

ON THE LOCAL GEOMETRY OF MODULI SPACES OF VECTOR BUNDLES

ZIV RAN

April 24, 1995

In [R1] the author gave a canonical construction of the universal deformation of a compact complex manifold. Here we shall give an analogous construction for the universal deformation of a simple vector bundle (over a fixed base); this construction differs somewhat from that of [R1], featuring a systematic use of the Jacobi complexes of a module. The resulting construction of universal or Poincaré bundle is applicable in other problems as well, such as deformations of manifold, where it yields a simplification of the method employed in [R1] to construct the structure sheaf of the total space of the universal deformation.

As an application of our method, we shall prove the closedness of *trace forms*—these are $H^2(\mathcal{O}_X)$ -valued 2-forms on the moduli space of bundles, E , on X induced by the trace or Killing form on $\mathcal{E}nd(E)$ —

$$\tau : H^1(\mathcal{E}nd(E)) \otimes H^1(\mathcal{E}nd(E)) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{E}nd(E)) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X).$$

For X a surface with trivial canonical bundle, τ is in fact, by Serre duality, a non-degenerate scalar valued 2-form, hence, being closed, yields a symplectic structure on the moduli space, a result first proven by Mukai [M]; see also Kobayashi's book [K] for an analytic approach.

Acknowledgment. I am grateful to Professors Maruyama and Mukai for their invitation to attend the symposium and to Professor Fujiki for suggesting the problem of closedness of trace forms.

1. Calculus.

1.1. Enveloping Algebras and Differential Operators.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra, say over a field \mathbb{C} of characteristic 0, and E a faithful \mathfrak{g} -module. As is well known, the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ may be identified as vector space, though not as algebra, with $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} S^i(\mathfrak{g})$, and this identification takes $\mathcal{U}^m \subset \mathcal{U}$, the elements of order $\leq m$, to $\bigoplus_{i=0}^m S^i(\mathfrak{g})$. The algebra structure on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ may be described as follows. Let $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(E) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} S^i(E)$ be the symmetric algebra on E and note that elements of \mathfrak{g} acting on E extend to graded (i.e. homogeneous of degree zero) derivations on \mathcal{S} ; in fact it is easy to see that the Lie algebra of all graded derivations coincides with $\mathfrak{gl}(E) = \text{End}(E)$. Therefore if we denote by \mathcal{D} (resp. \mathcal{D}^m) the algebra (resp. \mathfrak{g} -module) of all (resp. all degree

$\leq m$) graded \mathbb{C} -linear differential operators on \mathcal{S} , we obtain an injective filtration preserving algebra and \mathfrak{g} -module homomorphism

$$\rho : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}.$$

Moreover it is easy to see that for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(E)$, ρ is in fact an isomorphism.

We want now to identify $\text{im}(\rho)$ in case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(E)$, the traceless endomorphisms (assuming E is finite-dimensional). To this end, note that a graded endomorphism φ of \mathcal{S} admits a graded trace $\text{tr}(\varphi)$, which itself is a graded endomorphism of \mathcal{S} given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}^i(\varphi) &= \text{image of } (\varphi^{i+1} \in S^{i+1}(E) \otimes S^{i+1}(E^*) \subset S^i E \otimes S^i(E^*) \otimes E \otimes E^*) \\ &\quad \text{in } S^i(E) \otimes S^i(E^*) \text{ under } \text{id} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{tr} \end{aligned}$$

In other words, tr is the natural extension of $\text{tr} : E \otimes E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as a derivation of degree -1 on the algebra of all graded endomorphisms of \mathcal{S} . Clearly $\text{tr}(\varphi) = 0$ iff for all $e_1, \dots, e_i \in E$, $e_1^*, \dots, e_i^* \in E^*$, acting on \mathcal{S} in the standard manner (interior multiplication), the trace (in the usual sense) of $e_1^* \dots e_i^* \circ \varphi^{i+1} \circ e_1 \dots e_i$ is zero. From this it is easy to see that $\rho(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}(E)))$ coincides with the sub-algebra $\mathcal{SD} \subset \mathcal{D}$ of traceless operators.

The above considerations extend immediately to the case where E is a vector bundle over a \mathbb{C} -ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) and \mathfrak{g} is a Lie sub-algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(E) = \mathcal{E}\text{nd}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(E)$.

1.2. Functors on S -Modules.

In [R1] we showed how an Artin local \mathbb{C} -algebra may be reconstructed from a certain ‘order-symbolic’ or OS structure on the space of \mathbb{C} -valued differential operators on S . Our purpose here is to note an analogue of this for S -modules.

Now fix a local \mathbb{C} -algebra S with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and residue field $S/\mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{C}$ and put

$$B_0^i = D^i(S, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Hom}(S_i, \mathbb{C}) = S_i^*, \quad S_i = S/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1},$$

and

$$B^i = D_+^i(S, \mathbb{C}) = (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^{i+1})^*.$$

For an S -module E , put

$$B^i(E) = B_0^i \otimes_S E,$$

where B_0^i is viewed as S -bi-module and E as (symmetric) S -bi-module. At least when $E \otimes S_i$ is S_i -free, $B^i(E)$ may be identified with the right S -module of differential operators $D^i(E^\vee, \mathbb{C})$, $E^\vee = \text{Hom}_S(E, S)$.

We have a symbol map

$$\sigma^i : B_0^i \rightarrow B^i \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B_0^{i-1}$$

which factors through $F_i(B^i \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B^{i-1})$, where F_i is the filtration induced by the order filtration on B^i , and this gives rise to a symbol map

$$\sigma^i : B^i(E) \rightarrow B^i \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B^{i-1}(E)$$

which again factors through $F_i(B^i \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} B^{i-1}(E))$. These σ_E^i , $i \leq m$, together with the obvious maps $B^0(E) \rightarrow B^1(E) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow B^m(E)$ are referred to as a ‘modular order-symbolic’ (MOS) structure on $B^m(E)$. Note that $B^m(E)$ itself is a right S_m -module, called the m -th transpose of E .

“Dually,” suppose we are given an MOS structure G^\cdot , G^i a right S_i -module. We then define an S_m -module $C^m(G^\cdot)$, called the module of quasi-scalar homomorphisms $B_0^m \rightarrow C^m(G^\cdot)$, inductively as follows.

$$C^0(G) = G^0$$

$$C^i(G) = \text{all right } S\text{-linear maps } \varphi^i : B_0^i \rightarrow G^i$$

such that for some $\varphi^{i-1} : B_0^{i-1} \rightarrow G^{i-1}$ the following diagrams commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_0^{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\varphi^{i-1}} & G^{i-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B_0^i & \xrightarrow{\varphi^i} & G^i \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} B_0^i & \xrightarrow{\varphi^i} & G^i \\ \sigma^i \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_G^i \\ B^i \otimes B_0^{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \varphi^{i-1}} & B^i \otimes G^{i-1} \end{array}$$

Note that we have natural maps

$$E \rightarrow C^m(B^m(E))$$

$$B^m(C^m(G^\cdot)) \rightarrow G^\cdot.$$

At least when E is S_m -free (resp. G^\cdot is ‘co-free’, i.e. a sum of copies of B_0^m with the standard MOS structure), these are isomorphisms.

1.3. Exterior Derivative.

Our purpose here is to give a convenient interpretation of the Cartan formula for exterior derivative. Let M be a manifold with tangent sheaf T , and consider the sheaf $B^1(\bigwedge^i T)$, which may be identified as the sheaf of \mathcal{O}_M -valued first-order differential operators on the dual $\bigwedge^i T^\vee = \Omega^i$, and fits in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^i T \rightarrow B^1(\bigwedge^i T) \rightarrow T \otimes \bigwedge^i T \rightarrow 0.$$

Over the sub-sheaf $\bigwedge^{i+1} T \subset T \otimes \bigwedge^i T$, this sequence admits a canonical splitting $\varphi_i : \bigwedge^{i+1} T \rightarrow B^1(\bigwedge^i T)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad \varphi_i(v_0 \wedge \dots \wedge v_i)(\omega) &= \sum (-1)^j v_j \omega(v_0, \dots, \hat{v}_j, \dots, v_i) + \\ &\quad \sum_{j < k} (-1)^{j+k} \omega([v_j, v_k], v_0, \dots, \hat{v}_j, \dots, \hat{v}_k, \dots, v_i) \end{aligned}$$

for $\omega \in \Omega^i$. The Cartan formula says in particular that φ_i is \mathcal{O}_M -linear. Now given an i -form, ω , viewed as a map $\bigwedge^i T \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_M$, it extends to a map $B^1(\bigwedge^i T) \rightarrow B^1(\mathcal{O}_M) = \mathcal{O}_M \oplus T$. Projecting on the \mathcal{O}_M factor, we get a map

$$\tilde{\omega} : B^1(\bigwedge^i T) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_M$$

Cartan's formula then says that the exterior derivative $d\omega$ coincides with $\tilde{\omega} \circ \varphi_i$.

Note that $\text{im}(\varphi_1)$ coincides with the kernel of the natural map

$${}^t d_0 : B^1(T) \rightarrow T^2 = D_+^2(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O})$$

$${}^t d_0(a) = a \circ d_0$$

where $a : \Omega^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is a first-order differential operator and $d_0 : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \Omega^1$ is exterior derivative. Indeed we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & \Lambda^2 T & & \\ & & & & \swarrow \varphi_1 & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & T & \rightarrow & B^1(T) & \rightarrow & T \otimes T \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & {}^t d_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & T & \rightarrow & T^2 & \rightarrow & S^2 T \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Similarly, using the inclusion $B^1(\bigwedge^i T) \subset B^1(T \otimes \bigwedge^{i-1} T) = B^1(T) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \bigwedge^{i-1} T$, we may identify $\text{im}(\varphi_i)$ as the kernel of the natural map $B^1(\bigwedge^i T) \xrightarrow{{}^t d_0 \otimes \text{id}} T^2 \otimes \bigwedge^{i-1} T = B^2(\bigwedge^{i-1} T)/\Omega^{i-1} =: B_+^2(\bigwedge^{i-1} T)$, which in fact coincides with the composite $B^1(\bigwedge^i T) \xrightarrow{{}^t d_{i-1}} B^2(\bigwedge^{i-1} T) \rightarrow B_+^2(\bigwedge^{i-1} T)$.

2. Jacobi Complexes and Universal Deformations.

Fix a base space X which for convenience we assume to be a compact complex space (although the construction works more generally), and a simple vector bundle E on X . Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(E)$, the Lie algebra of traceless endomorphisms of E , so that $H^0(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$. As in [R1], we have Jacobi complexes $J_m(\mathfrak{g})$ which may be described as follows. Let $X^{<m>}$ be the m -fold very symmetric product of X , i.e. the space of subsets of X of cardinality $\in [1, m]$, with the topology induced by the natural map $X^m \rightarrow X^{<m>}$. For $i \leq m$ let $\lambda^i(\mathfrak{g})$ be the image of the exterior alternating product of \mathfrak{g} , supported on $X^{<i>} \subset X^{<m>}$. Then the bracket on \mathfrak{g} gives rise to a map $\lambda^i(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \lambda^{i-1}(\mathfrak{g})$, and these fit together to form the complex $J_m(\mathfrak{g})$ —

$$\lambda^m(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \lambda^{m-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \lambda^1(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g},$$

in which we put $\lambda^i(\mathfrak{g})$ in degree $-i$. Similarly, the action of \mathfrak{g} on E gives rise to a complex $J_m(\mathfrak{g}, E)$ on $X^{<m>} \times X$ —

$$\lambda^m(\mathfrak{g}) \boxtimes E \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \boxtimes E \rightarrow E,$$

in degrees $\in [-m, 0]$, where the last term E is supported on the diagonal in $X^{<1>} \times X = X \times X$. The natural maps

$$J_i(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow J_m(\mathfrak{g}), \quad i \leq m,$$

$$J_i(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes E \rightarrow J_m(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes E \rightarrow J_m(\mathfrak{g}, E)$$

give rise to an OS structure on $V_m = \mathbb{H}^0(J_m(\mathfrak{g}))$ which, as in [R1], yields a \mathbb{C} -algebra structure on $R_m = \mathbb{C} \oplus V_m^*$, which turns out to be the ring of the universal m -th order deformation of E (see below). To get the universal or Poincaré bundle on $X \times \text{Spec} R_m$, consider

$$G^m = \mathbb{R}^0 p_{2*}(J_m(\mathfrak{g}, E)).$$

This forms a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes R_m$ -modules, and the natural map

$$J_m(\mathfrak{g}, E) \rightarrow F_m(J_m(\mathfrak{g}) \boxtimes J_{m-1}(\mathfrak{g}, E))$$

endows G^m with a MOS structure compatible with the OS structure on V^m , whence as in § 1.2 a sheaf

$$\mathcal{P}_m(E) := C^m(G^m).$$

As G^m is locally isomorphic to $(V_m \oplus \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$, clearly $\mathcal{P}_m(E)$ is a locally free $R_m \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ module, and it turns out to be the Poincaré bundle for E . (The last construction may be applied in other situations, such as deformations of manifolds, where it yields a simplification of the construction in [R1] of the structure sheaf of the universal deformation of a manifold X .)

Notwithstanding the simple construction of $\mathcal{P}_m(E)$ and R_m , the proof of their universality involves the somewhat complicated construction of Kodaira–Spencer bi-complexes associated to a given deformation of E . Given such a deformation, i.e. a locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} on $X \times \text{Spec}(S)$, S Artin local, with $\mathcal{E} \otimes k(0) \xrightarrow{\sim} E$, we construct bi-complexes W_m^\bullet , and K_m^\bullet , which fit in exact (up to quasi-isomorphism) sequences (in which $B^m = D_+^m(S, \mathbb{C})$)—

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow J_m(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow W_m^\bullet \rightarrow B^m[1-m, m] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{on } X \langle m \rangle,$$

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow J_m(\mathfrak{g}, E) \rightarrow K_m^\bullet \rightarrow B_S^m(\mathcal{E})[1-m, m] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{on } X \langle m \rangle \times X,$$

whose associated coboundary maps

$$\alpha_m : B^m \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^0(J_m(\mathfrak{g})) = V_m,$$

$$\beta_m : B_S^m(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^0 p_{2*}(J_m(\mathfrak{g}, E)) = G_m$$

respect the respective OS structures, hence give rise to a ring homomorphism $t_{\alpha_m} : R_m \rightarrow S_m = S/\mathfrak{m}^m$ and to an S_m -linear map $t_{\beta_m} : \mathcal{E}_m := \mathcal{E} \otimes S_m \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_m(E) \otimes_{R_m} S_m$, which turns out to be an isomorphism.

We sketch the construction of these bi-complexes. Let $\mathcal{S}^\bullet(E)$ be the symmetric algebra on E and similarly for \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}_m (as $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes S$ -modules). A graded, \mathcal{O}_X -linear map $\varphi : \mathcal{S}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}_j)$ is said to be a differential operator of degree $\leq k$ if for all $e^* \in E^* \subset \mathcal{E}_i^*$ and \mathfrak{m}_{e^*} , the ideal in $\mathcal{S}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}_i)$ generated by $\ker(e^*) \subset \mathcal{E}_i$ and \mathfrak{m} , we have $\varphi(\mathfrak{m}_{e^*}^l) \subset \mathfrak{m}_{e^*}^{l-k}$; similarly for $\psi : S_i \rightarrow S_j$. φ is said to be traceless if it can be locally written as $\sum \lambda_r \psi_r$, with $\lambda_r : \mathcal{S}^\bullet(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\bullet(E)$ traceless, $\psi_r : S_i \rightarrow S_j$. Define

$$\mathcal{A}^{i,j} = \mathcal{A}^{i,j}(\mathcal{E}) = \{(\varphi, \psi) | \varphi : \mathcal{S}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\bullet(\mathcal{E}_j), \psi : S_i \rightarrow S_j,$$

\mathcal{O}_X -linear graded traceless (resp. \mathbb{C} -linear) diff. op. of order $\leq i-j$

such that $\varphi(1) = \psi$ and $\psi(1) = 0\}$

Also define

$$\mathcal{A}_0^{i,j} = A^{i,j} \oplus \mathbb{C}.$$

These are (S_j, S_i) bi-modules and admit filtrations F_\cdot and G_\cdot by total order (resp. horizontal order); in particular $G_0(\mathcal{A}^{i,j})$ consists of the S_j -linear or ‘relative’ operators on \mathcal{E}_j and $G_0(\mathcal{A}^{i,j})/\mathfrak{m}G_0A^{i,j} = \mathcal{U}_{i-j}(\mathfrak{g})$. Put $\bar{\mathcal{A}}^{i,j} = \mathcal{A}^{i,j}/\mathfrak{m}G_0(A^{i,j})$. As in [R1] define

$$W_m^{i,-j} = \lambda^{j-i-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \boxtimes F_m(\sigma^{i+1}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}^{m,j-1})), \quad (i,j) \neq (m-2, m)$$

with $\sigma =$ exterior symmetric product (over \mathbb{C}), and

$$W_m^{m-2,-m} = \ker(\mathcal{A}^{m,m-1} \boxtimes F_m(\sigma^{m-1}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}^{m,m-1})) \rightarrow \sigma^m(B^{m,m-1}) \rightarrow B^m).$$

Let $W_{m,0}^{\cdot,\cdot}$ be the analogous complex with $\mathcal{A}^{i,j}$ replaced by $\mathcal{A}_0^{i,j}$ and put

$$K_m^{i,-j} = W_{m,0}^{i,-j} \boxtimes_{S_m} \mathcal{E}_m, \quad (i,j) \neq (0,0)$$

$$K_m^{0,0} = E.$$

As in [R1], $W_m^{\cdot,\cdot}$ fits in an exact sequence as in (2.1), and using the fact that \mathcal{E}_m is S_m -flat, $K_m^{\cdot,\cdot}$ fits in (2.2).

For instance, (2.2) has the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g} \boxtimes E & \longrightarrow & A_0^{1,0} \boxtimes_{S_1} \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & B^1(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & E & \xlongequal{\quad} & E & & \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\ & & J_1(\mathfrak{g}, E) & & K_1^{\cdot,\cdot} & & \end{array}$$

3. Closed 2-forms.

Let M be a manifold parameterizing a deformation of a vector bundle E on X with $H^0(\mathfrak{sl}(E)) = 0$. For a point $0 \in M$ we have the Kodaira–Spencer map

$$\alpha_1 : T_0 M \rightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{g}), \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(E)$$

and its higher order analogues. For simplicity we assume E is unobstructed, which means that the spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} = \mathbb{H}^q(J_m^p(\mathfrak{g})) \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}^i(J_m^{\cdot}(\mathfrak{g}))$$

degenerates at E_1 for $p+q=0$ for all m ; in particular the map $S^2 H^1(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{g})$ induced by bracket vanishes. Now we have a trace or Killing form

$$\mathrm{tr} : \mathfrak{g} \boxtimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$$

$$\mathrm{tr}(A \cdot B) = \mathrm{tr}(AB)$$

As is well known, this is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form and induces an alternating form

$$\tau : \bigwedge^2 H^1(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_X)$$

hence a map

$$\tau_{M,0} : \bigwedge^2 T_{M,0} \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_X)$$

which we view as yielding a 2-form τ_M on M with values in the fixed vector space $H^2(\mathcal{O}_X)$. When $n = \dim X = 2$ and $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X$, the isomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ induced by tr yields an isomorphism $H^1(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{g}^*) = H^1(\mathfrak{g})^*$, hence the 2-form τ is non-degenerate on the moduli space. In general, any $\eta \in H^{n,n-2}(X)$ yields via cup-product with η , a (scalar-valued) 2-form on M .

Theorem 3.1. τ_M is a closed 2-form on M .

proof. It suffices to prove this for the universal second-order deformation. tr yields a map

$$\text{tr} : \sigma^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$$

which on cohomology gives rise to

$$\tau : H^2(\sigma^2 \mathfrak{g}) = \bigwedge^2 H^1(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_X).$$

Now we may identify $B^1(\bigwedge^2 T_M)_0$ as $\mathbb{H}^0(J_1(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma^2 \mathfrak{g}))$, i.e. as \mathbb{H}^0 of the following complex on $X \times X$:

$$\mathfrak{g} \boxtimes \sigma^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \sigma^2 \mathfrak{g}$$

$$A \times (B, C) \mapsto ([A, B], C) + (C, [A, B]) + (B, [A, C]) + ([A, C], B)$$

Now applying tr we get

$$2\text{tr}(ABC - BAC + BAC - BCA) = 2\text{tr}(A(BC) - (BC)A) = 0,$$

hence tr is a map of \mathfrak{g} -modules, where \mathfrak{g} acts trivially on \mathcal{O}_X , hence tr extends to a map of complexes

$$J_1(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma^2 \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow J_1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{O}).$$

Now the differential $\mathfrak{g} \boxtimes \sigma^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \sigma^2 \mathfrak{g}$ clearly vanishes on $\sigma^3 \mathfrak{g}$, so that $\sigma^3 \mathfrak{g}[2]$ forms a sub-complex of $J_1(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma^2 \mathfrak{g})$ and we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sigma^3(\mathfrak{g}) & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ \mathfrak{g} \boxtimes \sigma^2 \mathfrak{g} & \longrightarrow & \sigma^2(\mathfrak{g}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}) \boxtimes \mathfrak{g} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g} \boxtimes \mathfrak{g} \end{array}$$

where the left vertical arrows compose to zero and \mathbb{H}^0 of the bottom complex is $T_X^2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$. This is in fact the bottom complex splits as a direct sum of $J_1(\mathfrak{g})/J_1(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$.

complex $C^\cdot : \sigma^{2,1}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \sigma^2(\mathfrak{g})$, $\sigma^{2,1}$ being the mixed tensor power and $J^1(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma^2(\mathfrak{g})) = \sigma^3(\mathfrak{g})[2] \oplus C^\cdot$. As discussed in §1.3, $d\tau : \bigwedge^3 H^1(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_X)$ coincides with the composite

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge^3 H^1(\mathfrak{g}) = H^3(\sigma^3 \mathfrak{g}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(J_1(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma^2 \mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow \\ &\mathbb{H}^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{O}) = H^2(\mathcal{O}) \oplus H^1(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes H^2(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}) \end{aligned}$$

But in view of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \sigma^3 \mathfrak{g}[2] & \longrightarrow & J_1(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma^2 \mathfrak{g}) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathfrak{g} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}[2] & \longrightarrow & J_1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{O}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}[1] \end{array}$$

and the fact that the bottom arrows compose to zero, $d\tau$ clearly vanishes. \square

Remark. If X admits a symplectic form ω , and is moreover Kähler, it is shown by Mukai [M] for $n = 2$, and Kobayashi [K] in general that the 2-form $\tau \wedge \omega^{n/2-1} \wedge \bar{\omega}^{n/2}$ is non-degenerate (and closed), yielding a symplectic structure on the moduli space.

References.

- [K] Kobayashi, S.: ‘Differential Geometry of Complex Vector Bundles’. Iwanami and Princeton University Press, 1987.
- [M] Mukai, S.: ‘Symplectic Structure on the Moduli Space of Sheaves on an Abelian or K3 Surface’. Invent. Math. **77** (1984), 101-116.
- [R1] Ran, Z.: ‘Canonical Infinitesimal Deformations’. preprint.
- [R2] _____: ‘Infinitesimal Deformations of Vector Bundles and Their Cohomology Groups’. (in preparation).