

# The Coupled Seiberg-Witten Equations, Vortices, and Moduli Spaces of Stable Pairs

Christian Okonek\*      Andrei Teleman\*

January, 13-th 1995

## 0 Introduction

Recently, Seiberg and Witten [W] introduced new invariants of 4-manifolds, which are defined by counting solutions of a certain non-linear differential equation.

The new invariants are expected to be equivalent to Donaldson's polynomial-invariants—at least for manifolds of simple type [KM 1]—and they have already found important applications, like e.g. in the proof of the Thom conjecture by Kronheimer and Mrowka [KM 2].

Nevertheless, the equations themselves remain somewhat mysterious, especially from a mathematical point of view.

The present paper contains our attempt to understand and to generalize the Seiberg-Witten equations by coupling them to connections in unitary vector bundles, and to relate their solutions to more familiar objects, namely stable pairs.

Fix a  $\text{Spin}^c$ -structure on a Riemannian 4-manifold  $X$ , and denote by  $\Sigma^\pm$  the associated spinor bundles. The equations which we will study are:

$$\begin{cases} \not{D}_{A,b}\Psi & = & 0 \\ \Gamma(F_{A,b}^+) & = & (\Psi\bar{\Psi})_0 \end{cases}$$

---

\*Partially supported by: AGE-Algebraic Geometry in Europe, contract No ER-BCHRXCT940557 (BBW 93.0187), and by SNF, nr. 21-36111.92

This is a system of equations for a pair  $(A, \Psi)$  consisting of a unitary connection in a unitary bundle  $E$  over  $X$ , and a positive spinor  $\Psi \in A^0(\Sigma^+ \otimes E)$ . The symbol  $b$  denotes a connection in the determinant line bundle of the spinor bundles  $\Sigma^\pm$  and  $\not{D}_{A,b} : \Sigma^+ \otimes E \rightarrow \Sigma^- \otimes E$  is the Dirac operator obtained by coupling the connection in  $\Sigma^+$  defined by  $b$  (and by the Levi-Civita connection in the tangent bundle) with the variable connection  $A$  in  $E$ .

These equations specialize to the original Seiberg-Witten equations if  $E$  is a line bundle. We show that the coupled equations can be interpreted as a differential version of the generalized vortex equations [JT].

Vortex equations over Kähler manifolds have been investigated by Bradlow [B1], [B2] and by Garcia-Prada [G1], [G2]: Given a pair  $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$  consisting of a holomorphic vector bundle with a section, the vortex equations ask for a Hermitian metric  $h$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  with prescribed mean curvature: more precisely, the equations—which depend on a real parameter  $\tau$ —are

$$i\Lambda F_h = \frac{1}{2}(\tau \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}} - \varphi \otimes \varphi^*).$$

A solution exists if and only if the pair  $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$  satisfies a certain stability condition ( $\tau$ -stability), and the moduli space of vortices can be identified with the moduli space of  $\tau$ -stable pairs. A GIT construction of the latter space has been given by Thaddeus [T] and Bertram [B] if the base manifold is a projective curve, and by Huybrechts and Lehn [HL1], [HL2] in the case of a projective variety. Other constructions have been given by Bradlow and Daskalopoulos [BD1], [BD2] in the case of a Riemann surface, and by Garcia-Prada for compact Kähler manifolds [G2]. In this connection also [BD2] is relevant. In this note we prove the following result:

**Theorem 0.1** *Let  $(X, g)$  be a Kähler surface of total scalar curvature  $\sigma_g$ , and let  $\Sigma$  be the canonical  $\text{Spin}^c$ -structure with associated Chern connection  $c$ . Fix a unitary vector bundle  $E$  of rank  $r$  over  $X$ , and define  $\mu_g(\Sigma^+ \otimes E) := \frac{\text{deg}_g(E)}{r} + \sigma_g$ .*

*Then for  $\mu_g < 0$ , the space of solutions of the coupled Seiberg-Witten equation is isomorphic to the moduli space of stable pairs of topological type  $E$ , with parameter  $\sigma_g$ .*

If the constant  $\mu_g(\Sigma^+ \otimes E)$  is positive, one simply replaces the bundle  $E$  with  $E^\vee \otimes K_X$ , where  $K_X$  denotes the canonical line bundle of  $X$  (cf. Lemma 3.1).

Note that the above theorem gives a complex geometric interpretation of the moduli space of solutions of the coupled Seiberg-Witten equation associated to all  $\text{Spin}^c$ -structures on  $X$ : The change of the  $\text{Spin}^c$ -structure is equivalent to tensoring  $E$  with a line bundle.

Notice also that in the special case  $r = 1$  one recovers Witten's result identifying the space of irreducible monopoles on a Kähler surface with the set of all divisors associated to line bundles of a fixed topological type; the stability condition which he mentions is the rank-1 version of the stable pair-condition.

Having established this correspondence, we describe some of the basic properties of the moduli spaces, and give a first application: We show that minimal surfaces of general type cannot be diffeomorphic to rational ones. This provides a short proof of one of the essential steps in Friedman and Qin's proof of the Van de Ven conjecture [FQ]. More detailed investigations and applications will appear in a later paper.

We like to thank A. Van de Ven for very helpful questions and remarks.

## 1 $\text{Spin}^c$ -structures and almost canonical classes

The complex spinor group is defined as  $\text{Spin}^c := \text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} S^1$ , and there are two non-split exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & S^1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Spin}^c & \longrightarrow & \text{SO} \longrightarrow 1 \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Spin} & \longrightarrow & \text{Spin}^c & \longrightarrow & S^1 \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

In dimension 4,  $\text{Spin}^c(4)$  can be identified with the subgroup of  $U(2) \times U(2)$  consisting of pairs of unitary matrices with the same determinant, and one has two commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 1 & & 1 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_2 & \longrightarrow & \text{Spin}(4) & \longrightarrow & \text{SO}(4) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & S^1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Spin}^c(4) & \longrightarrow & \text{SO}(4) \longrightarrow 1 & (1) \\ & & \downarrow (\cdot)^2 & & \det \downarrow & \nearrow \Delta & \uparrow \\ & & S^1 & = & S^1 & \longleftarrow & U(2) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \xleftarrow{\det} & \\ & & 1 & & 1 & & \end{array}$$



Consider now a Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $X$ , and let  $P$  be the associated principal  $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ -bundle. In this case the real vector bundles associated to  $P^\pm$  via the standard representations are the bundles  $\Lambda_\pm^2$  of (anti-) self-dual 2-forms on  $X$ .

The integral characteristic classes of  $P$  are given by  $p_1(P) = 3\sigma$  and  $e(P) = e$ , where  $\sigma$  and  $e$  denote the signature and the Euler number of the oriented manifold  $X$ . Furthermore,  $w_2(P)$  always lifts to an integral class, the lifts are precisely the characteristic elements in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , i.e. the classes  $L$  with  $x^2 \equiv x \cdot L$  for every  $x \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  [HH].

Let  $T_X$  be the tangent bundle of  $X$ , and denote by  $\Lambda^p$  the bundle of  $p$ -forms on  $X$ . The choice of a  $\mathrm{Spin}^c(4)$ -lift  $\hat{P}$  of  $P$  with associated  $\mathrm{U}(2)$ -vector bundles  $\Sigma^\pm$  defines a vector bundle isomorphism  $\gamma : \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Sigma^+, \Sigma^-)$ . There is also a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear isomorphism  $(\cdot)^\# : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Sigma^+, \Sigma^-) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Sigma^-, \Sigma^+)$  which satisfies the identity:

$$\gamma(u)^\# \gamma(v) + \gamma(v)^\# \gamma(u) = 2g^{\mathbb{C}}(u, v) \mathrm{id}_{\Sigma^+},$$

and  $\gamma(u)^\# = \gamma(u)^* = g(u, u) \gamma(u)^{-1}$  for real non-vanishing cotangent vectors  $u$ .

It is convenient to extend the homomorphisms  $\gamma(u)$  to endomorphisms of the direct sum  $\Sigma := \Sigma^+ \oplus \Sigma^-$ . Putting  $\gamma(u)|_{\Sigma^-} := -\gamma(u)^\#$ , we obtain a vector-bundle homomorphism  $\gamma : \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}_0(\Sigma)$ , which maps the bundle  $\Lambda^1$  of real 1-forms into the bundle of trace-free skew-Hermitian endomorphisms of  $\Sigma$ . With this convention, we get:

$$\gamma(u) \circ \gamma(v) + \gamma(v) \circ \gamma(u) = -2g^{\mathbb{C}}(u, v) \mathrm{id}_\Sigma.$$

Consider the induced homomorphism

$$\Gamma : \Lambda^2 \otimes \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}_0(\Sigma)$$

defined on decomposable elements by

$$\Gamma(u \wedge v) := \frac{1}{2} [\gamma(u), \gamma(v)].$$

The restriction  $\Gamma|_{\Lambda^2}$  identifies the bundle  $\Lambda^2$  with the bundle  $\mathrm{ad}_0(\hat{P}) \simeq \mathrm{ad}(P)$  of skew-symmetric endomorphisms of the tangent bundle of  $X$ .

$\Lambda^2$  splits as an orthogonal sum  $\Lambda^2 = \Lambda_+^2 \oplus \Lambda_-^2$  and  $\Gamma$  maps the bundle  $\Lambda_{\pm}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}$  (respectively  $\Lambda_{\pm}^2$ ) isomorphically onto the bundle  $\text{End}_0(\Sigma^{\pm}) \subset \text{End}(\Sigma)$  ( $su(\Sigma^{\pm}) \subset su(\Sigma)$ ) of trace-free (trace free skew-Hermitian) endomorphisms of  $\Sigma^{\pm}$ .

We give now an explicit description of the two spinor bundles  $\Sigma^{\pm}$  and of the map  $\Gamma$  in the case of a  $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -structure coming from an almost Hermitian structure.

**Definition 1.2** *A characteristic element  $K \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is an almost canonical class if  $K^2 = 3\sigma + 2e$ .*

Such classes exist on  $X$  if and only if  $X$  admits an almost complex structure. More precisely:

**Proposition 1.3** (Wu)  *$K \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is an almost canonical class if and only if there exists an almost complex structure  $J$  on  $X$  which is compatible with the orientation, such that  $K = c_1(\Lambda_J^{10})$ .*

**Proof:** [HH] ■

Here we denote, as usual, by  $\Lambda_J^{pq}$  the bundle of  $(p, q)$ -forms defined by the almost complex structure  $J$ .

Notice that any almost complex structure  $J$  on  $X$  can be deformed into a  $g$ -orthogonal one, and that  $J$  is  $g$ -orthogonal iff  $g$  is  $J$ -Hermitian. The choice of a  $g$ -orthogonal almost complex structure  $J$  on  $X$  corresponds to a reduction of the  $\text{SO}(4)$ -bundle  $P$  of  $X$  to a  $U(2)$ -bundle via the inclusion  $U(2) \subset \text{SO}(4)$ ; since the inclusion factors through the embedding  $\Delta : U(2) \rightarrow \text{Spin}^c(4)$  (see diagram (1)), this reduction defines a unique  $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -bundle  $\hat{P}_J$  over  $X$ . By construction we have  $\hat{P}_J/S^1 \simeq P$ , and  $\det \hat{P}_J = -K$ .

**Proposition 1.4** *Let  $J$  be a  $g$ -orthogonal almost complex structure on  $X$ , compatible with the orientation.*

*i) The spinor bundles  $\Sigma_J^{\pm}$  of  $\hat{P}_J$  are:*

$$\Sigma_J^+ \simeq \Lambda^{00} \oplus \Lambda_J^{02}, \quad \Sigma_J^- \simeq \Lambda_J^{01}.$$

*ii) The map  $\Gamma : \Lambda_+^2 \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{End}_0(\Sigma_J^+)$  is given by*

$$\Lambda_J^{20} \oplus \Lambda_J^{02} \oplus \Lambda^{00} \omega_g \ni (\lambda^{20}, \lambda^{02}, \omega_g) \xrightarrow{\Gamma} 2 \begin{bmatrix} -i & - * (\lambda^{20} \wedge \cdot) \\ \lambda^{02} \wedge \cdot & i \end{bmatrix} \in \text{End}_0(\Lambda^{00} \oplus \Lambda^{02}).$$

**Proof:** i)  $c_1(\Sigma_J^+) = c_1(\Sigma_J^-) = -K$ ,  $c_2(\Sigma_J^+) = \frac{1}{4}[K^2 - 3\sigma - 2e]$ ,  $c_2(\Sigma_J^-) = \frac{1}{4}[K^2 - 3\sigma + 2e] = c_2(\Sigma^+) + e$ , and  $U(2)$ -bundles on a 4-manifold are classified by their Chern classes.

ii) With respect to a suitable choice of the isomorphisms i), the Clifford map  $\gamma$  acts by

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(u)(\varphi + \alpha) &= \sqrt{2}(\varphi u^{01} - i\Lambda_g u^{10} \wedge \alpha), \\ \gamma(u)^\#(\theta) &= \sqrt{2}(i\Lambda_g(u^{10} \wedge \theta) - u^{01} \wedge \theta),\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

where  $\Lambda_g : \Lambda_J^{pq} \longrightarrow \Lambda_J^{p-1, q-1}$  is the adjoint of the map  $\cdot \wedge \omega_g$  [H1].  $\blacksquare$

## 2 The coupled Seiberg-Witten equations

Let  $P$  be the principal  $SO(4)$ -bundle associated with the tangent bundle of the oriented, closed Riemannian 4-manifold  $(X, g)$ , and fix a  $\text{Spin}^c(4)$  structure  $\hat{P}$  over  $P$  with  $L = \det(\hat{P})$ . The choice of a  $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -connection in  $\hat{P}$  projecting onto the Levi-Civita connection in  $P$  is equivalent to the choice of a connection  $b$  in the unitary line bundle  $L$  [H1]. We denote by  $B(b)$  the  $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -connection in  $\hat{P}$  corresponding to  $b$ , and also the induced connection in the vector bundle  $\Sigma = \Sigma^+ \oplus \Sigma^-$ . The curvature  $F_{B(b)}$  of the connection  $B(b)$  in  $\Sigma$  has the form

$$F_{B(b)} = \frac{1}{2}F_b \text{id}_\Sigma + F_g = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}F_b \text{id}_{\Sigma^+} + F_g^+ & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}F_b \text{id}_{\Sigma^-} + F_g^- \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $F_g$ , and  $F_g^\pm$  denote the Riemannian curvature operator, and its components with respect to the splitting  $\text{ad}(P) = \Lambda_+^2 \oplus \Lambda_-^2$ .

Let now  $E$  be an arbitrary Hermitian bundle of rank  $r$  over  $X$ , and  $A$  a connection in  $E$ . We denote by  $A_b$  the induced connection in the tensor product  $\Sigma \otimes E$ , and by  $\mathcal{D}_{A,b} : A^0(\Sigma \otimes E) \longrightarrow A^0(\Sigma \otimes E)$  the associated Dirac operator.  $\mathcal{D}_{A,b}$  is defined as the composition:

$$A^0(\Sigma \otimes E) \xrightarrow{\nabla_{A_b}} A^1(\Sigma \otimes E) \xrightarrow{m} A^0(\Sigma \otimes E)$$

where  $m$  is the Clifford multiplication  $m(u, \sigma \otimes e) := \gamma(u)(\sigma) \otimes e$ .  $\mathcal{D}_{A,b}$  is an elliptic, self-adjoint operator and its square  $\mathcal{D}_{A,b}^2$  is related to the usual Laplacian  $\nabla_{A_b}^* \nabla_{A_b}$  by the Weitzenböck formula

$$\mathcal{D}_{A,b}^2 = \nabla_{A_b}^* \nabla_{A_b} + \Gamma(F_{A_b}).$$

Here  $\Gamma(F_{A_b}) \in A^0(\text{End}(\Sigma \otimes E))$  is the Hermitian endomorphism defined as the composition

$$A^0(\Sigma \otimes E) \xrightarrow{F_{A_b}} A^0(\Lambda^2 \otimes \Sigma \otimes E) \xrightarrow{\Gamma} A^0(\text{End}_0(\Sigma) \otimes \Sigma \otimes E) \xrightarrow{ev} A^0(\Sigma \otimes E).$$

We set  $F_{A,b} := F_A + \frac{1}{2}F_b \text{id}_E \in A^0(\Lambda^2 \otimes \text{End}(E))$ .

**Proposition 2.1** *Let  $s$  be the scalar curvature of the Riemannian 4-manifold  $(X, g)$ . Fix a  $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -structure on  $X$  and choose connections  $b$  and  $A$  in  $L$  and  $E$  respectively. Then*

$$\mathcal{D}_{A,b}^2 = \nabla_{A_b}^* \nabla_{A_b} + \Gamma(F_{A,b}) + \frac{s}{4} \text{id}_{\Sigma \otimes E}.$$

**Proof:** Since  $\Gamma(F_g) = \frac{s}{4} \text{id}_\Sigma$  [H1], and  $F_{A_b} = F_{B(b)} \otimes \text{id}_E + \text{id}_\Sigma \otimes F_A = \frac{1}{2}F_b \text{id}_\Sigma \otimes \text{id}_E + F_g \otimes \text{id}_E + \text{id}_\Sigma \otimes F_A = \text{id}_\Sigma \otimes (F_A + \frac{1}{2}F_b \text{id}_E) + F_g \text{id}_E$ , we find  $\Gamma(F_{A_b}) = \Gamma(F_{A,b}) + \frac{s}{4} \text{id}_{\Sigma \otimes E}$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Remark 2.2** *One has a Bochner-type result for spinors  $\Psi$  on which  $\Gamma(F_{A,b}) + \frac{s}{4} \text{id}_{\Sigma \otimes E}$  is positive: Such a spinor is harmonic if and only if it is parallel [H1].*

Let  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  be the pointwise inner product on  $\Sigma \otimes E$ ,  $|\cdot|$  the associated pointwise norm, and  $\|\cdot\|$  the corresponding  $L^2$ -norm. For a spinor  $\Psi \in A^0(\Sigma^\pm \otimes E)$  we define  $(\Psi \bar{\Psi})_0 \in A^0(\text{End}_0(\Sigma^\pm \otimes E))$  as the image of the Hermitian endomorphism  $\Psi \otimes \bar{\Psi} \in A^0(\text{End}(\Sigma^\pm \otimes E))$  under the projection  $\text{End}(\Sigma^\pm \otimes E) \rightarrow \text{End}_0(\Sigma^\pm) \otimes \text{End}(E)$ .

**Corollary 2.3** *With the notations above, we have*

$$(\mathcal{D}_{A,b}^2 \Psi, \Psi) = (\nabla_{A_b}^* \nabla_{A_b} \Psi, \Psi) + (\Gamma(F_{A,b}^+), (\Psi_+ \bar{\Psi}_+)_0) + (\Gamma(F_{A,b}^-), (\Psi_- \bar{\Psi}_-)_0) + \frac{s}{4} |\Psi|^2,$$

where  $(F_{A,b}^\pm)$   $F_{A,b}^\pm$  is the (anti-)self-dual component of  $F_{A,b}$ .

**Proof:** Indeed, since  $\Gamma(F_{A,b}^\pm)$  vanishes on  $\Sigma^\mp$  and is trace free with respect to  $\Sigma^\pm$ , the inner product  $(\Gamma(F_{A,b}), (\Psi \bar{\Psi}))$  in the Weitzenböck formula simplifies for a spinor  $\Psi \in A^0(\Sigma^\pm \otimes E)$ :

$$(\Gamma(F_{A,b}), (\Psi \bar{\Psi})) = (\Gamma(F_{A,b}^\pm), (\Psi \bar{\Psi})_0)$$

■

For a positive spinor  $\Psi \in A^0(E \otimes \Sigma^+)$ , the following important identity follows immediately:

$$(\not{D}_{A,b}^2 \Psi, \Psi) + \frac{1}{2} |\Gamma(F_{A,b}^+) - (\Psi \bar{\Psi})_0|^2 = (\nabla_{A_b}^* \nabla_{A_b} \Psi, \Psi) + \frac{1}{2} |F_{A,b}^+|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |(\Psi \bar{\Psi})_0|^2 + \frac{s}{4} |\Psi|^2 \quad (4)$$

If we integrate both sides of (4) over  $X$ , we get:

**Proposition 2.4** *Let  $(X, g)$  be an oriented, closed Riemannian 4-manifold with scalar curvature  $s$ ,  $E$  a Hermitian bundle over  $X$ . Choose a  $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -structure on  $X$  and a connection  $b$  in the determinant line bundle  $L = \det(\Sigma^+) = \det(\Sigma^-)$ . Let  $A$  be a connection in  $E$ . For any  $\Psi \in A^0(\Sigma^+ \otimes E)$  we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \not{D}_{A,b} \Psi \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| \Gamma(F_{A,b}^+) - (\Psi \bar{\Psi})_0 \|^2 = \\ & = \| \nabla_{A_b} \Psi \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| F_{A,b}^+ \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| (\Psi \bar{\Psi})_0 \|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_X s |\Psi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce now our coupled variant of the Seiberg-Witten equations. The unknown is a pair  $(A, \Psi)$  consisting of a connection in the Hermitian bundle  $E$  and a section  $\Psi \in A^0(\Sigma^+ \otimes E)$ . The equations ask for the vanishing of the left-hand side in the above formula.

$$\begin{cases} \not{D}_{A,b} \Psi & = & 0 \\ \Gamma(F_{A,b}^+) & = & (\Psi \bar{\Psi})_0 \end{cases} \quad (SW)$$

Proposition 2.4 and the inequality  $|(\Psi \bar{\Psi})_0|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} |\Psi|^4$  give immediately:

**Remark 2.5** *If the scalar curvature  $s$  is nonnegative on  $X$ , then the only solutions of the equations are the pairs  $(A, 0)$ , with  $F_{A,b}^+ = 0$ .*

If  $L$  is the square of a line bundle  $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , and if we choose a connection  $b^{\frac{1}{2}}$  in  $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$  with square  $b$ , then  $F_{A,b}$  is simply the curvature of the connection  $A_{b^{\frac{1}{2}}}$  in  $E \otimes L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . The solution of the coupled Seiberg-Witten equations on a manifold with  $s \geq 0$  are in this case just  $U(r)$ -instantons on  $E \otimes L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

In the case of a Kähler surface  $(X, g)$ , the coupled Seiberg-Witten equation can be reformulated in terms of complex geometry. The point is that if

we consider the canonical  $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -structure associated to the Kähler structure, the Dirac operator has a very simple form [H1]. The determinant of this  $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -structure is the anti-canonical bundle  $K_X^\vee$  of the surface, which comes with a holomorphic structure and a natural metric inherited from the holomorphic tangent bundle.

Let  $c$  be the Chern connection in  $K_X^\vee$ . With this choice, the induced connection  $B(c)$  in  $\Sigma = \Lambda^{00} \oplus \Lambda^{02} \oplus \Lambda^{01}$  coincides with the connection defined by the Levi-Civita connection. Recall that on a Kähler manifold, the almost complex structure is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection, so that the splitting of the exterior algebra  $\bigoplus_p \Lambda^p \otimes \mathbb{C}$  becomes parallel, too.

**Proposition 2.6** *Let  $(X, g)$  be a Kähler surface with Chern connection  $c$  in  $K_X^\vee$ . Choose a connection  $A$  in a Hermitian vector bundle  $E$  over  $X$  and a section  $\Psi = \varphi + \alpha \in A^0(E) + A^0(\Lambda^{02} \otimes E)$ .*

*The pair  $(A, \Psi)$  satisfies the Seiberg-Witten equations iff the following identities hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} F_{A,c}^{20} &= -\frac{1}{2}\varphi \otimes \bar{\alpha} \\ F_{A,c}^{02} &= \frac{1}{2}\alpha \otimes \bar{\varphi} \\ i\Lambda_g F_{A,c} &= -\frac{1}{2}(\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} - *(\alpha \otimes \bar{\alpha})) \\ \bar{\partial}_A \varphi &= i\Lambda_g \partial_A \alpha \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** The Dirac operator is in this case  $\not{D}_{A,c} = \sqrt{2}(\bar{\partial}_A - i\Lambda_g \partial_A)$ , and the endomorphism  $(\Psi \bar{\Psi})_0$  has the form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} - *(\alpha \otimes \bar{\alpha})) & *(\varphi \otimes \bar{\alpha} \wedge \cdot) \\ \alpha \otimes \bar{\varphi} & -\frac{1}{2}(\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} - *(\alpha \otimes \bar{\alpha})) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since  $\Gamma(F_{A,c}^+) = \Gamma(F_{A,c}^{20} + F_{A,c}^{02} + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_g F_{A,c} \cdot \omega_g)$  equals

$$2 \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{i}{2}\Lambda_g F_{A,c} & -*(F_{A,c}^{20} \wedge \cdot) \\ F_{A,c}^{20} \wedge \cdot & \frac{i}{2}\Lambda_g F_{A,c} \end{bmatrix},$$

the equivalence of the two systems of equations follows. ■

### 3 Monopoles on Kähler surfaces and the generalized vortex equation

Let  $(X, g)$  be a Kähler surface with canonical  $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -structure, and Chern connection  $c$  in the anti-canonical bundle  $K_X^\vee$ .

We fix a unitary vector bundle  $E$  of rank  $r$  over  $X$ , and define  $J(E) := \deg_g(\Sigma^+ \otimes E)$ , i.e.  $J(E) = 2r(\mu_g(E) - \frac{1}{2}\mu_g(K_X))$ , where  $\mu_g$  denotes the slope with respect to  $\omega_g$ .

Every spinor  $\Psi \in A^0(\Sigma^+ \otimes E)$  has the form  $\Psi = \varphi + \alpha$  with  $\varphi \in A^0(E)$  and  $\alpha \in A^0(\Lambda^{02} \otimes E)$ .

We have seen that the coupled Seiberg-Witten equations are equivalent to the system:

$$\begin{cases} F_{A,c}^{20} &= -\frac{1}{2}\varphi \otimes \bar{\alpha} \\ F_{A,c}^{02} &= \frac{1}{2}\alpha \otimes \bar{\varphi} \\ i\Lambda_g F_{A,c} &= -\frac{1}{2}(\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} - *(\alpha \otimes \bar{\alpha})) \\ \bar{\partial}_A \varphi &= i\Lambda_g \partial_A \alpha \end{cases} \quad (SW^*)$$

#### Lemma 3.1

A. Suppose  $J(E) < 0$ :

A pair  $(A, \varphi + \alpha)$  is a solution of the system  $(SW^*)$  if and only if

i)  $F_A^{20} = F_A^{02} = 0$

ii)  $\alpha = 0, \bar{\partial}_A \varphi = 0$

iii)  $i\Lambda_g F_A + \frac{1}{2}\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2}\text{sid}_E = 0$ .

B. Suppose  $J(E) > 0$ , and put  $a := \bar{\alpha} \in A^{20}(\bar{E}) = A^0(E^\vee \otimes K_X)$ :

A pair  $(A, \varphi + \bar{a})$  is a solution of the system  $(SW^*)$  if and only if

i)  $F_A^{20} = F_A^{02} = 0$

ii)  $\varphi = 0, \bar{\partial}_A a = 0$

iii)  $i\Lambda_g F_A - \frac{1}{2}*(a \otimes \bar{a}) + \frac{1}{2}\text{sid}_E = 0$ .

**Proof:** (cf. [W]) The splitting  $\Sigma^+ \otimes E = \Lambda^{00} \otimes E \oplus \Lambda^{02} \otimes E$  is parallel with respect to  $\nabla_{A,c}$ , so that, by Proposition 2.4

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \mathcal{D}_{A,c} \Psi \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| \Gamma(F_{A,c}^+) - (\Psi \bar{\Psi})_0 \|^2 = \\ & = \| \nabla_{A,c} \varphi \|^2 + \| \nabla_{A,c} \alpha \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| F_{A,c}^+ \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| (\Psi \bar{\Psi})_0 \|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_X s(|\varphi|^2 + |\alpha|^2). \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side is invariant under the transformation  $(A, \varphi, \alpha) \mapsto (A, \varphi, -\alpha)$ , hence any solution  $(A, \varphi + \alpha)$  must have  $F_A^{20} = F_A^{02} = 0$  and  $\varphi \otimes \bar{\alpha} = \alpha \otimes \bar{\varphi} = 0$ ; the latter implies obviously  $\alpha = 0$  or  $\varphi = 0$ . Integrating the trace of the equation  $i\Lambda F_{A,c} = -\frac{1}{2}(\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} - *(\alpha \otimes \bar{\alpha}))$ , we find:

$$\begin{aligned} J(E) &= c_1(\Sigma^+ \otimes E) \cup [\omega_g] = (2c_1(E) - rc_1(K_X)) \cup [\omega_g] = \\ &= 2 \int_X \frac{i}{2\pi} \text{Tr}(F_{A,c}) \wedge \omega_g = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_X \text{Tr}(i\Lambda F_{A,c}) \omega_g^2 = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_X \text{Tr}(-\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} + *(\alpha \otimes \bar{\alpha})) \omega_g^2 \end{aligned}$$

This equation shows that we must have  $\alpha = 0$ , if  $J(E) < 0$ , and  $\varphi = 0$ , if  $J(E) > 0$ . Notice that, replacing  $E$  by  $E^\vee \otimes K_X$ , the second case reduces to the first one.

The assertion follows now immediately from the identity  $i\Lambda_g F_c = s$ . ■

Notice that the last equation

$$i\Lambda_g F_A + \frac{1}{2}\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2}\text{id}_E = 0$$

has the form of a generalized vortex equation as studied by Bradlow [B1], [B2] and by Garcia-Prada [G2]; it is precisely the vortex equation with constant  $\tau = -s$ , if  $(X, g)$  has constant scalar curvature.

Let  $s_m$  be the mean scalar curvature defined by  $\int_X s \omega_g^2 = s_m \int_X \omega^2 = 2s_m \text{Vol}_g(X)$ .

We are going to prove that the system

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\partial}_A^2 & = 0 \\ \bar{\partial}_A \varphi & = 0 \\ i\Lambda_g F_A + \frac{1}{2}\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2}\text{id}_E & = 0 \end{cases}$$

for the pair  $(A, \varphi)$  consisting of a unitary connection in  $E$ , and a section in  $E$ , is always equivalent to the vortex system with parameter  $\tau = -s_m$ , i.e. to the system obtained by replacing the third equation with

$$i\Lambda_g F_A + \frac{1}{2}\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2}s_m \text{id}_E = 0.$$

”Equivalent” means here that the corresponding moduli spaces of solutions are naturally isomorphic.

Let generally  $t$  be a smooth real function on  $X$  with mean value  $t_m$ , and consider the following system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\partial}_A^2 & = 0 \\ \bar{\partial}_A \varphi & = 0 \\ i\Lambda_g F_A + \frac{1}{2}\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} - \frac{1}{2}t \text{id}_E & = 0 \end{cases} \quad (V_t)$$

$(V_t)$  is defined on the space  $\mathcal{A}(E) \times A^0(E)$ , where  $\mathcal{A}(E)$  is the space of unitary connections in  $E$ . The product  $\mathcal{A}(E) \times A^0(E)$  (completed with respect to sufficiently large Sobolev indices) carries a natural  $L^2$  Kähler metric  $\tilde{g}$  and a natural right action of the gauge group  $U(E)$ :  $(A, \varphi)^f := (A^f, f^{-1}\varphi)$ , where  $d_{A^f} := f^{-1} \circ d_A \circ f$ .

For every real function  $t$  let

$$m_t : \mathcal{A}(E) \times A^0(E) \longrightarrow A^0(\text{ad}(E))$$

be the map given by  $m_t := \Lambda_g F_A - \frac{i}{2}\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} + \frac{i}{2}t \text{id}_E$ .

**Proposition 3.2**  $m_t$  is a moment map for the action of  $U(E)$  on  $\mathcal{A}(E) \times A^0(E)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $a^\#$  be the vector field on  $\mathcal{A}(E) \times A^0(E)$  associated with the infinitesimal transformation  $a \in A^0(\text{ad}(E)) = \text{Lie}(U(E))$ , and define the real function  $m_t^a : \mathcal{A}(E) \times A^0(E) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by:

$$m_t^a(x) = \langle m_t(x), a \rangle_{L^2}.$$

We have to show that  $m_t$  satisfies the identities:

$$\iota_{a^\#} \omega_{\tilde{g}} = dm_t^a, \quad m_t^a \circ f = m^{\text{ad}_f(a)} \quad \text{for all } a \in A^0(\text{ad}(E)), \quad f \in U(E).$$

It is well known that, in general, a moment map for a group action in a symplectic manifold is well defined up to a constant central element in the Lie algebra of the group. In our case, the center of the Lie algebra  $A^0(\text{ad}(E))$  of the gauge group is just  $iA^0 \text{id}_E$ , hence it suffices to show that  $m_0$  is a moment map. This has already been noticed by Garcia-Prada [G1], [G2].  $\blacksquare$

Note also that in our case every moment map has the form  $m_t$  for some function  $t$ , which shows that from the point of view of symplectic geometry, the natural equations are the generalized vortex equations  $(V_t)$ .

In order to show that Bradlow's main result [B2] also holds for the generalized system  $(V_t)$ , we have to recall some definitions.

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a holomorphic vector bundle of topological type  $E$ , and let  $\varphi \in H^0(\mathcal{E})$  be a holomorphic section. The pair  $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$  is  $\lambda$ -stable with respect to a constant  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  iff the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $\mu_g(\mathcal{E}) < \lambda$  and  $\mu_g(\mathcal{F}) < \lambda$  for all reflexive subsheaves  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$  with  $0 < \text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) < r$ .
- (2)  $\mu_g(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{F}) > \lambda$  for all reflexive subsheaves  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$  with  $0 < \text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) < r$  and  $\varphi \in H^0(\mathcal{F})$ .

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $(X, g)$  be a closed Kähler manifold,  $t \in A^0$  a real function, and  $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$  a holomorphic pair over  $X$ . Set  $\lambda := \frac{1}{4\pi} t_m \text{Vol}_g(X)$ .  $\mathcal{E}$  admits a Hermitian metric  $h$  such that the associated Chern connection  $A_h$  satisfies the vortex equation*

$$i\Lambda_g F_A + \frac{1}{2}\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} - \frac{1}{2}\text{id}_E = 0$$

*iff one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i)  $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$  is  $\lambda$ -stable
- (ii)  $\mathcal{E}$  admits a splitting  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}' \oplus \mathcal{E}''$  with  $\varphi \in H^0(\mathcal{E}')$  such that  $(\mathcal{E}', \varphi)$  is  $\lambda$ -stable, and  $\mathcal{E}''$  admits a weak Hermitian-Einstein metric with factor  $\frac{t}{2}$ . In particular  $\mathcal{E}''$  is polystable of slope  $\lambda$ .

**Proof:** In the case of a constant function  $t = \tau$ , the theorem was proved by Bradlow [B2], and his arguments work in the general context, too: The fact that the existence of a solution of the vortex equation implies (i) or (ii) follows by replacing the constant  $\tau$  in [B2] everywhere with the function  $t$ . The difficult part consists in showing that every  $\lambda$ -stable pair  $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$  admits a metric  $h$  such that  $(A_h, \varphi)$  satisfies the vortex equation  $(V_t)$ . To this end let  $\text{Met}(E)$  be the space of Hermitian metrics in  $E$ , and fix a background metric  $k \in \text{Met}(E)$ . Bradlow constructs a functional  $M_{\varphi, \tau}(\cdot, \cdot) : \text{Met}(E) \times \text{Met}(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which is convex in the second argument, such that any critical point of  $M_{\varphi, \tau}(k, \cdot)$  is a solution of the vortex equation; the point is then to find an absolute minimum of  $M_{\varphi, \tau}(k, \cdot)$ . The existence of an absolute minimum follows from the following basic  $\mathcal{C}^0$  estimate:

**Lemma 3.4** (Bradlow) *Let  $\text{Met}_2^p(E, B) := \{h = ke^a \mid a \in L_p^2(\text{End}(E)), a^{*k} = a, \|\mu_t(A_h, \varphi)\|_{L^p} \leq B\}$ . If  $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$  is  $\frac{\tau}{4\pi} \text{Vol}_g(X)$ -stable, then there exist positive*

constants  $C_1, C_2$  such that

$$\sup |a| \leq C_1 M_{\varphi, \tau}(k, ke^a) + C_2,$$

for all  $k$ -Hermitian endomorphisms  $a \in L_p^2(\text{End}(E))$ . Moreover, any absolute minimum of  $M_{\varphi, t}(k, \cdot)$  on  $\text{Met}_2^p(E, B)$  is a critical point of  $M_{\varphi, t}(k, \cdot)$ , and gives a solution of the vortex equation  $V_\tau$ .

Let now  $t$  be a real function on  $X$ , and choose a solution  $v$  of the Laplace equation  $i\Lambda_g \bar{\partial} \partial v = \frac{1}{2}(t - t_m)$ . If we make the substitution  $h = h'e^v$ , then  $h$  solves the vortex equation  $(V_t)$  iff  $h'$  is a solution of

$$i\Lambda_g F_{h'} + \frac{1}{2}e^v \varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi}^{h'} - \frac{1}{2}t_m \text{id}_E = 0.$$

Define  $\mu_{t_m, v}(h') := i\Lambda_g F_{h'} + \frac{1}{2}e^v \varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi}^{h'} - \frac{1}{2}t_m \text{id}_E = 0$ , and

$$M_{\varphi, t_m, v}(k, h) := M_D(k, h) + \|e^{\frac{v}{2}} \varphi\|_h^2 - \|e^{\frac{v}{2}} \varphi\|_k^2 - t_m \int_X \text{Tr}(\log(k^{-1}h)),$$

where  $M_D$  is the Donaldson functional [D]. Then it is not difficult to show that all arguments of Bradlow remain correct after replacing  $\mu_{t_m}$  and  $M_{\varphi, t_m}$  with  $\mu_{t_m, v}$  and  $M_{\varphi, t_m, v}$  respectively. Indeed, let  $l$  be a positive bound from below for the map  $e^v$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\varphi, t_m}(k, ke^{a+\log l}) &\leq M_D(k, ke^a) + M_D(ke^a, lke^a) + \|l\varphi\|_h^2 - t_m \int_X \text{Tr} \log(lk^{-1}h) \\ &\leq M_{\varphi, t_m, v}(k, ke^a) + \|e^{\frac{v}{2}} \varphi\|_k^2 + 2 \log l \deg_g(E) - rt_m \log l \text{Vol}_g(X) \\ &\leq M_{\varphi, t_m, v}(k, ke^a) + C'(k, \varphi, v, l). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get constants  $n > 0, C''$  and an inequality

$$M_{\varphi, t_m, v}(k, ke^{a+\log n}) \leq M_{\varphi, t_m}(k, ke^a) + C'',$$

which shows that the basic  $C^0$  estimate in the Lemma above holds for  $M_{\varphi, t_m, v}$  iff it holds for Bradlow's functional  $M_{\varphi, t_m}$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Remark 3.5** *In the special case of a rank-1 bundle  $E$ , a much more elementary proof based on [B1] is possible.*

## 4 Moduli spaces of monopoles, vortices, and stable pairs

Let  $(X, g)$  be a closed Kähler manifold of arbitrary dimension, and fix a unitary vector bundle  $E$  of rank  $r$  over  $X$ . We denote by  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(E)$  the affine space of semiconnection of type  $(0, 1)$  in  $E$ . the complex gauge group  $GL(E)$  acts on  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(E) \times A^0(E)$  from the right by  $(\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi)^g := (g^{-1} \circ \bar{\partial}_A \circ g, g^{-1} \varphi)$ ; this action becomes complex analytic after suitable Sobolev completions. We denote by  $\bar{\mathcal{S}}(E)$  the set of pairs  $(\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi)$  with trivial isotropy group. Notice that  $\varphi \neq 0$  when  $(\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi) \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}(E)$ , and that  $\bar{\mathcal{S}}(E)$  is an open subset of  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(E) \times A^0(E)$ , by elliptic semi-continuity [K].

The action of  $GL(E)$  on  $\bar{\mathcal{S}}(E)$  is free, by definition, and we denote the Hilbert manifold  $\bar{\mathcal{S}}(E)/_{GL(E)}$  by  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}^s(E)$ . The map  $p : \bar{\mathcal{A}}(E) \times A^0(E) \rightarrow A^{02}(\text{End}(E) \oplus A^{01}(E))$  defined by  $p(\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi) = (F_A^{02}, \bar{\partial}_A \varphi)$  is equivariant with respect to the natural actions of  $GL(E)$ , hence it gives rise to a section  $\hat{p}$  in the associated vector bundle  $\bar{\mathcal{S}}(E) \times_{GL(E)} (A^{02}(\text{End}(E) \oplus A^{01}(E)))$  over  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}^s(E)$ . We define the moduli space of simple pairs of type  $E$  to be the zero-locus  $Z(\hat{p})$  of this section.  $Z(\hat{p})$  can be identified with the set of isomorphism classes consisting of a holomorphic bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  of differentiable type  $E$ , and a holomorphic section  $\varphi \neq 0$ , such that the kernel of the evaluation map  $ev(\varphi) : H^0(\text{End}(\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{E})$  is trivial.

In a similar way we define the moduli space  $\mathcal{V}_t^g$  of gauge-equivalence classes of irreducible solutions of the generalized vortex equation  $V_t$ :

Let  $B^+$  denote as usual the subbundle  $((\Lambda^{02} + \Lambda^{20}) \cap \Lambda^2) \oplus \Lambda^0 \omega$  of the bundle  $\Lambda^2$  of real 2-forms on  $X$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{D}^*$  the open subset of the product  $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{A}(E) \times A^0(E) \simeq \bar{\mathcal{A}}(E) \times A^0(E)$  consisting of pairs with trivial isotropy group with respect to the action of the gauge group  $U(E)$ . The quotient  $\mathcal{B}^*(E) := \mathcal{D}^*(E)/_{U(E)}$  comes with the structure of a real-analytic manifold.

Let  $v : \mathcal{D}(E) \rightarrow A^0(B^+ \otimes \text{ad}(E)) \oplus A^{01}(E)$  be the map given by:

$$v(A, \varphi) = (F^{20} + F^{02}, m_t(A, \varphi) \omega_{\text{id}_E}, \bar{\partial}_A \varphi).$$

Again  $v$  is  $U(E)$ -equivariant, and the moduli space  $\mathcal{V}_t^g$  of  $t$ -vortices is defined to be the zero-locus  $Z(\hat{v})$  of the induced section  $\hat{v}$  of  $\mathcal{D}^*(E) \times_{U(E)} A^0(B^+ \otimes \text{ad}(E)) \oplus A^{01}(E)$  over  $\mathcal{B}^*(E)$ .

Notice now that by Proposition 3.2, the second component  $v^2$  of  $v$  is a moment map for the  $U(E)$  action. It is easy to see that (at least in a neighbourhood of  $Z(v) \cap \mathcal{D}^*$ ) it has the general property of a moment map in the finite dimensional Kähler geometry: Its zero locus  $Z(v^2)$  is smooth and intersects every  $GL(E)$  orbit along a  $U(E)$  orbit, and the intersection is transversal. This means that the natural map  $A \rightarrow \bar{\partial}_A$  defines in a neighbourhood of  $Z(\hat{v}) \cap \mathcal{B}^*(E)$  an open embedding  $i : Z(\hat{v}^2) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{B}}^s$  of smooth Hilbert manifolds.

Regard now  $\mathcal{V}_t^g$  as the subspace of  $Z(\hat{v}^2) \subset \mathcal{B}^*(E)$  defined by the equation  $(\hat{v}^1, \hat{v}^3) = 0$ . On the other hand, the pullback of the equation  $\hat{p} = 0$ , cutting out the moduli space  $Z(\hat{p})$  of simple holomorphic pairs, via the open embedding  $i$  is precisely the equation  $(\hat{v}^1, \hat{v}^3) = 0$ , cutting out  $\mathcal{V}_t^g$ . We get therefore an open embedding  $i_0 : \mathcal{V}_t^g \rightarrow Z(\hat{p})$  of real analytic spaces induced by  $i$ , and by Theorem 3.3 the image of  $i_0$  consists of the set of  $\lambda$ -stable pairs, with  $\lambda := \frac{1}{4\pi} t_m \text{Vol}_g(X)$ .

Finally we denote by  $\mathcal{M}_X^g(E, \lambda) \subset Z(\hat{p})$  the open subspace of  $\lambda$ -stable pairs, with the induced complex space-structure. Putting everything together, we have:

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $(X, g)$  be a closed Kähler manifold,  $t \in A^0$  a real function, and  $\lambda := \frac{1}{4\pi} t_m \text{Vol}_g(X)$ . Fix a unitary vector bundle  $E$  of rank  $r$  over  $X$ . There are natural real-analytic isomorphisms of moduli spaces*

$$\mathcal{V}_t^g(E) \simeq \mathcal{V}_{t_m}^g(E) \simeq \mathcal{M}_X^g(E, \lambda).$$

Let us come back now to the monopole equation ( $SW^*$ ) on a Kähler surface. In this case the function  $t$  is the negative of the scalar curvature  $s$ , so that the corresponding constant  $\lambda$  becomes:

$$\lambda = \frac{-s_m}{4\pi} \text{Vol}_g(X) = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_X s \omega^2 = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_X (i\Lambda F_c) \omega^2 = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_X iF_c \wedge \omega = \frac{1}{2} \mu_g(K).$$

This yields our main result:

**Theorem 4.2** *Let  $(X, g)$  be a Kähler surface with canonical  $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -structure, and Chern connection  $c$  in  $K_X^\vee$ . Fix a unitary vector bundle  $E$  of rank  $r$  over  $X$ , and suppose  $J(E) = \deg_g(\Sigma^+ \otimes E) < 0$ . The moduli space of solutions of the coupled Seiberg-Witten equations is isomorphic to the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_X^g(E, \frac{1}{2}\mu_g(K))$  of  $\frac{1}{2}\mu_g(K)$ -stable pairs of topological type  $E$ .*

At this point it is natural to study the properties of the moduli spaces  $\mathcal{M}_X^g(E, \lambda)$ . We do not want to go into details here, and we content ourselves by describing some of the basic steps.

The infinitesimal structure of the moduli space around a point  $[(A, \varphi)]$  is given by a deformation complex  $(C_{\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi}^*, d_{A, \varphi}^*)$  which is the cone over the evaluation map  $ev^*$ ,  $ev^q(\varphi) : A^{0q}(\text{End}(E)) \rightarrow A^{0q}(E)$ . More precisely  $C_{\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi}^q = A^{0q}(\text{End}(E)) \oplus A^{0, q-1}(E)$  and the differential  $d_{A, \varphi}^q$  is given by the matrix

$$d_{A, \varphi}^q = \begin{bmatrix} -\bar{D}_A & 0 \\ ev(\varphi) & \bar{\partial}_A \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\bar{\partial}_A$  and  $\bar{D}_A$  are the operators of the Dolbeault complexes  $(A^{0*}(E), \bar{\partial}_A)$  and  $(A^{0*}\text{End}(E), \bar{D}_A)$  respectively.

Associated to the morphism  $ev^*(\varphi)$  is an exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^q(\text{End}(\mathcal{E}_A)) \xrightarrow{ev^q(\varphi)} H^q(\mathcal{E}_A) \rightarrow H_{\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi}^{q+1} \rightarrow H^{q+1}(\text{End}(\mathcal{E}_A)) \rightarrow \dots$$

with finite dimensional vector spaces

$$H_{\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi}^q = \ker(ev^q(\varphi)) \oplus \text{coker}(ev^{q-1}(\varphi)).$$

$H_{\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi}^0$  vanishes for a simple pair  $(\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi)$ , and  $H_{\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi}^1$  is the Zariski tangent space of  $\mathcal{M}_X^g(E, \lambda)$  at  $[\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi]$ .

A Kuranishi type argument yields local models of the moduli space, which can be locally described as the zero loci of holomorphic map germs

$$K_{[\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi]} : H_{\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi}^1 \rightarrow H_{\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi}^2$$

at the origin.

One finds that  $H_{\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi}^2 = 0$  is a sufficient smoothness criterion in the point  $[\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi]$  of the moduli space, and that the expected dimension is  $\chi(E) - \chi(\text{End}(E))$ . The necessary arguments are very similar to the ones in [BD1], [BD2].

The moduli spaces  $\mathcal{M}^g(E, \lambda)$  will be quasi-projective varieties if the underlying manifold  $(X, g)$  is Hodge, i.e. if  $X$  admits a projective embedding such that a multiple of the Kähler class is a polarisation [G1].

A GIT construction for projective varieties of any dimension has been given in [HL2]. The spaces  $\mathcal{M}_X^g(E, \lambda)$  vary with the parameter  $\lambda$ , and flip-phenomena occur just like in the case of curves [T].

## 5 Applications

The equations considered by Seiberg and Witten are associated to a  $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -structure, and correspond to the case when (in our notations) the unitary bundle  $E$  is the trivial line bundle. Alternatively, we can fix a  $\text{Spin}^c(4)$  structure  $s_0$  on  $X$ , and regard the Seiberg-Witten equations corresponding to the other  $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -structures as *coupled* Seiberg-Witten equations associated to  $s_0$  and to a unitary line bundle  $E$ . The  $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -structure we fix will always be the canonical structure defined by a Kähler metric. In the most interesting case of rank-1 bundles  $E$  over Kähler surfaces the central result is:

**Proposition 5.1** *Let  $(X, g)$  be a Kähler surface with canonical class  $K$ , and let  $L$  be a complex line bundle over  $X$  with  $L \equiv K \pmod{2}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{W}_X^g(L)$  the moduli space of solutions of the Seiberg-Witten equation for all  $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -structures with determinant  $L$ . Then*

- i) If  $\mu(L) < 0$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_X^g(L)$  is isomorphic to the space of all linear systems  $|D|$ , where  $D$  is a divisor with  $c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(2D - K)) = L$ .*
- ii) If  $\mu(L) > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_X^g(L)$  is isomorphic to the space of all linear systems  $|D|$ , where  $D$  is a divisor with  $c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(2D - K)) = -L$ .*

**Proof:** Use Theorem 4.2 and Bradlow's description of the moduli spaces of stable pairs in the case of line bundles [B1]. ■

We have already noticed (Remark 2.5) that in the case of a Riemannian 4-manifold with nonnegative scalar curvature  $s_g$ , the Seiberg-Witten equations have only reducible solutions. In the Kähler case, the same result can be obtained under the weaker assumption  $\sigma_g \geq 0$  on the total scalar curvature.

**Corollary 5.2** *Let  $(X, g)$  be a Kähler surface with nonnegative total scalar curvature  $\sigma_g$ . Then all solutions of the Seiberg-Witten equations in rank 1 are reducible. If moreover the surface has  $K^2 > 0$ , then for every almost canonical class  $L$ , the corresponding Seiberg-Witten equations are incompatible.*

**Proof:** The first assertion follows directly from the theorem, since the condition  $\sigma_g \geq 0$  is equivalent to  $K \cup [\omega_g] \leq 0$ . For the second assertion, note that if  $L$  is an almost canonical class, then  $L^2 = K^2 > 0$ , hence (regarded as line bundle) it cannot admit anti-selfdual connections. ■

**Remark 5.3** *The Seiberg-Witten invariants associated to almost canonical classes are well-defined for oriented, closed 4-manifolds  $X$  satisfying  $3\sigma + 2e > 0$ .*

**Proof:** Recall that if  $L$  is an almost canonical class, then the expected dimension of the moduli space of solutions of the perturbed Seiberg-Witten equations [W, KM] corresponding to a  $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -structure of determinant  $L$  is 0. Seiberg and Witten associate to every such class  $L$  the number  $n_L$  of points (counted with the correct signs [W]) of such a moduli space chosen to be smooth and of the expected dimension. In the case  $b_+ \geq 2$ , using the same cobordism argument as in Donaldson theory, it follows that these numbers are well-defined, i.e. independent of the metric, provided the moduli space has the expected dimension [KM]. The point is that the space of  $L$ -good metrics [KM] (i.e. metrics with the property that the space of harmonic anti-selfdual forms does not contain the harmonic representative of  $c_1^{\mathbb{R}}(L)$ ) is in this case path-connected. On the other hand, under the assumption  $3\sigma + 2e > 0$ , it follows that  $L^2 > 0$  for any almost canonical class  $L$ , hence all metrics are  $L$ -good. ■

**Proposition 5.4** *Let  $(X, H_0)$  be a polarised surface with  $K$  nef and big, and choose a Kähler metric  $g$  with Kähler class  $[\omega_g] = H_0 + nK =: H$  for some  $n \geq KH_0$ . Then  $\mathcal{W}_X^g(L)$  is empty for all almost canonical classes, except for  $L = \pm K$ , when it consists of a simple point.*

**Proof:** Let  $L$  be an almost canonical class with  $LH < 0$ . Suppose  $D$  is an effective divisor with  $c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(2D - K)) = L$ , so that  $D(D - K) = 0$ . Then  $D^2 = DK \geq 0$  since  $K$  is nef. If  $D^2$  were strictly positive, the Hodge index theorem would give  $(D - K)^2 \leq 0$ , i.e.  $K^2 \leq D^2$ . But from  $LH < 0$  we get  $0 > (2D - K)(H_0 + nK) = (2D - K)H_0 + n(2D^2 - K^2) \geq (2D - K)H_0 + n$ , which leads to the contradiction  $n < (K - 2D)H_0 \leq KH_0$ . Therefore  $D^2 = DK = 0$ , so that, again by the Hodge index theorem,  $D$  must be numerically zero. Since  $D$  is effective, it must be empty, and  $L = -K$ .

Replacing  $L$  by  $-L$  if  $L$  is an almost canonical class with  $LH > 0$ , we find  $L = K$  in this case. The corresponding Seiberg-Witten moduli spaces are simple points in both cases, since  $H_{\partial_A, \varphi}^2 = H^1(\mathcal{O}(D)|_D) = 0$ . ■

**Corollary 5.5** *There exists no orientation-preserving diffeomorphism between a rational surface and a minimal surface of general type.*

**Proof:** Indeed, any rational surface  $X$  admits a Hodge metric with positive total scalar curvature [H2]. If  $X$  was orientation-preservingly diffeomorphic to a minimal surface of general type, then  $K^2 > 0$ , hence the Seiberg-Witten invariants are well defined (Remark 5.3), and vanish by Corollary 5.2. Proposition 5.4 shows, however, that the Seiberg-Witten invariants of a minimal surface of general type are non-trivial for two almost canonical classes. ■

Witten has already proved [W] that for a minimal surface of general type with  $p_g > 0$  ( $b_+ \geq 2$ ), the only almost canonical classes which give non-trivial invariants are  $K$  and  $-K$ . Their proof uses the moduli space of solutions of the perturbation of the Seiberg-Witten equation with a holomorphic form. Proposition 5.4 shows that a stronger result can be obtained with the non-perturbed equations by choosing the Hodge metric  $H = H_0 + nK$ ,  $n \gg 0$ .

For the proof of Corollary 5.5, we need in fact only the mod. 2 version of the Seiberg-Witten invariants [KM2].

## Bibliography

- [AHS] Atiyah M., Hitchin N. J., Singer I. M.: *Selfduality in four-dimensional Riemannian geometry*, Proc. R. Lond. A. 362, 425-461 (1978)
- [BPV] Barth, W., Peters, C., Van de Ven, A.: *Compact complex surfaces*, Springer Verlag (1984)
- [B] Bertram, A.: *Stable pairs and stable parabolic pairs*, J. Alg. Geometry 3, 703-724 (1994)
- [B1] Bradlow, S. B.: *Vortices in holomorphic line bundles over closed Kähler manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. 135, 1-17 (1990)
- [B2] Bradlow, S. B.: *Special metrics and stability for holomorphic bundles with global sections*, J. Diff. Geom. 33, 169-214 (1991)
- [BD1] Bradlow, S. B.; Daskalopoulos, G.: *Moduli of stable pairs for holomorphic bundles over Riemann surfaces I*, Intern. J. Math. 2, 477-513 (1991)
- [BD2] Bradlow, S. B.; Daskalopoulos, G.: *Moduli of stable pairs for holomorphic bundles over Riemann surfaces II*, Intern. J. Math. 4, 903-925 (1993)
- [D] Donaldson, S.: *Anti-self-dual Yang-Mills connections over complex algebraic surfaces and stable vector bundles*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 3, 1-26 (1985)
- [DK] Donaldson, S.; Kronheimer, P.B.: *The Geometry of four-manifolds*, Oxford Science Publications (1990)
- [FM] Friedman, R.; Morgan, J.W.: *Smooth 4-manifolds and Complex Surfaces*, Springer Verlag 3. Folge, Band 27 (1994)
- [FQ] Friedman, R.; Qin, Z.: *On complex surfaces diffeomorphic to rational surfaces*, Preprint (1994)
- [G1] Garcia-Prada, O.: *Dimensional reduction of stable bundles, vortices and stable pairs*, Int. J. of Math. Vol. 5, No 1, 1-52 (1994)
- [G2] Garcia-Prada, O.: *A direct existence proof for the vortex equation over a compact Riemann surface*, Bull. London Math. Soc., 26, 88-96 (1994)
- [HH] Hirzebruch, F., Hopf H.: *Felder von Flächenelementen in 4-dimensionalen 4-Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Math. Ann. 136 (1958)
- [H1] Hitchin, N.: *Harmonic spinors*, Adv. in Math. 14, 1-55 (1974)
- [H2] Hitchin, N.: *On the curvature of rational surfaces*, Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math., Stanford, Vol. 27 (1975)
- [HL1] Huybrechts, D.; Lehn, M.: *Stable pairs on curves and surfaces*, J. Alg. Geometry 4, 67-104 (1995)

- [HL2] Huybrechts, D.; Lehn, M.: *Framed modules and their moduli*. Int. J. Math. 6, 297-324 (1995)
- [JT] Jaffe, A., Taubes, C.: *Vortices and monopoles*, Boston, Birkhäuser (1980)
- [K] Kobayashi, S.: *Differential geometry of complex vector bundles*, Princeton University Press (1987)
- [KM1] Kronheimer, P.; Mrowka, T.: *Recurrence relations and asymptotics for four-manifold invariants*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 30, 215 (1994)
- [KM2] Kronheimer, P.; Mrowka, T.: *The genus of embedded surfaces in the projective plane*, Preprint (1994)
- [OSS] Okonek, Ch.; Schneider, M.; Spindler, H: *Vector bundles on complex projective spaces*, Progress in Math. 3, Birkhäuser, Boston (1980)
- [Q] Qin, Z.: *Equivalence classes of polarizations and moduli spaces of stable locally free rank-2 sheaves*, J. Diff. Geom. 37, No 2 397-416 (1994)
- [S] Simpson, C. T.: *Constructing variations of Hodge structure using Yang-Mills theory and applications to uniformization*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 1 867-918 (1989)
- [T] Thaddeus, M.: *Stable pairs, linear systems and the Verlinde formula*, Invent. math. 117, 181-205 (1994)
- [UY] Uhlenbeck, K. K.; Yau, S. T.: *On the existence of Hermitian Yang-Mills connections in stable vector bundles*, Comm. Pure App. Math. 3, 257-293 (1986)
- [W] Witten, E.: *Monopoles and four-manifolds*, Mathematical Research Letters 1, 769-796 (1994)

Authors addresses:

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Zürich,  
 Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich  
 e-mail:okonek@math.unizh.ch  
 teleman@math.unizh.ch