_y$ , the  $i$ -th component  $\partial_{x,y,i}$  is induced by the pairing  $(\ , \ ) : A(N_i(y)) \times \kappa(y)^\times \rightarrow A(N_i(x)) = A_{x,i}$ . For  $i \in I_x - I_y$ , it is defined as  $(-1)^{\text{ord}_x} \times (1, \ ) : \kappa(y)^\times \rightarrow A(N_i(x)) = A_{x,i}$ . Here  $(1, \ )$  is the pairing with 1 regarded as a  $\kappa(y)$ -basis of  $\mathcal{O}(-D_i) \otimes \kappa(y)$  which is an element of  $A(\mathcal{O}(-D_i) \otimes \kappa(y))$ . It is clear that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K_2(\kappa(y)) & \longrightarrow & B_{y,i} & \longrightarrow & \kappa(y)^\times \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \partial_x & & \downarrow \partial_{x,y,i} & & \downarrow \text{ord}_x \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \kappa(x)^\times & \longrightarrow & A_{x,i} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

for  $i \in I_y$  and that the composition  $\kappa(y)^\times \xrightarrow{\partial_{x,y,i}} A_{x,i} \xrightarrow{\text{ord}_i} \mathbb{Z}$  is  $\text{ord}_x$  for  $i \in I_x - I_y$ . Hence  $\partial_{x,y} : B_y \rightarrow A_x$  is defined and satisfies the commutative diagram above.

We give an explicit computation of  $\partial_{x,y}$ . For an inverse image  $\tilde{x}$  of  $x$  in the normalization  $\tilde{Y}$  of the closure  $Y$  of  $\{y\}$ , let  $A_{\tilde{x},i} = A(N_i(x) \otimes_{\kappa(x)} \kappa(\tilde{x}))$  and define the fiber product  $A_{\tilde{x}} = \prod_{i \in I_x} A_{\tilde{x},i}$  and the boundary map  $\partial_{\tilde{x},y} : B_y \rightarrow A_{\tilde{x}}$  in the same way as above. The norm maps defined above induces  $N_{\kappa(\tilde{x})/\kappa(x)} : A_{\tilde{x}} \rightarrow A_x$  and we have

$$\partial_{x,y} = \prod_{\tilde{x}} N_{\kappa(\tilde{x})/\kappa(x)} \partial_{\tilde{x},y}.$$

We compute  $\partial_{\tilde{x},y}$  explicitly by taking a basis  $\pi_i$  of  $\mathcal{O}(-D_i)|_Y$  at  $x$  for  $i \in I_x$ . The basis define splittings  $A_{\tilde{x}} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I_x} \kappa(\tilde{x})^\times$  and  $B_y = \kappa(y)^\times \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I_y} K_2(y)$  by  $1 \mapsto \pi_i$ . Since the other components of  $\partial_{\tilde{x},y}$  are evident, we compute the component  $\kappa(y)^\times \rightarrow \kappa(\tilde{x})^\times$ . If  $i \in I_y$ , it is 0 and if  $i \in I_x - I_y$ , it is given by

$$f \mapsto (-1)^{\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}} f} (\pi_i, f)_{\tilde{x}}^{-1} = (-\pi_i, f)_{\tilde{x}}^{-1}.$$

In fact in the latter case, the rational section 1 of  $\mathcal{O}(-D_i)|_Y$  is  $\pi_i^{-1}$ -times the basis  $\pi_i$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth scheme of dimension  $n$  over a field  $k$  and  $D$  be a divisor with simple normal crossings. Under the notation above, there exists a canonical isomorphism*

$$CH^n(X \bmod D) \simeq \text{Coker} \left( \partial : \bigoplus_{y \in X_1} B_y \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_0} A_x \right).$$

*Proof.* We consider the spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{x \in X^p} H_x^{p+q}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)).$$

By the long exact sequence

$$\rightarrow \bigoplus_i H_x^{r-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(D_i)) \rightarrow H_x^r(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) \rightarrow H_x^r(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X)) \rightarrow$$

and by the purity

$$\begin{aligned} H_x^r(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X)) &= \begin{cases} K_{n-r}(x) & x \in X^r \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ H_x^{r-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(D_i)) &= \begin{cases} K_{n-r+1}(x) & x \in X^r \cap D_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

we have  $E_1^{p,q} = 0$  for  $q \neq 0$  and an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^p} \left( \bigoplus_{i \in I_x} K_{n-p+1}(x) \right) \rightarrow E_1^{p,0} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^p} K_{n-p}(x) \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore the spectral sequence degenerates at  $E_2$ -terms and we have an exact sequence

$$\bigoplus_{y \in X_1} H_y^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_0} H_x^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) \rightarrow CH^n(X \bmod D) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence it is sufficient to define isomorphisms  $\alpha_x : A_x \simeq H_x^n = H_x^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D))$  for  $x \in X_0$  and  $\beta_y : B_y \simeq H_y^{n-1} = H_y^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D))$  for  $y \in X_1$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_y & \xrightarrow{\partial_{x,y}} & A_x \\ \beta_y \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_x \\ H_y^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{d_{x,y}} & H_x^n \end{array}$$

is commutative.

First we prove

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth scheme over a field  $k$  and  $i : D \rightarrow X$  be the closed immersion of a smooth divisor. Then there is a canonical pairing*

$$R^1 i^! \mathcal{K}_p(X \bmod D) \times \mathcal{K}_q(D) \rightarrow R^1 i^! \mathcal{K}_{p+q}(X \bmod D).$$

*It is compatible with the natural pairing*

$$R^1 i^! \mathcal{K}_p(X \bmod D) \times i^* \mathcal{K}_q(X) \rightarrow R^1 i^! \mathcal{K}_{p+q}(X \bmod D)$$

*induced by the cup-product.*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to define a functorial pairing

$$H_{D \cap U}^1(U, \mathcal{K}_p(X \bmod D)) \times H^0(D \cap U, \mathcal{K}_q(D)) \rightarrow H_{D \cap U}^1(U, \mathcal{K}_{p+q}(X \bmod D))$$

for open subsets  $U \subset X$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{D \cap U}^1(U, \mathcal{K}_p(X \bmod D)) \times H^0(D \cap U, \mathcal{K}_q(D)) & \longrightarrow & H_{D \cap U}^1(U, \mathcal{K}_{p+q}(X \bmod D)) \\ \parallel & \uparrow & \parallel \\ H_{D \cap U}^1(U, \mathcal{K}_p(X \bmod D)) \times H^0(U, \mathcal{K}_q(X)) & \longrightarrow & H_{D \cap U}^1(U, \mathcal{K}_{p+q}(X \bmod D)) \end{array}$$

is commutative. Since it is easy to check the functoriality, we write  $U = X$  for simplicity.

We consider the deformation to the normal bundle of  $D$  in  $X$ . Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the complement of the proper transform of  $X \times \{0\}$  in the blowing-up of  $\mathbb{A}_X^1$  at the center  $D \times \{0\}$ . Let  $V = \mathbb{V}(N_{D/X}) \subset \tilde{X}$  be the exceptional divisor and  $\tilde{D} \simeq \mathbb{A}_D^1$  be the proper transform of  $\mathbb{A}_D^1 \subset \mathbb{A}_X^1$ . We obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_D^1(V, \mathcal{K}_p(V \bmod D)) & \times & H^0(V, \mathcal{K}_q(V)) & \rightarrow & H_D^1(V, \mathcal{K}_{p+q}(V \bmod D)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H_{\tilde{D}}^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{K}_p(\tilde{X} \bmod \tilde{D})) & \times & H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{K}_q(\tilde{X})) & \rightarrow & H_{\tilde{D}}^1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{K}_{p+q}(\tilde{X} \bmod \tilde{D})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_D^1(X, \mathcal{K}_p(X \bmod D)) & \times & H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_q(X)) & \rightarrow & H_D^1(X, \mathcal{K}_{p+q}(X \bmod D)). \end{array}$$

Here the upper vertical arrows are induced by the inclusion  $V \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  at 0 and the lower ones are induced by  $X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  at 1.

We show that the vertical arrows in the left and right columns are isomorphisms. By the purity of  $K$ -groups  $R^q i^! \mathcal{K}_p(X) = \mathcal{K}_{p-1}(D)$  for  $q = 1$  and  $= 0$  for otherwise, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_p(D) \rightarrow R^1 i^! \mathcal{K}_p(X \bmod D) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p-1}(D) \rightarrow 0$$

and  $R^q i^! \mathcal{K}_p(X \bmod D) = 0$  for  $q \neq 1$ . By the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(D, R^q i^! \mathcal{K}_p(X \bmod D)) \Rightarrow H_D^{p+q}(X, \mathcal{K}_p(X \bmod D)),$$

we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(D, \mathcal{K}_p(D)) \rightarrow H_D^1(X, \mathcal{K}_p(X \bmod D)) \rightarrow H^0(D, \mathcal{K}_{p-1}(D)) \\ \rightarrow H^1(D, \mathcal{K}_p(D)). \end{aligned}$$

We have similar exact sequences for  $\tilde{D} \subset \tilde{X}$  and  $D \subset V$ . Now by the homotopy property of  $K$ -cohomology, the vertical arrows in the left and right columns are isomorphisms. Further by the homotopy property, the upper middle  $H^0(V, \mathcal{K}_q(V))$  is canonically isomorphic to  $H^0(D, \mathcal{K}_q(D))$ . By these isomorphisms, the upper row gives the pairing

$$H_D^1(X, \mathcal{K}_p(X \bmod D)) \times H^0(D, \mathcal{K}_q(D)) \rightarrow H_D^1(X, \mathcal{K}_{p+q}(X \bmod D)).$$

We check the compatibility. The lower arrow  $H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{K}_q(\tilde{X})) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_q(X))$  in the middle column is a surjection since it is induced by a section of the canonical map  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ . Therefore it is sufficient to prove the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{K}_q(\tilde{X})) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_q(X)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^0(V, \mathcal{K}_q(V)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(D, \mathcal{K}_q(D)). \end{array}$$

This follows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{X} & & \leftarrow & & X \\ & & & & \uparrow \\ \uparrow & & \tilde{D} & \xleftarrow{0} & D \\ & & \uparrow 1 & & \\ V & \leftarrow & D & & . \end{array}$$

Here the arrows with tags 0 and 1 are the 0-section and 1-section respectively and they induces the same isomorphisms  $H^0(\tilde{D}, \mathcal{K}_q(\tilde{D})) \rightarrow H^0(D, \mathcal{K}_q(D))$ . Thus Lemma 2.5 is proved.

We define the isomorphisms  $\alpha_x$  and  $\beta_y$  using Lemma 2.5. First we consider  $\alpha_x : A_x \rightarrow H_x^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D))$  for  $x \in X_0$ . It is sufficient to define an isomorphism

$$\alpha_{x,i} : A_{x,i} \rightarrow H_x^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D_i)) = H_{x,i}^n$$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{x,i} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \\ \alpha_{x,i} \downarrow & & \parallel \\ H_{x,i}^n & \longrightarrow & H_x^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X)) \end{array}$$

is commutative for each  $i \in I_x$ . Hence we may assume  $D = D_i$  is irreducible and  $x \in D$ . By Lemma 2.5, we obtain a pairing

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(D_x, R^1 i^! \mathcal{K}_1(X \bmod D)) \times H_x^{n-1}(D, \mathcal{K}_{n-1}(D)) \\ \rightarrow H_x^{n-1}(D_x, R^1 i^! \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)). \end{aligned}$$

We show that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(X_x - D_x, \mathbb{G}_m)/(1 + \mathcal{I}_x) &\simeq H^0(D_x, R^1 i^! \mathcal{K}_1(X \bmod D)), \\ \mathbb{Z} &\simeq H_x^{n-1}(D, \mathcal{K}_{n-1}(D)), \\ H_x^n(X_x, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) &\simeq H_x^{n-1}(D_x, R^1 i^! \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) \end{aligned}$$

for  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{O}(-D)$ . Under the natural identification  $A_x \simeq \Gamma(X_x - D_x, \mathbb{G}_m)/1 + m_x$ , we also show that the pairing induces the required map  $\alpha_x : A_x \rightarrow H_x^n(\mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D))$ .

By purity, we have  $H_x^{n-1}(D, \mathcal{K}_{n-1}(D)) = \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $R^q i^! \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D) = 0$  for  $q \neq 1$ , the spectral sequences

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{p,q} &= H^p(D_x, R^q i^! \mathcal{K}_1(X \bmod D)) \Rightarrow H_{D_x}^{p+q}(X_x, \mathcal{K}_1(X \bmod D)) \\ E_2^{p,q} &= H_x^p(D_x, R^q i^! \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) \Rightarrow H_x^{p+q}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) \end{aligned}$$

degenerate at  $E_2$  and we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(D_x, R^1 i^! \mathcal{K}_1(X \bmod D)) &\simeq H_{D_x}^1(X_x, \mathcal{K}_1(X \bmod D)) \\ H_x^{n-1}(D_x, R^1 i^! \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) &\simeq H_x^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)). \end{aligned}$$

Further by the long exact sequence

$$\rightarrow H^p(X_x, \mathcal{K}_1(X \bmod D)) \rightarrow H^p(X_x - D_x, \mathcal{K}_1(X)) \rightarrow H_{D_x}^{p+1}(X_x, \mathcal{K}_1(X \bmod D)) \rightarrow$$

and by the equalities  $H^0(X_x, \mathcal{K}_1(X \bmod D)) = 1 + \mathcal{I}_x$  for  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{O}(-D)$ ,  $H^0(X_x - D_x, \mathcal{K}_1(X)) = \Gamma(X_x - D_x, \mathbb{G}_m)$  and by  $H^1(X_x, \mathcal{K}_1(X \bmod D)) = 0$ , we have  $H_{D_x}^1(X_x, \mathcal{K}_1(X \bmod D)) = \Gamma(X_x - D_x, \mathbb{G}_m)/1 + \mathcal{I}_x$ . Hence the pairing above is a homomorphism  $\tilde{\alpha}_x : \Gamma(X_x - D_x, \mathbb{G}_m)/1 + \mathcal{I}_x \rightarrow H_x^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D))$ .

By the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_q(D) \rightarrow R^1 i^! \mathcal{K}_q(X \bmod D) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{q-1}(D) \rightarrow 0,$$

the map  $\tilde{\alpha}_x$  sits in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(D_x, \mathbb{G}_m) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(X_x - D_x, \mathbb{G}_m)/1 + \mathcal{I}_x & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \tilde{\alpha}_x \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \kappa(x)^\times & \longrightarrow & H_x^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$



Therefore  $\tilde{\alpha}_x$  annihilates  $1 + m_x$ . Since  $A_x \simeq \Gamma(X_x - D_x, \mathbb{G}_m)/1 + m_x$ , the map  $\tilde{\alpha}_x$  induces the required isomorphism  $\alpha_x : A_x \rightarrow H_x^n$ .

Next we consider  $\beta_y$  for  $y \in X_1$ . Similarly as above, it is sufficient to define an isomorphism  $\beta_y : B_y \rightarrow H_y^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D))$  assuming  $D$  is irreducible and  $y \in D$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_y & \longrightarrow & \kappa(y)^\times \\ \beta_y \downarrow & & \downarrow \wr \\ H_y^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) & \longrightarrow & H_y^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X)) \end{array}$$

is commutative. By Lemma 2.5, we obtain a pairing

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(D_y, R^1 i^! \mathcal{K}_1(X \bmod D)) \times H_y^{n-2}(D, \mathcal{K}_{n-1}(D)) \\ \rightarrow H_y^{n-2}(D_y, R^1 i^! \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly as above, we have isomorphisms  $H^0(D_y, R^1 i^! \mathcal{K}_1(X \bmod D)) = \Gamma(X_y - D_y, \mathbb{G}_m)/1 + \mathcal{I}_y$ ,  $H_y^{n-2}(D, \mathcal{K}_{n-1}(D)) = \kappa(y)^\times$  and  $H_y^{n-2}(D_y, R^1 i^! \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) = H_y^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D))$ . The same argument as above shows that the pairing induces a morphism  $\tilde{\beta}_y : A_y \otimes \kappa(y)^\times \rightarrow H_y^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D))$  and further an isomorphism  $\beta_y : B_y \rightarrow H_y^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D))$ .

Finally we show that the boundary map  $d = d_1^{n-1,0}$  of the spectral sequence coincides with  $\partial : \bigoplus B_y \rightarrow \bigoplus A_x$  under the identification by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . It is sufficient to show that the  $(x, y)$ -component  $d_{x,y}$  and  $\partial_{x,y}$  are the same for each  $x \in X_0$  and  $y \in X_1$ . If  $x$  is not in the closure  $Y$  of  $\{y\}$ , we have  $d_{x,y} = \partial_{x,y} = 0$ . Hence we assume  $x \in Y$ . If  $x \notin D$ , then  $y \notin D$  and  $d_{x,y} = \partial_{x,y} = \text{ord}_x : \kappa(y)^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence we assume  $x \in D$ . By considering each  $i$ -th component for  $i \in I_x$ , we may assume  $D$  is irreducible. First we check the case where  $Y$  meets transversally  $D$  at  $x$ . Then by Lemma 2.5, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(X_x - D_x, \mathbb{G}_m) \times H_Y^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_{n-1}(X)) & \longrightarrow & H_y^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow d_{x,y} \\ H_{D_x}^1(X_x, \mathcal{K}_1(X \bmod D)) \times H_x^{n-1}(D, \mathcal{K}_{n-1}(D)) & \longrightarrow & H_x^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) \end{array}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} H_{D_x}^1(X_x, \mathcal{K}_1(X \bmod D)) &= H^0(D_x, R^1 i^! \mathcal{K}_1(X \bmod D)) \\ H_x^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) &= H_x^{n-1}(D_x, R^1 i^! \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(X_x - D_x, \mathbb{G}_m) & \longrightarrow & \kappa(y)^\times \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow d_{x,y} \\ A_x & \xrightarrow[\alpha_x]{\sim} & H_x^n \end{array}$$

is commutative. Since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(X_x - D_x, \mathbb{G}_m) & \longrightarrow & \kappa(y)^\times \\ \downarrow & & \swarrow \partial_{x,y} \\ A_x & & \end{array}$$

is commutative by definition and since  $\Gamma(X_x - D_x, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \kappa(y)^\times$  is surjective, the differentials  $d_{x,y}$  and  $\partial_{x,y}$  are the same.

We consider the general case. First we show that the problem is etale local. Let  $\varphi : X' \rightarrow X$  be an etale morphism  $\varphi(x') = x$  and  $\eta_{x'} = \{y' \in X'; \varphi(y') = y \text{ and } \overline{\{y'\}} \ni x'\}$ . Then we have commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_y^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{d_{x,y}} & H_x^n & B_y & \xrightarrow{\partial_{x,y}} & A_x \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bigoplus_{y' \in \eta_{x'}} H_{y'}^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\bigoplus d_{x',y'}} & H_{x'}^n & \bigoplus_{y' \in \eta_{x'}} B_{y'} & \xrightarrow{\bigoplus \partial_{x',y'}} & A_{x'}. \end{array}$$

Since  $A_x \rightarrow A_{x'}$  is injective, to prove  $d_{x,y} = \partial_{x,y}$ , it is enough to show  $d_{x',y'} = \partial_{x',y'}$  for  $y' \in \eta_{x'}$ .

**Claim 1.** *By taking an etale neighborhood, we find a closed integral subschemes  $W \subset Z \subset X$  satisfying the following conditions*

- (1)  $x \in W$  and  $y \in Z$ .
- (2)  $W$  is regular of dimension 1 and meets transversally with  $D$  at  $x$ .
- (3)  $Z$  is of dimension 2.  $Z - W$  is regular and meets transversally with  $D$ .
- (4) The normalization  $\tilde{Z}$  is regular and the inverse image  $\tilde{T}$  of  $D$  in  $\tilde{Z}$  is regular.
- (5) The reduced inverse image  $\tilde{W}$  of  $W$  in  $\tilde{Z}$  is regular and  $\tilde{W}$  meets transversally with  $\tilde{T}$ .
- (6)  $\tilde{T} \cap \tilde{W} = (\text{inverse image of } x \text{ in } \tilde{Z})$  contains only one point  $\tilde{x}$ .

*Proof of Claim 1.* By localizing if necessary, we may take a smooth projection  $\pi : X \rightarrow D$  such that the immersion  $D \rightarrow X$  is a section. Since it is trivial if  $\pi(y) = x$ , we assume  $\pi(Y) = T$  is a curve. By further localizing if necessary, we may assume that  $T - \{x\}$  is normal and that the inverse image of  $x$  in the normalization  $\tilde{T}$  of  $T$  consists of only one point  $\tilde{x}$ . Then  $W = \pi^*(x)$  and  $Z = \pi^*(T)$  satisfy the conditions.

Changing the notation, we use the same characters  $X, Z, W$  etc. in Claim 1 for their inverse images in the localization  $X_x$  at  $x$ . We consider the canonical morphism

$$d : H_{Z-x}^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) \rightarrow H_x^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)).$$

Let  $\zeta$  be the generic point of  $Z$  and  $Z^* = Z - x$ . By the spectral sequence  $E_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{z \in X^p \cap Z^*} H_z^{p+q}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) \Rightarrow H_{Z^*}^{p+q}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D))$  degenerating at  $E_2$ -terms, we have an exact sequence

$$K_2(\kappa(\zeta)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{z \in Z_1} H_z^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) \rightarrow H_{Z^*}^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) \rightarrow 0.$$

To complete the proof of Proposition 1, it is sufficient to prove the following

**Claim 2.** (1). By identifying by  $\beta : \bigoplus_{z \in Z_1} B_z \rightarrow \bigoplus_{z \in Z_1} H_z^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D))$ , the map  $\bigoplus \partial_{x,z} : \bigoplus_{z \in Z_1} B_z \rightarrow A_x$  annihilates the image of  $K_2(\kappa(\zeta)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{z \in Z_1} H_z^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D))$ .

(2). For the generic point  $w \in Z_1$  of  $W$ , the canonical map  $H_w^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) \rightarrow H_{Z^*}^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D))$  is surjective.

We show that Claim 2 proves Proposition 1. In fact Claim 2 (1) implies  $\bigoplus \partial_{x,z}$  induces a map  $\partial : H_{Z^*}^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) \rightarrow A_x$ . By identifying by  $\alpha_x : A_x \rightarrow H_x^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D))$ , we see  $d = \partial$  on the image of  $H_w^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D))$  since  $W$  meets transversally  $D$  and we have  $d_{x,w} = \partial_{x,w}$ . By Claim 2 (2), we have  $d = \partial$  on the whole  $H_{Z^*}^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D))$ . This proves  $d_{x,z} = \partial_{x,z}$  for all  $z \in Z_1$  in particular for  $y$ .

*Proof of Claim 2.* (1). We take an arbitrary element  $a \in K_2(\zeta)$  and compute its image by

$$K_2(\zeta) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{z \in Z_1} B_z \rightarrow A_x.$$

By the condition (6) in Claim 1, the intersection  $T = D \cap Z$  is integral. Let  $t$  be the generic point of  $T$ . For  $z \in Z_1$ , we have  $B_z = \kappa(z)^\times$  for  $z \neq t$ . We take a prime element  $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  of  $D$ . Then it defines splittings  $A_x = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \kappa(x)^\times$  and  $B_t = \kappa(t)^\times \oplus K_2(t)$ . For  $z \in Z_1, z \neq t$ , let  $a_z = \partial_{z,\zeta}(a) \in \kappa(z)^\times$  and for  $z = t$ , let  $(a_t^1, a_t^2) = \partial_{t,\zeta}(a) \in \kappa(t)^\times \oplus K_2(t) = B_t$ . The first component  $a_t^1 \in \kappa(t)^\times$  is the usual tame symbol of  $a \in K_2(\zeta)$ . Then by definition of  $\partial$ , we have

$$\partial_{x,z} \circ \partial_{z,\zeta}(a) = \begin{cases} (\text{ord}_x(a_z), (-1)^{\text{ord}_x(a_z)}(\pi, a_z)_x) & z \neq t \\ (\text{ord}_x(a_t^1), \partial a_t^2) & z = t. \end{cases}$$

The second component  $\partial a_t^2 \in \kappa(x)^\times$  is the usual tame symbol of  $a_t^2 \in K_2(t)$ . Therefore it is sufficient to prove

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{z \in Z_1, z \neq t} \text{ord}_x(a_z) + \text{ord}_x(a_t^1) &= 0 \\ \prod_{z \in Z_1, z \neq t} (-1)^{\text{ord}_x(a_z)}(\pi, a_z)_x \times \partial(a_t^2) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

The first equality is the usual reciprocity law for  $a \in K_2(\zeta)$ . By the first one, the second is equivalent to

$$\prod_{z \in Z_1, z \neq t} (\pi, a_z)_x \times (-1)^{\text{ord}_x(a_t^1)} \partial(a_t^2) = 1.$$

To prove this, we use the reciprocity law for  $\{\pi, a\} \in K_3(\zeta)$ . Since  $\partial_{z,\zeta}(\{\pi, a\}) = \{\pi, a_z\} \in K_2(z)$  for  $z \neq t$ , it is sufficient to show that

$$\partial_{x,t} \circ \partial_{t,\zeta}(\{\pi, a\}) = (-1)^{\text{ord}_x(a_t^1)} \partial a_t^2.$$

in  $\kappa(x)^\times$ . We prove this by showing

$$\partial_{t,\zeta}(\{\pi, a\}) = \{-1, a_t^1\} + a_t^2$$

in  $K_2(t)$ . Let  $\tilde{a}_1 \in \Gamma(X_t, \mathbb{G}_m)$  be a lifting of  $a_t^1 \in \kappa(t)^\times$ . Then  $\tilde{a}_2 = a - \{\pi, \tilde{a}_1\} \in K_2(\zeta)$  is in the kernel of the tame symbol  $K_2(\zeta) \rightarrow \kappa(t)^\times$  and hence in  $K_2(\mathcal{O}_{Z,t})$ . By the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{D_t}^1(X_t, \mathcal{K}_1(X \bmod D)) \times \kappa(t)^\times & \longrightarrow & H_t^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \Gamma(X_t - D_t, \mathbb{G}_m) \times \Gamma(X_t, \mathbb{G}_m) & \longrightarrow & K_2(\zeta), \end{array}$$

we have  $\partial_{t,\zeta}(\{\pi, \tilde{a}_1\}) = (a_t^1, 0)$ . By the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_2(\mathcal{O}_{Z,t}) & \longrightarrow & K_2(\zeta) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_2(t) & \longrightarrow & H_t^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)), \end{array}$$

$a_t^2$  is the reduction of  $\tilde{a}_2$ . Now we have

$$\{\pi, a\} = \{\pi, \pi, \tilde{a}_1\} + \{\pi, \tilde{a}_2\} = \{\pi, (\{-1, \tilde{a}_1\} + \tilde{a}_2)\}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\partial_{t,\zeta}(\{\pi, a\}) = \{-1, a_t^1\} + a_t^2.$$

Thus the assertion (1) is proved.

(2). By the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} H_w^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) &\rightarrow H_{Z-x}^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) \\ &\rightarrow H_{Z-W}^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)), \end{aligned}$$

and by the purity

$$H_{Z-W}^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) \simeq H^1(Z - W, \mathcal{K}_2(Z - W \bmod T - x)),$$

it is sufficient to show that  $H^1(Z - W, \mathcal{K}_2(Z - W \bmod T - x)) = 0$ . By the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(\tilde{Z}, \mathcal{K}_2(\tilde{Z} \bmod \tilde{T})) &\rightarrow H^1(Z - W, \mathcal{K}_2(Z - W \bmod T - x)) \\ &\rightarrow H_{\tilde{W}}^2(\tilde{Z}, \mathcal{K}_2(\tilde{Z} \bmod \tilde{T})) \end{aligned}$$

and by the purity

$$H_{\tilde{W}}^2(\tilde{Z}, \mathcal{K}_2(\tilde{Z} \bmod \tilde{T})) \simeq H^1(\tilde{W}, \mathcal{K}_1(\tilde{W} \bmod \tilde{x})),$$

it is reduced to show that

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(\tilde{Z}, \mathcal{K}_2(\tilde{Z} \bmod \tilde{T})) &= \text{Coker } (K_2(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}}) \rightarrow (K_2(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{T}}))) = 0 \\ H^1(\tilde{W}, \mathcal{K}_1(\tilde{W} \bmod \tilde{x})) &= \text{Coker } (\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{W}}^\times \rightarrow \kappa(\tilde{x})^\times) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $K_2(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{T}})$  is generated by symbols, the maps are surjective. Thus Claim 2 and hence Proposition 1 is proved.

**Corollary.** *Let  $U'$  be a dense open subscheme of  $U$ . The relative Chow group  $CH^n(X \bmod D)$  is generated by the classes  $[x]$  for  $x \in U'_0$ .*

*Proof of Corollary.* First we assume  $U' = U$ . Let  $U_m = \{x \in X; \text{Card } I_x \leq m\}$ . We have  $X = U_n$  and  $U = U_0$ . By induction on  $m$ , it is sufficient to show that the image of  $\bigoplus_{x \in U_m} A_x$  in  $CH_0(X, D)$  is in that of  $\bigoplus_{x \in U_{m-1}} A_x$  for  $m \geq 1$ . Let  $x \in X_0$  such that  $\text{Card } I_x = m \geq 1$ . For each  $i \in I_x$ , we put  $I_i = I_x - \{i\}$  and take a closed integral curve  $Y_i \subset D_{I_i}$ ,  $\ni x$  regular at  $x$  and meeting  $D_i$  transversally at  $x$ . We also take a basis  $\pi_i$  of  $N_i(x) = \mathcal{O}(-D_i)(x)$  for each  $i \in I_x$ . Let  $y_i$  be the generic point of  $Y_i$  and  $S_i \subset Y_i$  be the closed subset  $S_i = \bigcup_{j \in I - I_i} (Y_i \cap D_j)$  of  $Y_i$ . Let  $f$  be an arbitrary element of  $A_x$ . We take a rational function  $g_i \in \kappa(y_i)^\times$  for  $i \in I_x$  and a rational section  $\pi_{i,j}$  of  $\mathcal{O}(-D_j)|_{Y_i}$  for  $i \neq j, \in I_x$  satisfying the following conditions.

The boundary  $\partial_{x,y,i}(g_i)$  is the  $i$ -component  $f_i \in A_{x,i} \simeq \kappa(y_i)^\times / 1 + m_x$  and the pull-back of  $g_i$  to the normalization of  $Y_i$  is invertible and congruent to 1 at the inverse image of  $S_i - \{x\}$ . The section  $\pi_{i,j}$  is a basis of  $\mathcal{O}(-D_j)|_{Y_i}$  at  $S_i$  and the fiber  $\pi_{i,j}(x)$  is  $\pi_j$  for  $j \neq i$ .

Let  $h_i \in B_{y_i}$  be the element defined by  $(\pi_{i,j})_j \otimes g_i$ . Then it is easy to check that we have  $f = \sum_{i \in I_x} \partial_{x,y_i}(h_i)$  and  $\partial(\bigoplus_{i \in I_x} h_i)$  is supported on  $\{x\} \cup \bigcup_i (Y_i - S_i)$ . Since  $Y_i - S_i = Y_i \cap U_{m-1}$ , Corollary for  $U' = U$  is proved.

To prove Corollary for general  $U'$ , it is sufficient to show that the image of  $\bigoplus_{x \in U} \mathbb{Z}$  in  $CH_0(X, D)$  is in that of  $\bigoplus_{x \in U'} \mathbb{Z}$ . For a closed point  $x \in U - U'$ , take a closed integral curve  $Y \ni x$  regular at  $x$  and meeting  $U$  and  $y$  be the generic point of  $Y$ . Let  $f$  be an arbitrary integer. Take a rational function  $g \in \kappa(y)^\times = B_y$  such that  $\text{ord}_x g = f$  and that the pull-back of  $g$  to the normalization of  $Y$  is invertible and congruent to 1 at the inverse image of  $Y - U'$ . Then we have  $\partial_{x,y}(g) = f$  and  $\partial(g)$  is supported on  $\{x\} \cup (Y \cap U')$ . Thus Corollary is proved.

### 3. TAME SYMBOL.

Let  $k_0, F \subset \mathbb{C}$  be subfields and  $k$  be a finite extension of  $k_0$ . Let  $X$  be a proper smooth scheme over  $k$  and  $U$  be the complement of a divisor  $D$  of  $X$  with simple normal crossings as in section 1. Recall that  $MPic_{k_0, F}(U)$  denotes the class group of  $P_{k_0, F}(U)$ . The purpose of this section is to define a canonical pairing

$$\begin{aligned} ( , ) : MPic_{k_0, F}(U) \otimes CH^n(X \bmod D) &\rightarrow MPic_{k_0, F}(k) \\ &\simeq k^\times \setminus (k \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C})^\times / (F^\times)^{Hom_{k_0}(k, \mathbb{C})}. \end{aligned}$$

To state a more precise result, we prepare some terminology on commutative Picard categories [D3] (1.4.2)-(1.4.11). Let  $P$  and  $P'$  be commutative Picard categories. An additive functor  $P \rightarrow P'$  of commutative Picard categories is defined to be a pair  $(f, \varphi)$  of a functor  $f : P \rightarrow P'$  and an isomorphism  $\varphi : \otimes \circ (f \times f) \rightarrow f \circ \otimes$  of functors  $P \times P \rightarrow P'$  compatible with the associativity constraint  $a$  and the

commutativity constraint  $c$  as follows. For objects  $L, L'$  and  $L''$  of  $P$ , the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(f(L) \otimes f(L')) \otimes f(L'') & \xrightarrow{a_{f(L), f(L'), f(L'')}} & f(L) \otimes (f(L') \otimes f(L'')) \\
\varphi_{L, L'} \otimes id_{f(L'')} \downarrow & & \downarrow id_{f(L)} \otimes \varphi_{L', L''} \\
(f(L \otimes L')) \otimes f(L'') & & f(L) \otimes (f(L' \otimes L'')) \\
\varphi_{L \otimes L', L''} \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_{L, L' \otimes L''} \\
f((L \otimes L') \otimes L'') & \xrightarrow{f(a_{L, L', L''})} & f(L \otimes (L' \otimes L''))
\end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
f(L) \otimes f(L') & \xrightarrow{c_{f(L), f(L')}} & f(L') \otimes f(L) \\
\varphi_{L, L'} \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_{L, L'} \\
f(L \otimes L') & \xrightarrow{f(c_{L, L'})} & f(L' \otimes L)
\end{array}$$

are commutative. The pair  $1 = (1, 1)$  of the functor  $1 : P \rightarrow P'$  sending every object to  $1_{P'}$  and every morphism to  $id_{1_{P'}}$  and of the isomorphism  $1 : 1 \circ \otimes \rightarrow \otimes \circ (1 \times 1)$  defined by the canonical map  $1_{P'} \rightarrow 1_{P'} \otimes 1_{P'}$  is an additive functor. An isomorphism of additive functors  $(f, \varphi) \rightarrow (g, \psi)$  is an isomorphism of functors  $f \rightarrow g$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
f(L) \otimes f(L') & \longrightarrow & f(L \otimes L') \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
g(L) \otimes g(L') & \longrightarrow & g(L \otimes L')
\end{array}$$

is commutative.

Let  $P, P'$  and  $P_1$  be commutative Picard categories. We call a biadditive functor (1.4.8) loc.cit. a pairing. We recall the definition. A pairing  $P \times P_1 \rightarrow P'$  of commutative Picard categories is defined to be a triple  $(f, \varphi, \varphi_1)$  of a functor  $f : P \times P_1 \rightarrow P'$  and isomorphisms of functors  $\varphi : \otimes_{P'} \circ ((f \circ pr_{13}) \times (f \circ pr_{23})) \rightarrow f \circ (\otimes_P \times 1_{P_1}) : P \times P \times P_1 \rightarrow P'$  and  $\varphi_1 : \otimes_{P'} \circ ((f \circ pr_{12}) \times (f \circ pr_{13})) \rightarrow f \circ (1_P \times \otimes_{P_1}) : P \times P_1 \times P_1 \rightarrow P'$  satisfying the following compatibilities. For an object  $L_1$  of  $P_1$  the pair  $(f_{L_1} = f(, L_1), \varphi_{L_1} = \varphi(, L_1))$  is an additive functor  $P \rightarrow P'$  and similarly for an object  $L$  of  $P$ . Further for objects  $L, L'$  of  $P$  and  $L_1, L'_1$  of  $P'$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(f(L, L_1) \otimes f(L, L'_1)) \otimes (f(L', L_1) \otimes f(L', L'_1)) & \longrightarrow & f(L, L_1 \otimes L'_1) \otimes f(L', L_1 \otimes L'_1) \\
\downarrow & & \\
(f(L, L_1) \otimes f(L', L_1)) \otimes (f(L, L'_1) \otimes f(L', L'_1)) & & \downarrow \varphi_{(L, L', L_1 \otimes L'_1)} \\
\varphi_{(L, L', L_1) \otimes \varphi_{(L, L', L'_1)}} \downarrow & & \\
f(L \otimes L', L_1) \otimes f(L \otimes L', L'_1) & \longrightarrow & f(L \otimes L', L_1 \otimes L'_1)
\end{array}$$

is commutative. Similarly as above, the unit pairing  $1 : P \times P_1 \rightarrow P'$  is defined. A pairing  $P \times P_1 \rightarrow P'$  induces a pairing  $Cl(P) \times Cl(P_1) \rightarrow Cl(P')$  of class groups.

An isomorphism of pairings  $(f, \varphi, \varphi_1) \rightarrow (g, \psi, \psi_1)$  is an isomorphism of functors  $f \rightarrow g$  such that  $(f_{L_1}, \varphi_{L_1}) \rightarrow (g_{L_1}, \psi_{L_1})$  is an isomorphism of additive functor for an object  $L_1$  of  $P_1$  and similaly for an object  $L$  of  $P$ .

We consider the case where  $P_1$  is defined by a morphism  $\partial : B \rightarrow A$  of abelian groups as follows (1.4.11) loc.cit.. Let  $P_\partial$  be the category with the set  $A$  of objects and  $\text{Hom}_{P_\partial}(a, a') = \{b \in B; a' = a\partial(b)\}$  for  $a, a' \in A$ . Its class group  $Cl(P_\partial)$  is equal to the cokernel  $C = \text{Coker}(\partial : B \rightarrow A)$ . When  $B = 0$ , we write  $P_\partial = A$  by abuse of notation. When  $\partial : B \rightarrow A$  is injective, the natural functor  $P_\partial \rightarrow \text{Coker } \partial$  is an additive equivalence.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $P$  and  $P'$  be commutative Picard categories and  $\partial : B \rightarrow A$  be a homomorphism of abelian groups.*

1. *A pairing  $P \times A \rightarrow P'$  is the same thing as a pair  $((f_a)_{a \in A}, (\varphi_{a,a'})_{a,a' \in A})$  of a family of additive functors and and a family of isomorphisms of functors  $\varphi_{a,a'} : f_a \otimes f_{a'} \rightarrow f_{aa'}$  such that the diagrams below are commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (f_a \otimes f_{a'}) \otimes f_{a''} & \longrightarrow & f_{aa'} \otimes f_{a''} & \longrightarrow & f_{aa'a''} \\
 \downarrow & & & & \parallel \\
 f_a \otimes (f_{a'} \otimes f_{a''}) & \longrightarrow & f_a \otimes f_{a'a''} & \longrightarrow & f_{aa'a''} \\
 & & & & \\
 f_a \otimes f_{a'} & \longrightarrow & f_{aa'} & & \\
 \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\
 f_{a'} \otimes f_a & \longrightarrow & f_{a'a} & & \\
 & & & & \\
 (f_a(L) \otimes f_{a'}(L)) \otimes (f_a(L') \otimes f_{a'}(L')) & \longrightarrow & f_{aa'}(L) \otimes f_{aa'}(L') & & \\
 \downarrow & & & & \\
 (f_a(L) \otimes f_a(L')) \otimes (f_{a'}(L) \otimes f_{a'}(L')) & & & & \downarrow \\
 \downarrow & & & & \\
 f_a(L \otimes L') \otimes f_{a'}(L \otimes L') & \longrightarrow & f_{aa'}(L \otimes L') & & 
 \end{array}$$

An isomorphism of pairings  $((f_a), (\varphi_{a,a'})) \rightarrow ((g_a), (\psi_{a,a'}))$  is a family of isomorphisms  $f_a \rightarrow g_a$  of additive functors such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 f_a \otimes f_{a'} & \longrightarrow & f_{aa'} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 g_a \otimes g_{a'} & \longrightarrow & g_{aa'}
 \end{array}$$

is commutative for  $a, a' \in A$ .

2. Let  $f : P \times A \rightarrow P'$  be a pairing. Then to define a pairing  $P \times P_\partial \rightarrow P'$  inducing  $f$  by the functor  $A \rightarrow P_\partial$  is equivalent to to give an isomorphism of the pairings  $1 \rightarrow f \circ (1_P \times \partial)$  from the unit pairing  $1$  to the composition  $f \circ (1_P \times \partial) : P \times B \rightarrow P'$ . By the assertion 1, it is further equivalent to give a family  $(e_b)_{b \in B}$  of trivializations  $e_b : 1 \rightarrow f_{\partial b}$  of additive functors  $P \rightarrow P'$  satisfying the commutative

diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 1 \otimes 1 & \xrightarrow{e_b, e_{b'}} & f_{\partial(b)} \otimes f_{\partial(b')} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_{\partial(b), \partial(b')} \\
 1 & \xrightarrow{e_{bb'}} & f_{\partial(bb')}.
 \end{array}$$

*Proof.* 1. For a pairing  $(f, \varphi, \varphi_1) : P \times A \rightarrow P'$ , the family of functors  $f_a = (f(\_, a), \varphi(\_, a)) : P \rightarrow P'$  for  $a \in A$  and the family of isomorphisms  $\varphi_{a, a'} = \varphi_1(\_, a, a')$  satisfy the compatibility above. It is easy to check the converse.

2. Let  $1 \rightarrow f \circ (1_P \times \partial)$  be a trivialization. For  $b \in \text{Hom}_{P_\partial}(a, a')$ ,  $a, a' \in A$ , we define an isomorphism  $f(\_, b) : f_a \rightarrow f_{a'}$  by

$$f_a = f_a \otimes 1 \xrightarrow{1_{f_a} \otimes e_b} f_a \otimes f_{\partial(b)} \xrightarrow{\varphi(a, \partial(b))} f_{a\partial(b)} = f_{a'}.$$

It is easy to check that together with  $(f, \varphi, \varphi_1) : P \times A \rightarrow P'$ , it defines a pairing  $P \times P_\partial \rightarrow P'$ . The rest is easy and omitted.

**Corollary.** *For an exact sequence of abelian group  $0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{\partial} A \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ , to define a pairing  $P \times C \rightarrow P'$  is equivalent to give a pairing  $P \times A \rightarrow P'$  and a trivialization of the induced pairing  $P \times B \rightarrow P'$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.1.2 above, the latter is equivalent to give a pairing  $P \times P_\partial \rightarrow P'$ . Hence by the equivalence  $P_\partial \rightarrow C$ , it is proved.

Let  $k_0, k, F, X$  and  $D$  as in the beginning of the section. We put  $\mathbf{CH}^n(X \bmod D) = P_\partial$  for the map  $\partial : \bigoplus_{y \in X_1} B_y \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_0} A_x$  in the last section. By Proposition 1, we have  $Cl(\mathbf{CH}^n(X \bmod D)) = \text{Coker}(\partial : B \rightarrow A) = CH^n(X \bmod D)$ . We define a pairing

$$P_{k_0, F}(U) \times \mathbf{CH}^n(X \bmod D) \rightarrow P_{k_0, F}(k).$$

It induces a pairing  $MPic_{k_0, F}(U) \times CH^n(X \bmod D) \rightarrow MPic_{k_0, F}(k)$  of class groups.

Before defining the pairings, we prepare generalities on the tame symbol and the norm. First we define tame symbol. We assume that  $X$  is a regular scheme and  $D$  is a divisor with simple normal crossings. Let  $I$  be the index set of the irreducible components of  $D = \bigcup_{i \in I} D_i$ . We put  $A_i = \Gamma(X - D_i, \mathbb{G}_m)$ . There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow A_i \xrightarrow{\text{ord}_i} \mathbb{Z}.$$

For a subset  $J \subset I$ , let  $A_J$  denote the fiber product  $(\prod_{i \in J} A_i)_{\mathbb{Z}}$  with respect to the order  $\text{ord}_i : A_i \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . We write the canonical map  $A_J \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  by  $\text{ord}_J$ . We will define pairings of the form

$$P(U) \times A_J \rightarrow P(D_J^*)$$

called tame symbol for various Picard categories  $P(U)$  on  $U = X - D$  and  $P(D_J^*)$  on  $D_J^* = \bigcap_{i \in J} D_i$ .

*Remark.* We explain the philosophy behind the definition. This remark of heuristic argument has logically independent of the other part of the paper. Suppose



the class group  $ClP(U)$  is  $H^1(U, G)$ . We regard  $A_I$  as a rough approximation of  $H_{D_I}^{2d}(X, j_! \mathbb{Z}(d))$  where  $d = \text{Card } I$  and  $j : U \rightarrow X$  is the open immersion. Then the pairing  $ClP(U) \times A_I \rightarrow ClP(D_I)$  is induced by the cup-product

$$H^1(U, G) \times H_{D_I}^{2d}(X, j_! \mathbb{Z}(d)) \rightarrow H_{D_I}^{2d+1}(X, G(d))$$

and the Gysin isomorphism  $H_{D_I}^{2d+1}(X, G(d)) \simeq H^1(D_I, G) = P(D_I)$ . When  $d = 1$ , we put  $j_! \mathbb{Z}(1) = \text{Ker } (\mathbb{G}_{m,X} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,D})[1]$ . Then we get a map  $A \rightarrow H_D^2(X, j_! \mathbb{Z}(1))$ . For general  $d$ , by cup-product, we get a map  $\bigotimes_{i \in I} A_i \rightarrow H_{D_I}^{2d}(X, j_! \mathbb{Z}(d))$ . The fiber product  $A_I$  approximates the quotient of the tensor product  $\bigotimes_{i \in I} A_i$  by the subgroup  $\langle \otimes a_i; \text{ord}_i(a_i) = 0 \text{ for at least 2 } i\text{'s} \rangle$ .

There is another way to explain. We consider the case  $J = I$ . As in [D5] (1.7.10), we should have a functor  $P(U) \rightarrow P(N_I^*)$ . Here  $N_I^* = N_I - \cup_{i \in I} N_i$  is the complement in the normal bundle  $N_I$  of  $D_I$  in  $X$  of the normal bundles  $N_i$  of  $D_I$  in  $D_i$  for  $i \in I$ . An element  $f$  in  $A_I$  defines a section  $\bar{f} : D_I \rightarrow N_I^*$ . Then the pairing  $(, f) : P(U) \rightarrow P(D_I)$  is the composition of the pull-back by  $\bar{f}$  with  $P(U) \rightarrow P(N_I^*)$ .

First we define the tame symbol for invertible modules. Let  $P(U)$  and  $P(D_I)$  be the Picard categories of invertible  $\mathcal{O}_U$ -modules and  $\mathcal{O}_{D_I}$ -modules respectively and  $A = A_I$  be the abelian group defined above. We define a pairing

$$(\ , \ ) : P(U) \times A \rightarrow P(D_I)$$

as follows. Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_U$ -module and  $f \in A$ . We define an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_{D_I}$ -module  $(\mathcal{E}, f)$  as follows. For an open subset  $S \subset X$  and a basis  $e$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $U \cap S$ ,  $(\mathcal{E}, f)$  is generated by the symbol  $(e, f)$  on  $D_I \cap S$ . For another basis  $e' = ge$  and  $g \in \Gamma(U \cap S, \mathcal{O})^\times$ , we put

$$(g, f) = (-1)^{\sum_i \text{ord}_i g \cdot \text{ord}_i f} g^{\text{ord}_i f} \prod_i f_i^{-\text{ord}_i g} |_{D_I}$$

$\in \Gamma(D_I \cap S, \mathbb{G}_m)$  and we impose  $(e', f) = (g, f)(e, f)$ . It is easy to check that the invertible sheaf  $(\mathcal{E}, f)$  is well-defined and a pairing  $P(U) \times A \rightarrow P(D_I)$  is defined. For an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{E}_X$  extending  $\mathcal{E}$ , there is a canonical isomorphism  $(\mathcal{E}, f) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_X^{\otimes \text{ord}_i f} |_{D_I}$  defined by  $(e, f) \mapsto e^{\otimes \text{ord}_i f}$  for a local basis  $e$  of  $\mathcal{E}_X$ .

Next we define a pairing for integrable connections of rank 1. Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 0 and  $X$  be a smooth scheme over  $k$ . Let  $D = \bigcup_{i \in I} D_i$  be a divisor with simple normal crossings and  $U$  be the complement. Let  $P_X^\nabla(U)$  be the Picard category of integrable connections  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_U^1)$  of rank 1 regular along  $D$ . For a local basis  $e$  of an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_U$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  for an object  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  of  $P_X^\nabla(U)$ , let  $\nabla \log e$  be the 1-form satisfying  $\nabla e = e \otimes \nabla \log e$ . It has at most logarithmic pole along  $D$  and its residue  $\text{res}_i(\nabla \log e) \in \mathcal{O}_{D_i}$  is defined for each  $i \in I$ . The connection  $\nabla$  on  $\mathcal{E}$  is determined by  $\nabla \log e$ . Let  $J \subset I$  be a subset and write  $T = D_J = \bigcap_{i \in J} D_i$ . Let  $D_T = \bigcup_{i \in I-J} (T \cap D_i)$  be a divisor with simple normal crossings of  $T$  and write  $T^* = T - D_T$ . We define a pairing

$$(\ , \ )_T : P_X^\nabla(U) \times A_J \rightarrow P_T^\nabla(T^*).$$

For an object  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  of  $P_X^\nabla(U)$  and  $f = (f_i)_{i \in J} \in A = A_J$ , we define a logarithmic integrable connection  $\nabla : (\mathcal{E}, f) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}, f) \otimes \Omega_{T^*}^1$  on the invertible  $\mathcal{O}_{T^*}$ -module  $(\mathcal{E}, f)$

regular along  $D_T$  as follows. For a basis  $e$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $S \cap U$  for an open subset  $S \subset X$  as above, we put

$$\nabla \log(e, f) = \text{ord} f \cdot \nabla \log e - \sum_{i \in J} \text{res}_i(\nabla \log e) \cdot d \log f_i.$$

The right hand side is a section of  $\Omega_X^1(\log D)|_T$  on  $S \cap T$  and is in  $\text{Ker}(\bigoplus \text{res}_i : \Omega_X^1(\log D)|_T \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in J} \mathcal{O}_T) = \Omega_T^1(\log D_T)$ . It is easy to see that the connection on  $(\mathcal{E}, f)$  is well-defined and is regular along  $D_T$  and that it defines a pairing  $P_X^\nabla(U) \times A_J \rightarrow P_T^\nabla(T^*)$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}_X$  be an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module extending  $\mathcal{E}$  and assume  $\text{res}_i \nabla \in \Gamma(D_i, \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_{D_i}}(\mathcal{E}_X|_{D_i})) = \Gamma(D_i, \mathcal{O}_{D_i})$  is in the image of a constant  $\nabla_i \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  such that  $d(\nabla_i) = 0$  for  $i \in J$ . We define a logarithmic integrable connection  $\nabla^\sim : \mathcal{E}_X^{\otimes \text{ord} f} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_X^{\otimes \text{ord} f} \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D')$  where  $D' = \bigcup_{i \in I-J} D_i$  by

$$\nabla^\sim \log(e^{\otimes \text{ord} f}) = \text{ord} f \cdot \nabla \log e - \sum_{i \in J} \nabla_i \cdot d \log f_i$$

for a local basis  $e$  of  $\mathcal{E}_X$ . It is easily seen to be well-defined and the tame symbol  $((\mathcal{E}, f), \nabla)$  is isomorphic to the restriction  $(\mathcal{E}_X^{\otimes \text{ord} f}, \nabla^\sim)|_T$ .

Finally we define a pairing

$$P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times A_J \rightarrow P_{k_0, F, T}(T^*).$$

Let  $k_0$  and  $F$  be subfields of  $\mathbb{C}$ , let  $X$  be a smooth scheme over a finite extension  $k$  of  $k_0$  and  $U$  be the complement of a divisor  $D$  with simple normal crossings as in the last paragraph. We do not assume  $X$  is proper. Let  $M_{k_0, F, X}(U)$  be the category defined quite similarly as  $M_{k_0, F}(U)$  defined in Section 1 except that we replace the condition that the connection  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  is regular along the boundary by that it is regular along  $D$ . The rank 1 objects of  $M_{k_0, F, X}(U)$  form a commutative Picard category  $P_{k_0, F, X}(U)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), V, \rho)$  be an object of  $P_{k_0, F, X}(U)$ . For  $f = (f_i)_{i \in J} \in A = A_J$ , we define the pairing  $(\mathcal{M}, f) = (((\mathcal{E}, f), \nabla), (V, f), (\rho, f))$  which is an object of  $P_{k_0, F, T}(T^*)$  as follows. The integrable connection  $((\mathcal{E}, f), \nabla)$  has been defined above. We define a local system  $(V, f)$  of  $F$ -vector spaces on  $(T^*)^{an}$  as follows. Let  $S \subset X$  be an open subset and  $v$  be a multivalued section of  $V$  on  $(U \cap S)^{an}$ . We define a horizontal section  $(v, f)$  of  $(\mathcal{E}, f)^{an}$  on  $(T^* \cap S)^{an}$  well-defined up to a multiplicative constant in the image of  $\pi_1(U^{an} \cap (X^{an})_i)$  in  $F^\times$  on  $(T \cap S)^{an} \cap (X^{an})_i$  for each connected component  $(X^{an})_i$  of  $X^{an}$ . Shrinking  $S$ , if necessary, we take a basis  $e$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $S$  and let  $\varphi = \rho(v)/e$  be a (multivalued) analytic function on  $(U \cap S)^{an}$ . We put

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{-\text{ord} f \cdot \text{res} \nabla \log e} f^{\text{res} \nabla \log e} \\ &= \exp \left( \sum_{i \in J} \text{res}_i(\nabla \log e) \cdot (-\text{ord} f \cdot \pi \sqrt{-1} + \log f_i) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\varphi, f)^\sim = (-1)^{-\text{ord} f \cdot \text{res} \nabla \log e} f^{\text{res} \nabla \log e} \times \varphi^{\text{ord} f}.$$

Here  $\text{res}_i(\nabla \log e)$  is regarded as a locally constant function on  $S^{an}$ . They are well-defined upto a multiplicative constant in the image of  $\pi_1(U^{an} \cap (X^{an})_i)$  in  $F^\times$  since

$\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1} \cdot \nabla_i)$  is the inverse of the eigenvalue of the monodromy operator along  $D_i^{an}$ . We show that the function  $(\varphi, f)^\sim$  is an invertible holomorphic function on  $(S - \bigcup_{i \in I-J} D_i)^{an}$ . Since  $\rho(v)$  is a horizontal section, we have  $\nabla \log e = -d \log \varphi$ . Hence the logarithmic differential

$$d \log(\varphi, f)^\sim = \sum_{i \in J} \text{res}_i(\nabla \log e) \cdot d \log f_i + \text{ord} f \cdot d \log \varphi$$

does not have pole along  $D_i$  for  $i \in J$  and is holomorphic on  $(S - \bigcup_{i \in I-J} D_i)^{an}$ . Therefore  $\log(\varphi, f)^\sim$  is a holomorphic function and  $(\varphi, f)^\sim$  is invertible and holomorphic.

We define the tame symbol  $(\varphi, f)$  to be the restriction of  $(\varphi, f)^\sim$  on  $(T^*)^{an}$ . It is an analogue of the tame symbol  $(g, f) = ((-1)^{\sum_i \text{ord}_i g \cdot \text{ord} f} g^{\text{ord} f} \prod_i f_i^{-\text{ord}_i g})|_{T^*}$  for a rational function  $g$  since  $d \log \varphi = -\nabla \log e$  and  $\text{ord}_i g = \text{res}_i d \log g$ . We define  $(v, f) = (\varphi, f)(e, f)$ . It is easily seen to be independent of the choice of a basis  $e$ . We define a local system  $(V, f)$  of  $F$ -vector spaces of rank 1 to be that generated by  $(v, f)$ . Since  $(v, f)$  is well-defined upto a multiplicative constant in  $F^\times$  on each connected component, the local system  $(V, f)$  is well-defined. The comparison  $(\rho, f) : (V, f) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}, f)^{an}$  is the natural inclusion. For an object  $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), V, \rho)$  of  $P_{k_0, F, X}(U)$ , the tame symbol  $(\mathcal{M}, f)$  is defined as the triple  $(\mathcal{M}, f) = (((\mathcal{E}, f), \nabla), (V, f), (\rho, f))$  in  $P_{k_0, F, T}(T^*)$ . It is easy to check that the tame symbol defines a pairing  $P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times A_J \rightarrow P_{k_0, F, T}(T^*)$ .

If we take an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{E}_X$  extending  $\mathcal{E}$ , the definition of the tame symbol is rephrased as follows. We put

$$(-1)^{-\text{ord} f \cdot \nabla} f^\nabla = \prod_{i \in J} (-1)^{-\text{ord} f \cdot \nabla_i} f_i^{\nabla_i} = \exp\left(\sum_{i \in J} \nabla_i \cdot (-\text{ord} f \cdot \pi\sqrt{-1} + \log f_i)\right)$$

where  $\nabla_i = \text{res}_i(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X) \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_{D_i}}(\mathcal{E}_X|_{D_i}) = \Gamma(D_i, \mathcal{O}_{D_i})$  similarly as above. The subsheaf

$$(V, f)^\sim = (-1)^{-\text{ord} f \cdot \nabla} f^\nabla \rho(V)^{\otimes \text{ord} f} \subset \mathcal{E}^{an \otimes \text{ord} f}$$

is well-defined and is a local system of  $F$ -vector spaces on  $U^{an}$  of rank 1. Since  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_F (V, f)$  is the sheaf  $\text{Ker}(\nabla^\sim)^{an}$  of horizontal sections of  $(\mathcal{E}_X^{\otimes \text{ord} f}, \nabla^\sim)$ , it is unramified along  $D_i$  for  $i \in J$  and extended to a local system on  $(X - \bigcup_{i \in I-J} D_i)^{an}$  also denoted by  $(V, f)^\sim$ . The isomorphism  $(\mathcal{E}, f) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_X^{\otimes \text{ord} f}$  induces an isomorphism  $(V, f)$  to the restriction  $(V, f)^\sim|_{T^*}$ .

There is a variant of the tame symbol. Let  $\alpha : Y \rightarrow T$  be a morphism from a smooth  $k$ -scheme  $Y$ . We assume that the reduced inverse image  $D_Y = (\alpha^* D_T)_{red}$  is a divisor with simple normal crossing. We put  $Y^* = Y - D_Y$ . For  $i \in J$ , let  $A_{Y, i}$  be the disjoint union  $\coprod_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \{\text{basis of } N_i|_{Y^*}^{\otimes m}\}$  with a structure of abelian group defined by tensor product. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow A_{Y, i} \xrightarrow{\text{ord}_i} \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let  $A_{Y, J}$  be the fiber product  $\prod_{i \in J} A_{Y, i}$  with respect to  $\text{ord}_i : A_{Y, i} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . There is a natural map  $\alpha^* : A_J \rightarrow A_{Y, J}$ . Locally on  $Y$ , the group  $A_{Y, J}$  is the amalgamated sum of  $A_J$  and  $\Gamma(Y, \mathbb{G}_m)^J = \text{Ker}(\text{ord} : A_{Y, J} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$  over  $\Gamma(X, \mathbb{G}_m)^J = \text{Ker}(\text{ord} : A_J \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$ .

We define a pairing

$$(\ , \ )_Y : P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times A_{Y, J} \rightarrow P_{k_0, F, Y}(Y^*)$$

also called the tame symbol as follows. The composition of  $\alpha^*$  and the pairing  $(\ , \ )_T$  defines a pairing

$$P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times A_J \rightarrow P_{k_0, F, Y}(Y^*).$$

For  $u = (u_i)_i \in \Gamma(Y, \mathbb{G}_m)^J$  and an object  $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), V, \rho)$  of  $P_{k_0, F, X}(U)$ , we imitate the definition above as follows. For a basis  $e$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  on an open subset  $S \subset X$ , let  $(\mathcal{E}, u)$  be the invertible  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module generated by the symbol  $(e, u)$  on  $\alpha^{-1}(S)$ . For an invertible function  $g \in \Gamma(U \cap S, \mathbb{G}_m)$  and another basis  $e' = ge$ , we define the tame symbol  $(g, u) = \prod_{i \in J} u_i^{-\text{ord}_i g}$  and require  $(e', u) = (g, u)(e, u)$ . We define a connection  $\nabla$  on  $(\mathcal{E}, u)$  by  $\nabla \log(e, u) = -\sum_{i \in J} \alpha^*(\text{res}_i \nabla) u_i^{-1} du_i$ . We define a local system  $(V, u)$  of  $F$ -vector spaces to be that generated by  $(v, u) = \prod_{i \in J} u_i^{\text{res}_i \nabla} \cdot (e, u) \subset (\mathcal{E}, u)^{an}$  where  $u_i^{\text{res}_i \nabla} = \exp(\text{res}_i \nabla \cdot \log u_i)$ . The comparison is the inclusion. Then we see that a pairing

$$P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times \Gamma(Y, \mathbb{G}_m)^J \rightarrow P_{k_0, F, Y}(Y^*)$$

$(\mathcal{M}, u) \mapsto (((\mathcal{E}, u), \nabla), (V, u), (\rho, u))$  is well-defined. Since these pairings coincide on  $\Gamma(X, \mathbb{G}_m)^J$ , they induce a pairing

$$(\ , \ )_Y : P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times A_{Y, J} \rightarrow P_{k_0, F, Y}(Y^*)$$

by Corollary of Lemma 3.1.

We define the norm. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be smooth schemes over  $k$  and  $D$  and  $E$  be divisors with simple normal crossings of  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. Let  $\alpha : X \rightarrow Y$  be a finite flat morphism such that the reduced inverse image  $(\alpha^* E)_{red} = D$  and  $\alpha : U \rightarrow W$  is finite etale on  $U = X - D$  and  $W = Y - E$ . Then we define the norm functor

$$N_{X/Y} : P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \rightarrow P_{k_0, F, Y}(W)$$

of the commutative Picard categories. Let  $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), V, \rho)$  be an object of  $P_{k_0, F, X}(U)$ . The invertible  $\mathcal{O}_W$ -module  $N(\mathcal{E})$  is given in [D7] 7.1. For a basis  $e$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  on the inverse image  $\alpha^{-1}(S)$  of an open subset  $S \subset U$ , the invertible  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module  $N(\mathcal{E})$  is generated by the symbol  $N(e)$  on  $S$ . For another local basis  $e' = ge$ , we require  $N(e') = N_{X/Y}(g)N(e)$ . We define a connection  $\nabla$  on  $N(\mathcal{E})$  by  $\nabla \log Ne = \text{Tr}_{X/Y} \nabla \log e$ . It is clear that  $(N(\mathcal{E}), \nabla)$  is regular along  $E$ . The local system  $N(V)$  is defined similarly. For a basis  $v$  of  $V$  on the inverse image  $\alpha^{-1}(S)$  of an open subset  $S \subset U^{an}$ , the local system  $N(V)$  is locally generated by the symbol  $N(v)$  on  $S$ . For another local basis  $v' = gv$ , we impose  $N(v') = N_{X/Y}(g)N(v)$ . The comparison map  $N(\rho)$  is defined by  $N(\rho)N(v) = N_{X/Y}(\varphi)N(e)$  for an analytic function  $\varphi$  such that  $\rho(v) = \varphi e$ . It is easily checked that the norm is an additive functor.

We study a compatibility of the tame symbol and the norm. Let  $\alpha : X \rightarrow Y$  be a flat morphism of smooth  $k$ -schemes and  $D = \cup_{i \in I} D_i$  and  $E = \cup_{i \in I'} E_i$  be divisors with simple normal crossings of  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. Assume that  $D = (\alpha^* E)_{red}$  and  $(\alpha^* E_i)_{red}$  is smooth for  $i \in I'$  and  $\alpha|_U$  is smooth. Let  $\alpha_* : I \rightarrow I'$  be the map defined by the condition  $\alpha(D_i) \subset E_{\alpha_*(i)}$ . For  $J \subset I$  such that  $\alpha_*|_J$  is injective, we put  $J' = \alpha(J)$ ,  $X_J^* = X - \cup_{i \in I - J, \alpha(i) \in J'} D_i$  and define a map  $\alpha^* : A_{Y, J'} \rightarrow A_{X_J^*, J}$  as follows. For  $i \in J$ , let  $m_i$  be the coefficient of  $D_i$  in  $\alpha^* E_{\alpha_*(i)}$ ,  $m = \prod_{i \in J} m_i$  and  $\check{m}_i = m \cdot m_i^{-1}$ . We define a map  $\alpha^* : A_{Y, J'} \rightarrow A_{X_J^*, J}$  by  $(f_{i'})_{i' \in J'} \mapsto (\alpha^* f_{\alpha_*(i)}^{\check{m}_i})_{i \in J}$ . It is well-defined and induces the multiplication by  $m$  on the quotient  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Assume  $\alpha : X \rightarrow Y$  is finite flat. Let  $J' \subset I'$  and  $\alpha^* J' = \{J \in I; \alpha|_J \text{ maps } J \text{ bijectively to } J'\}$ . Then there is an isomorphism between the following two pairings  $P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times A_{Y, J'} \rightarrow P_{k_0, F, E_{J'}}(E_{J'}^*)$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times A_{Y, J'} &\xrightarrow{id \times \alpha^*} P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times \prod_{J \in \alpha^* J'} A_{X_J^*, J} \\ &\xrightarrow{(\cdot, \cdot)_J} \prod_{J \in \alpha^* J'} P_{k_0, F, D_J}(D_J^*) \xrightarrow{\otimes_J N_{D_J/E_{J'}}} P_{k_0, F, E_{J'}}(E_{J'}^*), \\ P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times A_{Y, J'} &\xrightarrow{N_{X/Y} \times id} P_{k_0, F, Y}(W) \times A_{Y, J'} \rightarrow P_{k_0, F, E_{J'}}(E_{J'}^*). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For  $f \in A_{Y, J'}$  and an object  $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), V, \rho)$  of  $P_{k_0, F, X}(U)$ , we define an isomorphism

$$(N_{X/Y} \mathcal{M}, f)_Y \simeq \bigotimes_{J \in \alpha^* J'} N_{D_J/E_{J'}}(\mathcal{M}, \alpha^* f).$$

We define an isomorphism of invertible  $\mathcal{O}_{E_{J'}}$ -modules

$$\beta : (N_{X/Y} \mathcal{E}, f)_Y \simeq \bigotimes_{J \in \alpha^* J'} N_{D_J/E_{J'}}(\mathcal{E}, \alpha^* f)$$

by  $(Ne, f) \mapsto \otimes_J N(e, \alpha^* f)$  on  $S \cap E_{J'}$  for a basis  $e$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\alpha^{-1}(S) \cap U$  for an open subset  $S \subset Y$ . We show that it is well-defined, that it preserves the integrable connections and that the induced map  $\beta^{an}$  maps the subsheaf  $(N_{X/Y} V, f)$  onto  $\otimes_{J \in \alpha^* J'} N_{D_J/E_{J'}}(V, \alpha^* f)$ . This will prove that  $\beta$  induces an isomorphism  $(N_{X/Y} \mathcal{M}, f)_Y \simeq \bigotimes_{J \in \alpha^* J'} N_{D_J/E_{J'}}(\mathcal{M}, \alpha^* f)$ . It is sufficient to check projection formulae

$$\begin{aligned} (N_{X/Y} g, f) &= \prod_{J \in \alpha^* J'} N_{D_J/E_{J'}}(g, \alpha^* f) \\ \nabla \log(N_{X/Y} e, f) &= \sum_{J \in \alpha^* J'} \nabla \log N_{D_J/E_{J'}}(e, \alpha^* f) \\ (N_{X^{an}/Y^{an}} \varphi, f) &= \prod_{J \in \alpha^* J'} N_{D_J^{an}/E_{J'}^{an}}(\varphi, \alpha^* f) \end{aligned}$$

for  $g \in \Gamma(U \cap S, \mathbb{G}_m)$ , for a basis  $e$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $U \cap \alpha^{-1}(S)$  as above and for a basis  $v$  of  $V$  and the analytic function  $\varphi = \rho(v)/e$  on  $(U \cap \alpha^{-1}(S))^{an}$  respectively. Since it is checked etale locally, we may assume that  $X = Y[\pi'_{j, j \in J'}]/(\pi_i'^{m_i} - \pi_i)$  for some  $m_i$ , that  $I = J = I' = J'$  and that  $D_I \simeq E_I$ . We put  $u_i = f_i \pi_i^{-\text{ord}_i f} \in \Gamma(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ ,  $v = g \prod_i \pi_i'^{-\text{ord}_i g} \in \Gamma(Y, \mathbb{G}_m)$ , an invertible holomorphic function  $\psi = \varphi \prod_i \pi_i'^{\text{res}_i \nabla \log e}$ , and the regular 1-form  $\omega = \nabla \log e - \sum_i \text{res}_i \nabla \log e \cdot d \log \pi_i'$ . Using

$$Ng = (-1)^{m \sum_i \text{ord}_i g} Nv \prod_i (-\pi_i)^{\tilde{m}_i \text{ord}_i g}$$

with  $N(v)|_{E_I} = (v|_{D_I})^m$ ,

$$\nabla \log Ne = \text{Tr } \nabla \log e = \text{Tr } \omega + \sum_i \tilde{m}_i \text{res}_i \nabla \log e \cdot d \log \pi_i$$

with  $\text{Tr } \omega|_{E_I} = m \cdot \omega|_{D_I}$ ,

$$N\varphi = (-1)^{-m \sum_i \text{res}_i \nabla \log e} N\psi \prod_i (-\pi_i)^{-\tilde{m}_i \text{res}_i \nabla \log e}$$

with  $N\psi|_{E_I} = (\psi|_{D_I})^m$  and the definition  $\alpha^* f = (\alpha^* f_i^{\tilde{m}_i})_{i \in J}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (Ng, f) &= (g, \alpha^* f) \\ &= (-1)^{m \cdot \text{ord} f \sum_i \text{ord}_i g} (v|_{D_I})^{m \cdot \text{ord} f} \prod_i u_i|_{E_I}^{-\tilde{m}_i \cdot \text{ord}_i g}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \log(Ne, f) &= \nabla \log(e, \alpha^* f) \\ &= m \cdot \text{ord} f \cdot \omega|_{D_I} - \sum_i \text{res}_i(\nabla \log e) \cdot \tilde{m}_i \frac{du_i}{u_i}|_{E_I}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (N\varphi, f) &= (\varphi, \alpha^* f) \\ &= (-1)^{m \cdot \text{ord} f \sum_i \text{res}_i(\nabla \log e)} (\psi|_{D_I})^{m \cdot \text{ord} f} \prod_i (u_i|_{E_I})^{-\tilde{m}_i \cdot \text{res}_i(\nabla \log e)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus an isomorphism

$$(N_{X/Y} \mathcal{M}, f)_Y \simeq \bigotimes_{J \in \alpha^* J'} N_{D_J/E_{J'}}(\mathcal{M}, \alpha^* f)$$

is defined. It is easy to check that it defines an isomorphism of pairings.

**Corollary.** *Assume further that  $\dim X = 1$  and  $E = \{y\}$ . Then there is an isomorphism between the following two pairings  $P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times k(Y)^\times \rightarrow P_{k_0, F}(y)$*

$$\begin{aligned} P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times k(Y)^\times &\xrightarrow{id \times \partial_x \circ \alpha^*} P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times \prod_{x \in D} A_x \\ &\xrightarrow{((, )_x)_x} \prod_{x \in D} P_{k_0, F}(x) \xrightarrow{\bigotimes_x N_{x/y}} P_{k_0, F}(y) \end{aligned}$$

$$P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times k(Y)^\times \xrightarrow{N_{X/Y} \times \partial_y} P_{k_0, F, Y}(W) \times A_y \xrightarrow{(\cdot, \cdot)_y} P_{k_0, F}(y).$$

Now we define the pairing. For a closed point  $x \in X_0$ , We put  $I_x = \{i \in I; x \in D_i\}$ . Since the abelian group  $A_x$  in the last section is the same as  $A_{x, I_x}$  defined above for the inclusion  $\{x\} \rightarrow D_{I_x}$ , we have defined a pairing

$$(\cdot, \cdot)_x : P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times A_x \rightarrow P_{k_0, F}(x)$$

By compositing with the norm  $N_{x/k} : P_{k_0, F}(x) \rightarrow P_{k_0, F}(k)$ , we obtain a pairing

$$(\cdot, \cdot)_X = \bigotimes_{x \in X_0} N_{x/k} \circ (\cdot, \cdot)_x : P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times \bigoplus_{x \in X_0} A_x \rightarrow P_{k_0, F}(k).$$

**Proposition 2.** *Assume further that  $X$  is projective and  $n = \dim X$ . Then there exists a pairing*

$$(\ , \ )_X : P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times \mathbf{CH}^n(X \bmod D) \rightarrow P_{k_0, F}(k)$$

inducing the pairing  $(\ , \ )_X$  above by  $\bigoplus_{x \in X_0} A_x \rightarrow \mathbf{CH}^n(X \bmod D)$ . It induces a pairing  $(\ , \ )_X : MPic_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times CH^n(X \bmod D) \rightarrow MPic_{k_0, F}(k)$  on the class groups.

*Proof.* The second assertion follows from the adelic presentation, Proposition 1

$$CH^n(X \bmod D) = \text{Coker} \left( \bigoplus_{y \in X_1} B_y \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_0} A_x \right).$$

By Lemma 3.1, it is enough to define a trivialization of the pairing  $(\ , \partial)_X : P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times B_y \rightarrow P_{k_0, F}(k) : (\mathcal{M}, f) \mapsto \bigotimes_{x \in \overline{\{y\}}} N_{x/k}(\mathcal{M}, \partial_{x,y} f)_x$  for  $y \in X_1$ .

First we consider the de Rham component. Let  $P(U)$  be the commutative Picard category of invertible  $\mathcal{O}_U$ -modules and  $P(k)$  be that of invertible  $k$ -vector spaces. We define a trivialization of the pairing  $(\ , \partial)_X : P(U) \times B_y \rightarrow P(k)$ . We recall that for an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_U$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $f \in A_x$  for  $x \in X_0$ , the invertible  $\kappa(x)$ -vector space  $(\mathcal{E}, f)_x$  is generated by the symbol  $(e, f)$  for a local basis  $e$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $X_x \cap U$ . For another basis  $e' = ge$  and  $g \in \Gamma(X_x \cap U, \mathbb{G}_m)$ , we have  $(e', f) = (g, f)(e, f)$  where

$$(g, f) = (-1)^{\text{ord}_x f \cdot \sum_{i \in I_x} \text{ord}_i g} \bar{g}^{\otimes \text{ord}_x f} \otimes \bigotimes_{i \in I_x} f_i^{-\text{ord}_i g}$$

and  $\bar{g}$  is the basis of the invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{O}(-\text{div } g)$  defined by  $g$  at  $x$ .

For an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_U$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  and for  $f \in B_y$ ,  $y \in X_1$ , we define a trivialization

$$k \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}, \partial f) = \bigotimes_{x \in X_0} N_{x/k}(\mathcal{E}, \partial_{x,y} f)_x$$

as follows. Let  $Y$  be the closure of  $\{y\}$  and  $\Sigma = \{x \in Y; \partial_{x,y} f \neq 0, x \in D_i \text{ for some } i \notin I_y, \text{ or } \bar{f} \text{ is not invertible at } x\}$  be a finite closed subset of  $Y$ , where  $\bar{f}$  is the image of  $f$  by  $B_y \rightarrow \kappa(y)^\times$ . Let  $X_\Sigma$  be the semi-localization of  $X$  at  $\Sigma$ . It is the spectrum of a semi-local ring since the finite set  $\Sigma$  is contained in an affine open subset of  $X$ . The restriction of  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $X_\Sigma \cap U$  is free of rank 1. We define the trivialization by

$$1 \mapsto (e, \partial f)_X := \prod_{x \in Y - \Sigma} N_{x/k} \bar{f}(x)^{-\text{ord}_x(e)} \times \bigotimes_{x \in \Sigma} N_{x/k}(e, \partial_{x,y} f)_x$$

for a basis  $e$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $X_\Sigma \cap U$  if  $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$  and by the identity if  $\Sigma = \emptyset$ . Here for a basis  $e$  of  $X_\Sigma \cap U$ , let an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module  $\mathcal{E}_Y(e)$  be the restriction of an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module extending  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $U$  and  $\mathcal{O} \cdot e$  on  $X_\Sigma$ . It is uniquely determined if  $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$  and  $e$  defines a non-zero rational section of  $\mathcal{E}_Y(e)$ . We write  $\text{ord}_x(e)$  its order at a closed point  $x \in Y$ .

We show  $(e, \partial f)_X$  is independent of the choice of a basis  $e$  and the trivialization is well-defined. For  $g \in \Gamma(X_\Sigma \cap U, \mathbb{G}_m)$ , let  $\bar{g}$  be the section of  $\mathcal{O}_Y(-\sum_{i \in I_y} \text{ord}_i g \cdot D_i)$ ,  $\Sigma_g = \{x \in Y; \bar{g} \text{ is not a basis}\}$  and  $\text{ord}_x(\bar{g})$  be its order at  $x \in Y$ . We put

$$(g, \partial f)_x = \begin{cases} (g, \partial_{x,y} f)_x & x \notin \Sigma_g \\ \bar{f}(x)^{-\text{ord}_x \bar{g}} & x \in \Sigma_g \end{cases}$$

$\in \kappa(x)^\times$  for  $x \in Y$  and  $(g, \partial f)_X = \prod_{x \in Y} N_{x/k}(g, \partial f)_x \in k^\times$ . Since  $(ge, \partial f) = (g, \partial f)(e, \partial f)$ , it is enough to prove  $(g, \partial f)_X = 1$ .

Let  $\pi = (\pi_i)_{i \in I_y}$  be a family of bases of  $\mathcal{O}_Y(-D_i)$  on a neighbourhood of  $\Sigma \amalg \Sigma_g$ . We take a lift of  $\pi_i$  to a basis of  $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Sigma}(-D_i)$  and write it also  $\pi_i$ . Let  $\{\pi, \bar{f}\} \in B_y$  be the image of  $\pi \otimes \bar{f} \in A_y \otimes \kappa(y)^\times$ . Since  $\Sigma$  for  $\{\pi, \bar{f}\}$  and for  $f - \{\pi, \bar{f}\}$  are disjoint with  $\Sigma_g$ , it is sufficient to show  $(g, \partial\{\pi, \bar{f}\})_X = (g, \partial(f - \{\pi, \bar{f}\}))_X = 1$ . In other word, in the proof of  $(g, \partial f)_X = 1$ , we may assume one of the conditions

- (1)  $\bar{f} = 1$ .
- (2)  $f = \{\pi, \bar{f}\}$  where  $\pi = (\pi_i)_{i \in I_y}$  as above.

In case (1), we have  $f = (f_i)_i \in \bigoplus_{i \in I_y} K_2(y) \subset B_y$ ,  $(\partial_{x,y} f)_x = (\partial_x f_i)_i \in \bigoplus_{i \in I_y} \kappa(x)^\times \subset A_x$  and  $(g, \partial_{x,y} f)_x = \prod_{i \in I_y} (\partial_x f_i)^{-\text{ord}_i g}$ . Hence

$$\prod_{x \in Y} N_{x/k}(g, \partial f)_x = \prod_{i \in I_y} \left( \prod_{x \in Y} \partial_x f_i \right)^{-\text{ord}_i g} = 1$$

as required by the reciprocity law for  $K_2(y)$ .

We consider the case (2). Let

$$(g, \pi) = (-1)^{\sum_{i \in I_y} \text{ord}_i g} \bar{g} \otimes \bigotimes_i \pi_i^{\otimes -\text{ord}_i g} \in \kappa(y)^\times$$

be a rational function on  $Y$ . We show that  $(g, \partial\{\pi, \bar{f}\})_x = ((g, \pi), \bar{f})_x$ . It implies  $(g, \partial\{\pi, \bar{f}\})_X = 1$  by the reciprocity law  $\prod_{x \in Y} N_{x/k}((g, \pi), \bar{f})_x = 1$ . For a closed point  $\tilde{x}$  of the normalization  $\tilde{Y}$  of  $Y$ , we put

$$(g, \partial f)_{\tilde{x}} = \begin{cases} (g, \partial_{\tilde{x}, y} f)_{\tilde{x}} & \tilde{x} \mapsto x \notin \Sigma_g \\ \bar{f}(x)^{-\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}} \bar{g}} & x \in \Sigma_g \end{cases}$$

$\in \kappa(\tilde{x})^\times$  similarly as before. Since  $(g, \partial f)_x = \prod_{\tilde{x} \mapsto x} N_{\tilde{x}/x}(g, \partial f)_{\tilde{x}}$ , it is enough to show  $(g, \partial\{\pi, \bar{f}\})_{\tilde{x}} = ((g, \pi), \bar{f})_{\tilde{x}}$  for  $\tilde{x} \mapsto x \in Y$ . We extend  $\pi = (\pi_i)_{i \in I_y}$  to  $\tilde{\pi} = (\pi_i)_{i \in I_x}$  where  $\pi_i$  is a basis of  $\mathcal{O}_X(-D_i)$  at  $x$ . Then by the explicit computation of  $\partial_{\tilde{x}, y}$  given in section 2, we have

$$\partial_{\tilde{x}, y} \{\pi, \bar{f}\} = \tilde{\pi}^{\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}} f} \times ((-1)^{\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}} f} (\pi_i, f)_{\tilde{x}}^{-1})_{i \in I_x - I_y}$$

where  $\tilde{\pi}$  also denotes the element of  $A_{\tilde{x}}$  defined by  $\tilde{\pi}$ . By putting  $u = (-1)^{\sum_{i \in I_y} \text{ord}_i g} g \cdot \prod_{i \in I_x} \pi_i^{-\text{ord}_i g} \in \mathcal{O}_{X, x}^\times$ , the left hand side  $(g, \partial\{\pi, \bar{f}\})_{\tilde{x}}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( (-1)^{\sum_{i \in I_x} \text{ord}_i g} g \cdot \prod_{i \in I_x} \pi_i^{-\text{ord}_i g} \right)^{\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}} f} \times \prod_{i \in I_x - I_y} ((-1)^{\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}} f} (\pi_i, f)_{\tilde{x}}^{-1})^{-\text{ord}_i g} \\ &= u^{\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}} f} \cdot \prod_{i \in I_x - I_y} (\pi_i, f)_{\tilde{x}}^{\text{ord}_i g}. \end{aligned}$$

By  $(g, \pi) = (-1)^{\sum_{i \in I_y} \text{ord}_i g} g \prod_{i \in I_y} \pi_i^{-\text{ord}_i g} = u \cdot \prod_{i \in I_x - I_y} \pi_i^{\text{ord}_i g}$ , the right hand side is the same and the equality  $(g, \partial\{\pi, \bar{f}\})_{\tilde{x}} = ((g, \pi), \bar{f})_{\tilde{x}}$  is proved. Thus the equality



$(g, \partial f)_X = 1$  is proved in general and hence the isomorphism  $k \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}, \partial f)_X$  is well-defined. We call it the canonical isomorphism. It is easy to check that the canonical isomorphisms define an isomorphism of pairings  $1 \rightarrow (\ , \partial )_X : P(U) \times B_y \rightarrow P(k)$ .

We consider  $P_{k_0, F, X}(U)$ . We define for  $y \in X_1$  and  $f \in B_y$ , an isomorphism of the additive functors

$$1 \rightarrow (\ , \partial f)_X : P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \rightarrow P_{k_0, F}(k)$$

whose de Rham component is the canonical isomorphism defined above. We show that they define an isomorphism of the pairings

$$1 \rightarrow (\ , \partial )_X : P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times B_y \rightarrow P_{k_0, F}(k).$$

The isomorphisms of functors  $(\ , \partial f) \otimes (\ , \partial f') \rightarrow (\partial f f')$  is defined as the composite  $(\ , \partial f) \otimes (\ , \partial f') \leftarrow 1 \otimes 1 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow (\partial f f')$ . The compatibilities in Lemma 3.1.1 follow from those of the de Rham component since the forgetful functor  $P_{k_0, F}(k) \rightarrow P(k)$  is faithful. We define the isomorphism  $1 \rightarrow (\ , \partial f)_X$  first for curves and then reduce the general case to it.

Assume  $X$  is a curve. We may assume  $X$  is integral and  $y$  is the generic point of  $X$ . The group  $B_y$  is then the function field  $\kappa(y)^\times$ . First we consider the case where a function  $f \in \kappa(y)^\times$  is constant. By considering the norm, we may assume that the constant field of  $X$  is  $k$ . We take a branch of the logarithm  $\log f \in \mathbb{C}(1)^{\text{Hom}_{k_0}(k, \mathbb{C})}$  of  $f \in k^\times$ . Let  $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), V, \rho)$  be an object of  $P_{k_0, F}(U)$ . Let  $e$  be a non-zero rational section of  $\mathcal{E}$ . For  $x \in D$ , let  $\nabla_x = \text{res}_x \nabla \log e \in \kappa(x)$  and  $[f^{\nabla_x}]$  be the object  $(\kappa(x), F, \text{multiplication by } f^{\nabla_x})$  of  $P_{k_0, F}(x)$  where  $f^{\nabla_x} = \exp(\nabla_x \cdot \log f) \in (\kappa(x) \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C})^\times$ . Note that  $\partial_{x, y} f \neq 0$  if and only if  $x \in D$  and then  $\partial_{x, y} f = f \in \kappa(x)^\times \subset A_x$ . For  $x \in D$ , the isomorphism  $\kappa(x) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}, \partial_{x, y} f) : 1 \mapsto (e, \partial_{x, y} f)$  induces an isomorphism  $[f^{\nabla_x}] \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}, \partial_{x, y} f)$  in  $P_{k_0, F}(x)$ . By taking the tensor product of the norms, we obtain the isomorphism  $[f^{\sum_{x \in D} \text{Tr}_{x/k} \nabla_x}] \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}, \partial f)$  whose de Rham component is  $1 \rightarrow \bigotimes_{x \in D} N_{x/k}(e, \partial_{x, y} f)$ . We have  $\sum_{x \in D} \text{Tr}_{x/k} \nabla_x = -\sum_{x \notin D} [\kappa(x) : k] \text{ord}_x e$  by the residue formula  $\sum_{x \in X} \text{Tr}_{x/k} \text{res}_x \nabla \log e = 0$  and by  $\text{ord}_x e = \text{res}_x \nabla \log e$  for  $x \notin D$ . Therefore the canonical isomorphism  $k \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}, \partial f)$  defined previously induces an isomorphism  $1 \mapsto (\mathcal{M}, \partial f)$  in  $P_{k_0, F}(k)$  also called canonical. It is easy to see that the canonical isomorphisms define an isomorphism  $1 \rightarrow (\ , \partial f)_X : P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \rightarrow P_{k_0, F}(k)$  of the additive functors.

We assume that a function  $f \in \kappa(y)^\times$  is not constant. It defines a finite flat morphism  $\alpha : X \rightarrow Y = \mathbb{P}_k^1$ . By shrinking  $U$  if necessary, we may assume that  $U = \alpha^* \alpha(U)$  and  $\alpha|_U$  is etale. We put  $E = \mathbb{P}_k^1 - \alpha(U)$  and  $t$  be the coordinate of  $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ . Then by Lemma 3.2, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$(\ , \partial f)_X \simeq (\ , \partial t)_Y \circ N_{X/Y}$$

of additive functors  $P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \rightarrow P_{k_0, F}(k)$ . Since the canonical isomorphism  $(\mathcal{E}, f)_X \rightarrow (N(\mathcal{E}), t)_Y$  sends  $(e, f)$  to  $(N(e), t)$ , we are reduced to the case  $X = \mathbb{P}_k^1$  and  $f = t$  is the coordinate.

Now we assume  $X = \mathbb{P}_k^1$  and  $f = t$  is the coordinate and define an isomorphism of functors

$$(\ , \partial t)_X \simeq 1 : P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \rightarrow P_{k_0, F}(k)$$

of the commutative Picard categories. Let  $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), V, \rho)$  be an object of  $P_{k_0, F, X}(U)$ . By shrinking  $U$  if necessary, we may assume  $0, \infty \in D$  and we

may take a basis  $e$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $U$ . We identify  $k = (\mathcal{E}, \partial t)_X$  by the canonical map  $1 \mapsto \bigotimes_{x \in D} N_{x/k}(e, \partial_x t)$ . It is enough to show that the local system  $(V, \partial t)_X \subset (\mathcal{E}, \partial t)_X^{an} = \mathbb{C}$  of  $F$ -vector spaces coincides with  $F \subset \mathbb{C}$  on  $k^{an}$ . Since it suffices to show it for each embedding  $k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  over  $k_0$ , we may assume  $k = \mathbb{C}$ .

We take a simply connected path  $\gamma$  connecting 0 to  $\infty$  which does not pass other points in  $D$ . Let  $|\gamma|$  denote the underlying set and put  $|\gamma|^* = |\gamma| - \{0, \infty\}$ . We take a small tubular neighborhood  $T$  of  $|\gamma|^*$ . There are 2 connected component  $T^+$  and  $T^-$  of  $T - |\gamma|^*$ . We assume that a small circle around 0 with positive direction cuts  $\gamma$  from  $T^-$  to  $T^+$ . We take a branch  $\log t$  of the logarithm of the coordinate  $t$  on the simply connected region  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 - |\gamma|$ . Let  $\log^+ t$  and  $\log^- t = \log^+ t + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}$  be the branch of the logarithm on  $|\gamma|^*$  continuous on  $|\gamma|^* \cup T^+$  and  $|\gamma|^* \cup T^-$  respectively. Take a basis  $v$  of the  $F$ -vector space  $V(|\gamma|^*)$  of dimension 1. We define a basis  $(v, \partial_x t)$  of  $(V, \partial_x t)$  for  $x \in D$ . For  $x \neq 0, \infty$ , let  $(v, t) = t^{\nabla_x}$  be the section  $\exp(\nabla_x \cdot \log t)$  of  $(V, t)^{\sim} = \mathcal{O}_X^{an}$  and  $(v, \partial_x t)$  be its fiber at  $x$ . For  $x = 0$ , let  $(v, t) = (-1)^{-\nabla_0} t^{\nabla_0} v$  be the section  $\exp(\nabla_0(-\pi\sqrt{-1} + \log^- t)) \cdot v$  of  $(V, t)^{\sim}|_{|\gamma|^*}$  and  $(v, \partial_0 t)$  be its fiber at 0. Similarly for  $x = \infty$ , let  $(v, t) = (-1)^{\nabla_{\infty}} t^{\nabla_{\infty}} v^{\otimes -1}$  be the section  $\exp(\nabla_{\infty}(\pi\sqrt{-1} + \log^+ t)) \cdot v^{\otimes -1}$  of  $(V, t)^{\sim}|_{|\gamma|^*}$  and  $(v, \partial_{\infty} t)$  be its fiber at  $\infty$ .

It is enough to show that

$$\prod_{x \in D} \frac{(\rho, \partial_x t)(v, \partial_x t)}{(e, \partial_x t)} = 1.$$

We compute each term for  $x \in D$ . For  $x \neq 0, \infty$ , it is  $t(x)^{\nabla_x} = \exp(\nabla_x \log t(x))$ . Here  $\log$  is the branch chosen above and  $\nabla_x = \text{res}_x(\nabla \log e)$ . We take a base point  $b$  on  $|\gamma|^*$ . Then for  $x = 0$  and  $\infty$  they are

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp\left(\nabla_0(-\pi\sqrt{-1} + \log^- t(b)) + \int_b^0 (\nabla_0 d \log t - \nabla \log e)\right) \times \frac{\rho(v)(b)}{e(b)} \\ & \exp\left(\nabla_{\infty}(\pi\sqrt{-1} + \log^+ t(b)) + \int_b^{\infty} (\nabla_{\infty} d \log t + \nabla \log e)\right) \times \left(\frac{\rho(v)(b)}{e(b)}\right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it is sufficient to show

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_0(\pi\sqrt{-1} + \log^+ t(b)) + \int_b^0 (\nabla_0 d \log t - \nabla \log e) \\ & + \nabla_{\infty}(\pi\sqrt{-1} + \log^+ t(b)) + \int_b^{\infty} (\nabla_{\infty} d \log t + \nabla \log e) \\ & + \sum_{x \in D, x \neq 0, \infty} \nabla_x \cdot \log t(x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For  $x \in D, x \neq 0, \infty$ , let  $\gamma_x$  be a small circle with positive direction around  $x$ . For  $x = 0$ , let  $\gamma_0$  be a loop starting at  $b$ , going along  $\gamma$  in  $T^+$ , turning around 0 in the positive direction and going back to  $b$  along  $\gamma$  in  $T^-$ . Similarly for  $x = \infty$ , let  $\gamma_{\infty}$  be a loop starting at  $b$ , going along  $\gamma$  in  $T^-$ , turning around  $\infty$  in the positive direction and going back to  $b$  along  $\gamma$  in  $T^+$ . Then by Cauchy's integral theorem, the sum of the integral of the holomorphic 1-form

$$\sum_{x \in D} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma_x} \log t \nabla \log e \right) = 0.$$

Hence it is enough to show that the integrals are equal to the corresponding terms in the equality above. For  $x \in D, \neq 0, \infty$ , it is the theorem of residue. For 0 and  $\infty$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma_0} \log t d \log t = -\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma_\infty} \log t d \log t = \log^+ t(b) + \pi\sqrt{-1}$$

Since  $\nabla \log e - \nabla_0 d \log t$  is holomorphic at 0, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma_0} \log t (\nabla \log e - \nabla_0 d \log t) = \int_b^0 \nabla_0 d \log t - \nabla \log e.$$

Similarly for  $\infty$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma_\infty} \log t (\nabla \log e + \nabla_\infty d \log t) = \int_b^\infty \nabla \log e + \nabla_0 d \log t.$$

Thus Proposition 2 is proved for  $X = \mathbb{P}_k^1$  and hence for curves.

We prove Proposition 2 for higher dimension. We define an isomorphism  $1 \rightarrow (\ , \partial f)_X : P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \rightarrow P_{k_0, F}(k)$  for  $f \in B_y$  and  $y \in X_1$ . First we consider the case  $f \in \bigoplus_{i \in I_y} K_2(y) = \text{Ker}(B_y \rightarrow \kappa(y)^\times)$ . Let  $D_i$  be an irreducible component of  $D$  and  $k_i$  be the constant field of  $D_i$ . We define a pairing

$$(\ , \ )_i : P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times k_i^\times \rightarrow P_{k_0, F}(k_i)$$

as follows. For an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_U$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $u \in k_i^\times$ , let  $(\mathcal{E}, u)$  be the invertible  $k_i$ -vector space generated by the symbol  $(e, u)$  for a non-zero rational section  $e$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ . For another section  $e' = ge$ , we impose  $(e', u) = (g, u)(e, u)$  where  $(g, u) = u^{-\text{ord}_i g} \in k_i^\times$ . For an object  $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), V, \rho)$  of  $P_{k_0, F, X}(U)$ , we define the local system of  $F$ -vector space  $(V, u)$  on  $\text{Spec } k_i^{an}$  to be that generated by  $(v, u) = u^{\text{res}_i \nabla \log e}(e, u)$  and the comparison  $(\rho, u)$  to be the natural inclusion to  $(\mathcal{E}, u)^{an}$ . It is easy to see that they define a pairing as above.

For  $x \in X_0$ , the restriction of the pairing  $N_{x/k} \circ (\ , \ )_x : P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times A_x \rightarrow P_{k_0, F}(k)$  to the  $i$ -component  $\kappa(x)^\times \subset A_x$  is identified with the pairing  $N_{k_i/k} \circ (\ , \ N_{x/k_i}(\ ))_i$  for  $i \in I_x$ . On  $\bigoplus_{i \in I_y} K_2(y) = \text{Ker}(B_y \rightarrow \kappa(y)^\times)$ , the map  $\partial_{x, y} : B_y \rightarrow A_x$  is the direct sum of the tame symbol  $\partial_x : K_2(y) \rightarrow \kappa(x)^\times$ . Hence the restriction of the pairing  $(\ , \partial f)_X : P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times B_y \rightarrow P_{k_0, F}(k)$  to the  $i$ -component  $K_2(y) \subset B_y$  for  $i \in I_y$  is identified with the pairing  $N_{k_i/k} \circ (\ , \prod_{x \in Y} N_{x/k_i}(\partial_x) )_i$  where  $Y$  is the closure of  $\{y\}$ . Hence by the reciprocity law  $1 = \prod_{x \in Y} N_{x/k_i}(\partial_x) : K_2(y) \rightarrow k_i^\times$ , a trivialization of the restriction of the pairing  $(\ , \partial)_X$  to  $\text{Ker}(B_y \rightarrow \kappa(y)^\times)$  is defined. It is easy to see that the de Rham component is the canonical one.

We prove the general case by reducing to a curve. The assertion has been proved for an element in the kernel of  $B_y \rightarrow \kappa(y)^\times$ . Hence it is sufficient to show that, for  $f \in \kappa(y)^\times$ , there exist an element  $\tilde{f} \in B_y$  lifting  $f$  and a trivialization of the functor  $1 \rightarrow (\ , \partial \tilde{f})_X$  whose de Rham component is the canonical one. Let  $Y$  be the closure of  $\{y\}$  for a point  $y \in X_1$ . Let  $\pi = (\pi_i)_{i \in I_y}$  be a family of bases  $\pi_i$  of  $\mathcal{O}_Y(-D_i)|_W$  on a dense open subset  $W \subset Y$ . The element  $\pi \in A_y$  defined by  $\pi$  has  $\text{ord}_y \pi = 1$  and the image of  $\tilde{f} = \{\pi, f\} \in B_y$  in  $\kappa(y)^\times$  is  $f$ . The tame symbol  $(\ , \pi)$  defines an additive functor

$$P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \rightarrow P_{k_0, F, \tilde{W}}(\tilde{W}^*)$$

where  $\tilde{W}$  is the inverse image of  $W$  in the normalization  $\tilde{Y}$  of  $Y$  and  $\tilde{W}^*$  is the inverse image of  $W - \bigcup_{i \notin I_y} D_i$ .

**Claim.** 1. For a closed point  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{W}$ , there is an isomorphism of additive functors

$$(\ , \partial_{\tilde{x}} \tilde{f})_{\tilde{x}} \rightarrow ((\ , \pi), f)_{\tilde{x}} : P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \rightarrow P_{k_0, F}(\tilde{x})$$

whose de Rham component is defined by  $(e, \partial_{\tilde{x}, y} \{\pi, f\}) \mapsto ((e, \pi), f)$  for a basis  $e$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  on the intersection of  $U$  with a neighborhood of  $x$ . The first functor is defined by the pairing  $(\ , \ )_{\tilde{x}} : P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times A_{\tilde{x}} \rightarrow P_{k_0, F}(\tilde{x})$  and the second is defined by  $(\ , \ ) : P_{k_0, F, \tilde{W}}(\tilde{W}^*) \times A_{\tilde{x}, \tilde{Y}} \rightarrow P_{k_0, F}(\tilde{x})$ .

2. Let  $x \in Y - W$ . Assume that  $Y$  is regular at  $x$  and that  $x \notin D_i$  for  $i \notin I_y$ . Then the image of the functor  $(\ , \pi)$  is in  $P_{k_0, F, \tilde{W} \cup \{x\}}(\tilde{W}^*)$ . Further assume that  $f$  is invertible at  $x$ . Then there is an isomorphism of additive functors

$$(\ , \partial_{\tilde{x}} \tilde{f})_{\tilde{x}} \rightarrow ((\ , \pi), f)_{\tilde{x}} : P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \rightarrow P_{k_0, F}(\tilde{x})$$

whose de Rham component is defined in the same way as in 1.

We complete the proof of Proposition 2 admitting Claim. For  $f \in \kappa(y)^\times$ , we may take a family  $\pi = (\pi_i)_{i \in I_y}$  of bases  $\pi_i$  of  $\mathcal{O}_Y(-D_i)|_W$  on an open subset  $W \subset Y$  containing the singular points of  $Y$ , the intersection  $D_i \cap Y$  for  $i \notin I_y$  and the zeroes and poles of  $f$ . Then by the first assertion of Claim 2, the tame symbol  $(\ , \pi)$  is a functor  $P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \rightarrow P_{k_0, F, \tilde{Y}}(\tilde{W}^*)$ . Further the isomorphisms in Claim 1 and 2 induce an isomorphism of functors

$$(\ , \partial \tilde{f})_X \rightarrow ((\ , \pi), f)_{\tilde{Y}} : P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \rightarrow P_{k_0, F}(k).$$

Since we have defined the trivialization of the second functor for a curve  $\tilde{Y}$ , we obtain a trivialization of the first functor  $(\ , \partial \tilde{f})_X$ . Its de Rham component is the canonical one and hence the assertion is proved for  $\tilde{f}$ . Thus Claim completes the proof of Proposition 2.

*Proof of Claim.* 1. Let  $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), V, \rho)$  be an object of  $P_{k_0, F, X}(U)$ . It is sufficient to show that the isomorphism  $(\mathcal{E}, \partial_{\tilde{x}} \tilde{f})_{\tilde{x}} \rightarrow ((\mathcal{E}, \pi), f)_{\tilde{x}}$  of the de Rham component maps the  $F$ -vector space  $(V, \partial_{\tilde{x}} \tilde{f})_{\tilde{x}} \rightarrow ((V, \pi), f)_{\tilde{x}}$ . Take bases  $e$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $v$  of  $V$  in the intersection of  $U$  with a neighborhood of  $x$  and let  $\varphi = \rho(v)/e$  be an analytic function. It is enough to show that

$$(\varphi, \partial_{\tilde{x}} \tilde{f})_{\tilde{x}} = ((\varphi, \pi), f)_{\tilde{x}}$$

modulo  $F^\times$ . Extend the family  $\pi = (\pi_i)_{i \in I_y}$  to the family  $\tilde{\pi} = (\pi_i)_{i \in I_x}$  of bases of  $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Sigma}(-D_i)$  at  $x$  as before. By the explicit computation

$$\partial_{\tilde{x}, y} \{\pi, \tilde{f}\} = \tilde{\pi}^{\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}} f} \times ((-1)^{\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}} f} (\pi_i, f)_{\tilde{x}}^{-1})_{i \in I_x - I_y},$$

the left hand side is

$$((-1)^{-\sum_{i \in I_x} \text{res}_i \nabla \log e} \varphi \cdot \prod_{i \in I_x} \pi_i^{\text{res}_i \nabla \log e})^{\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}} f} \cdot \prod_{i \in I_x - I_y} ((-1)^{\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}} f} (\pi_i, f)_{\tilde{x}}^{-1})^{\text{res}_i \nabla \log e}.$$

By  $\text{res}_{\tilde{x}} \nabla \log(e, \pi) = \sum_{i \in I_x - I_y} m_i \cdot \text{res}_i \nabla \log e$  where  $m_i = \text{ord}_{\tilde{x}} \pi_i$  for  $i \in I_x - I_y$ , the right hand side is

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{-\sum_{i \in I_x - I_y} m_i \text{res}_i \nabla \log e \cdot \text{ord}_{\tilde{x}} f} \times \left( (-1)^{-\sum_{i \in I_y} \text{res}_i \nabla \log e} \varphi \cdot \prod_{i \in I_y} \pi_i^{\text{res}_i \nabla \log e} \right)^{\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}} f} \\ & \times f^{\sum_{i \in I_x - I_y} m_i \text{res}_i \nabla \log e}. \end{aligned}$$

Cancelling the functors of  $\varphi$  and  $i \in I_y$ , the equality follows from the definition of the tame symbol  $\pi_i^{\text{ord}_x f}(\pi_i, f)_{\tilde{x}}^{-1} = (-1)^{-m_i \text{ord}_x f} f^{m_i}$  for  $i \in I_x - I_y$ .

2. Let  $\pi' = (\pi'_i)_{i \in I_y}$  be a family of bases of  $\mathcal{O}_Y(-D_i)$  at  $x$  and put  $u_i = \pi_i/\pi'_i \in \kappa(y)^\times$ . By the definition of the tame symbol, we have

$$\nabla \log(e, \pi) = \nabla \log(e, \pi') - \sum_{i \in I_y} \text{res}_i(\nabla \log e) \cdot d \log u_i$$

for a basis  $e$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  on the intersection of  $U$  with a neighborhood of  $x$ . Since  $\nabla \log(e, \pi')$  is regular at  $x$  and  $d \log u_i$  has at most logarithmic pole at  $x$ , the first assertion is proved.

To show the second assertion, by the same argument as in 1, it is enough to show

$$(\varphi, \partial_x \tilde{f})_x = ((\varphi, \pi), f)_x$$

for  $\varphi = \rho(v)/e$  as above. By  $\partial_{x,y} f = \pi'^{\text{ord}_x f} \times (\{u_i, f\}_x)_i = (f(x)^{-\text{ord}_x \pi_i})_i \in A_x$ , the left hand side is  $f(x)^{-\sum_i \text{ord}_x \pi_i \cdot \text{res}_i(\nabla \log e)} = \exp(\sum_i -\text{ord}_x \pi_i \cdot \text{res}_i(\nabla \log e) \log f(x))$ . By  $\text{res}_x \nabla \log(e, \pi) = -\sum_{i \in I_y} \text{res}_i(\nabla \log e) \cdot \text{res}_x d \log u_i = -\sum_{i \in I_y} \text{res}_i(\nabla \log e) \cdot \text{ord}_x \pi_i$ , the right hand side is the same. Thus Claim 2 and hence Proposition 2 is proved.

#### 4. MAIN RESULTS.

Let  $k_0$  and  $F$  be subfields of  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $U$  be a smooth separated scheme over a finite extension  $k$  of  $k_0$ . Let  $X$  be a proper smooth scheme over  $k$  including  $U$  as the complement of a divisor  $D$  with simple normal crossings. For an object  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $M_{k_0, F}(U)$ , the determinant of the cohomology  $\det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M})$  is defined in section 1. Let  $(\det \mathcal{M}, c_{X \bmod D})$  denote an object of  $P_{k_0, F}(k)$  whose class is the pairing  $([\det \mathcal{M}], c_{X \bmod D})$  defined in section 3 where  $c_{X \bmod D}$  is the relative canonical class defined in previous section 2.

**Theorem 1.** *Assume  $X$  is projective. Then for an object  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $M_{k_0, F}(U)$ , there exists an isomorphism*

$$\det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M}) \otimes \det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, 1)^{\otimes -\text{rank } \mathcal{M}} \simeq (\det \mathcal{M}, c_{X \bmod D})$$

in  $P_{k_0, F}(k)$ . In other word, we have an equality

$$\text{per}_c(\mathcal{M}) \cdot \text{per}_c(1)^{-\text{rank } \mathcal{M}} = \Gamma(\nabla : \mathcal{M})^{-1} \times ([\det \mathcal{M}], c_{X \bmod D})$$

in  $MPic_{k_0, F}(k) = k^\times \backslash (k \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C})^\times / (F^\times)^{\text{Hom}_{k_0}(k, \mathbb{C})}$ .

**Corollary.** *Under the same assumption, we have an equality*

$$\text{per}(\mathcal{M}) \cdot \text{per}(1)^{-\text{rank } \mathcal{M}} = \Gamma(-\nabla : \mathcal{M})^\times [(\det \mathcal{M}, c_{X \bmod D})]$$

in  $k^\times \backslash (k \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C})^\times / (F^\times)^{\text{Hom}_{k_0}(k, \mathbb{C})}$ .

*Proof of Corollary.* By Theorem 1 for the dual  $\mathcal{M}^*$  and by Lemma 1.7, we have  $\text{per}(\mathcal{M}) \cdot \text{per}(1)^{-\text{rank } \mathcal{M}} = \Gamma(\nabla : \mathcal{M}^*) \times [(\det \mathcal{M}^*, c_{X \bmod D})]^{-1}$ . Since  $\Gamma(\nabla : \mathcal{M}^*) = \Gamma(-\nabla : \mathcal{M})$  and  $\det \mathcal{M}^* = (\det \mathcal{M})^{\otimes -1}$ , Corollary follows.

When  $\dim X \leq 1$ , we have more precise results.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Assume  $\dim X = 0$ . Then the canonical isomorphism*

$$\det \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}) \otimes \det \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)^{\otimes -\text{rank } \mathcal{M}} \simeq N_{X/k}(\det \mathcal{E})$$

*induces an isomorphism*

$$\det \Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}) \otimes \det \Gamma(X, 1)^{\otimes -\text{rank } \mathcal{M}} \simeq N_{X/k}(\det \mathcal{M})$$

*in  $P_{k_0, F}(k)$ .*

Assume  $\dim X = 1$ . We define an object  $(\det \mathcal{M}, c_{X \bmod D})$  not only as an isomorphism class. For a reduced divisor  $D \subset X$ , let  $\mathbf{Pic}(X, D)$  be the commutative Picard category of invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules  $\mathcal{E}$  with a partial trivialization  $\mathcal{E}|_D \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D$ . There is an equivalence  $\mathbf{CH}^1(X, D) \rightarrow \mathbf{Pic}(X, D)$ . For an object  $f = (f_x)_x \in \bigoplus_x A_x$  of  $\mathbf{CH}^1(X, D)$ , its image is the invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X(\sum_x \text{ord}_x f \cdot [x])$  with the trivialization  $\otimes f_x : \mathcal{E}(x) \rightarrow \kappa(x)$  for  $x \in D$ . Hence the pairings  $P(U) \times \mathbf{CH}^1(X, D) \rightarrow P(k)$  and  $P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times \mathbf{CH}^1(X, D) \rightarrow P_{k_0, F}(k)$  defined in section 3 induce pairings  $P(U) \times \mathbf{Pic}(X, D) \rightarrow P(k)$  and  $P_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times \mathbf{Pic}(X, D) \rightarrow P_{k_0, F}(k)$  respectively. In [D](6.1), a pairing  $P(X) \times \mathbf{Pic}(X) \rightarrow P(k)$  attaching an invertible  $k$ -vector space  $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$  to a pair of invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M}$  is defined. There is a canonical isomorphism of two pairings  $P(X) \times \mathbf{Pic}(X, D) \rightarrow P(k)$  where one is induced by  $P(U) \times \mathbf{Pic}(X, D) \rightarrow P(k)$  and the other is induced by  $P(X) \times \mathbf{Pic}(X) \rightarrow P(k)$ . We define the additive functor  $(\ , c_{X \bmod D})$  to be the pairing with the dual object of  $(\Omega_X^1(\log D), \text{res})$  of  $\mathbf{Pic}(X, D)$ .

For an integrable connection  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  of rank  $r$  on  $U$  regular along  $D$ , we define a canonical isomorphism

$$\det {}^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, DR(\mathcal{E})) \otimes \det {}^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, DR(\mathcal{O}_U))^{\otimes -r} \simeq (\det \mathcal{E}, c_{X \bmod D}).$$

Take an extension  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  of  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ . By the isomorphism of the pairings above, we identify  $(\det \mathcal{E}, c_{X \bmod D}) = (\det \mathcal{E}_X(-rD), \Omega_X^1(\log D)^{\otimes -1})$ . We define a canonical isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \det R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)) \otimes \det R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{O}_X(-D)))^{\otimes -r} \\ \simeq (\det \mathcal{E}_X(-rD), \Omega_X^1(\log D)^{\otimes -1}) \end{aligned}$$

Here  $DR(\mathcal{O}_X(-D)) = [\mathcal{O}_X(-D) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{O}_X(-D) \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)]$ . In the notation of [D7], the left hand side is

$$\det R\Gamma(X, ([\mathcal{E}_X] - r[\mathcal{O}_X(-D)]) \otimes ([\mathcal{O}_X] - [\Omega_X^1(\log D)])).$$

Therefore by Deligne-Riemann-Roch (Construction 7.2 loc.cit.), it is canonically isomorphic to  $(\det \mathcal{E}_X(-rD), \Omega_X^1(\log D)^{\otimes -1})$ . By the following Lemma, the isomorphisms for small extensions  $\mathcal{E}_X$  define an isomorphism  $\det {}^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, DR(\mathcal{E})) \otimes \det {}^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, DR(\mathcal{O}_U))^{\otimes -r} \simeq (\det \mathcal{E}, c_{X \bmod D})$ . We write  $\lambda(\mathcal{E}_X) = \det R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X))$  for short.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}'_X \subset \mathcal{E}_X$  be extensions. Assume the canonical map  $DR(\mathcal{E}'_X) \rightarrow DR(\mathcal{E}_X)$  is a quasi-isomorphism. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \lambda(\mathcal{E}'_X) \otimes \lambda(\mathcal{O}_X(-D))^{\otimes -r} & \longrightarrow & \lambda(\mathcal{E}_X) \otimes \lambda(\mathcal{O}_X(-D))^{\otimes -r} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\det \mathcal{E}'_X(-rD), \Omega_X^1(\log D)^{\otimes -1}) & \longrightarrow & (\det \mathcal{E}_X(-rD), \Omega_X^1(\log D)^{\otimes -1}) \end{array}$$

*is commutative. Here the upper horizontal map is  $\det(\text{res} \nabla; \mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{E}'_X)$ -times the isomorphism induced by the quasi-isomorphism  $DR(\mathcal{E}'_X) \rightarrow DR(\mathcal{E}_X)$  and the lower one is defined by the isomorphism  $\mathcal{E}'_X|_U = \mathcal{E}_X|_U$ . The vertical arrows are defined by Deligne-Riemann-Roch.*

*Proof.* By induction on the length of  $\mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{E}'_X$ , we may assume  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{E}'_X$  is an  $\kappa(x)$ -vector space for some  $x \in D$  and  $\det(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{E}'_X) = N_{x/k}(\det(\text{res}_x \nabla : \mathcal{F}))$ . By definition of the isomorphisms, it is sufficient to show the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k & \longrightarrow & \det R\Gamma(([\mathcal{E}_X] - [\mathcal{E}'_X]) \otimes ([\mathcal{O}_X] - [\Omega_X^1(\log D)])) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \text{DRR} \\ k & \longrightarrow & (\det \mathcal{E}_X \otimes \det \mathcal{E}'_X^{\otimes -1}, \Omega_X^1(\log D)^{\otimes -1}). \end{array}$$

Here the upper horizontal arrow is  $\det(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{E}'_X)$ -times the isomorphism induced by the isomorphism  $\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{E}'_X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{E}'_X \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)$  and the lower one is defined by the isomorphism  $\mathcal{E}_X|_U = \mathcal{E}'_X|_U$ . By the definition of the isomorphism of Deligne-Riemann-Roch 7.1 and 7.2 loc. cit, the diagram is commutative if we replace the upper horizontal arrow by the isomorphism induced by  $\text{id} \otimes \text{res}_x^{-1} : \mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{E}'_X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{E}'_X \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)$ . The assertion follows from this and the equality  $\det(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{E}'_X) = N_{x/k}(\det(\text{res}_x \nabla : \mathcal{F}))$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Assume  $\dim X = 1$ . Then the canonical isomorphism of the de Rham component above induces an isomorphism*

$$\det {}^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M}) \otimes \det {}^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, 1)^{\otimes -\text{rank } \mathcal{M}} \simeq (\det \mathcal{M}, c_{X \bmod D}).$$

*in  $P_{k_0, F}(k)$ .*

We give an outline of proof of Theorems. First, we deduce Theorem 2 for  $X = \mathbb{P}_k^1$  from [T] Theorem 1.2. Then we study the vanishing cycles of a fibration to a curve in Lemma 4.5. Using this, we prove Theorem 2 for curves. Finally using it and taking a Lefschetz pencil, we prove Theorem 1 by induction on the dimension of  $X$ .

*Remark.* For an arbitrary dimension, it seems possible to define a *canonical* isomorphism of Deligne-Riemann-Roch

$$\begin{aligned} & \det R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)) \otimes (\det R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{O}_X(-D))))^{\otimes -r} \\ & \simeq (\det \mathcal{E}, c_{X \bmod D}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $ch([DR(\mathcal{E}_X)] - r[DR(\mathcal{O}_X(-D))]) = c_1(\mathcal{E}_X(-D)) \cdot c_n(\Omega_X^1(\log D))$  modulo higher terms. It should induce an isomorphism

$$\det {}^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M}) \otimes \det {}^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, 1)^{\otimes -r} \simeq (\det \mathcal{M}, c_{X \bmod D}).$$

as in Theorem 2 for curves by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1 given later.

The following elementary lemma is repeatedly used in the proof.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $E \subset X$  be a smooth divisor such that  $D' = D \cup E$  has simple normal crossings. Put  $U' = X - D'$  and  $E^* = E - D$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an object of  $M_{k_0, F, X}(U)$ . Then*

- (1) *Theorem 1 for two of  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}|_{U'}$  and  $\mathcal{M}|_{E^*}$  implies that for the rest.*
- (2) *If  $\dim X = 1$ , Theorem 2 for  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}|_{U'}$  are equivalent.*

*Proof.* (1). We define a canonical isomorphism

$$\det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U', \mathcal{M}|_{U'}) \otimes \det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(E^*, \mathcal{M}|_{E^*}).$$

Let  $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), V, \rho)$  and  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  be a small extension of  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}_X(-E)$  is a small extension of  $(\mathcal{E}|_{U'}, \nabla)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_X|_E$  is a small extension of  $(\mathcal{E}|_{E^*}, \nabla)$ . Let  $DR'_X(\mathcal{E}_X(-E))$  be the de Rham complex  $(\mathcal{E}_X(-E) \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D'))$ . Then by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log D')(-E) \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log D) \rightarrow \Omega_E^1(\log(D \cap E)) \rightarrow 0,$$

we have an exact sequence of de Rham complexes

$$0 \rightarrow DR'_X(\mathcal{E}_X(-E)) \rightarrow DR_X(\mathcal{E}_X) \rightarrow DR_E(\mathcal{E}_X|_E) \rightarrow 0.$$

By [K-M] from this we obtain an isomorphism

$$\det R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \det R\Gamma_c(U', \mathcal{M}|_{U'}) \otimes \det R\Gamma_c(E^*, \mathcal{M}|_{E^*}).$$

The equality  $\Gamma(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X) = \Gamma(\nabla : \mathcal{E}'_X)\Gamma(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X|_E)$  is easily checked and we obtain a canonical isomorphism

$$\det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U', \mathcal{M}|_{U'}) \otimes \det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(E^*, \mathcal{M}|_{E^*}).$$

On the other hand, applying Corollary 2 of Lemma 2.3 to  $(\mathcal{E}, \rho) = (\Omega_X^1(\log D), \text{res})$  and  $(\mathcal{F}, \sigma) = (\Omega_X^1(\log D'), \text{res})$ , we obtain  $c_{X \bmod D} = c_{X \bmod D'} + c_{E \bmod D \cap E}$  in  $CH^n(X \bmod D)$ . Hence we obtain a canonical isomorphism

$$(\det \mathcal{M}, c_{X \bmod D}) \simeq (\det \mathcal{M}|_{U'}, c_{X \bmod D'}) \otimes (\det \mathcal{M}|_{E^*}, c_{E \bmod D \cap E}).$$

Thus the assertion (1) is proved.

- (2). It is enough to check the commutativity of the diagram of isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \lambda_X(\mathcal{E}_X) \otimes \lambda_X(\mathcal{O}_X(-D))^{\otimes -r} & \rightarrow & \lambda'_X(\mathcal{E}_X(-E)) \otimes \lambda'_X(\mathcal{O}_X(-D'))^{\otimes -r} \\ & & \otimes \lambda_E(\mathcal{E}|_E) \otimes \lambda_E(\mathcal{O}_E)^{\otimes -r} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\det \mathcal{E}, c_{X \bmod D}) & \rightarrow & (\det \mathcal{E}|_{U'}, c_{X \bmod D'}) \\ & & \otimes N_{E/k}(\det \mathcal{E}|_{E^*}). \end{array}$$

The vertical isomorphisms in the diagram are given by the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} & \det R\Gamma(X, ([\mathcal{E}_X] - r[\mathcal{O}_X(-D)]) \otimes ([\mathcal{O}_X] - [\Omega_X^1(\log D)])) \\ & \rightarrow (\det \mathcal{E}_X(-rD), \Omega_X^1(\log D)^{\otimes -1}), \\ & \det R\Gamma(X, ([\mathcal{E}_X(-E)] - r[\mathcal{O}_X(-D')]) \otimes ([\mathcal{O}_X] - [\Omega_X^1(\log D')])) \\ & \rightarrow (\det \mathcal{E}_X(-rD), \Omega_X^1(\log D')^{\otimes -1}), \\ & \det R\Gamma(X, ([\mathcal{E}_X] - r[\mathcal{O}_X(-D)]) \otimes ([\Omega_X^1(\log D')] - [\Omega_X^1(\log D)])) \\ & \rightarrow (\det \mathcal{E}_X(-rD), \mathcal{O}_X(E)) = N_{E/k}(\mathcal{E}|_E). \end{aligned}$$



Since the second isomorphism is the same as

$$\begin{aligned} \det R\Gamma(X, ([\mathcal{E}_X] - r[\mathcal{O}_X(-D)]) \otimes ([\mathcal{O}_X] - [\Omega_X^1(\log D')])) \\ \rightarrow (\det \mathcal{E}_X(-rD), \Omega_X^1(\log D')^{\otimes -1}), \end{aligned}$$

the diagram is commutative.

We deduce Theorem 2 for  $X = \mathbb{P}_k^1$  from [T] Theorem 1.2. It is reformulated as follows. Let  $D$  be a reduced divisor of  $X$ . We may assume  $\infty \notin D$  and put  $D' = D \cup \{\infty\}$  and  $U = X - D'$ . Let  $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), V, \rho)$  be an object of  $P_{k_0, F, X}(U)$  and  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  be an extension of  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  to  $X$ . We compute the cohomology and the tame symbol of  $\mathcal{M}$  explicitly. First we compute the de Rham cohomology assuming that  $\mathcal{E}_X$  is a free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $E \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_X$  for  $E = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}_X)$ . By  $\Gamma(X, \Omega_X^1(\log D')) \simeq \mathcal{O}_D$  and  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^1(X, \Omega_X^1(\log D')) = 0$ , we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$\beta : R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)) \simeq [\nabla = \bigoplus_{x \in D} \text{res}_x \nabla : E \rightarrow E \otimes \mathcal{O}_D].$$

By identifying  $E = \mathcal{E}_X(\infty)$ , we obtain an isomorphism also denoted by  $\beta$

$$\beta : \det R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)) \simeq (\det(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_D))^{\otimes -1} \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}_X(\infty)).$$

Next we consider the singular cohomology. Let  $A = \mathbb{A}_k^1 \subset X$  and  $j : U \rightarrow A$  be the open immersion. We compute  $R\Gamma(A^{an}, j_! V)$  explicitly by choosing some paths. For each embedding  $k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  over  $k_0$ , we take a base point  $b \in U^{an}$ , a small disc  $\Delta_x \subset X^{an}$  with center at  $x$  for each  $x \in D'^{an}$ , a base point  $b_x$  of the punctured disc  $\Delta_x^* = \Delta_x - \{x\}$  and a path  $\gamma_x$  connecting  $b$  and  $b_x$ . We assume that the union  $|\gamma| = \bigcup_{x \in D'^{an}} |\gamma_x|$  of the underlying sets  $|\gamma_x|$  of paths  $\gamma_x$  is contractible. Then we have a distinguished triangle

$$\rightarrow R\Gamma(A^{an}, j_! V) \rightarrow R\Gamma(U^{an}, V) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in D^{an}} R\Gamma(\Delta_x^*, V) \rightarrow .$$

Since the topological space  $U^{an}$  is homotopically equivalent to  $\bigcup_{x \in D^{an}} (|\gamma_x| \cup \Delta_x^*)$ , we obtain a distinguished triangle

$$\rightarrow R\Gamma(A^{an}, j_! V) \rightarrow R\Gamma(\bigcup_{x \in D^{an}} |\gamma_x|, V) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in D^{an}} R\Gamma(b_x, V) \rightarrow .$$

In other word, we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$\alpha : R\Gamma(A^{an}, j_! V) \simeq [\bigoplus \gamma_{x*} : V_b \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in D^{an}} V_{b_x}].$$

Here the isomorphism  $\gamma_{x*} : V_b \rightarrow V_{b_x}$  is defined by the path  $\gamma_x$ . By identifying by the isomorphism  $\gamma_{\infty*} : V_b \rightarrow V_{b_\infty}$ , we obtain an isomorphism also denoted by  $\alpha$

$$\alpha : \det R\Gamma(A^{an}, j_! V) \simeq (\det \bigoplus_{x \in D^{an}} V_{b_x})^{\otimes -1} \otimes \det V_{b_\infty}.$$

We consider tame symbols. We fix an embedding  $k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and assume  $k = \mathbb{C}$ . By the rational section  $dt$  of  $\Omega_X^1(\log D')$  for the coordinate  $t$  of  $X = \mathbb{P}_k^1$ , we have  $c_{X \bmod D} = -(\sum_{x \in D} (t - t(x))[x] + (-t)[\infty]) \in CH^1(X \bmod D')$ . Hence there is an isomorphism

$$(\det V, c_{X \bmod D}) \simeq \bigotimes_{x \in D} (\det V, t - t(x))_x^{\otimes -1} \otimes (\det V, -t^{-1})_\infty.$$

Keeping the notation  $\gamma_x$  etc. above, we define isomorphisms  $(\det V, t - t(x))_x \simeq \det V_{b_x}$  for  $x \in D$  and  $(\det V, -t^{-1})_\infty \simeq \det V_{b_\infty}$ . To simplify the notation, we replace  $V$  by  $\det V$  and assume  $\text{rank } V = 1$ . We take a branch of the logarithms  $\log(t - t(x))$  for  $x \in D$  and  $\log t$  on  $|\gamma|$  so that  $\log(t(b_\infty) - t(x)) - \log(t(b_\infty)) = -\sum_{n=1}^\infty (t(x)/t(b_\infty))^n/n$ . For  $x \in D$ , we put  $\nabla_x = \text{res}_x \nabla \in \mathbb{C}$ . For  $v \in V_{b_x}$ , we take the branch of  $(-1)^{-\nabla_x} (t - t(x))^{\nabla_x} v$  of  $(V, t - t(x))^\sim$  such that the stalk at  $b_x$  is  $\exp((- \pi \sqrt{-1} + \log(t(b_x) - t(x))) \nabla_x) v$ . We write  $(v, t - t(x))_x \in (V, t - t(x))_x$  the stalk of this branch at  $x$ . Then the map  $v \mapsto (v, t - t(x))_x$  defines an isomorphism  $(\ , t - t(x))_x : V_{b_x} \rightarrow (V, t - t(x))_x$ . Similarly for  $\infty$ , an isomorphism  $(\ , -t^{-1})_\infty : V_{b_\infty} \rightarrow (V, -t^{-1})_\infty$  is defined by using the branch with stalk  $\exp(\log t(b_\infty) \nabla_\infty) v$  at  $b_\infty$ .

Assuming  $k_0 = k = \mathbb{C}$ , we define an injective homomorphism

$$(\rho, dt) : ((\det \bigoplus_{x \in D} V_{b_x})^{\otimes -1} \otimes \det V_{b_\infty}) \rightarrow \det(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_D)^{\otimes -1} \otimes \det \mathcal{E}_X(\infty)$$

using tame symbols above. Since  $\det \bigoplus_{x \in D} V_{b_x} \simeq \bigotimes_{x \in D} \det V_{b_x}$  and  $\det(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_D) \simeq \bigotimes_{x \in D} \det \mathcal{E}_X(x)$ , it is enough to define isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho, t - t(x))_x &: \det V_{b_x} \rightarrow \det \mathcal{E}_X(x) \\ (\rho, -t^{-1})_\infty &: \det V_{b_\infty} \rightarrow \det \mathcal{E}_X(\infty) \end{aligned}$$

for  $x \in D$  and  $\infty$  and put  $(\rho, dt) = \bigotimes_x (\rho, -t^{-1})_\infty$ . We define it for  $x \in D$  by the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \det V_{b_x} & \xrightarrow{(\rho, t - t(x))_x} & \det \mathcal{E}_X(x) \\ \downarrow (\ , t - t(x))_x & & \downarrow (\ , t - t(x))_x \\ (\det V, t - t(x))_x & \longrightarrow & (\det \mathcal{E}, t - t(x))_x. \end{array}$$

The lower horizontal arrow is the map induced by the comparison map  $\rho$  defined in section 3, the right vertical is also defined in the last section and the left vertical arrow is the map defined in the previous paragraph. It is defined similarly for  $\infty$ .

If the extension  $\mathcal{E}_X$  is small at  $D$  and big at  $\infty$ , the map  $\rho$  induces an quasi-isomorphism

$$R\Gamma(\rho) : R\Gamma(A^{an}, j_! V) \rightarrow R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)).$$

**Theorem T.** Assume  $k_0 = k = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_X^r$  and the real parts of eigenvalues of  $\nabla_x = \text{res}_x \nabla$  are positive for  $x \in D$  and negative for  $x = \infty$ . Put  $(-1)^{\text{Tr}(\nabla_\infty)} =$

$\exp(\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla_\infty)\pi\sqrt{-1})$  and  $\Gamma = \prod_{x \in D} \Gamma(\mathrm{res}_x \nabla) \cdot (-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla_\infty)} \cdot \Gamma(1 - \mathrm{res}_\infty \nabla)^{-1}$ . Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \det R\Gamma(A^{an}, j_! V) & \longrightarrow & \det R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)) \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta \\ (\det \bigoplus_{x \in D} V_{b_x})^{\otimes -1} \otimes \det V_{b_\infty} & \xrightarrow{(\rho, dt)} & \det(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_D)^{\otimes -1} \otimes \det \mathcal{E}_X(\infty) \end{array}$$

is commutative. Here the upper horizontal arrow is  $(-1)^{r(d-1)}\Gamma^{-1}$ -times  $\det R\Gamma(\rho)$  and  $r = \mathrm{rank} \mathcal{M}$  and  $d = \deg D$ .

*Remark.* The isomorphisms  $\alpha$  and  $(\rho, dt)$  may depend on the paths  $\{\gamma_i\}_i$ , but the composition is independent.

*Proof.* We may assume  $F = \mathbb{C}$ . We show that the statement is equivalent to Theorem 1.2 [T]. We define isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} (\bigotimes_{x \in D} \det E)^{\otimes -1} \otimes \det E &\simeq (\det \bigoplus_{x \in D} V_{b_x})^{\otimes -1} \otimes \det V_{b_\infty} \\ (\bigotimes_{x \in D} \det E)^{\otimes -1} \otimes \det E &\simeq \det(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_D)^{\otimes -1} \otimes \det \mathcal{E}_X(\infty) \end{aligned}$$

as follows. The second isomorphism is defined by the canonical isomorphism  $E \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_X(x)$  for  $x \in D'$ . The first one is induced by the composition of the canonical isomorphisms  $E \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_X(b)$ ,  $\rho(b) : \mathcal{E}_X(b) \rightarrow V_b$  and  $\gamma_{x*} : V_b \rightarrow V_{b_x}$  for  $x \in D'$ . By the isomorphisms, the maps  $\beta \circ \det R\Gamma(\rho) \circ \alpha^{-1}$  and  $(\rho, dt)$  are regarded as the multiplications by numbers. Let  $\det R\Gamma(\rho)$  and  $(\rho, dt)$  also denote the corresponding numbers respectively. We prove

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{r(\deg D - 1)} \det R\Gamma(\rho) \times \det(-\mathrm{res}_\infty \nabla) &= \det H_c \\ (-1)^{-\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla_\infty)} \Gamma \times \det(-\mathrm{res}_\infty \nabla) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma_{\lambda_i}(P) \Gamma_\infty(-P)^{-1} \\ (-1)^{\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla_\infty)} (\rho, dt) &= \prod_{i=1}^n (P, x - \lambda_i)_{\gamma_i} \times (P, 1/x)_{\gamma_\infty}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the notation in the right hand sides is that in Theorem 1.2 loc.cit. The equalities imply that the statements are equivalent.

We give a brief dictionary between the notation here and loc.cit. The logarithmic integrable connection  $\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_X \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)$  here corresponds to  $\partial_P : \mathcal{O}(V^*)_{\log} \rightarrow \Omega^1(V^*)_{\log}$  defined by  $\partial_P f = df + fP$  in Section 1.2 loc.cit. The global section  $E = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}_X)$  here is  $V^*$  there. The coordinate  $t$  here is denoted by  $x$  there and the value  $t(x_i)$  for  $x_i \in D$  is  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$  there. The residues  $\nabla_i = \mathrm{res}_{x_i} \nabla$  at  $x_i \in D$  here is the right multiplication by the matrix  $B^{(i)} : V^* \rightarrow V^*$ .

We reformulate the definition of  $\det H_c$ . Fix a numbering  $D = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . We define isomorphisms  $E^{n-1} \simeq H^1(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X))$  and  $E^{*n-1} \simeq H^1(A^{an}, j_! V)^*$ . Here  $*$  denotes the dual. For de Rham cohomology, we have defined a quasi-isomorphism

$$\beta : R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)) \simeq [\bigoplus_x \mathrm{res}_x \nabla : E \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in D} E].$$

Since  $\sum_{x \in D} \text{res}_x \nabla = -\text{res}_\infty \nabla : E \rightarrow E$  is an isomorphism,  $\text{sum} : \bigoplus_{x \in D} E \rightarrow E$  induces a retraction of  $\bigoplus_x \text{res}_x \nabla : E \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in D} E$ . Hence  $H^q(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)) = 0$  for  $q \neq 1$  and an isomorphism  $\beta' : H^1(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\text{sum} : \bigoplus_{x \in D} E \rightarrow E)$ . For singular cohomology, we have

$$\alpha : R\Gamma(A^{an}, j_! V) \simeq [\bigoplus_x \gamma_{x*} : V_b \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in D} V_{b_x}] \simeq [\text{diagonal} : E \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in D} E].$$

Hence we have  $H^q(A^{an}, j_! V) = 0$  for  $q \neq 1$  and  $H^1(A^{an}, j_! V) = \text{Coker}(\text{diagonal} : E \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in D} E)$ . Taking the dual, we obtain an isomorphism  $\alpha^* : H^1(A^{an}, j_! V)^* \simeq \text{Ker}(\text{sum} : \bigoplus_{x \in D} E^* \rightarrow E^*)$ .

We identify  $E^{n-1} \simeq \text{Ker}(\text{sum} : E^n \rightarrow E)$  by  $id \times 0 - 0 \times id$ . Here  $id \times 0 : E^{n-1} \rightarrow E^{n-1} \times E = E^n$  and  $0 \times id : E^{n-1} \rightarrow E \times E^{n-1} = E^n$ . Similarly we identify  $E^{*n-1} \simeq \text{Ker}(\text{sum} : E^{*n} \rightarrow E^*)$  by  $id \times 0 - 0 \times id$ . Thus we have defined isomorphisms  $E^{n-1} \simeq H^1(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X))$  and  $E^{*n-1} \simeq H^1(A^{an}, j_! V)^*$ . The isomorphism  $H^1(\rho) : H^1(A^{an}, j_! V)^* \rightarrow H^1(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X))$  induces a perfect pairing

$$\begin{aligned} E^{*n-1} \times E^{n-1} &\simeq H^1(A^{an}, j_! V)^* \times H^1(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)) \\ &\xrightarrow{id \times H^1(\rho)^{-1}} H^1(A^{an}, j_! V)^* \times H^1(A^{an}, j_! V) \xrightarrow{can} \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the determinant  $\det H_c$  is characterized by the condition that the induced pairing  $\det(E^{*n-1}) \times \det(E^{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is  $\det H_c$ -times the canonical pairing.

We prove the first equality. The definition of  $\det H_c$  above is rephrased as follows. We have quasi-isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \beta' : R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)) &\simeq [\text{sum} : \bigoplus_{x \in D} E \rightarrow E] \\ \alpha^* : R\Gamma(A^{an}, j_! V)^* &\simeq [\text{sum} : \bigoplus_{x \in D} E^* \rightarrow E^*]. \end{aligned}$$

They induce isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \beta' : \det R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)) &\simeq \det E^{\otimes 1-n} \\ \alpha^* : \det R\Gamma(A^{an}, j_! V)^* &\simeq \det E^{*\otimes 1-n}. \end{aligned}$$

The isomorphism  $R\Gamma(\rho) : R\Gamma(A^{an}, j_! V) \rightarrow R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X))$  induces a perfect pairing

$$\begin{aligned} (\det E^*)^{\otimes 1-n} \times (\det E)^{\otimes 1-n} &\simeq \det R\Gamma(A^{an}, j_! V)^* \times \det R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)) \\ &\xrightarrow{id \times \det R\Gamma(\rho)^{-1}} \det R\Gamma(A^{an}, j_! V)^* \times \det R\Gamma(A^{an}, j_! V) \xrightarrow{can} \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\det H_c$  is characterized by the condition that the pairing is  $(\det H_c)^{-1}$ -times the canonical one. Since the isomorphism  $\alpha^* : \det R\Gamma(A^{an}, j_! V)^* \simeq \det E^{*\otimes 1-n}$  is the dual of  $\alpha : \det R\Gamma(A^{an}, j_! V) \simeq \det E^{\otimes 1-n}$ , we have

$$\det H_c = \beta' \circ \det R\Gamma(\rho) \circ \alpha^{-1} = (\beta' \circ \beta^{-1}) \det R\Gamma(\rho).$$

Hence the first equality will follow from  $\beta' \circ \beta^{-1} = (-1)^{r(n-1)} \det(-\text{res}_\infty \nabla)$ . This is the consequence of Lemma below applied to  $E' = E^n$ ,  $r = \bigoplus_{x \in D} \text{res}_x \nabla$  and  $s = \text{sum}$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $r : E \rightarrow E'$  and  $s : E' \rightarrow E$  be homomorphisms of vector spaces  $E$  and  $E'$  of finite dimensions such that  $s \circ r$  is an automorphism of  $E$ . Consider the quasi-isomorphism  $b$*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [E' & \xrightarrow{s} & E] \\ \downarrow id & & \\ [E & \xrightarrow{r} & E'] \end{array}$$

*of complexes where  $E'$  is put on degree 1. Then the automorphism  $\det b$  of  $\det E \otimes (\det E')^{\otimes -1}$  is the multiplication by  $(-1)^{\dim E(\dim E' - \dim E)} \times \det(s \circ r)^{-1}$ .*

*Proof.* Since the determinant of  $(s \circ r, id) : [E \xrightarrow{r} E'] \rightarrow [E \xrightarrow{r(s \circ r)^{-1}} E']$  is  $\det s \circ r$ , we may assume  $s \circ r = 1$ . Then the assertion follows from Koszul's rule on the sign.

The second equality follows immediately from the definition, the dictionary  $\text{res}_{x_i} \nabla = B^{(i)}$  and from the formula  $(-s)\Gamma(1-s) = \Gamma(-s)$ .

We prove the third equality. By taking the determinant, we may assume the rank  $r$  is 1. By identification  $E \simeq \mathcal{E}_X(b) \xrightarrow{\rho_b} V_b \xrightarrow{\gamma_{x*}} V_{b_x}$  and  $E \simeq \mathcal{E}_X(x)$  for  $x \in D'$  above, we regard  $(\rho, t - t(x))_x$  for  $x \in D$ ,  $(\rho, -t^{-1})_\infty$  etc. as numbers. By the definition  $(\rho, dt) = \prod_x (\rho, t - t(x))_x^{-1} \times (\rho, -t^{-1})_\infty$  and by the residue formula  $\sum_{x \in D, \infty} \nabla_x = 0$ , it is sufficient to show that

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho, t - t(x_i))_{x_i} &= (-1)^{-\nabla_x} (P, x - \lambda_i)_{\gamma_i}^{-1} \\ (\rho, -t^{-1})_\infty &= (P, 1/x)_{\gamma_\infty}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

for  $x_i \in D$  and  $\infty$ . We compute the left hand sides following the definition. For  $x \in D, = \infty$  and  $e \in E$ , let  $v$  be the (multivalued) section of  $V$  on  $\Delta_x^*$  such that stalk  $v$  at  $b_x$  is  $\gamma_{x*}(\rho_b(e))$ . Let  $\varphi$  be the analytic function  $\rho(v)/e$  on  $\Delta_x^*$ . Then for  $x \in D$  by the definition in Section 3,  $(\rho, t - t(x))_x = (\varphi, t - t(x))_x$  is the value at  $x$  of the branch of the invertible function  $(-1)^{-\nabla_x} (t - t(x))^{\nabla_x} h$  taking the value  $\exp(\nabla_x(-\pi\sqrt{-1} + \log(t(b_x) - t(x))))\rho(v)/e$  at  $b_x$ . On the other hand, the notation

$$(P, x - \lambda_i)_{\gamma_i} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \lambda_i} D(x) \cdot (x - \lambda_i)^{-tr B^{(i)}}$$

loc.cit Section 1.1 Definition is translated here as follows. We have  $D(x) = F(x)$  there is  $\varphi(x)^{-1}$  here,  $tr B^{(i)} = B^{(i)}$  there is  $\nabla_{x_i}$  here and  $(x - \lambda_i)^{-tr B^{(i)}}$  there is  $\exp(-\nabla_{x_i} \log(t - t(x_i)))$ . Hence we have

$$(\rho, t - t(x_i))_{x_i} = \exp(-\nabla_{x_i} \pi\sqrt{-1})(P, x - \lambda_i)_{\gamma_i}^{-1}$$

Similarly  $(\rho, -t^{-1})_\infty = (\varphi, -t^{-1})_\infty$  is the value at  $\infty$  of the branch of the invertible function  $t^{-\nabla_\infty} \varphi$  taking the value  $\exp(-\nabla_\infty \log(t(b_\infty)))\rho(v)/e$  at  $b_\infty$  and  $(P, 1/x)_{\gamma_\infty} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} D(x)x^{tr B^{(\infty)}}$ . Hence by a similar dictionary, we have

$$(\rho, -t^{-1})_\infty = (P, 1/x)_{\gamma_\infty}^{-1}.$$

Thus the third equality and hence Theorem T is proved.

*Proof of Theorem 2 for  $X = \mathbb{P}_k^1$ .* Changing the coordinate if necessary, we always assume  $\infty \in U$ . Put  $U' = U - \{\infty\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), V, \rho)$  be an object of  $M_{k_0, F, X}(U')$ . For an extension  $\mathcal{E}_X$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ , we put  $\Gamma'(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X) = \prod_{x \in D} N_{x/k} \Gamma(\text{res}_x \nabla) \times (-1)^{\text{Tr}(\nabla_\infty)} \Gamma(1 - \text{res}_\infty \nabla)^{-1} \in (k \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C})^\times$ . We define a variant  $\det^\Gamma R\Gamma'(U', \mathcal{M})$  to be the triple

$$\text{proj lim}_{(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)} (\det R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)), \det R\Gamma(A^{an}, j_! V), \Gamma'(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X)^{-1} \times \det R\Gamma(\rho))$$

$\in P_{k_0, F}(k)$  similarly as in the definition of  $\det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U', \mathcal{M})$ . Here the extensions  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  of  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  are small at  $D$  and big at  $\infty$  and the transition morphism for  $\mathcal{E}'_X \subset \mathcal{E}_X$  is  $(\det(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{E}'_X))$ -times the isomorphism induced by the inclusion. By the equality  $s(-1)^{-(s-1)}\Gamma(1 - (s-1)) = (-1)^{-s}\Gamma(1-s)$ , they form a projective system.

We show Theorem T implies

**Claim 1.** *Assume  $\infty \in U$ . Let  $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), V, \rho)$  be an object of  $M_{k_0, F, X}(U)$ . Assume that there exists an extension  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  of  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  such that  $\mathcal{E}_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(-m)^r$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then the isomorphism of Deligne-Riemann-Roch*

$$\begin{aligned} & \det R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)) \otimes \det R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{O}_X(-D)))^{\otimes -r} \\ & \simeq (\det \mathcal{E}_X(-rD), \Omega_X^1(\log D)^{\otimes -1}) \end{aligned}$$

*induces an isomorphism*

$$\det^\Gamma R\Gamma'(U', \mathcal{M}|_{U'}) \otimes \det^\Gamma R\Gamma'(U', 1)^{\otimes -r} \simeq (\det \mathcal{M}|_{U'}, c_{X \bmod D'})$$

*in  $P_{k_0, F}(k)$ .*

We complete the proof of Theorem 2 for  $X = \mathbb{P}_k^1$  admitting Claim 1. We show that the assumption of Claim 1 is not restrictive.

**Claim 2.** *Shrinking  $U$  if necessary, there exists an extension  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  such that  $\mathcal{E}_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(m)^r$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof of Claim 2.* By a theorem of Grothendieck, a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{E}_X$  is isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_j \mathcal{O}_X(m_j)$  for some  $(m_j)_{j=1, \dots, r}$ . We prove by induction on  $M = \sum_j (m_j - m)$  where  $m = \min_j m_j$ . If it is 0, there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, take  $j_0$  such that  $m_{j_0} \neq m$  and a  $k$ -rational point  $x \in U$ . Then the kernel  $\mathcal{E}'_X$  of the composite  $\mathcal{E}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_X(x) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_{j_0}} \mathcal{O}_X(m_{j_0})(x)$  is an extension of  $\mathcal{E}|_{U-x}$  and  $M$  for  $\mathcal{E}'_X$  is  $M-1$ . Thus Claim is proved.

We show that the conclusion of Claim 1 implies that of Theorem 2 for  $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), V, \rho)$  be an object of  $M_{k_0, F, X}(U)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_X$  be an extension of  $\mathcal{E}$  as in the assumption of Claim 1. It is sufficient to define canonical isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M}) & \simeq \det^\Gamma R\Gamma'(U', \mathcal{M}|_{U'}) \otimes \det(\mathcal{M}|_\infty(-1)) \\ (\det \mathcal{M}, c_{X \bmod D}) & \simeq (\det \mathcal{M}|_{U'}, c_{X \bmod D'}) \otimes \det(\mathcal{M}|_\infty) \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the following condition. Write

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\mathcal{E}_X) & = \det R\Gamma(X, [\mathcal{E}_X \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{E}_X \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)]) \\ \lambda'(\mathcal{E}_X) & = \det R\Gamma(X, [\mathcal{E}_X \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{E}_X \otimes \Omega_X(\log D')]) \end{aligned}$$

for short. The condition is that de Rham components of the isomorphisms form a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \lambda(\mathcal{E}_X) \otimes \lambda(\mathcal{O}_X(-D))^{\otimes -r} & \rightarrow & \lambda'(\mathcal{E}_X) \otimes \lambda'(\mathcal{O}_X(-D))^{\otimes -r} \otimes \det \mathcal{E}_X(\infty) \\ \text{DRR} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{DRR} \\ (\det \mathcal{E}_X(-rD), \Omega_X^1(\log D)^{\otimes -1}) & \rightarrow & (\det \mathcal{E}_X(-rD), \Omega_X(\log D')^{\otimes -1}) \otimes \det \mathcal{E}_X(\infty) \end{array}$$

for small extensions  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$ . Note that  $\Gamma(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X) = \Gamma'(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X)$  since  $\text{res}_\infty \nabla = 0$ . We define the isomorphisms. The first one is defined by the distinguished triangles

$$\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)) \rightarrow R\Gamma(X, DR'(\mathcal{E}_X)) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_X(\infty)[-1] \rightarrow \\ & \rightarrow R\Gamma_c(U^{an}, V) \rightarrow R\Gamma_c(U'^{an}, V|_{U'^{an}}) \rightarrow V_\infty(-1)[-1] \rightarrow \end{aligned}$$

The second one is a consequence of the equality  $c_{X \bmod D} = c_{X \bmod D'} + [\infty]$  in Lemma 2.1. The commutativity is proved in the same way as in Lemma 4.2. Thus the proof of Theorem 2 for  $X = \mathbb{P}_k^1$  is reduced to the proof of Claim 1.

*Proof of Claim 1.* We assume  $\mathcal{E}_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(-m)^r$  for some  $m$ . Replacing  $\mathcal{E}_X$  by  $\mathcal{E}_X(-m'D)$  for large  $m' \in \mathbb{N}$  if necessary, we may assume that the following condition is satisfied. We put  $\mathcal{E}'_X = \mathcal{E}_X(m[\infty])$  so that  $\mathcal{E}'_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_X^r$ . Then the real parts of all the conjugates in  $\mathbb{C}$  over  $k_0$  of the eigenvalues of  $\text{res}_x \nabla \in \kappa(x)$  for  $x \in D$  are positive and those for  $\text{res}_\infty \nabla_{\mathcal{E}'_X}$  are negative. The condition is also satisfied for the extension  $\mathcal{O}_X(-D)$  of  $(\mathcal{O}_U, d)$  and  $\mathcal{O}'_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-D + \deg D[\infty])$ . It is sufficient to show that for each embedding  $k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  over  $k_0$ , there is an isomorphism

$$\det R\Gamma(A^{an}, j_! V) \otimes \det R\Gamma(A^{an}, j_! F)^{\otimes -r} \rightarrow (\det V \otimes F^{\otimes -r}, c_{X \bmod D'})$$

of  $F$ -vector spaces such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \det R\Gamma(A^{an}, j_! V) \otimes \det R\Gamma(A^{an}, j_! F)^{\otimes -r} & \rightarrow & \det R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}'_X)) \otimes \det R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{O}'_X))^{\otimes -r} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{DRR} \\ (\det V \otimes F^{\otimes -r}, c_{X \bmod D'}) & \rightarrow & (\det \mathcal{E}'_X \otimes \det \mathcal{O}'_X^{\otimes -r}, \Omega_X^1(\log D')^{\otimes -1}). \end{array}$$

is commutative. Here the upper horizontal arrow is  $\Gamma'(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X)^{-1} \times \Gamma'(\nabla : \mathcal{O}_X(-D))^r \times \det R\Gamma(\rho) \otimes \det R\Gamma(\text{canonical})^{\otimes -r}$  and the lower one is the comparison map of  $(\det \mathcal{M}|_{U'}, c_{X \bmod D'})$ .

By the definition of the tame symbol given in section 3, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\det \bigoplus_{x \in D^{an}} V_{b_x})^{\otimes -1} \otimes \det V_{b_\infty} \otimes ((\det \bigoplus_{x \in D^{an}} F)^{\otimes -1} \otimes F)^{\otimes -r} & \longrightarrow & \det(\mathcal{E}'_X|_D)^{\otimes -1} \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}'_X(\infty)) \otimes (\det(\mathcal{O}'_X|_D)^{\otimes -1} \otimes \det(\mathcal{O}'_X(\infty)))^{\otimes -r} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\det V \otimes F^{\otimes -r}, c_{X \bmod D'}) & \longrightarrow & (\det \mathcal{E}'_X \otimes \det \mathcal{O}'_X^{\otimes -r}, \Omega_X^1(\log D')^{\otimes -1}). \end{array}$$

Here the upper horizontal arrow is  $(\det \rho, dt) \otimes (\det \text{can}, dt)^{\otimes -r}$  and the lower one is  $(\det \rho \otimes \text{can}^{\otimes -r}, c_{X \bmod D'})$ . The left vertical arrow is induced by the tame

symbols  $(\ , t - t(x))_x$  for  $x \in D^{an}$  and  $(\ , -t^{-1})_\infty$  and the right one is defined by a property of the norm  $\det(\mathcal{E}'_X|_D) \otimes \det(\mathcal{O}'_X|_D)^{\otimes -r} \simeq N_{D/k}(\det(\mathcal{E}'_X|_D))$  and the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} & N_{D/k}(\det(\mathcal{E}'_X|_D)) \otimes (\det(\mathcal{E}'_X(\infty)) \otimes \det(\mathcal{O}'_X(\infty))^{\otimes -r}) \\ & \rightarrow (\det \mathcal{E}'_X \otimes \det \mathcal{O}'_X^{\otimes -r}, \Omega_X^1(\log D')^{\otimes -1}). \end{aligned}$$

The isomorphism of Deligne-Riemann-Roch is the composition of this and

$$\begin{aligned} & \det R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}'_X)) \otimes \det R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{O}'_X))^{\otimes -r} \\ & \xrightarrow{\beta_{\mathcal{E}'_X} \otimes \beta_{\mathcal{O}'_X}^{\otimes -r}} \det(\mathcal{E}'_X|_D)^{\otimes -1} \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}'_X(\infty)) \otimes (\det(\mathcal{O}'_X|_D)^{\otimes -1} \otimes \det(\mathcal{O}'_X(\infty)))^{\otimes -r}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by Theorem T for  $\mathcal{E}'_X$  and  $\mathcal{O}'_X$ , the composite of  $\alpha_V \otimes \alpha_F^{\otimes -r}$  and the left vertical arrow of the diagram above gives the required isomorphism of the  $F$ -vector spaces  $\det R\Gamma(A^{an}, j_! V) \otimes \det R\Gamma(A^{an}, j_! F)^{\otimes -r} \rightarrow (\det V \otimes F^{\otimes -r}, c_{X \bmod D'})$ . Thus Claim 1 and hence Theorem 2 for  $X = \mathbb{P}_k^1$  are proved.

To prove the general case, we study a fibration  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  to a curve  $Y$ . We consider a local situation below. Let  $Y$  be a smooth curve over  $k$  and  $E$  be a reduced divisor containing a closed point  $y$ . Let  $X$  be a smooth scheme over  $k$ ,  $D$  be a divisor with simple normal crossings and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a proper and flat morphism. We assume that

- ★ The underlying set of  $f^*(E)$  is in  $D$ . On the complement  $W = Y - E$ , the restriction  $f_W : X_W \rightarrow W$  is smooth and  $D_W = D \cap X_W$  is a divisor with relative normal crossings.

Let  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  be an integrable connection on  $U = X - D$  and  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  be a small extension to  $X$ . Let  $\Omega_{X/Y}^1(\log D/\log E)$  be the cokernel of the natural morphism  $f^*\Omega_Y^1(\log E) \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log D)$ . It is a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module of rank  $\dim X - 1$ . Let  $\Omega_{X/Y}^q(\log D/\log E) = \bigwedge^q \Omega_{X/Y}^1(\log D/\log E)$ . For a logarithmic integrable connection  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$ , we define the relative de Rham complex

$$\begin{aligned} DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X) &= (\mathcal{E}_X \otimes \Omega_{X/Y}^\bullet(\log D/\log E)) \\ &= [\mathcal{E}_X \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{E}_X \otimes \Omega_{X/Y}^1(\log D/\log E) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \cdots]. \end{aligned}$$

On the higher direct image  $\mathcal{F}_Y^q = R^q f_* DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X)$ , the Gauss-Manin connection  $\nabla : \mathcal{F}_Y^q \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Y^q \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log E)$  is defined as follows. By the definition of  $DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X)$ , we have an exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X) \otimes f^*\Omega_Y^1(\log E)[-1] \rightarrow DR_X(\mathcal{E}_X) \rightarrow DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X) \rightarrow 0.$$

The connection  $\nabla$  is defined as the boundary map of the induced long exact sequence

$$\rightarrow R^q f_* DR_X(\mathcal{E}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Y^q \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{F}_Y^q \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log E) \rightarrow .$$

We generalize the terminology for logarithmic integrable connections on locally free  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules defined in section 1 to those on coherent modules. Let  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  be



an integrable connection on  $W$ . Let  $(\mathcal{F}_Y, \nabla)$  be the pair of a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module  $\mathcal{F}_Y$  and a logarithmic integrable connection  $\nabla : \mathcal{F}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Y \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log E)$  extending  $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ . The connection  $\nabla$  induces a connection on the torsion part  $\mathcal{F}_{Y,tors} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{Y,tors} \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log E)$ . We say an extension  $\mathcal{F}_Y$  is small if  $\mathcal{F}_Y/\mathcal{F}_{Y,tors}$  is small in the sense of section 1 and if  $\mathcal{F}_{Y,tors} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{Y,tors} \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log E)$  is an isomorphism. If  $\mathcal{F}_Y$  and  $\mathcal{F}'_Y$  are small extensions of  $\mathcal{F}$ , a morphism  $(\mathcal{F}_Y, \nabla) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}'_Y, \nabla)$  extending the identity on  $\mathcal{F}$  induces a quasi-isomorphism  $DR(\mathcal{F}_Y) \rightarrow DR(\mathcal{F}'_Y)$ . An extension  $\mathcal{F}_Y$  is small if and only if  $DR(\mathcal{F}_Y)^{an} = j_{W!}^{an} DR(\mathcal{F})^{an}$ .

We define the characteristic rational function  $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}_Y, y}(T) \in \kappa(y)(T)^\times$  as follows. The  $\kappa(y)$ -vector spaces  $T_i = \text{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}_{Y, y}}(\mathcal{F}_Y, y, \kappa(y))$  for  $i = 0, 1$  are the cokernel and the kernel of the map  $\mathcal{F}_Y(-E)_y \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Y, y$  respectively. Hence the connection  $\nabla$  induces an  $\kappa(y)$ -linear endomorphisms

$$\text{res}_{i, y} \nabla : T_i \rightarrow T_i \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log E)_y \xrightarrow{id \otimes \text{res}_y} T_i.$$

We define

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{F}_Y, y}(T) = \prod_{i=0,1} \det(T - \text{res}_{i, y} \nabla : \text{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}_{Y, y}}(\mathcal{F}_Y, y, \kappa(y)))^{(-1)^i}.$$

When  $Y$  is proper, we put  $\Phi_{\mathcal{F}_Y}(T) = \prod_{y \in E} N_{y/k}(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}_Y, y}(T)) \in k(T)^\times$  and  $\Gamma(\nabla : \mathcal{F}_Y) = (\Gamma(\Phi_{\mathcal{F}_Y}(T))) \in (k \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C})^\times$  as in section 1. For an object  $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{F}, \nabla), V, \rho)$  of  $M_{k_0, F, Y}(W)$ , the determinant  $\det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(W, \mathcal{M})$  is canonically isomorphic to the triple

$$(\det R\Gamma(Y, DR(\mathcal{F}_Y)), \det R\Gamma_c(U, V), \Gamma(\nabla : \mathcal{F}_Y)^{-1} \cdot \det R\Gamma_c(\rho))$$

for a small extension  $\mathcal{F}_Y$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  be as above. Then*

- (1) *For each  $q$ , the Gauss-Manin connection  $(\mathcal{F}_Y^q, \nabla)$  is small.*
- (2) *For  $y \in E$ , the characteristic rational functions  $\Phi^q(T) = \Phi_{\mathcal{F}_Y^q, y}(T)$  satisfy*

$$\prod_{D_i \subset f^{-1}(y)} N_{k_i/\kappa(y)} \left( \prod_{\ell=0}^{m_i-1} \frac{\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_X, i}(m_i T - \ell)}{m_i^{\text{rank } \mathcal{E}}} \right)^{c_i} = \prod_q \Phi^q(T)^{(-1)^q},$$

where  $k_i$  is the constant field of  $D_i$  and  $m_i$  is the multiplicity of  $D_i$  in  $f^{-1}(y)$ .

*Proof.* (1). It is sufficient to show that  $DR(\mathcal{F}_Y^q)^{an} \simeq j_{W!}^{an} DR(\mathcal{F}^q)^{an}$ . By the long exact sequence

$$\rightarrow R^q f_* DR_X(\mathcal{E}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Y^q \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Y^q \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log E) \rightarrow$$

and

$$R^q f_* DR_X(\mathcal{E}_X)^{an} \simeq R^q f_* j_! DR_U(\mathcal{E})^{an} \simeq j_{W!} DR_W(\mathcal{F}^q)^{an},$$

we see  $DR(\mathcal{F}_Y^q)^{an}|_{E^{an}} = 0$ . Thus the assertion is proved.

- (2). By the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_Y^q \otimes \kappa(y) \rightarrow H^q(X_y, DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X)) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{F}_Y^{q+1}, \kappa(y)) \rightarrow 0,$$

the right hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(T - \text{res}_y \nabla : R\Gamma(X_y, DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X))) \\ &:= \prod_q \det(T - \text{res}_y \nabla : H^q(X_y, DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X)))^{(-1)^q}. \end{aligned}$$

We prove  $\det(T - \text{res}_y \nabla : R\Gamma(X_y, DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X)))$  is equal to the left hand side. We take a sequence of divisors  $0 = D_0 < D_1 < \cdots < D_\ell = \sum_i \ell_i D_i < \cdots < D_M = X_y$  such that  $D_{\ell+1} - D_\ell = D_{i(\ell)}$  is irreducible. The map  $[0, M) \rightarrow \Pi_{\in I_y}[0, m_i)$  defined by  $\ell \mapsto \ell_{i(\ell)} \in [0, m_{i(\ell)})$  is a bijection. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(T - \text{res}_y \nabla : R\Gamma(X_y, DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X))) \\ &= \prod_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \det(T - \text{res}_y \nabla : R\Gamma(D_{i(\ell)}, DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X(-D_\ell))|_{D_{i(\ell)}})). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Phi_{i, \mathcal{E}_X(-D_\ell)}(T) = \Phi_{i, \mathcal{E}_X}(T - \ell_i)$ , it is sufficient to show that

$$\det(T - \text{res}_y \nabla : R\Gamma(D_i, DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X(-D_\ell))|_{D_i})) = N_{k_i/\kappa(y)} \left( \frac{\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_X(-D_\ell), i}(m_i T)}{m_i^{\text{rank } \mathcal{E}}} \right)^{c_i}$$

for  $D_i \subset f^{-1}(y)$ . Changing the notation, we write  $\mathcal{E}_X$  for  $\mathcal{E}_X(-D_\ell)$ .

We show that  $\text{res}_y \nabla$  on  $R\Gamma(D_i, DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X)|_{D_i})$  is induced by  $m_i^{-1} \text{res}_i \nabla$  on  $\mathcal{E}_X|_{D_i}$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{a} B \xrightarrow{b} C \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of complexes. Let  $r : B \rightarrow A$  be a componentwise retraction and  $s : C \rightarrow B$  be the corresponding section:  $1_B = ar + sb$ . Then the canonical map  $\tilde{b} : K = \text{cone}[A \rightarrow B] \rightarrow C$  admits a homotopy inverse  $\tilde{s} = (s, rds) : C^p \rightarrow B^p \oplus A^{p+1}$ . The composite  $C \rightarrow K \rightarrow A[+1]$  is given by  $rds : C^p \rightarrow A^{p+1}$ .*

*Proof.* A homotopy connecting  $1_B - \tilde{s} \circ \tilde{b}$  is given by  $K^p \rightarrow B^p \xrightarrow{r} A^p \rightarrow K^{p-1}$ . The rest is straightforward to check.

We apply Lemma to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow f^* \Omega_Y^1(\log E) \otimes DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X)|_{D_i}[-1] \rightarrow DR_X(\mathcal{E}_X)|_{D_i} \rightarrow DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X)|_{D_i} \rightarrow 0$$

and the retraction

$$\begin{aligned} & m_i^{-1} d \log \pi \otimes id \otimes \text{res}_i : \mathcal{E}_X \otimes \Omega_X^q(\log D)|_{D_i} \\ & \rightarrow f^* \Omega_Y^1(\log E) \otimes \mathcal{E}_X \otimes \Omega_{X/Y}^{q-1}(\log D / \log E)|_{D_i} \end{aligned}$$

for a prime element  $\pi$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ . The section  $s$  is induced by the canonical map

$$\Omega_{X/Y}^q(\log D / \log E)|_{D_i} \simeq \Omega_{D_i}^q(\log D|_{D_i}) \rightarrow \Omega_X^q(\log D)|_{D_i}$$

where  $D|_{D_i}$  is the divisor  $\bigcup_{j \neq i} D_j \cap D_i$  with simple normal crossings of  $D_i$ . By an elementary computation, the map  $rds$  in Lemma 4.6 is

$$\begin{aligned} & m_i^{-1} d \log \pi \otimes \text{res}_i \nabla \otimes id : \mathcal{E}_X \otimes \Omega_{X/Y}^\bullet(\log D / \log E)|_{D_i} \\ & \rightarrow f^* \Omega_Y^1(\log E) \otimes \mathcal{E}_X \otimes \Omega_{X/Y}^\bullet(\log D / \log E)|_{D_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by Lemma 4.6,  $\text{res}_y \nabla$  on  $R\Gamma(D_i, DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X)|_{D_i})$  is induced by  $m_i^{-1} \text{res}_i \nabla$  on  $\mathcal{E}_X|_{D_i}$ .

To complete the proof of (2), it is sufficient to show

$$\det(T - \text{res}_i \nabla : R\Gamma(D_i, DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X)|_{D_i})) = N_{k_i/k} \Phi_{\mathcal{E}_X, i}(T)^{c_i}.$$

This follows from Lemma below applied for  $X = D_i, \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_X|_{D_i}, f = \text{res}_i \nabla$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \Omega_{X/Y}^1(\log D / \log E)|_{D_i} \simeq \Omega_{D_i}^1(\log D|_{D_i})$ .

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $X$  be a proper smooth scheme of dimension  $n$  over a field  $k$  and let  $k'$  be the constant field of  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a torsion-free coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module and  $f$  be an endomorphism of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then*

- (1) *The eigenpolynomial  $\Phi(T) = \det(T - f : \mathcal{E}(\xi)) \in \kappa(\xi)[T]$  of  $f$  at the generic point  $\xi$  has coefficient in  $k'$ .*

*Further let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module of rank  $n$  and  $c$  be the degree  $\deg : CH^n(X) \rightarrow CH^0(k') = \mathbb{Z}$  of the top chern class  $c_n(\mathcal{F})$ . Then*

- (2) *We have*

$$\prod_{q=0}^n \det(T - f \otimes \text{id} : R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{E} \otimes \wedge^q \mathcal{F}))^{(-1)^q} = N_{k'/k} \Phi(T)^c$$

*in  $k(T)^\times$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume  $k' = k$ . To show (1), it is enough to prove the minimal polynomial  $\Phi_0(T) \in \kappa(\xi)[T]$  of  $f$  at  $\xi$  divides a non-zero polynomial in  $k[T]$ . The minimal polynomial of  $f \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{E})$  over  $k$  is in  $k[T]$  and divisible by  $\Phi_0(T)$ . We show (2). By taking a direct sum decomposition, we may assume  $\Phi(T)$  is a power of an irreducible polynomial  $\Phi_1(T) \in k[T]$ . Then the both sides are powers of  $\Phi_1(t)$  and it is enough to compare the degrees. By Riemann-Roch

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q \chi(X, \mathcal{E} \otimes \wedge^q \mathcal{F}) &= \left( (ch(\mathcal{E}) \cdot \sum_q (-1)^q ch(\wedge^q \mathcal{F})) \cdot td \Omega_X^{1*} \right)_{\dim 0} \\ &= \text{rank } \mathcal{E} \cdot c_n(\mathcal{F}), \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.7 is proved.

Thus Lemma 4.5 is proved.

**Corollary.** *For  $D_i \in f^{-1}(y)$ , let  $\nabla_i = \text{Tr } \text{res}_i \nabla \in k_i$  and  $c_i$  be the Euler number of  $D_i^* \otimes_{k_i} \bar{k}_i$ . We put  $m_i^{\nabla_i} = \exp(\nabla_i \log m_i) \in (k_i \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C})^\times$  where  $\log m_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\prod_{D_i \subset f^{-1}(y)} N_{k_i/\kappa(y)} \left( \frac{\Gamma_{D_i}(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X)}{\Gamma_{D_i}(\nabla : \mathcal{O}_X(-D))^r} \right)^{c_i} \\ &= \prod_q \left( \frac{\Gamma_y(\nabla : R^q f_* DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X))}{\Gamma_y(\nabla : R^q f_* DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{O}_X(-D)))^r} \right)^{(-1)^q} \times \prod_{D_i \subset f^{-1}(y)} N_{k_i/\kappa(y)} m_i^{\nabla_i c_i r} \end{aligned}$$

in  $(\kappa(y) \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C})^\times$ .

*Proof.* We may assume  $k = \mathbb{C}$ . By the formula

$$\frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(1)} = \prod_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma((s+\ell)/m)}{\Gamma((1+\ell)/m)} \cdot m^s,$$

we have

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{\Phi(T)}{(T-1)^r}\right) = \Gamma\left(\prod_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \frac{\Phi(mT-\ell)}{(mT-(1+\ell))^r}\right) \cdot m^{\Sigma(\Phi(T))}$$

for a polynomial  $\Phi(T)$  of degree  $r$ . For  $\Phi(T) = \sum_{i=0}^r a_i T^i = a_r \prod_{i=1}^r (T - \alpha_i)$ , we put  $\Sigma(\Phi(T)) = -a_{r-1}/a_r = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i$ .

Corollary follows immediately from the definitions, the formula and Lemma 4.5 (2).

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a finite flat morphism of proper smooth curves over  $k$ . Let  $W$  be an open subscheme of  $Y$  such that on the inverse image  $U = f^*(W)$  the restriction  $f|_U : U \rightarrow W$  is etale. We put  $D = X - U$  and  $E = Y - W$ . We have a canonical isomorphism  $f^* \Omega_Y^1(\log E) \simeq \Omega_X^1(\log D)$ . For an extension  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  to  $X$  of an integrable connection  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  on  $U$  of rank  $r$ , the canonical isomorphism  $f_* DR_X(\mathcal{E}_X) \simeq DR_Y(f_* \mathcal{E}_X)$  induces an isomorphisms

$$\det R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)) \simeq \det R\Gamma(Y, DR(f_* \mathcal{E}_X)).$$

The canonical isomorphisms

$$N_{X/Y}(\det \mathcal{E}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-D)^{\otimes -r}) \simeq \det f_* \mathcal{E}_X \otimes \det f_* \mathcal{O}_X(-D)^{\otimes -r}$$

and  $f^* \Omega_Y^1(\log E) \simeq \Omega_X^1(\log D)$  induce an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} (\det \mathcal{E}_X(-rD), \Omega_X^1(\log D)) &\simeq (N_{X/Y} \det \mathcal{E}_X(-rD), \Omega_Y^1(\log E)) \\ &\simeq (\det f_* \mathcal{E}_X \otimes \det f_* \mathcal{O}_X(-D)^{\otimes -r}, \Omega_Y^1(\log E)). \end{aligned}$$

They are compatible with the isomorphisms of Deligne-Riemann-Roch and the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \det \Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)) \otimes & & \det \Gamma(Y, DR(f_* \mathcal{E}_X)) \otimes \\ \det \Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{O}_X(-D)))^{\otimes -r} & \longrightarrow & \det \Gamma(Y, DR(f_* \mathcal{O}_X(-D)))^{\otimes -r} \\ \downarrow DRR & & \downarrow DRR \\ (\det \mathcal{E}_X(-rD), \Omega_X^1(\log D)) & \longrightarrow & (\det f_* \mathcal{E}_X \otimes \det f_* \mathcal{O}_X(-D)^{\otimes -r}, \Omega_Y^1(\log E)). \end{array}$$

Let  $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), V, \rho)$  be an object of  $M_{k_0, F, X}(U)$  and  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  be an extension of  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  as above. Let  $m_{\mathcal{E}_X}^\nabla = (\exp(\sum_{x \in D} \sigma(\text{Tr}_{x/k}(\text{Tr}(\text{res}_x \nabla))) \times \log m_x))_\sigma \in (k \otimes_{k_i} \mathbb{C})^\times$  where  $m_x$  is the ramification index at  $x$  and  $\log m_x \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $[m_{\mathcal{E}_X}^\nabla]$  be the corresponding object of  $P_{k_0, F}(k)$ . For extensions  $\mathcal{E}_X \subset \mathcal{E}'_X$ , the multiplication by the inverse of  $\prod_{x \in D} m_x^{\dim_k(\mathcal{E}'_{X, x}/\mathcal{E}_{X, x})}$  on  $k$  induces an isomorphism  $[m_{\mathcal{E}_X}^\nabla] \simeq [m_{\mathcal{E}'_X}^\nabla]$ . Define an object  $[m_{\mathcal{M}}^\nabla]$  of  $P_{k_0, F}(k)$  to be the projective limit of  $[m_{\mathcal{E}_X}^\nabla]$  for small extensions  $\mathcal{E}_X$ .

**Claim.** *The canonical isomorphisms above induce isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} & \det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M}) \otimes \det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, 1)^{\otimes -r} \\ & \simeq \det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(W, f_*\mathcal{M}) \otimes \det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(W, f_*1)^{\otimes -r} \otimes [m_{\mathcal{M}}^\nabla], \\ & (\det \mathcal{M}, c_{X \bmod D}) \simeq (\det f_*\mathcal{M} \otimes \det f_*1^{\otimes -r}, c_{Y \bmod E}) \otimes [m_{\mathcal{M}}^\nabla]. \end{aligned}$$

We show Claim implies Theorem 2. Take a finite flat morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y = \mathbb{P}_k^1$ . Shrinking  $U$  if necessary, we assume that  $f|_U$  is etale and  $U = f^*f(U)$ . By Theorem 2 for  $Y = \mathbb{P}_k^1$  already proved, the isomorphism of Deligne-Riemann-Roch defines an isomorphism on the right hand sides of the isomorphisms in Claim. Therefore by the commutativity above, the isomorphism of Deligne-Riemann-Roch also defines an isomorphism on the left hand sides of the isomorphisms. Thus Theorem 2 is proved.

*Proof of Claim.* We show the first isomorphism. By Lemma 4.5 (1), the isomorphism above for a small extension  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  induces an isomorphism

$$\det R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \det R\Gamma_c(W, f_*\mathcal{M}).$$

Therefore it is sufficient to show an equality

$$\Gamma_X(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X) \Gamma_X(\nabla : \mathcal{O}_X(-D))^{-r} = \Gamma_Y(\nabla : f_*\mathcal{E}_X) \Gamma_Y(\nabla : f_*\mathcal{O}_X(-D))^{-r} \times m_{\mathcal{E}_X}^\nabla$$

in  $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^{\text{Hom}_{k_0}(k, \mathbb{C})}$ . It is an immediate consequence of Corollary of Lemma 4.5.

We show the second isomorphism. By Lemma 2.1 applied to  $f^*\Omega_Y^1(\log E) \simeq \Omega_X^1(\log D)$  and  $f^*\text{res}_y = m_x^{-1}\text{res}_x$  for  $x \in D$  and  $y = f(x) \in E$ , we have

$$c_{X \bmod D} = f^*c_{Y \bmod E} - \sum_{x \in D} m_x[x].$$

Hence there is a canonical isomorphism

$$(\det \mathcal{M}, c_{X \bmod D}) \simeq (N_{X/Y} \det \mathcal{M}, c_{Y \bmod E}) \otimes \bigotimes_{x \in D} (\det \mathcal{M}, m_x)_x^{\otimes -1}.$$

By definition, there is a natural isomorphism  $\bigotimes_{x \in D} (\det \mathcal{M}, m_x)_x^{\otimes -1} \simeq [m_{\mathcal{M}}^\nabla]$ . Further by the canonical isomorphism  $N_{X/Y} \det \mathcal{M} \simeq \det f_*\mathcal{M} \otimes \det f_*1^{\otimes -r}$ , the right hand sides of the above isomorphism and of the Claim are canonically isomorphic. It is easy to check that the de Rham component of the canonical isomorphism defined here is the same as that given there. Thus Claim is proved.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We prove it by induction on  $\dim X$ . It is already proved for  $\dim X \leq 1$ . First we consider the case

- ★ There is a proper flat morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  to a proper smooth curve satisfying the following condition. For  $W = f(U)$ , the restriction  $f_W : f^{-1}W \rightarrow W$  is smooth and  $D \cap f^{-1}(W)$  has relative normal crossings.

This is equivalent to the condition  $\star$  before. We put  $E = Y - W$ . Let  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  be an extension of an integrable connection  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  on  $U$ . Then we have a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(Y, DR_Y R^q f_* DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X, DR_X(\mathcal{E}_X)).$$

In fact, the exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X) \otimes f^* \Omega_Y^1(\log E)[-1] \rightarrow DR_X(\mathcal{E}_X) \rightarrow DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X) \rightarrow 0$$

induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$DR_X(\mathcal{E}_X) \rightarrow [DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X) \rightarrow DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X) \otimes f^* \Omega_Y^1(\log E)].$$

Taking the direct image, we get

$$Rf_* DR_X(\mathcal{E}_X) \rightarrow [Rf_* DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X) \rightarrow Rf_* DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X) \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log E)].$$

The canonical filtration on  $Rf_* DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X)$  induces an increasing filtration  $\tau$  on  $Rf_* DR_X(\mathcal{E}_X)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} Gr_q^\tau Rf_* DR_X(\mathcal{E}_X) &\simeq [R^q f_* DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X) \rightarrow R^q f_* DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X) \otimes \Omega_Y^1(\log E)] \\ &= DR_Y R^q f_* DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X)[-q]. \end{aligned}$$

From this, we obtain the spectral sequence above by [D2] (1.4.8) and taking its decalage. For a small extension  $\mathcal{E}_X$ , by Lemma (1), the spectral sequence gives an isomorphism

$$\det R\Gamma_c(U, DR(\mathcal{E})) \simeq \det R\Gamma_c(W, Rf_! DR_{U/W}(\mathcal{E})).$$

Here we put  $Rf_! DR_{U/W}(\mathcal{E}) = Rf_* DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X)|_W$  for a small extension  $\mathcal{E}_X$ .

Let  $I_1 \subset I$  be the index set of the components  $f(D_i) \subset E$  and  $I_2$  be the complement  $I - I_1$ . Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 2, we put  $m_{\mathcal{E}_X}^\nabla = \prod_{i \in I_1} (c_i \cdot \exp(\sigma(\text{Tr}_{k_i/k}(\text{Tr}(\text{res}_i \nabla))) \cdot \log m_i))_\sigma \in (k \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C})^\times$  where  $m_i$  is the multiplicity of  $D_i$  in the fiber  $f^* f(D_i)$  and  $\log m_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . We also put  $\Gamma_2(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X) = \prod_{i \in I_2} N_{k_i/k} \Gamma(\text{res}_i \nabla : \mathcal{E}_X)^{c_i} \in (k \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C})^\times$ . The objects  $[m_{\mathcal{M}}^\nabla] = \text{proj lim}[m_{\mathcal{E}_X}^\nabla]$  and  $[\Gamma_2(\nabla : \mathcal{M})] = \text{proj lim}[\Gamma_2(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X)]$  are defined as the limit for small extensions  $\mathcal{E}_X$  as before.

**Claim.** *The canonical isomorphisms above induce isomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} &\det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M}) \otimes \det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, 1)^{\otimes -r} \\ &\simeq \det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(W, Rf_! \mathcal{M}) \otimes \det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(W, Rf_! 1)^{\otimes -r} \otimes [m_{\mathcal{M}}^\nabla] \otimes [\Gamma_2(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X)]. \end{aligned}$$

*There is an isomorphism*

$$(\det \mathcal{M}, c_{X \bmod D}) \simeq (\det Rf_! \mathcal{M} \otimes \det Rf_! 1^{\otimes -r}, c_{Y \bmod E}) \otimes [m_{\mathcal{M}}^\nabla] \otimes [\Gamma_2(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X)].$$

By Theorem 2, the right hand sides of isomorphisms in Claim are isomorphic to each other. Hence Claim implies Theorem 1 in this case.

*Proof of Claim.* We show the first isomorphism. The isomorphism above for a small extension  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  induces an isomorphism  $\det R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \det R\Gamma_c(W, f_*\mathcal{M})$ . Therefore it is sufficient to show an equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_X(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X) \Gamma_X(\nabla : \mathcal{O}_X(-D))^{-r} \\ &= \Gamma_Y(\nabla : Rf_*DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{E}_X)) \Gamma_Y(\nabla : Rf_*DR_{X/Y}(\mathcal{O}_X(-D)))^{-r} \times m_{\mathcal{E}_X}^{\nabla} \times \Gamma_2(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X) \end{aligned}$$

in  $(k \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C})^\times$ . It is an immediate consequence of Corollary of Lemma 4.5.

We show the second isomorphism. By Lemma 2.1 for partial trivializations  $\text{res}$  and  $m_i^{-1}\text{res}_i$  of  $\Omega_X^1(\log D)$  and by Lemma 2.2 applied to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow f^*\Omega_Y^1(\log E) \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log D) \rightarrow \Omega_{X/Y}^1(\log D/\log E) \rightarrow 0,$$

we have

$$c_{X \bmod D} = f^*c_{Y \bmod E} \cup c_{X/Y \bmod D/E} - \sum_{i \in I_1} m_i i_{i*} c_{D_i}.$$

Since  $(\det \mathcal{M}, \sum_{i \in I_1} m_i i_{i*} c_{D_i}) = [m_{\mathcal{M}}^{\nabla}]$  by the definition of the tame symbol, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$(\det \mathcal{M}, c_{X \bmod D}) \simeq (\det \mathcal{M}, f^*c_{Y \bmod E} \cup c_{X/Y \bmod D/E}) \otimes [m_{\mathcal{M}}^{\nabla}].$$

Therefore it is sufficient to define an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} & (\det Rf_!\mathcal{M} \otimes \det Rf_!\mathbf{1}^{\otimes -r}, c_{Y \bmod E}) \otimes [\Gamma_2(\nabla : \mathcal{M})] \\ & \simeq (\det \mathcal{M}, f^*c_{Y \bmod E} \cup c_{X/Y \bmod D/E}). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 4.8.** *There is a dense open subset  $W' \subset W$  such that for  $y \in W'$  the restriction  $\mathcal{E}_X|_{X_y} = \mathcal{E}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{X_y}$  is also reflexive.*

We finish the proof of Claim and Theorem 1 under the assumption  $\star$  admitting Lemma 4.8. By Lemma 4.8 and Corollary of Proposition 1 in section 2, we may write  $c_{Y \bmod E} = \sum_{y \in W'} n_y [y]$ . Then by proper base change and by the definition of  $[\Gamma_2(\nabla : \mathcal{M})]$ , the left hand side is

$$\bigotimes_{y \in W'} N_{y/k} (\det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U_y, \mathcal{M}|_{U_y}) \otimes \det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U_y, 1)^{\otimes -r})^{n_y}.$$

In fact, by  $c_i = \sum_y n_y \deg y \cdot c'_i$  where  $c'_i$  is the Euler number of a geometric fiber  $D_i^*|_W \rightarrow W$  for  $i \in I_2$ , we have  $\Gamma_2(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X) = \prod_{y \in W'} N_{y/k} \Gamma(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X|_{X_y})^{n_y}$  for a small extension  $\mathcal{E}_X$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\det \mathcal{M}, f^*c_{Y \bmod E} \cup c_{X/Y \bmod D/E}) \\ & \simeq \bigotimes_{y \in W'} N_{y/k} (\det \mathcal{M}|_{U_y}, c_{X_y \bmod D_y})^{n_y}. \end{aligned}$$

In fact for the Gysin map  $i_{y*} : CH^{n-1}(X_y \bmod D_y) \rightarrow CH^n(X \bmod D)$ , we have  $f^*c_{Y \bmod E} \cup c_{X/Y \bmod D/E} = \sum_{y \in W'} n_y i_{y*}(c_{X_y \bmod D_y})$  and  $(\det \mathcal{M}, i_{y*}c) = (\det \mathcal{M}|_{U_y}, c)$ .

Therefore by the assumption of the induction applied for  $X_y$ , Theorem 1 is proved under  $\star$  and Lemma 4.8.

*Proof of Lemma 4.8.* Let  $*$  denote the dual. Let  $W'$  be a dense open subset such that for any closed point  $y \in W'$  the fiber  $X_y$  does not contain any component of the associated cycles  $\text{Ass}(\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(\mathcal{E}_X, \mathcal{O}_X))$  and  $\text{Ass}(\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(\mathcal{E}_X^*, \mathcal{O}_X))$ . We show for  $y \in W'$  the canonical morphisms  $\mathcal{E}_X^*|_{X_y} \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}_X|_{X_y})^*$  and  $\mathcal{E}_X^{**}|_{X_y} \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}_X^*|_{X_y})^*$  are isomorphisms. This proves Lemma since then the canonical map  $\mathcal{E}_X|_{X_y} \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}_X|_{X_y})^{**}$  is also an isomorphism and  $\mathcal{E}_X|_{X_y}$  is reflexive. We show the assertion for  $\mathcal{E}_X$  and the same argument works for  $\mathcal{E}_X^*$ . For a closed point  $y \in W'$ , by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_X^*|_{X_y} \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}_X|_{X_y})^* \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(\mathcal{E}_X, \mathcal{O}(-X_y)),$$

and by the assumption that  $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_X}^1(\mathcal{E}_X, \mathcal{O}(-X_y))$  has no submodule supported on the fiber  $X_y$ , the canonical morphism  $\mathcal{E}_X^*|_{X_y} \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}_X|_{X_y})^*$  is an isomorphism. Thus Lemma is proved.

We prove the general case by taking a Lefschetz pencil. We follow Step 2 and Step 3 in the proof of [S] Theorem 1. By Lemma 3 loc.cit, there exists a Lefschetz pencil  $(H_y)_{y \in \mathbb{P}_k^1}$  of  $X$  satisfying the following properties (1)-(4).

- (1) The axis of the pencil meets transversally  $D_J = \bigcap_{i \in J} D_i$  for all  $J \subset I$ .
- (2) There exists a dense open subscheme  $W \subset Y = \mathbb{P}_k^1$  such that for all  $y \in W$ , the hyperplane  $H_y$  meets transversally  $D_J$  for all  $J \subset I$ .
- (3) For all  $y \in Y$  and all  $J \subset I$ , the hyperplane  $H_y$  meets transversally  $D_J$  except at isolated ordinary quadratic singularities.

For  $J \subset I$ , let  $S_J = \{x \in D_J; x \text{ is a singular point of } H_y \subset D_J \text{ for some } y \in Y\}$ . Then

- (4)  $S_J$  and  $S_{J'}$  are disjoint for all  $J \neq J', \subset I$ .

We define a blowing-up  $X_3$  of  $X$ , a morphism  $f_3 : X_3 \rightarrow Y = \mathbb{P}_k^1$  and a divisor  $D_3$  with simple normal crossings satisfying the condition  $\star$  above as follows. Let  $X_1$  be the blowing-up of  $X$  with the center the intersection  $Z$  of  $X$  with the axis of the pencil and  $f_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y = \mathbb{P}_k^1$  be the map defined by the pencil. Let  $S \subset X_1$  be the disjoint union  $S = \coprod_J S_J$ . Let  $X_2$  be the blowing up of  $X_1$  at  $S$ . For  $x \in S_J$  and  $J \neq \emptyset$ , let  $B_x$  be the intersection of the exceptional divisor  $E_x$  and the proper transform of  $D_J$  in  $X_2$ . Let  $X_3$  be the blowing-up of  $X_2$  at all  $B_x$  for  $x \in S - S_\emptyset$ . We put  $E = f_1(S)$ ,  $W = Y - f_1(S)$  and let  $D_3$  be the divisor  $\varphi_3^* D \cup f_3^* E$  where  $f_3 : X_3 \rightarrow Y$  and  $\varphi_3 : X_3 \rightarrow X$ . Then it is easy to check that  $D_3$  has simple normal crossings and  $f_3 : X_3 \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the condition  $\star$ .

We have proved Theorem for  $(X_3, D_3)$  above. Therefore together with Lemma, the following Lemma completes the proof of Theorem 1.

**Lemma 4.9.** *Let  $X, D$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  be as in the statement of Theorem 1. Assume Theorem 1 holds for lower dimensions. Let  $Z$  be a regular closed subscheme of  $X$  satisfying one of the following conditions (1)-(3) and let  $\varphi : X' \rightarrow X$  be the blowing-up at  $Z$ . Then Theorem 1 for  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $U$  is equivalent to that for  $\mathcal{M}' = \varphi^* \mathcal{M}$  on  $U' = \varphi^*(U)$ .*

- (1)  $Z$  is a regular closed subscheme of codimension 2 meeting transversally  $D_J$  for all  $J \subset I$ .
- (2)  $Z = \{x\}$  for a closed point  $x$  of  $X$ .
- (3)  $Z = D_J$  for some  $J \subset I$



*Proof.* Let  $D'$  be the divisor  $\varphi^*D = X' - U'$  with simple normal crossing.

(1). Let  $D_Z = D \cap Z$  and  $Z^* = Z - D_Z$ . It is easy to see that there is an isomorphism

$$\det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U', \varphi^*\mathcal{M}) \simeq \det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M}) \otimes \det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(Z^*, \mathcal{M}|_{Z^*}(-1)).$$

We show  $(\det \varphi^*\mathcal{M}, c_{X' \bmod D'})_{X'} \simeq (\det \mathcal{M}, c_{X \bmod D})_X \otimes (\det \mathcal{M}|_{Z^*}, c_{Z \bmod Z^*})_Z$ . We apply Corollary 2 of Lemma 2.3, to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \varphi^*\Omega_X^1(\log D) \rightarrow \Omega_{X'}^1(\log D') \rightarrow \Omega_{E/Z}^1 \rightarrow 0.$$

By the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow N_{E/X}(= \mathcal{O}_E(1)) \rightarrow \text{Image}(\varphi^*\Omega_X^1(\log D)|_E \rightarrow \Omega_{X'}^1(\log D')|_E) \\ \rightarrow \varphi^*\Omega_Z^1(\log D_Z) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain  $c_{X' \bmod D'} = \varphi^*c_{X \bmod D} + i_{E*}(\varphi^*c_{Z \bmod D_Z} \cup c_1(\mathcal{O}_E(1)))$  in  $CH^n(X' \bmod D')$ . By writing an element of the relative Chow group by 0-cycles (Corollary of Proposition 1 in section 2), we have isomorphisms  $(\det \varphi^*\mathcal{M}, \varphi^*c)_{X'} = (\det \mathcal{M}, c)_X$  for  $c \in CH^n(X \bmod D)$ ,  $(\det \varphi^*\mathcal{M}, i_{E*}c) = (\det \mathcal{M}|_{E \cap U'}, c)$  for  $c \in CH^{n-1}(E \bmod D' \cap E)$  and  $(\det \varphi^*\mathcal{M}, i_{E*}(\varphi^*c \cup c_1(\mathcal{O}_E(1)))) = (\det \mathcal{M}|_{Z^*}, c)$  for  $c \in CH^{n-2}(Z \bmod D_Z)$ . By the isomorphisms and by the equality above, we obtain the isomorphism. Under Theorem 1 for  $Z$ , (1) is proved.

(2) for  $x \in U$ . It is easy to see that there is an isomorphism

$$\det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U', \varphi^*\mathcal{M}) \simeq \det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M}) \otimes \bigotimes_{q=1}^{n-1} N_{x/k}(\det(\mathcal{M}(-q))(x)).$$

We show  $(\det \varphi^*\mathcal{M}, c_{X' \bmod D'})_{X'} \simeq (\det \mathcal{M}, c_{X \bmod D})_X \otimes N_{x/k} \det \mathcal{M}(x)^{\otimes(n-1)}$ . By Corollary 1 of Lemma 2.3 applied to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \varphi^*\Omega_X^1(\log D) \rightarrow \Omega_{X'}^1(\log D') \rightarrow \Omega_E^1 \rightarrow 0$$

and by an elementary computation, we obtain  $c_{X' \bmod D'} = \varphi^*c_{X \bmod D} + i_{E*}((n-1)[x'])$  in  $CH^n(X' \bmod D')$  for a  $\kappa(x)$ -rational point  $x' \in E$ . Similarly as in (1), we obtain an isomorphism.

(2) for  $x \in D$  and (3). In these cases,  $\det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U', \varphi^*\mathcal{M}) = \det^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M})$ . In fact except for the case (2) where  $\text{Card } I_x = 1$ , the Euler numbers  $c_i$  of  $D_i^*$  do not change and that for the exceptional divisor  $E^*$  is 0. In the exceptional case, the Euler number of  $c_{i_0}$  for  $x \in D_{i_0}$  decreases by 1, that for  $E^*$  is 1 and the characteristic polynomials  $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_X}$  for  $D_{i_0}$  and for  $E$  are the same. We show  $(\det \varphi^*\mathcal{M}, c_{X' \bmod D'})_{X'} \simeq (\det \mathcal{M}, c_{X \bmod D})_X$ . Let  $D_1$  be a regular family of subschemes  $D \cup \{Z\}$ ,  $\rho_1$  be the partial trivialization of  $\Omega_X^1(\log D)$  defined by  $\text{res}_i$  for  $D_i$  and  $\sum_{D_i \supset Z} \text{res}_i$  for  $Z$  and let  $\rho'_1$  be the partial trivialization of  $\varphi^*\Omega_X^1(\log D)$  on  $D'$  defined by pulling-back  $\rho_1$ . We consider the canonical maps

$$H^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) \leftarrow H^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D_1)) \rightarrow H^n(X', \mathcal{K}_n(X' \bmod D')).$$

The first one is an isomorphism since  $H^{n-1}(Z, \mathcal{K}_n(Z)) = 0$  and  $H^n(Z, \mathcal{K}_n(Z)) = 0$  by  $\dim Z \leq n-2$ . The images of the relative top chern class  $c_n(\Omega_X^1(\log D), \rho_1)$  are

$c_n(\Omega_X^1(\log D), \text{res})$  and  $c_n(\varphi^* \Omega_X^1(\log D), \varphi^* \rho_1)$  respectively. Further by Lemma 2.4 applied to  $\varphi^* \Omega_X^1(\log D) \rightarrow \Omega_{X'}^1(\log D)$ , we have  $c_n(\varphi^* \Omega_X^1(\log D), \varphi^* \rho_1) = c_n(\Omega_{X'}^1(\log D), \text{res})$ . Thus we obtain an isomorphism  $(\det \varphi^* \mathcal{M}, c_{X' \bmod D'})_{X'} \simeq (\det \mathcal{M}, c_{X \bmod D})_X$  by Corollary of Proposition 1 and Lemma 4.9 is proved.

Now proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

We have variants of main results in the category defined below. Let  $k_0$  be a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $k$  and  $F$  be finite extensions of  $k_0$ . Let  $U$  be a smooth scheme over  $k$ . For an integrable connection  $\nabla : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_U^1$ , we call a multiplication by  $F$  on  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  a  $k_0$ -homomorphism  $F \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^\nabla(\mathcal{E}) = \text{Ker}(\nabla \text{ on } \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{E}))$ . Let  $M'_{k_0, F}(U)$  be the category of triples

- (1) An integrable connection  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  on  $U$  regular along boundary with a multiplication by  $F$ .
- (2) A local system  $V$  of  $F$ -vector spaces on  $U^{an}$ .
- (3) A morphism  $\rho : V \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{an}$  on  $U^{an}$  inducing an isomorphism  $V \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{Ker} \nabla^{an}$  of local systems of  $F \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C}$ -modules.

For this category, we have analogues of Theorems 1 and 2.

We define briefly the both sides of the isomorphisms there. Note that an integrable connection  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  with a multiplication by  $F$  is naturally identified with an integrable connection denoted  $(\mathcal{E}^{(F)}, \nabla^{(F)})$  on  $U \otimes_{k_0} F$ . Let  $X$  be a proper smooth scheme over  $k$  including  $U$  as the complement of a divisor  $D$  with simple normal crossings. For an integrable connection  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  on  $U$  with a multiplication by  $F$ , it is regular along  $D$  if and only if  $(\mathcal{E}^{(F)}, \nabla^{(F)})$  is regular along  $D \otimes_{k_0} F$ . Hence if it is regular, there exists an extension  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  to  $X$  with an extended multiplication  $F \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^\nabla(\mathcal{E}_X)$ . The rank of an object  $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), V, \rho)$  of  $M'_{k_0, F}(U)$  is the rank of  $\mathcal{E}^{(F)}$  as an  $\mathcal{O}_{U \otimes F}$ -module which is the same as the rank of  $V$  as a local system of  $F$ -vector spaces. The determinant  $\det_F(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  over  $F$  of an integrable connection  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  with a multiplication by  $F$  is defined as that corresponding to  $\det_{\mathcal{O}_{U \otimes F}} \mathcal{E}^{(F)}$ . The class group  $MPic'_{k_0, F}(U)$  of the category of rank 1 object  $P'_{k_0, F}(U)$  is defined similarly as in Section 1. For a finite extension  $k$  of  $k_0$ , there is a canonical isomorphism  $MPic'_{k_0, F}(k) = (k \otimes_{k_0} F)^\times \backslash (k \otimes_{k_0} F \otimes_{k_0} \mathbb{C})^\times / (F^\times)^{\text{Hom}_{k_0}(k, \mathbb{C})}$ .

For an object  $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), V, \rho)$  of  $M'_{k_0, F}(U)$ , the determinant of cohomology  $\det_F R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M}) \in P'_{k_0, F}(k)$  is defined similarly as in Section 1. It is the alternating tensor product of the determinant over  $F$  of the compact support cohomology

$$H_c^q(U, \mathcal{M}) = (H^q(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)), H^q(U^{an}, V), H_c^q(\rho))$$

$\in M'_{k_0, F}(k)$  where  $\mathcal{E}_X$  is a small extension of  $\mathcal{E}$  with a multiplication by  $F$ . We also define a variant  $\det_F^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M})$  as an object of  $P'_{k_0, F}(k)$ . Take a small extension  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  with an extended multiplication by  $F$ . We define  $\Gamma_F(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X) \in (k \otimes F \otimes \mathbb{C})^\times$  as follows. By  $k \otimes F = \prod_j K_j$  for fields  $K_j$ , the fiber product  $X \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F$  is the disjoint union  $\coprod X_j$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}_{X_j}$  be the restriction on  $X_j$  of the corresponding connection  $\mathcal{E}_X^{(F)}$ . Then  $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{X_j}}(T) \in K_j(T)^\times$  and  $\Gamma(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_{X_j}) = \Gamma(\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{X_j}}(T)) \in (K_j \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C})^\times$  are defined in Section 1. We put  $\Gamma_F(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X) = (\Gamma(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_{X_j}))_j \in \prod_j (K_j \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C})^\times = (k \otimes F \otimes \mathbb{C})^\times$ . Now similarly as in Section 1, we define  $\det_F^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M})$  to be the inverse limit of the triples

$$(\det_F R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X)), \det_F R\Gamma_c(U^{an}, V), \Gamma_F(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X)^{-1} \det R\Gamma_c(\rho))$$

for small extensions  $\mathcal{E}_X$ .

We also define a pairing

$$P'_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times CH^n(X \bmod D) \rightarrow P'_{k_0, F}(k).$$

As is shown in Section 3, the essential point is the definition of the tame symbol. We consider locally and do not assume  $X$  is proper. Let  $T = D_J$  and define a pairing

$$P'_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times A_J \rightarrow P'_{k_0, F, T}(T^*).$$

The integrable connection  $((\mathcal{E}, f), (\nabla, f))$  is defined as that corresponding to the integrable connection  $((\mathcal{E}^{(F)}, f), (\nabla^{(F)}, f))$  on  $T^* \otimes_{k_0} F$ . We define the local system  $(V, f)$  on  $T^{*an}$  of  $F$ -vector spaces. We have a local system  $(V, f)^\sim = (-1)^{-\text{ord } f \cdot \nabla} f^\nabla \rho(V)^{\otimes \text{ord } f}$  inside a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_U^{an} \otimes_{k_0} F$ -module  $\mathcal{E}^{an \otimes \text{ord } f}$  on  $U^{an}$  by fixing an extension  $\mathcal{E}_X$ . It is unramified along  $D_i$  for  $i \in J$  and  $(V, f)$  is defined as the restriction on  $T^{*an}$  of the extension of  $(V, f)^\sim$ . The comparison  $(\rho, f) : (V, f) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\nabla^{an} \text{ on } (\mathcal{E}, f)^{an})$  is the restriction of the inclusion  $(V, f)^\sim \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_X^{an \otimes \text{ord } f}$ . Using the tame symbol defined this way, we obtain a pairing  $P'_{k_0, F, X}(U) \times CH^n(X \bmod D) \rightarrow P'_{k_0, F}(k)$  as in Section 3.

By the same argument as above, we have

**Theorem 1'.** *Assume  $X$  is projective. Then for an object  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $M'_{k_0, F}(U)$ , there exists an isomorphism*

$$\det_F^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M}) \otimes \det_F^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, 1)^{\otimes -\text{rank } \mathcal{M}} \simeq (\det_F \mathcal{M}, c_{X \bmod D})$$

in  $P'_{k_0, F}(k)$ .

**Theorem 2'.** *Assume further that  $\dim X = 1$ . Then the isomorphism of Deligne-Riemann-Roch induces an isomorphism*

$$\det_F^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M}) \otimes \det_F^\Gamma R\Gamma_c(U, 1)^{\otimes -\text{rank } \mathcal{M}} \simeq (\det_F \mathcal{M}, c_{X \bmod D}).$$

## 5. $\ell$ -adic sheaves. Motives.

In this section, first we define a pairing

$$CH^n(X \bmod D) \times \pi_1(k)^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \pi_1(U)^{\text{ab, tame}}$$

compatible with the pairings

$$CH^n(X) \times \pi_1(k)^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \pi_1(X)^{\text{ab}}$$

defined in [S2] Proposition 1. Let  $k$  be a field,  $X$  be a proper regular scheme over  $k$  and  $U$  be an open subscheme whose complement  $D$  is a divisor with simple normal crossings. As in [S2], let  $\pi_1(U)^{\text{ab, tame}}$  be the quotient of  $\pi_1(U)^{\text{ab}}$  classifying the abelian coverings of  $U$  tamely ramified along  $D$ . It is the Pontrjagin dual of  $H^1(U, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^{\text{tame}} = H^1(U, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}[1/p]) \oplus H^1(X, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$  for  $p = \text{char } k$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $X$  and  $U$  over a field  $k$  as above. Then there is a pairing*

$$CH^n(X \bmod D) \times \pi_1(k)^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \pi_1(U)^{\text{ab, tame}}$$

*characterized by the following property. For a closed point  $x \in U$ , the pairing with the class  $[x]$  is given by the composition*

$$\pi_1(k)^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{[\kappa(x):k]_i \cdot \text{tr}_{x/k}} \pi_1(x)^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{i_{x*}} \pi_1(U)^{\text{ab, tame}}.$$

*of the inseparable degree times the transfer  $\text{tr}_{x/k}$  and the map induced by the inclusion  $i_x$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary of Proposition 1, it is unique. By taking the dual it is sufficient to define a pairing

$$H^1(U, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^{\text{tame}} \times CH^n(X \bmod D) \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

such that, for a closed point  $x \in X_0$ , the pairing with the class  $[x]$  is the composition  $H^1(U, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^{\text{tame}} \xrightarrow{i_x^*} H^1(x, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{[\kappa(x):k]_i \times \text{Tr}_{x/k}} H^1(k, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ . For the  $p = \text{char } k$ -part, this is Proposition 1 of [S2]. There  $X$  is assumed to be smooth but it is enough to assume only  $X$  is regular. Hence it is enough to define a pairing

$$H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \times CH^n(X \bmod D) \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/m)$$

for an integer  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  invertible in  $k$ . Let  $\kappa : \Gamma(U, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow H^1(U, \mu_m)$  be the map induced by the Kummer sequence.

First we assume  $X$  is a proper regular integral curve. Let  $\mathbb{G}_m(X \bmod D)$  be the complex  $[\mathbb{G}_{m,X} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,D}]$  on  $X^{\text{et}}$ . The Kummer sequence induces a map  $H^1(X, \mathbb{G}_m(X \bmod D)) \rightarrow H_c^2(U, \mu_m)$ . The pairing  $\text{Tr}_{U/k} \circ \cup : H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \times H_c^2(U, \mu_m) \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/m)$  induces a pairing  $H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \times CH^1(X \bmod D) \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ . By the canonical isomorphism  $CH^1(X \bmod D) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathbb{G}_m(X \bmod D))$ , it gives the required pairing.

For general  $X$ , similarly as in Section 3, we define a local pairing

$$H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \times A_x \rightarrow H^1(x, \mathbb{Z}/m)$$

for a closed point  $x \in X_0$  using the tame symbol and check the reciprocity for  $y \in X_1$ . For a while, we drop the assumption  $X$  is proper and we define the tame symbol in this context. Let  $J \subset I$  be a subset of the index set of the irreducible components of  $D$  and let  $T^* \subset T = \bigcap_{i \in J} D_i$  and  $A_J = (\prod_{i \in J} \Gamma(X - D_i, \mathbb{G}_m))_{\mathbb{Z}}$  be the fiber product as in section 3. We define a pairing

$$H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \times A_J \rightarrow H^1(T^*, \mathbb{Z}/m).$$

Replacing  $X$  by  $X - \bigcup_{i \in I-J} D_i$ , we may assume  $T = T^*$  and  $I = J$  and we put  $A = A_J$ . It is enough to define the pairing Zariski locally on  $X$  and patch them afterward. Hence we may assume that the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{O}(-D_i)$  is a trivial invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module and  $\text{ord}_i : A_i \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is surjective for  $i \in I$ . We show that the heuristic argument in Remark in Section 3 actually works. For each  $i \in I$ , the Kummer sequence induces a map  $A_i \rightarrow H^1(X - D_i, \mathbb{Z}/m(1)) \rightarrow H_{D_i}^2(X, j_{i!}\mathbb{Z}/m(1))$  where

$j_i : X - D_i \rightarrow X$  is the open immersion. Let  $\tilde{A}$  be the tensor product  $\tilde{A} = \bigotimes_{i \in I} A_i$  and  $r = \text{Card } I$ . By taking the cup-product, we obtain  $\tilde{A} \rightarrow H_T^{2r}(X, j_! \mathbb{Z}/m(r))$ . The cup-product

$$H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \times H_T^{2r}(X, j_! \mathbb{Z}/m(r)) \rightarrow H_T^{2r+1}(X, \mathbb{Z}/m(r)) \simeq H^1(T, \mathbb{Z}/m)$$

induces a pairing  $(, ) : H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \times \tilde{A} \rightarrow H^1(T, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ . Let  $\tilde{A}^2$  be the subgroup of  $\tilde{A}$  generated by  $\otimes_i a_i$  such that  $\text{ord}_i a_i = 0$  for at least two  $i \in I$ . Since  $\text{ord}_i : A_i \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  are surjective, the fiber product  $A$  is isomorphic to  $\tilde{A}/\tilde{A}^2$  by  $\otimes a_i \mapsto (a_i^{\prod_{j \neq i} \text{ord}_j a_j})_i$ . We show that the pairing  $(, )$  annihilates  $\tilde{A}^2$ . We may assume  $\text{Card } I = 2$  and  $I = \{1, 2\}$ . The pairing is factorized as

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \times A_1 \times A_2 &\xrightarrow{(\cdot, \cdot)_1 \times \text{res}} H^1(D_1 - T, \mathbb{Z}/m) \times \Gamma(D_1 - T, \mathbb{G}_m) \\ &\xrightarrow{(\cdot, \cdot)_2} H^1(T, \mathbb{Z}/m). \end{aligned}$$

Further in the first pairing  $(, \cdot)_1$ , the restriction to  $\Gamma(X, \mathbb{G}_m) \subset A_1$  is

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \times \Gamma(X, \mathbb{G}_m) &\xrightarrow{\partial \times (\kappa \circ \text{res})} H^0(D_1, \mathbb{Z}/m(-1)) \times H^1(D_1, \mathbb{Z}/m(1)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\cup} H^1(D_1, \mathbb{Z}/m). \end{aligned}$$

Here the first arrow is the product of the boundary map and the restriction followed by  $\kappa : \Gamma(D_1, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow H^1(D_1, \mathbb{Z}/m(1))$  induced by the Kummer sequence. In the second pairing  $(, \cdot)_2$ , the restriction to  $H^1(D_1, \mathbb{Z}/m) \times \Gamma(D_1, \mathbb{G}_m)$  is trivial. Hence the pairing annihilates  $\tilde{A}^2$  and the pairing  $(, \cdot) : H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \times A \rightarrow H^1(T, \mathbb{Z}/m)$  is defined.

Using the tame symbol defined above, we obtain a local pairing

$$(\cdot, \cdot)_x : H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \times A_x \rightarrow H^1(x, \mathbb{Z}/m)$$

as in Section 3. To define a pairing  $(, \cdot)_X : H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \times CH^n(X \bmod D) \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/m)$  it is sufficient to show the reciprocity law that the pairing  $\bigoplus_x \text{Tr}_{x/k} \circ (\cdot, \cdot)_x : H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \times \bigoplus A_x \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/m)$  annihilates the image of the boundary map  $\partial : \bigoplus_{y \in X_1} B_y \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_0} A_x$  by Proposition 1 in Section 2.

We prove the reciprocity for higher dimension as in the proof of Proposition 2 in section 3. Let  $D_i$  be an irreducible component of  $D$ ,  $k_i$  be the constant field of  $D_i$  and  $\partial_i : H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \rightarrow H^0(D_i, \mathbb{Z}/m(-1)) = H^0(k_i, \mathbb{Z}/m(-1))$  be the boundary map. Then for a closed point  $x \in D_i$ , the restriction of the pairing to the  $i$ -th component  $\kappa(x)^\times \subset A_{x,i} \cap A_x$  is factorized as

$$H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \times \kappa(x)^\times \xrightarrow{\partial_i \times (\kappa \circ N_{x/k_i})} H^0(k_i, \mathbb{Z}/m(-1)) \times H^1(k_i, \mu_m) \xrightarrow{\cup} H^1(k_i, \mathbb{Z}/m).$$

Hence the pairing annihilates the kernel of the norm  $\bigoplus_{x \in (D_i)_0} \kappa(x)^\times \rightarrow k_i^\times$ . Since the composition  $\bigoplus_{y \in (D_i)_1} K_2(y) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in (D_i)_0} \kappa(x)^\times \rightarrow k_i^\times$  is the 0-map, the restriction of the pairing  $(, \partial)_X$  to the kernel of  $B_y \rightarrow \kappa(y)^\times$  is trivial for  $y \in X_1$ . Therefore it is sufficient to show that for each  $y \in X_1$  and  $f \in \kappa(y)^\times$ , there is a lifting  $\tilde{f} \in B_y$  of  $f$  such that the pairing  $(, \partial \tilde{f})$  is trivial.

Let  $Y$  be the closure of  $y$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  be the normalization of  $Y$  and let  $f \in \kappa(y)^\times$ . We take an open subset  $W \subset Y$  containing the singularities of  $Y$ , the intersection  $Y \cap D_i$  for  $i \notin I_y = \{i \in I; y \notin D_i\}$  and the zeroes and poles of  $f$  and take a family  $\pi$  of bases  $\pi_i$  of  $\mathcal{O}_Y(-D_i)|_W$  for  $i \in I_y$ . Let  $\tilde{W}^*$  be the inverse image of  $W - \bigcup_{i \in I - I_y} D_i$  in  $\tilde{Y}$ . The tame symbol  $(\ , \pi)$  defines a map  $H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \rightarrow H^1(\tilde{W}^*, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ . Similarly as loc.cit., it is sufficient to show the equality of the map

$$((\ , \pi), \partial f)_{\tilde{Y}} = (\ , \partial\{\pi, f\})_X : H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathbb{Z}/m).$$

It follows from the local equality

$$((\ , \pi), \partial_{\tilde{x}} f)_{\tilde{x}} = (\ , \partial_{\tilde{x}, y}\{\pi, f\})_{\tilde{x}} : H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \rightarrow H^1(\tilde{x}, \mathbb{Z}/m)$$

for  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{Y}$ .

First we assume the image  $x$  of  $\tilde{x}$  is in  $W$ . By replacing  $X$  by an etale neighborhood  $X'$  with  $x \in X' \rightarrow X$ , we may assume  $H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m)$  is generated by  $\bigoplus_{i \in I_x} H^1(X - D_i, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ . Hence we may assume  $\text{Card } I_x \leq 1$ . If  $I_x = \emptyset$ , both sides  $((\ , \pi), \partial_{\tilde{x}} f)$  and  $(\ , \partial_{\tilde{x}, y}\{\pi, f\})$  are simply  $\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}} f \cdot (\ |_{\tilde{x}}) : H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/m) \rightarrow H^1(\tilde{x}, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ . We assume  $\text{Card } I_x = 1$  and let  $0$  be the unique element and  $\pi_0$  be a prime element of  $D = D_0$  at  $x$ . First assume  $y \in D = D_0$ . We may assume  $\pi = (\pi_0)$ . Then they are equal to  $\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}} f \cdot (\ , \pi_0)|_{\tilde{x}} : H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \rightarrow H^1(\tilde{x}, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ . Finally assume  $y \notin D$ . Then  $I_y = \emptyset$ ,  $\pi$  is the empty family and  $(\chi, \pi) = \chi|_{\tilde{W}^*}$ . We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/m) \rightarrow H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \rightarrow H^0(D, \mathbb{Z}/m(-1))$$

and the assertion is proved for  $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ . Hence by localizing if necessary, we may assume  $\mathbb{Z}/m(1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/m$  on  $X$  and  $\chi = \kappa(\pi_0) \in H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \simeq H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m(1))$ . Then the left hand side is  $(\chi|_{\tilde{W}^*}, \partial_{\tilde{x}} f) = \kappa\{\pi_0, f\}_{\tilde{x}} \in H^1(\tilde{x}, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ . We compute the right hand side. By the explicit computation  $\partial_{\tilde{x}, y} f = (-\pi_0)^{\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}} f} \cdot \{\pi_0^{-1}, f\}_{\tilde{x}}$ , we have

$$(\chi, \partial_{\tilde{x}, y} f) = \kappa(\{\pi_0, -\pi_0\}^{\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}} f} \cdot \{\pi_0, \{\pi_0^{-1}, f\}_{\tilde{x}}\}) = \kappa(\{\pi_0, f\}_{\tilde{x}}).$$

Thus the local equality is proved if  $x \in W$ .

We assume  $x \notin W$ . We take a local basis  $\pi'_i$  of  $N_i|_Y$  at  $x$  for  $i \in I_y = I_x$  and put  $\pi_i = u_i \cdot \pi'_i$ ,  $u_i \in \kappa(y)^\times$ . Then for  $\chi \in H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ , we have  $(\chi, \pi) = (\chi, \pi') + \sum_i \partial_i \chi \cup \kappa(u_i)$  and  $(\chi, \pi')$  is unramified at  $x$ . Since  $f$  is a unit at  $x$ , we have  $((\chi, \pi'), \partial_x f) = 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} ((\chi, \pi), \partial_x f) &= \sum_i (\partial_i \chi \cup \kappa(u_i), \partial_x f) \\ &= \sum_i \partial_i \chi \cup \kappa(\{u_i, f\}_x) = - \sum_i \text{ord}_x u_i \cdot \partial_i \chi \cup \kappa(f(x)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by  $\partial_{x, y}\{\pi, f\} = (f^{-\text{ord}_x u_i})_i \in \bigoplus_i \kappa(x)^\times \subset A_x$ , the left hand side  $(\chi, \partial_{x, y}\{\pi, f\})$  is also  $-\sum_i \text{ord}_x u_i \cdot \partial_i \chi \cup \kappa(f(x))$ . Thus the equality is proved for  $x \notin W$ . Thus the proof of Proposition 3 is completed.

In the rest of paper, we assume the Gersten conjecture for K-theory holds for a discrete valuation ring. By [G], it implies the Gersten conjecture for a smooth scheme over a discrete valuation ring.

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $X$  be a proper smooth scheme over a regular noetherian connected scheme  $S$  and  $D$  be a divisor of  $X$  with simple normal crossings relative to  $S$ . Then there exists a unique pairing*

$$\pi_1(S)^{\text{ab}} \times CH^n(X \bmod D) \rightarrow \pi_1(U)^{\text{ab,tame}}$$

such that for a point  $s \in S$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_1(s)^{\text{ab}} & \times & CH^n(X_s \bmod D_s) & \rightarrow & \pi_1(U_s)^{\text{ab,tame}} \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_1(S)^{\text{ab}} & \times & CH^n(X \bmod D) & \rightarrow & \pi_1(U)^{\text{ab,tame}} \end{array}$$

is commutative.

*Proof.* The uniqueness follows from the surjectivity of  $\pi_1(\eta)^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \pi_1(S)^{\text{ab}}$  for the generic point  $\eta$  of  $S$ . We show the existence. We show the pairing  $\pi_1(\eta)^{\text{ab}} \times CH^n(X \bmod D) \rightarrow \pi_1(\eta)^{\text{ab}} \times CH^n(X_\eta \bmod D_\eta) \rightarrow \pi_1(U_\eta)^{\text{ab,tame}} \rightarrow \pi_1(U)^{\text{ab,tame}}$  factors the quotient  $\pi_1(S)^{\text{ab}}$ . In other word, the image of the cup-product

$$H^1(U, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^{\text{tame}} \times CH^n(X \bmod D) \rightarrow H^1(\eta, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

is in  $H^1(S, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ . By the purity of the branch locus, we may assume  $S$  is the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring. Let  $K$  be the fraction field and  $F$  be the residue field of  $S$ .

For the  $p$ -part where  $p = \text{char } F$ , it is Proposition 1 of [S2]. Let  $m$  be an integer invertible in  $F$  and consider the pairing

$$H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \times CH^n(X_\eta \bmod D_\eta) \rightarrow H^1(\eta, \mathbb{Z}/m).$$

By the Gersten resolution, we have an isomorphism

$$H_{X_F}^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i H^{n-1}(D_{i,F}, \mathcal{K}_{n-1}(D_{i,F})) = \bigoplus_i CH^{n-1}(D_{i,F})$$

and an exact sequence

$$CH^n(X \bmod D) \rightarrow CH^n(X_K \bmod D_K) \xrightarrow{\partial} \bigoplus_i CH^{n-1}(D_{i,F}).$$

The ramification theory gives a map  $\partial_i : H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \rightarrow H^0(D_i, \mathbb{Z}/m(-1))$  for a irreducible component  $D_i$  and  $\partial : H^1(K, \mathbb{Z}/m) \rightarrow H^0(F, \mathbb{Z}/m(-1))$ . Since  $\text{Ker } \partial = H^1(S, \mathbb{Z}/m)$ , the following implies a pairing

$$H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \times CH^n(X \bmod D) \rightarrow H^1(S, \mathbb{Z}/m)$$

is induced.

**Claim 1.** *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \times CH^n(X_K \bmod D_K) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, \mathbb{Z}/m) \\ (\oplus_i \partial_i) \times \partial \downarrow & & \downarrow \partial \\ \bigoplus_i H^0(D_{i,F}, \mathbb{Z}/m(-1)) \times \bigoplus_i CH^{n-1}(D_{i,F}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(F, \mathbb{Z}/m(-1)) \end{array}$$

is commutative. Here the lower pairing is the sum of

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(D_{i,F}, \mathbb{Z}/m(-1)) \times CH^{n-1}(D_{i,F}) &\xrightarrow{\text{can} \times \deg_F} H^0(F_i, \mathbb{Z}/m(-1)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_{F_i/F}} H^0(F, \mathbb{Z}/m(-1)) \end{aligned}$$

where  $F_i$  is the constant field of  $D_{i,F}$ .

We prove Claim 1 later and assume a pairing is defined. We show the commutativity of the diagram for an arbitrary point  $s$  of  $S$ . By considering the blowing-up at the closure of  $s$  and by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 1 in [S2], we may assume that the codimension of  $s$  is 1. Hence we may assume  $S$  is the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring and  $s$  is the closed point. For  $p = \text{char } F$ -part, it is proved in loc.cit. Let  $m$  be an integer invertible in  $F$ . We take a prime element  $\pi$  of  $K$ . Let  $sp_\pi$  denote the composition

$$\begin{aligned} CH^n(X_K \bmod D_K) &\xrightarrow{\{\cdot, \pi\}} H^n(X_K, \mathcal{K}_{n+1}(X_K \bmod D_K)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\partial} H_{X_F}^{n+1}(X, \mathcal{K}_{n+1}(X \bmod D)) \\ &\simeq H^n(X_F, \mathcal{K}_n(X_F \bmod D_F)) = CH^n(X_F \bmod D_F) \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$H^1(K, \mathbb{Z}/m) \xrightarrow{\cup\{\pi\}} H^2(K, \mathbb{Z}/m(1)) \rightarrow H^1(F, \mathbb{Z}/m).$$

The restriction to the closed fiber  $res : CH^n(X \bmod D) \rightarrow CH^n(X_F \bmod D_F)$  is equal to the composition

$$CH^n(X \bmod D) \rightarrow CH^n(X_K \bmod D_K) \xrightarrow{sp_\pi} CH^n(X_F \bmod D_F)$$

and the restriction  $H^1(S, \mathbb{Z}/m) \rightarrow H^1(F, \mathbb{Z}/m)$  is

$$H^1(S, \mathbb{Z}/m) \rightarrow H^1(K, \mathbb{Z}/m) \xrightarrow{sp_\pi} H^1(F, \mathbb{Z}/m).$$

Hence it is sufficient to show the following.

**Claim 2.** *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m) \times CH^n(X_K \bmod D_K) & \xrightarrow{(res, sp_\pi)} & H^1(U_F, \mathbb{Z}/m) \times CH^n(X_F \bmod D_F) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(K, \mathbb{Z}/m) & \xrightarrow{sp_\pi} & H^1(F, \mathbb{Z}/m) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

We deduce Claims 1 and 2 from



**Claim 3.** *Let  $y$  be a closed point of  $U_K$  and  $Y$  be the closure of  $\{y\}$  in  $X$ . Then*  
*(1). The image of the class  $[y]$  by  $\partial : CH^n(X_K \bmod D_K) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i CH^{n-1}(D_{i,F})$  is  $\partial[y] = ((D_i, Y))_i \in \bigoplus_i CH^{n-1}(D_{i,F})$ . Here  $(D_i, Y)$  is the intersection product  $\sum_{x \in Y \cap D_i} \text{length } \mathcal{O}_{Y \cap D_i, x} \cdot [x]$ .*

*(2). For a closed point  $x$  of  $Y_0 = Y \cap X_F$ , let  $\partial_{x,y}$  denote the map  $\kappa(y)^\times \rightarrow A_x$  defined in Section 2. Then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \kappa(y)^\times & \xrightarrow{\oplus \partial_{x,y}} & \bigoplus_{x \in Y_0} A_x \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^n(X_K, \mathcal{K}_{n+1}(X_K \bmod D_K)) & \xrightarrow[\partial]{} & CH^n(X_F \bmod D_F) \end{array}$$

*is commutative.*

We show Claim 3 implies Claims 1 and 2 and hence Proposition 4.

*Proof of Claim 1.* Let  $\chi \in H^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/m)$  and  $y$  be a closed point of  $U_K$ . For a closed point  $\tilde{x}$  of the normalization  $\tilde{Y}$  of  $Y$ , the boundary  $\partial_{\tilde{x}}(\chi|_y) \in H^0(\tilde{x}, \mathbb{Z}/m(-1))$  is given by  $\sum_i \text{ord}_{\tilde{x}}(D_i|_{\tilde{Y}}) \cdot (\partial_i \chi)|_{\tilde{x}}$ . Hence

$$\partial(\chi, [y]) = \partial(\text{Tr}_{y/K}(\chi|_y)) = \sum_{x \in \tilde{Y}_0} \text{Tr}_{\tilde{x}/F} \partial_{\tilde{x}}(\chi|_y)$$

is equal to  $\sum_i \sum_{\tilde{x}} [\kappa(\tilde{x}) : F_i] \cdot (\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}}(D_i|_{\tilde{Y}})) \cdot \text{Tr}_{F_i/F}(\partial_i \chi)$ . Since  $\sum_{\tilde{x}} [\kappa(\tilde{x}) : F_i] \cdot \text{ord}_{\tilde{x}}(D_i|_{\tilde{Y}}) = \deg_{F_i}(D_i, Y)$ , we have  $\partial(\chi, [y]) = \sum_i (\partial_i \chi, (D_i, Y))$ . Since the right hand side is  $(\sum_i \partial_i \chi, \partial[y])$  by Claim 3 (1) and  $CH^n(X_K \bmod D_K)$  is generated by the class of closed points of  $U_K$ , Claim 1 is proved.

*Proof of Claim 2.* We use the notation above. By Claim 3 (2), we have  $(\chi, sp_\pi[y]) = \sum_{x \in Y_0} \text{Tr}_{x/F}(\chi, \partial_{x,y}(\pi))_x$ . On the other hand, we have  $sp_\pi(\chi, [y]) = \partial_F \text{Tr}_{y/K}(\chi, \pi) = \sum_{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{Y}_0} \text{Tr}_{\tilde{x}/F}(\chi, \pi)_{\tilde{x}}$ . Hence it is sufficient to show  $(\chi, \partial_{\tilde{x},y}(\pi))_{\tilde{x}} = (\chi, \pi)_{\tilde{x}}$ . This is proved by exactly the same argument as the equality  $(\chi, \partial_{\tilde{x},y}(\{\pi, f\}))_{\tilde{x}} = ((\chi, \pi), \partial_{\tilde{x}} f)$  in the proof of the reciprocity law in Proposition 4.

*Proof of Claim 3.* Similarly as in the proof of Proposition 1, it is sufficient to prove that the boundary map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z} &= H_y^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) \rightarrow H_x^{n+1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) = \bigoplus_{D_i \ni x} \mathbb{Z} \\ \kappa(y)^\times &= H_y^n(X, \mathcal{K}_{n+1}(X \bmod D)) \rightarrow H_x^{n+1}(X, \mathcal{K}_{n+1}(X \bmod D)) = A_x \end{aligned}$$

are given by  $1 \mapsto ((D_i, Y)_x)_i$  and  $\partial_{x,y}$  respectively. The second one is proved by the same argument as Proposition 1 in Section 2 and the first one is similar and easier. The only point to be proved is the crucial Claim loc.cit.. However, it is proved by taking etale locally a smooth projection  $X \rightarrow D$  over  $S$  by the same argument. The rest is proved in the same way and we leave the detail to the reader.

Using Propositions 3 and 4 above, we have a variant of Theorem 1 [S2] for the relative canonical class in the relative Chow group. To state it, we review Jacobi

sums following [A]. Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p \geq 0$  and  $F$  be a field of characteristic 0. Let  $\bar{k}$  and  $\bar{F}$  be separable closures and  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}'(1)_{\bar{k}} = \text{projlim}_{p \nmid d} \mu_d(\bar{k})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)_{\bar{F}} = \text{injlim}_d \mu_d(\bar{F})$ . Let  $B_F(k)$  be the free abelian group with basis  $\text{Hom}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}'(1)_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)_{\bar{F}})$  and  $B_F^0(k)$  be the kernel of the natural map  $B_F(k) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}'(1)_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)_{\bar{F}})$ . If we choose an isomorphism  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}'(1)_{\bar{k}} \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}'(1)_{\bar{F}}$ , the group  $\text{Hom}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}'(1)_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)_{\bar{F}})$  is naturally identified with  $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})' = \text{injlim}_{p \nmid d} \mathbb{Z}/d$  and there are inclusions  $B_F(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$  and  $B_F^0(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^0$ . Here the free abelian group  $\mathbb{B}$  with basis  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{B}^0 = \text{Ker } \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  are as in [A]. The groups  $B_F(k)$  and  $B_F^0(k)$  have natural actions of the absolute Galois groups  $G_k = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$  and  $G_F = \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$ . The automorphism group of  $\text{Hom}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}'(1)_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)_{\bar{F}})$  as an abstract group is  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}'^{\times} = \text{projlim}_{p \nmid d} (\mathbb{Z}/d)^{\times}$ . The action is given by the cyclotomic characters  $G_k \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}'^{\times}$  and  $G_F \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}'^{\times}$ . We define Jacobi sum  $J(\mathbf{a})$  for an element  $\mathbf{a} \in B_F^0(k)^{G_k \times G_F}$  fixed by the actions of  $G_k$  and  $G_F$ .

First we consider the case where  $k$  is a finite field of order  $q$ . The subgroup  $B_F(k)^{G_k}$  of  $B_F(k)$  consisting of the elements fixed by  $G_k$  is generated by the elements of the form  $\mathbf{a} = \sum_{i=0}^{f-1} [q^i a]$ . Here the order  $m(a)$  of  $a \in \text{Hom}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}'(1)_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)_{\bar{F}})$  is prime to  $q$  and  $f$  is the order of  $q$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}/m(a))^{\times}$ . For a non-trivial additive character  $\psi_0 : k \rightarrow \bar{F}^{\times}$ , we define a map  $g(\cdot, \psi_0) : B_F(k)^{G_k} \rightarrow \bar{F}^{\times}$  by

$$g(\mathbf{a}, \psi_0) = - \sum_{x \in E_f^{\times}} a^{-1}(x) \psi_0(\text{Tr}_{E_f/k} x)$$

for an element  $\mathbf{a} = \sum_{i=0}^{f-1} [q^i a]$  as above. Here  $E_f$  is the extension of  $k$  of degree  $f$  in  $\bar{k}$  and  $a$  is regarded as a character of  $E_f^{\times}$  by  $E_f^{\times} = \mu_{q^f-1}(\bar{k})$ . The restriction  $J_k$  of  $g(\cdot, \psi_0)$  to  $B_F^0(k)^{G_k \times G_F}$  is independent of the choice of  $\psi_0$  and the image is in  $F^{\times}$ . Hence  $J_k$  is a map  $B_F^0(k)^{G_k \times G_F} \rightarrow F^{\times}$ .

We consider a general field  $k$ . Let  $\mathbf{a} = \sum_a n_a [a] \in B_F^0(k)$  be an element fixed by  $G_k$  and  $G_F$  and let  $m(\mathbf{a})$  denote the order of the subgroup of  $\text{Hom}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}'(1)_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)_{\bar{F}})$  generated by  $\{a; n_a \neq 0\}$ . We define a subfield  $F_{\mathbf{a}}$  of  $F$  which is a subfield of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{m(\mathbf{a})})$ . Let  $G_{\mathbf{a}}$  be the subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}/m(\mathbf{a}))^{\times}$  fixing  $\mathbf{a}$ . We define  $F_{\mathbf{a}}$  to be the subfield of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{m(\mathbf{a})}) \subset \bar{F}$  fixed by the subgroup  $G_{\mathbf{a}}$  of  $(\mathbb{Z}/m(\mathbf{a}))^{\times} = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{m(\mathbf{a})})/\mathbb{Q})$ . Since  $G_{\mathbf{a}}$  includes the image of the cyclotomic character  $G_F \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/m(\mathbf{a}))^{\times}$ , it is a subfield of  $F$ . Take an isomorphism  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}'(1)_{\bar{k}} \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}'(1)_{\bar{F}}$ . Then the image of  $\mathbf{a} \in B_F^0(k)$  in  $\mathbb{B}^0$  is fixed by  $G_{F_{\mathbf{a}}}$  and defines an algebraic Hecke character  $J(\mathbf{a})$  of  $F_{\mathbf{a}}$  with values in  $F_{\mathbf{a}}$  with conductor dividing a power of  $m(\mathbf{a})$  by [A]. Since  $G_{\mathbf{a}}$  also includes the image of  $G_k$ , the isomorphism  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}'(1)_{\bar{k}} \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}'(1)_{\bar{F}}$  chosen induces a homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathbf{a}}}[1/m(\mathbf{a})] \rightarrow k$  and a homomorphism  $G_k^{ab} \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathbf{a}}}[1/m(\mathbf{a})])^{ab}$ . If  $F$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$  for a prime  $\ell \neq p$ , the algebraic Hecke character  $J(\mathbf{a})$  induces a character  $\pi_1(\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathbf{a}}}[1/\ell m(\mathbf{a})])^{ab} \rightarrow F^{\times}$ . The composite character  $J(\mathbf{a}) : G_k^{ab} \rightarrow F^{\times}$  is independent of the choice of an isomorphism  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}'(1)_{\bar{k}} \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}'(1)_{\bar{F}}$ . If  $k$  is a finite field, the value of the geometric Frobenius  $Fr_k$  is given by  $J(\mathbf{a})(Fr_k) = J_k(\mathbf{a}) \in F^{\times}$ .

We return to a geometric situation. Let  $X$  be a proper smooth scheme over a field  $k$  of characteristic  $p \geq 0$  and  $U$  be an open subscheme of  $X$  whose complement  $D$  is a divisor with simple normal crossings. Let  $F_{\lambda}$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ , for  $\ell \neq p$ , and  $V_{\lambda}$  be a smooth  $F_{\lambda}$ -sheaf on  $U^{et}$  of rank  $r$ . We assume that the ramification of  $V_{\lambda}$  along  $D$  is tame and the local monodromy at each irreducible

component  $D_i$  is quasi-unipotent. The assumption is automatically satisfied if  $k$  is a number field by the monodromy theorem of Grothendieck. We define an element  $\mathbf{a}_{D,V_\lambda} \in B_{F_\lambda}^0(k)$  fixed by  $G_k$  and  $G_F$  and a character  $J_{D,V_\lambda} = J(\mathbf{a}_{D,V_\lambda}) : G_k^{ab} \rightarrow F_\lambda^\times$ . Let  $k_i$  be the constant field of an irreducible component  $D_i$  and  $c_i$  be the Euler number of  $D_i^* \otimes_{k_i} \bar{k}_i$  where  $D_i^* = D_i - \cup_{j \neq i} D_j$  as before. Since the ramification of  $V_\lambda$  is tame, the local monodromy defines a continuous representation  $\rho_i : \hat{\mathbb{Z}}'(1)_{\bar{k}_i} \rightarrow GL_r(F_\lambda)$ . Since it is assumed to be quasi-unipotent, its semi-simplification  $\rho_i^{ss}$  is the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^r a_{ij}$  of characters  $a_{ij} : \hat{\mathbb{Z}}'(1)_{\bar{k}_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)_{\bar{F}_\lambda}$ . We put  $\mathbf{a}_i = \sum_{j=1}^r [a_{ij}] \in B_{F_\lambda}(k_i)$ . It is fixed by  $G_{k_i}$  and  $G_{F_\lambda}$ . We define  $\mathbf{a}_{D,V_\lambda} \in B_{F_\lambda}(k)$  to be  $\sum_i c_i \text{Tr}_{k_i/k}(\mathbf{a}_i)$ . It is fixed by  $G_k$  and  $G_{F_\lambda}$ . By the first assertion of Theorem 1 [S2], it is in  $B_{F_\lambda}^0(k)$ .

Now we state a variant of Theorem 1 [S2] for relative Chow group.

**Theorem S.** *Let  $U$  be a smooth scheme over a field  $k$ . Let  $F_\lambda$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$  where  $\ell \neq \text{char } k$  and  $V_\lambda$  be a smooth  $F_\lambda$ -sheaf of rank  $r$  on  $U$ . Assume the following conditions (1)-(3) are satisfied.*

- (1) *There exists a projective smooth scheme  $X$  over  $k$  including  $U$  as the complement of a divisor  $D$  with relative normal crossings.*
- (2) *The ramification of  $V_\lambda$  along  $D$  is tame.*
- (3) *There exists a scheme  $S_0$  of finite type over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and a  $S_0$ -scheme  $U_0$  such that  $U = U_0 \times_{S_0} \text{Spec } k$  and  $V_\lambda$  is a pull-back of a smooth  $F_\lambda$ -sheaf on  $U_0$ .*

*Then the local monodromies are quasi-unipotent and the character  $J_{D,V_\lambda} : G_k^{ab} \rightarrow F_\lambda^\times$  is defined. Assume also the Gersten conjecture for discrete valuation rings. Let  $(\det V_\lambda, c_{X \bmod D})$  be an  $F_\lambda$ -representation of dimension 1 of  $G_k$  defined by pulling back the  $F_\lambda$ -representation  $\det V_\lambda$  of  $\pi_1(U)^{\text{ab,tame}}$  by the pairing  $(\cdot, c_{X \bmod D}) : G_k \rightarrow \pi_1(U)^{\text{ab,tame}}$ . Then there is an isomorphism of  $F_\lambda$ -representations of dimension 1 of  $G_k$*

$$\det R\Gamma_c(U_{\bar{k}}, V_\lambda) \otimes (\det R\Gamma_c(U_{\bar{k}}, F_\lambda))^{\otimes -r} \simeq (\det V_\lambda, c_{X \bmod D}) \otimes J_{D,V_\lambda}^{\otimes -1}.$$

*Proof.* By the assumption (3), the first assertion is an immediate consequence of the monodromy theorem of Grothendieck. The isomorphism is proved by the same way as Theorem 1 loc.cit. By a specialization argument as in the proof of Theorem 1 [S2] using Proposition 3 and 4 this time, it is reduced to the case where  $k$  is finite. For a finite field  $k$ , we prove the same formula with  $c_{X \bmod D} \in CH^n(X \bmod D)$  as in Theorem 1 [S1] by the same argument using the product formula by Laumon and Lemmas in Section 2. Then it is enough to show  $J_{D,V_\lambda}(Fr_k) = \tau_{D/F}(\rho, \psi_0)$  where the right hand side is as in loc.cit.. It is easily checked by comparing the definition of the both side in terms of Gauss sums. We leave the detail to the reader.

Finally we consider an arithmetic situation. Let  $k$  and  $F$  be finite extension of the rational number field  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $U$  be a smooth  $k$ -scheme. We write  $M'_{\mathbb{Q},F}(U) = M_F(U)$  etc. for short.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $X$  be a proper smooth scheme over  $k$  including  $U$  as the complement of a divisor  $D$  with simple normal crossings. Let  $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), V, \rho)$  be an object of  $M_F(U)$  and assume that the action of the local monodromy  $T_i$  on  $V$  at each component  $D_i$  of  $D$  is quasi-unipotent. Then there exists a unique extension  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  of  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  such that the roots  $\tau$  of the characteristic polynomials  $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_X, i}(T)$*

are rational numbers satisfying  $0 < \tau \leq 1$ . Further the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{E}_X$  is locally free and, if  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  has a multiplication by  $F$ , it is extended to  $\mathcal{E}_X$ .

*Proof.* Since the monodromy is quasi-unipotent, and by  $T_i = \exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\text{res}_i\nabla)$  (Chap. II Theorem 1.7 [D1]), the roots are rational numbers. By Proposition 5.4 loc.cit., There exists a unique extension  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \nabla)$  satisfying the condition after extending the scalar to  $\mathbb{C}$  and it is locally free. Since the condition is invariant by any automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$  over  $k$ , it is defined over  $k$ . By the uniqueness, the multiplication is extended.

We call the extension  $\mathcal{E}_X$  defined in Lemma the canonical extension of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Let  $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$  be as in Lemma 5.2 with an multiplication by  $F$  and  $\mathcal{E}_X$  be the canonical extension. We consider a finite decreasing filtration  $Fil$  on  $\mathcal{E}_X^{(F)}$  by coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{X \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F}$ -submodules. We assume that the Griffiths transversality  $\nabla(Fil^p \mathcal{E}_X^{(F)}) \subset Fil^{p-1} \mathcal{E}_X^{(F)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1(\log D)$  is satisfied. It induces a filtration also denoted by  $Fil$  on the de Rham complex  $DR(\mathcal{E}_X^{(F)}) = (\mathcal{E}_X^{(F)} \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D))$  by  $(Fil^p DR(\mathcal{E}_X^{(F)}))^q = (Fil^{p-q} \mathcal{E}_X^{(F)} \otimes \Omega_X^q(\log D))$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be a finite place of  $F$ . We consider a triple  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = (V_\lambda, \mathcal{M}, Fil)$  of smooth  $F_\lambda$ -sheaf  $V_\lambda$  on  $U^{et}$ , an object  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $M_F(U)$  and a filtration  $Fil$  on the canonical extension  $\mathcal{E}_X^{(F)}$  as above. We assume the following compatibility.

- (1) There exists an  $\mathcal{O}_k$ -scheme  $U_{\mathcal{O}_k}$  of finite type and a smooth  $F_\lambda$ -sheaf  $V_\ell$  on  $U_{\mathcal{O}_k}^{et}$  such that  $U = U_{\mathcal{O}_k} \otimes k$  and  $V_\lambda$  on  $U$  is the pull-back of  $V_\ell$  on  $U_{\mathcal{O}_k}$ .
- (2) The local system  $V \otimes F_\lambda$  on  $U^{an}$  is isomorphic to the pull-back of  $V_\lambda$  by  $U^{an} \rightarrow U^{et}$ .

Then by the assumption (1) and by the monodromy theorem of Grothendieck, the local monodromy of  $V_\lambda$  along each component of  $D$  is quasi-unipotent. By the assumption (2), it is also quasi-unipotent for  $V$ . Hence by Lemma 5.2, there is a canonical extension  $\mathcal{E}_X^{(F)}$ . If  $X = U = \text{Spec } k$  and  $\text{rank } \tilde{\mathcal{M}} = 1$ , a filtration  $Fil$  is determined by a function  $n : \text{Spec } k \otimes F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  by the condition  $Gr^p \mathcal{E}_X^{(F)}(x) \neq 0$  if and only if  $p = n(x)$  for  $x \in \text{Spec } k \otimes F$ . By this correspondence, we identify a filtration with an element in  $\mathbb{Z}^{\text{Spec } k \otimes F}$ . The isomorphism class group of the triples of rank 1 on  $\text{Spec } k$  is

$$\text{inj} \lim_S Hom(G_{k,S}^{ab}, F_\lambda^\times) \times ((k \otimes F)^\times \setminus (k \otimes F \otimes \mathbb{C})^\times / (F^\times)^{Hom(k, \mathbb{C})}) \times \mathbb{Z}^{\text{Spec } k \otimes F}.$$

Here  $S$  runs finite sets of places of  $k$  and  $G_{k,S}$  is the quotient of  $G_k$  classifying the extensions unramified outside  $S$ .

For  $\mathbf{a} = \sum_a n_a[a] \in B_F^0(k)$  fixed by  $G_k$  and  $G_F$ , we define a triple

$$\tilde{J}(\mathbf{a}) = (J(\mathbf{a}), [\Gamma(c\mathbf{a})], \langle \mathbf{a} \rangle)$$

of rank 1. The character  $J(\mathbf{a}) : G_k^{ab} \rightarrow F_\lambda^\times$  is defined by an algebraic Hecke character as above. We define  $\Gamma(c\mathbf{a}) \in (k \otimes F \otimes \mathbb{C})^\times = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{Hom(k, \mathbb{C}) \times Hom(F, \mathbb{C})}$  and  $\langle \mathbf{a} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^{\text{Spec } k \otimes F}$  as follows. Let the fractional part  $\langle \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1)$  be a section of  $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ . We define  $\Gamma(c?) : \mathbb{B} = \bigoplus_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be the multiplicative map defined by  $a \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \mapsto \Gamma(1 - \langle a \rangle)$  and  $\langle \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  be the linear map induced by  $\langle \cdot \rangle$ . For  $\sigma : k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $\tau : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , we take isomorphisms  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)_{\bar{k}} \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)_{\mathbb{C}}$

and  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)_{\bar{F}} \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)_{\mathbb{C}}$  extending  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  and let  $(\sigma, \tau) : \text{Hom}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)_{\bar{F}}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)_{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  be the induced isomorphism. Since  $\mathbf{a}$  is fixed by  $G_k$  and  $G_F$ , the image  $(\sigma, \tau)(\mathbf{a}) \in \mathbb{B}$  is independent of the choice of isomorphisms. We define  $\Gamma(\mathbf{ca}) = \Gamma(c(\sigma, \tau)(\mathbf{a}))_{\sigma, \tau} \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{\text{Hom}(k, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})}$ . Let  $k \otimes F = \prod_j K_j$  be the decomposition into product of fields  $K_j$ . For  $K_j$ , we take isomorphisms  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)_{\bar{k}} \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)_{\bar{K}_j}$  and  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)_{\bar{F}} \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)_{\bar{K}_j}$  extending the inclusions and let  $\rho_j : \text{Hom}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)_{\bar{F}}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)_{\bar{K}_j}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)_{\bar{K}_j}) = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  be the induced isomorphism. The image  $\rho_j(\mathbf{a}) \in \mathbb{B}$  is independent of the choice of isomorphisms. We define  $\langle \mathbf{a} \rangle = (\langle \rho_j(\mathbf{a}) \rangle)_j \in \mathbb{Z}^{\text{Spec } k \otimes F}$  for  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{B}^0$ .

The final result in this paper is a variant of Theorem 1 in Section 4 and of Theorem S for a triple  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = (V_\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \text{Fil})$ . We define the both sides. The determinant of the cohomology  $\det_F R\Gamma_c(U, \tilde{\mathcal{M}})$  is the triple  $(\det_{F_\lambda} R\Gamma_c(U_{\bar{k}}, V_\lambda), \det_F R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{M}), \text{Fil})$ . The first two components are already defined and we define the filtration. The filtration  $\text{Fil}$  on  $\mathcal{E}_X^{(F)}$  induces a filtration  $\text{Fil}$  on the de Rham complex  $DR(\mathcal{E}_X^{(F)})$ . The filtration  $\text{Fil}$  on  $\det_F R\Gamma_c(U, DR(\mathcal{E}))$  is induced by this filtration by using the isomorphism  $R\Gamma_c(U, DR(\mathcal{E})) \simeq R\Gamma(X^{(F)}, DR(\mathcal{E}_X^{(F)}))$  of perfect complexes of  $k \otimes F$ -modules. We define a pairing  $(\det_F \tilde{\mathcal{M}}, c)$  with an element  $c \in CH^n(X \bmod D)$ . It is the triple  $((\det_{F_\lambda} V_\lambda, c), (\det_F \mathcal{M}, c), \text{Fil})$ . The first two components are already defined and we define a function  $n : \text{Spec } k \otimes F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  defining a filtration. Let  $f$  denote the map  $X^{(F)} \rightarrow \text{Spec } k \otimes F$ . For a connected component  $X_j$  of  $X^{(F)}$ , let  $n_j$  be the unique integer such that  $Gr^{n_j}(\det \mathcal{E}_X^{(F)}) \neq 0$  at the generic point of  $X_j$  and let  $\deg_j$  denote the map  $CH^n(X_j) \rightarrow CH^0(f(X_j)) = \mathbb{Z}$ . We define  $n : \text{Spec } k \otimes F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  by putting  $n(y) = \sum_{X_j \subset f^*(y)} n_j \deg_j(c|_{X_j})$ . Finally we define the Jacobi sum  $J_{D, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}}$ . Let  $\mathbf{a}_{D, V_\lambda} \in B_{F_\lambda}^0(k)$  be the element fixed by  $G_k$  defined for the  $F_\lambda$ -sheaf  $V_\lambda$  before. By the assumption (2), it comes from an element  $\mathbf{a}_{D, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}} \in B_F^0(k)$  fixed also by  $G_F$ . We define the triple  $J_{D, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}}$  to be the triple  $\tilde{J}(\mathbf{a}_{D, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}})$  for  $\mathbf{a}_{D, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}} \in B_F^0(k)$  above.

We define the notion that a triple  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = (V_\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \text{Fil})$  is determinantly motivic. First we consider the case where  $U = \text{Spec } k$  and  $\text{rank } \tilde{\mathcal{M}} = 1$ . A triple  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is called motivic if it comes from an algebraic Hecke character  $\chi$  of  $k$  with value in  $F$ . More precisely,

- (1) For a finite place  $p$  of  $k$  prime to the conductor of  $\chi$  and to the characteristic of  $\lambda$ , the  $\lambda$ -adic representation  $V_\lambda$  of the absolute Galois group  $G_k$  of  $k$  is unramified and the action of the geometric Frobenius  $Fr_p$  on  $V_\lambda$  is the multiplication by  $\chi(p)$ .
- (2) The class  $[\mathcal{M}] \in MPic_F(k) = (k \otimes F)^\times \backslash (k \otimes F \otimes \mathbb{C})^\times / (F^\times)^{\text{Hom}(k, \mathbb{C})}$  is equal to the period  $p'(\chi)$  (8.7 [D4]) of a motive  $M(\chi)$  for  $\chi$  in the category of motives generated by potentially CM abelian varieties.
- (3) Decompose  $k \otimes F \simeq \prod_i K_i$  into products of fields and let  $\chi_{alg} = \prod_i N_{K_i/F}^{n_i} \circ \text{can}$ . Then  $Gr^p(\mathcal{E}_X^{(F)} \otimes_{k \otimes F} K_i) \neq 0$  if and only if  $p = n_i$ .

In general, a triple  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is called determinantly motivic if for any closed point  $x \in U$ , the fiber at  $x$  of the determinant  $\det_F \tilde{\mathcal{M}} = (\det_{F_\lambda} V_\lambda, \det_F \mathcal{M}, \text{Fil})$  is motivic in the sense just defined.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $k$  and  $F$  be number fields and  $U$  be the complement of a normal crossing divisor  $D$  in a projective smooth scheme  $X$  over  $k$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = (V_\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \text{Fil})$*

be a triple of a smooth  $F_\lambda$ -sheaf  $V_\lambda$  on  $U^{et}$ , an object  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $M_F(U)$  of rank  $r$  satisfying conditions (1) and (2) and a filtration  $Fil$  on the canonical extension  $\mathcal{E}_X^{(F)}$ . Assume that the spectral sequence  $E_1^{p,q} = H^{p+q}(X^{(F)}, Gr^p DR(\mathcal{E}_X^{(F)})) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X^{(F)}, DR(\mathcal{E}_X^{(F)}))$  degenerates at  $E_1$ -terms and that the Gersten conjecture holds for discrete valuation rings which are local rings of a smooth model of  $X$  over some open subscheme of  $\mathcal{O}_k$ . Then

(1). There is an isomorphism

$$\det_F R\Gamma_c(U, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}) \otimes (\det_F R\Gamma_c(U, 1))^{\otimes -r} \simeq (\det_F \tilde{\mathcal{M}}, c_{X \bmod D}) \otimes J_{D, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}}^{\otimes -1}.$$

(2). Further if  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is determinantly motivic, then  $\det_F R\Gamma_c(U, \tilde{\mathcal{M}})$  is also motivic.

*Proof.* (1). The  $F_\lambda$ -sheaves in the first component are isomorphic to each other by Theorem *S*. By Theorem 1' in Section 4, to prove the second components, the objects of  $P_F(k)$ , are isomorphic, it is sufficient to show that

$$\Gamma(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X) = \Gamma(\mathbf{ca}_{D, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}})$$

$\in (k \otimes F \otimes \mathbb{C})^\times$ . Let  $n_c(U, \tilde{\mathcal{M}})$ ,  $n(\det \tilde{\mathcal{M}}, c_{X \bmod D})$  etc. be the element  $\mathbb{Z}^{\text{Spec } k \otimes F}$  corresponding to the filtration on  $\det_F R\Gamma(X, DR(\mathcal{E}_X))$ ,  $(\det \mathcal{E}, c_{X \bmod D})$  etc.. To prove the filtration are the same, it is enough to show

$$n_c(U, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}) - rn_c(U, 1) = n(\det \tilde{\mathcal{M}}, c_{X \bmod D}) - \langle \mathbf{a}_{D, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}} \rangle$$

in  $\mathbb{Z}^{\text{Spec } k \otimes F}$

Let  $\mathbf{a}_i = \sum_a n_{i,a} [a] \in B_{k_i}(F)$  be the element appeared in the definition of  $\mathbf{a}_{D, V_\lambda}$ . By  $T_i = \exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1} \cdot \text{res}_i \nabla)$  and since the eigenvalues of  $\text{res}_i \nabla$  is in  $(0, 1]$ , the image of  $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_X, i}(T) \in k_i(T)^\times$  in  $(k_i \otimes F \otimes \mathbb{C}(T))^\times = (\mathbb{C}(T)^\times)^{\text{Hom}(k_i, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})}$  is equal to  $(\prod_a (T - (1 - \langle (\sigma, \tau)(a) \rangle))^{n_{i,a}})_{(\sigma, \tau)}$ . By  $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_X}(T) = \prod_i N_{k_i/k} \Phi_{\mathcal{E}_X, i}(T)^{c_i}$  and  $\mathbf{a}_{D, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}} = \sum_i c_i \text{Tr}_{k_i/k} \mathbf{a}_i$ , the image of  $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_X}(T) \in k(T)^\times$  in  $(k \otimes F \otimes \mathbb{C}(T))^\times = (\mathbb{C}(T)^\times)^{\text{Hom}(k, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})}$  is equal to  $(\prod_a (T - (1 - \langle (\sigma, \tau)(a) \rangle))^{n_a})_{(\sigma, \tau)}$  for  $\mathbf{a}_{D, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}} = \sum_a n_a [a]$ . From this the equality  $\Gamma(\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X) = \Gamma(\mathbf{ca}_{D, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}})$  follows immediately. Also we have  $\text{Tr res}_i \nabla = (r - \langle (\sigma, \tau)(\mathbf{a}_i) \rangle)_{(\sigma, \tau)}$  in  $k_i^\times \subset (k_i \otimes F \otimes \mathbb{C})^\times$ . Hence we have  $-\langle \mathbf{a}_{D, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}} \rangle = \sum_i c_i \cdot \text{Tr}_{k_i/k} (\text{Tr res}_i \nabla - r)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^{\text{Spec } k \otimes F} \subset k \otimes F$ . Therefore the second equality becomes

$$n_c(U, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}) - rn_c(U, 1) = n(\det \tilde{\mathcal{M}}, c_{X \bmod D}) + \sum_i c_i \cdot \text{Tr}_{k_i/k} (\text{Tr res}_i \nabla - r).$$

Proof of this equality will complete the proof of (1).

We compute  $n_c(U, \tilde{\mathcal{M}})$ . To simplify the notation, we write  $\dim$  for the rank of  $k \otimes F$ -module, which is a function on  $\text{Spec } k \otimes F$  and write  $\chi$  for the alternating sum of  $\dim$ . By the assumption that the spectral sequence degenerates at  $E_1$  and by the definition of the filtration on  $\det_F R\Gamma(X^{(F)}, DR(\mathcal{E}_X^{(F)}))$ , we have  $n_c(U, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}) = \sum_p p \cdot \chi(X^{(F)}, Gr^p DR(\mathcal{E}_X^{(F)}))$ . Since  $(Gr^p DR(\mathcal{E}_X^{(F)}))^q = Gr^{p-q} \mathcal{E}_X^{(F)} \otimes \Omega_X^q(\log D)$ ,

by putting  $r = p - q$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} n_c(U, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}) &= \sum_{q,r} (-1)^q (q+r) \chi(X^{(F)}, Gr^r \mathcal{E}_X^{(F)} \otimes \Omega_X^q(\log D)) \\ &= \sum_r \left( r \sum_q (-1)^q \chi(X^{(F)}, Gr^r \mathcal{E}_X^{(F)} \otimes \Omega_X^q(\log D)) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_q (-1)^q q \chi(X^{(F)}, \mathcal{E}_X^{(F)} \otimes \Omega_X^q(\log D)) \end{aligned}$$

We write  $n_c^1(U, \tilde{\mathcal{M}})$  and  $n_c^2(U, \tilde{\mathcal{M}})$  for the first term and the second term respectively. We prove equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(I)} \quad & n_c^1(U, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}) = n(\det_F \tilde{\mathcal{M}}, c_{X \bmod D}) \\ \text{(II)} \quad & n_c^2(U, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}) - r n_c^2(U, 1) = \sum_i c_i \cdot \text{Tr}_{k_i/k}(\text{res}_i \nabla - r). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $n_c^1(U, 1) = 0$ , the equalities prove the assertion (1) of Theorem 3. We prove the equality I. For a connected component  $X_j$  of  $X^{(F)}$ , let  $n_j^r$  be the rank of  $Gr^r$  at the generic point and put  $c_j = \deg_j(c_{X \bmod D}|_{X_j})$  where  $\deg_j : CH^n(X_j) \rightarrow CH^n(y) = \mathbb{Z}$  for  $y = f(X_j) \in \text{Spec } k \otimes F$ . By Riemann-Roch, we have

$$\sum_q (-1)^q \chi_{\kappa(y)}(X_j, Gr^r \mathcal{E}_X^{(F)} \otimes \Omega_X^q(\log D)|_{X_j}) = n_j^r \cdot c_j.$$

Since the integer  $n_j$  appeared in the definition of  $n(\det_F \tilde{\mathcal{M}}, c_{X \bmod D})$  is  $n_j = \sum_r r n_j^r$ , the function  $n_c^1(U, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}) : \text{Spec } k \otimes F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is  $y \mapsto \sum_{X_j \subset f^*(y)} n_j c_j$ . It is  $n(\det_F \tilde{\mathcal{M}}, c_{X \bmod D})$  by definition.

To prove II, we show

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $X$  be a proper smooth scheme over a field  $k$  of dimension  $n$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module of rank  $n$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}_1$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2$  be coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules whose ranks are the same on a dense open subscheme of  $X$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_q (-1)^q q \chi(X, \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \wedge^q \mathcal{F}) - \sum_q (-1)^q q \chi(X, \mathcal{E}_2 \otimes \wedge^q \mathcal{F}) \\ &= (-1)^n (c_1(\mathcal{E}_1) - c_1(\mathcal{E}_2), c_{n-1}(\mathcal{F})). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By Riemann-Roch, the left hand side is the degree 0 part of

$$(ch(\mathcal{E}_1) - ch(\mathcal{E}_2)) \cdot \left( \sum_q (-1)^q q \cdot ch(\wedge^q \mathcal{F}) \right) \cdot td(\Omega_X^1).$$

Since  $ch(\mathcal{E}_1) - ch(\mathcal{E}_2)$  is  $c_1(\mathcal{E}_1) - c_1(\mathcal{E}_2) +$  higher terms, it is sufficient to show that  $\sum_q (-1)^q q \cdot ch(\wedge^q \mathcal{F})$  is  $(-1)^n c_{n-1}(\mathcal{F}) +$  higher terms. By splitting principle, we put  $\sum c_i(\mathcal{F}) T^{n-i} = \prod_{i=1}^n (T + \alpha_i)$ . If we put  $a_i = \exp \alpha_i$ , the chern character  $ch(\wedge^q \mathcal{F})$  is the  $q$ -th fundamental symmetric polynomial  $s_q$  of  $a_i$ . We consider a

polynomial  $f(T) = \sum (-1)^q s_q T^{n-q} = \prod (T - a_i)$  and compute the derivative  $f'(1)$  in two ways. First we have  $f'(1) = \sum (-1)^q (n - q) s_q = n \sum (-1)^q s_q - \sum (-1)^q q s_q$ . Since  $\sum (-1)^q s_q = f(1) = (-1)^n c_n(\mathcal{F}) + \text{higher terms}$ , we have  $\sum (-1)^q q s_q = -f'(1)$  modulo codimension  $n$ . On the other hand  $f'(1) = \sum_i \prod_{j \neq i} (1 - a_j) = (-1)^{n-1} c_{n-1}(\mathcal{F}) + \text{higher terms}$ . Hence we have  $\sum (-1)^q q s_q = (-1)^n c_{n-1}(\mathcal{F}) + \text{higher terms}$  and Lemma is proved.

By Lemma applied to  $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E}_X^{(F)}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_2 = \mathcal{O}_X(-D)^{(F)}$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \Omega_X^1(\log D)$ , we have

$$n_c^2(U, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}) - r n_c^2(U, 1) = -(c_1(\mathcal{E}_X^{(F)}) - r c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(-D)^{(F)})) \cdot (-1)^{n-1} c_{n-1}(\Omega_X^1(\log D)).$$

Therefore to complete the proof it is enough to show

$$(-c_1(\mathcal{E}_X^{(F)})) \cdot (-1)^{n-1} c_{n-1}(\Omega_X^1(\log D)) = \sum_i c_i \cdot \text{Tr}_{k_i/k} \text{Tr res}_i \nabla$$

in  $\mathbb{Z}^{\text{Spec } k \otimes F} \subset k \otimes F$ . We compute  $c_1(\mathcal{E}_X^{(F)})$  by using the residue.

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $X$  be a proper smooth scheme over a field  $k$  of characteristic 0 and  $U$  be the complement of a divisor  $D$  with simple normal crossings. Let  $\nabla : \mathcal{E}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_X \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)$  be a logarithmic integrable connection. Let  $k_i$  be the constant field of an irreducible component  $D_i$  of  $D$  and  $\text{Tr res}_i \nabla \in k_i$ . Let  $\partial : \bigoplus_i k_i \rightarrow H^1(X, \Omega_X^1)$  be the boundary map of the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log D) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}_{D_i} \rightarrow 0$ . Then we have*

$$c_1(\mathcal{E}_X) = -\partial(\text{Tr res}_i \nabla)_i.$$

*Proof.* The first chern class  $c_1(\mathcal{E}_X)$  is the class of the push of the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -torsor  $\det \mathcal{E}_X$  by  $d \log : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \Omega_X^1$ . The  $\Omega_X^1$ -torser is trivialized locally by  $\nabla \log e$  for a local basis  $e$  of  $\det \mathcal{E}_X$ . Hence it is the inverse image of  $\sum_i \text{Tr res}_i \nabla \in \bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}_{D_i}$  by  $\Omega_X^1(\log D) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}_{D_i}$ . Since the boundary map is the minus of the class of torser, Lemma is proved.

By Lemma 5.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (-c_1(\mathcal{E}_X^{(F)})) \cdot (-1)^{n-1} c_{n-1}(\Omega_X^1(\log D)) \\ &= \sum_i \text{Tr}_{k_i/k} (\text{res}_i \nabla \cdot \deg_{k_i} ((-1)^{n-1} c_{n-1}(\Omega_X^1(\log D)|_{D_i})) \\ &= \sum_i c_i \cdot \text{Tr}_{k_i/k} \text{Tr res}_i \nabla. \end{aligned}$$

Thus proof of Theorem 3 (1) is now complete.

(2). By Corollary of Proposition 1 in Section 2 and by the definition of determinantally motivic,  $(\det_F \tilde{\mathcal{M}}, c)$  is motivic for  $c \in CH^n(X \bmod D)$ . Hence it is sufficient to prove

**Lemma 5.5.** *For  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{B}^0$ , the triple  $\tilde{J}(\mathbf{a})$  over the abelian number field  $k = F = F_{\mathbf{a}}$  is motivic.*

*Proof.* We may assume the coefficient  $n_a$  of  $\mathbf{a} = \sum_a n_a [a]$  is positive  $n_a \geq 0$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ . In fact we put  $\mathbf{a}^+ = \sum_{n_a > 0} n_a [a] + [-\sum_{n_a > 0} n_a a]$  and  $\mathbf{a}^- = \sum_{n_a < 0} (-n_a) [a] + [\sum_{n_a < 0} n_a a] \in \mathbb{B}^0$ . Then  $\mathbf{a}^+$  and  $\mathbf{a}^-$  satisfy the condition  $n_a \geq 0$ ,



fixed by  $G_{\mathbf{a}}$  and  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}^+ - \mathbf{a}^-$ . Since the algebraic Hecke character  $J(\mathbf{a})$  is the quotient  $J(\mathbf{a}^+)J(\mathbf{a}^-)^{-1}$ , we may assume  $n_a \geq 0$ . Further since  $J(\mathbf{a}) = J(\mathbf{a}_0)$  for  $\mathbf{a}_0 = \mathbf{a} - n_0[0]$ , we may assume  $n_0 = 0$ .

Let  $\mathbf{a} = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} [a_i]$ . Clearly we may assume  $n \geq 0$ . First we consider the case  $n = 0$ . Let  $\mathbf{a} = [a/m] + [-a/m]$  for integers  $(a, m) = 1$ . The field  $F = F_{\mathbf{a}}$  is then  $\mathbb{Q}(\cos \frac{2\pi}{m})$ . We compute  $\tilde{J}(\mathbf{a})$ . For even  $m$ , let  $\epsilon$  be the character of order 2 corresponding to the quadratic extension  $\mathbb{Q}(\cos \frac{\pi}{m})$  of  $F$  and  $\tilde{\epsilon}$  be the triple  $(\epsilon, (\tau \circ \sigma^{-1}(\exp \frac{a\pi\sqrt{-1}}{m}))_{\sigma, \tau}, 0)$ . For odd  $m$ , we put  $\tilde{\epsilon} = \mathbf{1}$ . We show  $\tilde{J}(\mathbf{a}) = \tilde{\epsilon} \otimes \mathbf{1}(-1)$  and this proves Lemma for  $n = 0$ . First we compute the algebraic Hecke character  $J(\mathbf{a})$ . Let  $q$  be a finite place of  $F = F_{\mathbf{a}}$  prime to  $2m$ . The norm  $Nq$  satisfies  $Nq = \pm 1 \pmod{m}$  since  $Fr_q$  fixes  $\mathbf{a}$ . If  $Nq \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ , we have  $J(\mathbf{a})(q) = \tau(\chi, \psi)\tau(\chi^{-1}, \psi) = \chi(-1)Nq$  for the  $m$ -th power residue symbol  $\chi = \left(\frac{\cdot}{q}\right)_m^a : \kappa(q)^\times \rightarrow \mu_m$ . Further

$$\chi(-1) = (-1)^{\frac{Nq-1}{m}a} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd or if } m \text{ is even and } Nq \equiv 1 \pmod{2m} \\ -1 & \text{if } m \text{ is even and } Nq \equiv 1 + m \pmod{2m}. \end{cases}$$

If  $Nq \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$ , we have  $J(\mathbf{a})(q) = \tau_{E_2}(\chi, \psi \circ \text{Tr}_{E_2/\kappa(q)})$  where  $E_2$  is the quadratic extension of  $\kappa(q)$  and  $\chi = \left(\frac{\cdot}{E_2}\right)_m^a : E_2^\times \rightarrow \mu_m$ . Using  $\chi|_{\kappa(q)^\times} = 1$ , an elementary computation yields  $J(\mathbf{a})(q) = (-1)^{\frac{Nq+1}{m}a}Nq$ . Hence we have

$$J(\mathbf{a})(q) = \begin{cases} Nq & \text{if } m \text{ is odd or if } m \text{ is even and } Nq \equiv -1 \pmod{2m} \\ -Nq & \text{if } m \text{ is even and } Nq \equiv -1 + m \pmod{2m}. \end{cases}$$

Thus  $J(\mathbf{a}) = \epsilon \cdot N$ , where  $N$  is the norm, is proved. By  $\Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-s) = 2\pi\sqrt{-1} \cdot \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1} \cdot s)/(\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1} \cdot s) - 1)$ , we have

$$\Gamma(c\mathbf{a}) = 2\pi\sqrt{-1} \times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \\ (\tau \circ \sigma^{-1}(\exp \frac{a\pi\sqrt{-1}}{m}))_{\sigma, \tau} & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

in  $(F \otimes F)^\times \backslash (F \otimes F \otimes \mathbb{C})^\times$ . Finally it is clear that  $\langle \mathbf{a} \rangle = 1$ . Thus we have proved  $\tilde{J}(\mathbf{a}) = \tilde{\epsilon} \otimes \mathbf{1}(-1)$ .

Assume  $n \geq 1$ . We show  $\tilde{J}(\mathbf{a})$  appears in the cohomology of a Fermat hypersurface and hence is in the category of motives generated by CM abelian varieties. Let  $m$  be an integer satisfying  $ma_i = 0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n+1$ . Let  $U$  be the complement in  $X = \text{Proj } F[T_0, \dots, T_{n+1}]/(\sum_i T_i) \simeq \mathbb{P}_F^n$  of the divisor  $D = \bigcup_i D_i$  with simple normal crossings, where  $D_i = (T_i = 0)$ . Let  $X_m^n$  be the Fermat hypersurface  $\text{Proj } F[T_0, \dots, T_n]/(\sum_i T_i^m)$ . and  $\pi : X_m^n \rightarrow X$  be the covering  $T_i \mapsto T_i^m$  etale over  $U$ . Over the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ , it is a Galois covering with the Galois group  $G = \mu_m^{n+1}/\Delta(\mu_m)$ . The element  $\mathbf{a}$  defines a character  $\mathbf{a} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)^\times$  by  $(\zeta_i)_i \mapsto \prod_i \zeta_i^{m\langle a_i \rangle}$ . Let  $p_{\mathbf{a}} = \sum_{\zeta \in G} \mathbf{a}(\zeta)^{-1} \zeta \in \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)[G]$  be the projector. Since  $\mathbf{a}$  is fixed by  $G_{F_{\mathbf{a}}}$ , it is in  $F_{\mathbf{a}}[G]$ . Further the algebraic correspondence  $[p_{\mathbf{a}}] = \sum_{\zeta \in G} \mathbf{a}(\zeta)^{-1} [\Gamma_\zeta]$  where  $[\Gamma_\zeta]$  is the graph of the automorphism  $\zeta \in G$  on  $X_{m, \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)}^n$  is stable by  $G_{F_{\mathbf{a}}}$  and is defined over  $F_{\mathbf{a}}$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}}$  be the  $p_{\mathbf{a}}$ -component of the direct image  $\pi_* 1$  on  $U$  with the trivial filtration  $Gr^0 = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}}$ . We apply Theorem 3 (1) to  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}}$  on  $U$  and show that

$$H_c^n(U, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}})(-1) \simeq \tilde{J}(\mathbf{a}).$$

Since the left hand side is in the category generated by CM abelian varieties, this complete the proof of (2).

It is well-known that  $H_c^q(U, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}}) = 0$  for  $q \neq n$  and  $H_c^n(U, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}})$  is of rank 1. Also it is easy to check that  $\det_F R\Gamma_c(U, \mathbf{1}) \simeq \mathbf{1}((-1)^{n+1})$ . Hence the left hand side of Theorem 3 (1) for  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}}$  on  $U$  is  $(H_c^n(U, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}})(-1))^{(-1)^n}$ . We compute the right hand side. The rank of  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}}$  over  $F_{\mathbf{a}}$  is 1. We compute  $c_{X \bmod D}$ . The exact sequence

$$H^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i H^{n-1}(D_i, \mathcal{K}_n) \rightarrow CH^n(X \bmod D) \rightarrow H^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n) \rightarrow 0$$

is

$$F^\times \rightarrow \bigoplus_i F^\times \rightarrow CH^n(X \bmod D) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

and a section  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow CH^n(X \bmod D) : 1 \mapsto [x]$  is defined for an  $F$ -rational point  $x = (t_0 : \cdots : t_{n+1}) \in U(F)$ . We show that  $c_n(\Omega_X^1(\log D), \text{res}) = [x] + (t_i)_i$ . Let  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} t_i d \log(T_i/T_0)$ . The zero locus of  $\omega$  is  $[x]$  and  $\text{res}_{D_i} \omega = t_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n+1$ . Using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$c_n(\Omega_X^1(\log D), \text{res}) = [x] + \sum_i \{t_i\} \cdot c_{n-1}(\Omega_{D_i}(\log D|_{D_i})) = [x] + (t_i)_i.$$

From this, by an elementary computation, we have  $(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}}, c_{X \bmod D}) = 1$ . We also see that the formation of  $c_{X \bmod D}$  commutes with base change and we do not need assume Gersten resolution in the proof of (1) for this case. The assumption that the spectral sequence degenerates at  $E_1$  is satisfied by the degeneracy of the Hodge spectral sequence for  $X_m^n$ . Finally by  $c_i = (-1)^{n-1}$ , we see  $\mathbf{a}_{D, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}}} = (-1)^{n-1} \mathbf{a}$ . Therefore the right hand side of (1) is  $\tilde{J}(\mathbf{a})^{(-1)^n}$ . Thus  $H_c^n(U, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbf{a}})(-1) \simeq \tilde{J}(\mathbf{a})$  is proved and proof of Theorem 3 is completed.

## REFERENCES

- [A] G.Anderson, *Cyclotomy and a covering of the Taniyama group*, Compositio Math. **57** (1985), 153-217.
- [D1] P.Deligne, *Equations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers*, LNM 163, Springer - Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.
- [D2] ———, *Théorie de Hodge II*, Publ. Math. IHES **40** (1973), 5-58.
- [D3] ———, *La formule de dualité globale*, SGA 4 Exp. XVIII, LNM **305** (1973), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 481-587.
- [D4] ———, *Valeurs de fonctions L et périodes d'intégrales*, Proceedings of Symposia in pure Math. **33 Part 2** (1979), Amer. Math. Soc., 313-346.
- [D5] ———, *La conjecture de Weil II*, Publ. Math. IHES **52** (1981), 313-428.
- [D6] ———, *Le groupe fondamental de la droite projective moins trois points*, Galois groups over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (1986), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 79-297.
- [D7] ———, *Le déterminant de la cohomologie*, Contemporary Math. **67** (1987), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 93-177.
- [G] H.Gillet, *Gersten's conjecture for the K-theory with torsion coefficients of a discrete valuation ring*, Journal of algebra **103** (1986), 377-380.
- [K-M] F.Knudsen-D.Mumford, *The projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves I, preliminaries on "det" and "Div"*, Math. Scand. **39-1** (1976), 19-55.
- [Q] D.Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory I*, LNM 341 (1972), Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 85-147.

- [Sa] N.Saavedra, *Catégorie tannakienne*, LNM, vol. 265, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972.
- [S1] T.Saito,  $\epsilon$ -factor of tamely ramified sheaf on a variety, *Inventiones Math.* **113** (1993), 389-417.
- [S2] ———, *Jacobi sum Hecke character, de Rham discriminant and determinant of  $\ell$ -adic cohomologies*, *Journal of algebraic geometry* **30** (1994), 411-434.
- [ST] T.Saito, T.Terasoma, *A determinant formula for period integrals*, *Proc. Japan academy Ser. A* **69** (1993), 131-135.
- [T] T.Terasoma, *A product formula for period integrals*, *Math. Ann.* **298** (1994), 577-589.