Addendum to "Sharp inequalities which generalize the divergence theorem–an extension of the notion of quasiconvexity"

Graeme W. Milton
Department of Mathematics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City UT 84112, USA
(milton@math.utah.edu)

Abstract

The paper "Sharp inequalities which generalize the divergence theorem–an extension of the notion of quasiconvexity" published in Proc. R. Soc. A. 2013, Volume 469, Article 20130048; doi:10.1098/rspa.2013.0048 is clarified. Notably, much more general boundary conditions are given under which sharp lower bounds on the integrals of certain quadratic functions of the fields can be obtained. As a consequence, strict Q^*-convexity is found to be an appropriate condition to ensure uniqueness of the solutions of a wide class of partial differential equations with constant coefficients, in a given domain Ω with appropriate boundary conditions.

Keywords: Quasiconvexity, Q^*-convexity, Null-Lagrangians

In the paper [1], which generalized a result in [2], sharp lower bounds on the integral

$$\int_\Omega f(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}))\,d\mathbf{x}, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{x}$ lies in a d-dimensional space, were obtained for sharply Q^*-convex quadratic functions $f(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}))$ where $\mathbf{E}$ derives some potential $\mathbf{U}$, for certain special boundary conditions on $\mathbf{U}$ and its derivatives. Specifically, the field $\mathbf{E}$ with m components $E_r(\mathbf{x})$, $r = 1, 2, \dots, m$ derives from a real or complex potential $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x})$, with ℓ components $U_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, U_\ell(\mathbf{x})$ through the equations

$$E_r(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{q=1}^{\ell} L_{rq} U_q(\mathbf{x}), \tag{2}$$

for $r = 1, 2, \dots, m$, where L_{rq} is the differential operator

$$L_{rq} = A_{rq} + \sum_{h=1}^{t} \sum_{a_1,\dots,a_h=1}^{d} A_{rqh}^{a_1\dots a_h} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{a_1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{a_2}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{a_h}} \tag{3}$$

of order t in a space of dimension d with real or complex valued constant coefficients A_{rq} and $A_{rqh}^{a_1\ldots a_h}$, some of which may be zero. Here the function $f(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}))$ is said to be a Q^*-convex function if it satisfies

$$\langle f(\mathbf{E})\rangle \geq f(\langle\mathbf{E}\rangle), \tag{4}$$

for all periodic functions $\mathbf{E}=\mathbf{L}\mathbf{U}$ that derive from a potential $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x})$ that is the sum of a polynomial $\mathbf{U}^0(\mathbf{x})$ and a periodic potential $\mathbf{U}^1(\mathbf{x})$. The angular brackets denote volume averages over the unit cell of periodicity. (By all periodic functions we also meant for all primitive unit cells of periodicity, including parallelepiped shaped ones). The function f is sharply Q^*-convex if in addition one has the equality

$$\langle f(\underline{\mathbf{E}})\rangle = f(\langle\underline{\mathbf{E}}\rangle), \tag{5}$$

for some non-constant periodic function $\underline{\mathbf{E}}=\mathbf{L}\underline{\mathbf{U}}$ that derives from a potential $\underline{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{x})$ that is the sum of a polynomial $\underline{\mathbf{U}}^0(\mathbf{x})$ and a periodic potential $\underline{\mathbf{U}}^1(\mathbf{x})$. The fields $\underline{\mathbf{E}}$ and its potential $\underline{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{x})$ were called Q^*-special fields. When the elements A_{rq} vanish and the coefficients $A_{rqh}^{a_1\ldots a_h}$ are zero for all $h\neq t$ then Q^*-convexity may be equivalent to quasiconvexity, but otherwise they are not equivalent: see [3] for a precise definition of quasiconvexity.

In fact such inequalities can easily be obtained for many more boundary conditions, even for functions that are Q^*-convex but not sharply Q^*-convex. An obvious example is when $\mathbf{E}=\nabla U$ where U is a scalar, and when $f(\mathbf{E})=\mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{E}$. If we find some potential $\underline{U}$ such that $\Delta\underline{U}=0$, then the standard "energy minimization" variational principle implies we have the sharp inequality

$$\int_\Omega f(\nabla U)\,d\mathbf{x} \geq \int_{\partial\Omega} \underline{U}(\nabla\underline{U}\cdot\mathbf{n})\,dS, \tag{6}$$

for all potentials $U(\mathbf{x})$ satisfying the boundary condition that $U(\mathbf{x})=\underline{U}(\mathbf{x})$ when $\mathbf{x}\in\partial\Omega$, where $\mathbf{n}$ is the outward normal to the surface $\partial\Omega$ of Ω. The inequality is of course sharp when $U(\mathbf{x})=\underline{U}(\mathbf{x})$ for all $\mathbf{x}$ in Ω.

Generalizing this, we should define a solution field in Ω to be any field $\underline{\mathbf{E}}$ satisfying

$$\underline{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{S}\underline{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \underline{\mathbf{E}}=\mathbf{L}\underline{\mathbf{U}}, \quad \mathbf{L}^\dagger\underline{\mathbf{J}}=0, \tag{7}$$

where $\mathbf{S}$ is the Hermitian $m\times m$ matrix defining the quadratic form f:

$$f(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}))=\mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{S}\mathbf{E}\equiv\sum_{r=1}^{m}\sum_{s=1}^{m}\overline{E}_r(\mathbf{x})S_{rs}E_s(\mathbf{x}), \tag{8}$$

and where $\mathbf{L}^\dagger$ is the formal adjoint of $\mathbf{L}$,

$$L^\dagger_{qr}=\overline{A_{rq}}+\sum_{h=1}^{t}\sum_{a_1,\ldots,a_h=1}^{d}(-1)^h\overline{A_{rqh}^{a_1\ldots a_h}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{a_1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{a_2}}\cdots\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{a_h}}, \tag{9}$$

in which the bar denotes complex conjugation. Since the operators $\mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{L}^\dagger$ are formal adjoints the quantity

$$f_0 = \int_\Omega f(\underline{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}))\,d\mathbf{x} = \int_\Omega \underline{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x})\cdot\mathbf{S}\underline{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x})\,d\mathbf{x} = \int_\Omega \underline{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x})\cdot\underline{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{x})\,d\mathbf{x} \tag{10}$$

can be computed in terms of boundary terms using integration by parts. Now we show that

$$\int_\Omega f(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}))\,d\mathbf{x} \geq f_0, \tag{11}$$

for all fields $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})$ deriving from a potential $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x})$ that matches the appropriate boundary data of the potential $\underline{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{x})$ (generally involving both $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x})$ and its derivatives when $t > 1$), provided certain further supplementary conditions hold.

To establish the inequality in (11) we first find a parallelepiped C that contains Ω. Inside Ω define $\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) - \underline{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\delta\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x}) - \underline{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{x})$. We extend $\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\delta\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x})$ outside Ω so that it is periodic with C as a unit cell. In this cell, but outside Ω, we set $\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ and $\delta\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$. The boundary data on Ω for the potential $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x})$ are chosen so the equation $\delta\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{L}\delta\mathbf{U}$ holds weakly across the boundary of Ω. Defined in this way, the relation $\delta\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{L}\delta\mathbf{U}$ holds in a weak sense, and so the inequality (4) implies

$$\int_\Omega f(\delta\mathbf{E})\,d\mathbf{x} \geq |C| f(\langle\delta\mathbf{E}\rangle), \tag{12}$$

where $|C|$ is the volume of C. Since f is quadratic,

$$f(\delta\mathbf{E}) = f(\mathbf{E}) - f(\underline{\mathbf{E}}) - 2\delta\mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{S}\underline{\mathbf{E}}, \tag{13}$$

and integration by parts implies

$$\int_\Omega \delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})\cdot\mathbf{S}\underline{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x})\,d\mathbf{x} = \int_\Omega \delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})\cdot\underline{\mathbf{J}}(\mathbf{x})\,d\mathbf{x} = 0. \tag{14}$$

So it follows from this and (12) that

$$\int_\Omega f(\mathbf{E})\,d\mathbf{x} \geq f_0 + |C| f(\langle\delta\mathbf{E}\rangle). \tag{15}$$

This inequality in general requires us to know $\langle\delta\mathbf{E}\rangle$. Given a m-dimensional constant vector $\mathbf{J}^0$, we have

$$\int_C \mathbf{J}^0\cdot\delta\mathbf{E}\,d\mathbf{x} = \int_C \mathbf{J}^0\cdot\mathbf{L}\delta\mathbf{U}\,d\mathbf{x} = \int_C \mathbf{J}^0\cdot\mathbf{A}\delta\mathbf{U}\,d\mathbf{x}, \tag{16}$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ is the matrix with elements A_{rq}. (The last equality in (16) is established using integration by parts and the fact that $\delta\mathbf{U}$ is zero in the vicinity of the boundary of C). Since this holds for all $\mathbf{J}^0$, we deduce that

$$\langle\delta\mathbf{E}\rangle = \frac{1}{|C|}\int_C \mathbf{A}\delta\mathbf{U}\,d\mathbf{x}. \tag{17}$$

Therefore a sufficient condition for $f(\langle\delta\mathbf{E}\rangle)$ to be zero is that

$$\mathbf{SA} = 0, \tag{18}$$

so that the range of $\mathbf{A}$ is in the null space of $\mathbf{S}$ (which if $\mathbf{S}$ is non-singular requires that $\mathbf{A} = 0$). When this supplementary condition holds then clearly (15) implies the inequality in (11).

One corollary of this analysis is that strict Q^*-convexity is an appropriate condition to ensure uniqueness. Strict Q^*-convexity means that if (11) is satisfied as an equality, then $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) = \langle\mathbf{E}\rangle$. If there were two solution fields $\underline{\mathbf{E}}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{E}}'$ sharing the same boundary data on Ω, and the supplementary condition (18) held, then the inequality (11) would imply

$$\int_\Omega f(\underline{\mathbf{E}}')\,d\mathbf{x} \geq \int_\Omega f(\underline{\mathbf{E}})\,d\mathbf{x}. \tag{19}$$

By interchanging $\underline{\mathbf{E}}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{E}}'$, the reverse inequality must also hold. So one must have equality. Tracing back the argument which lead to (11) one must also have equality in (12) when inside Ω, $\mathbf{E}$ is replaced by $\underline{\mathbf{E}}'$, which by the strict Q^*-convexity implies

$$\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) = \langle\delta\mathbf{E}\rangle. \tag{20}$$

As the left hand side is zero in $C \setminus \Omega$, the right hand side must be zero also and we conclude that inside Ω,

$$\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) = \underline{\mathbf{E}}'(\mathbf{x}) - \underline{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}) = 0. \tag{21}$$

Even if f is sharply Q^*-convex, rather than strictly Q^*-convex, uniqueness could still hold. Indeed the field $\delta\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})$ must be a Q^*-special field which in addition vanishes in $C \setminus \Omega$, and there may be no non-zero such fields.

Examples of the solution fields $\underline{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x})$ are easily found. Following, for example section 6.9 in [4], we could look for fields where the potentials take the form

$$\underline{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u}h(i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{k}), \tag{22}$$

where $\mathbf{u}$ is a complex m-component vector, $\mathbf{k}$ is a complex d dimensional vector, and $h(z)$ is an analytic function of z such that the singularities of $h(\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{c})$ lie outside Ω. The function $h(z)$ could be chosen to be e^z in which case $\underline{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x})$ is a plane wave but it is not necessary to do so: we could, for example, take $h(z) = 1/(z - z_0)$. Introduce the elements

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{L}_{rq}(\mathbf{k}) &= A_{rq} + \sum_{h=1}^{t} \sum_{a_1,\ldots,a_h=1}^{d} i^h A_{rqh}^{a_1\ldots a_h} k_{a_1}k_{a_2}\ldots k_{a_h}, \\
\widehat{L}_{qr}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) &= \overline{A_{rq}} + \sum_{h=1}^{t} \sum_{a_1,\ldots,a_h=1}^{d} (-i)^h \overline{A_{rqh}^{a_1\ldots a_h}} k_{a_1}k_{a_2}\ldots k_{a_h},
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

of the $m \times \ell$ matrix $\widehat{\mathbf{L}}(\mathbf{k})$ and its adjoint $\widehat{\mathbf{L}}^\dagger(\mathbf{k})$, defined for all $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{C}^d$. Then $\underline{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x})$ will be a solution field if and only if

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{e} = 0, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{k}) = \widehat{\mathbf{L}}^\dagger(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{S}\widehat{\mathbf{L}}(\mathbf{k}). \tag{24}$$

In other words, we should choose the complex-valued vector $\mathbf{k}$ to be a solution of

$$\det[\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{k})] = 0, \tag{25}$$

and then take $\mathbf{e}$ to be an associated null-vector of $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{k})$. More generally, a wide variety of solution fields could be generated by superpositions of fields of the form (22).

Similarly we can bound integrals of the form

$$\int_\Omega g(\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}))\, d\mathbf{x}, \tag{26}$$

for fields $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})$ with m real or complex components $J_r(\mathbf{x})$, $r = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, that satisfy the differential constraints

$$\sum_{r=1}^{m} L_{qr}^\dagger J_r(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \tag{27}$$

and for quadratic functions $g(\mathbf{J})$ which are Q^*-convex in the sense that the inequality

$$\langle g(\mathbf{J})\rangle \geq g(\langle \mathbf{J}\rangle), \tag{28}$$

holds for all periodic functions $\mathbf{J}$ satisfying $\mathbf{L}^\dagger\mathbf{J} = 0$.

In this context we should define the solution fields $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{J}}}$ in Ω to be those fields for which there exists at least one potential $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{U}}}$ in Ω such that

$$\underline{\underline{\mathbf{E}}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{T}\underline{\underline{\mathbf{J}}}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \underline{\underline{\mathbf{E}}} = \mathbf{L}\underline{\underline{\mathbf{U}}}, \quad \mathbf{L}^\dagger\underline{\underline{\mathbf{J}}} = 0, \tag{29}$$

where $\mathbf{T}$ is the Hermitian $m \times m$ matrix entering the quadratic form g:

$$g(\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})) = \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{T}\mathbf{J} \equiv \sum_{r=1}^{m}\sum_{s=1}^{m} \overline{J}_r(\mathbf{x}) T_{rs} J_s(\mathbf{x}). \tag{30}$$

The quantity

$$g_0 = \int_\Omega g(\underline{\underline{\mathbf{E}}}(\mathbf{J}))\, d\mathbf{x} = \int_\Omega \underline{\underline{\mathbf{J}}}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{T}\underline{\underline{\mathbf{J}}}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_\Omega \underline{\underline{\mathbf{J}}}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \underline{\underline{\mathbf{E}}}(\mathbf{x}) \tag{31}$$

can be computed in terms of boundary values using integration by parts. Define $\delta\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}) - \underline{\underline{\mathbf{J}}}(\mathbf{x})$. We extend $\delta\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})$ outside Ω so that it is are periodic with C as a unit cell. In this cell, but outside Ω, $\delta\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$. The boundary data on Ω for $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x})$ are chosen so the equation $\mathbf{L}^\dagger \delta\mathbf{J} = 0$ holds weakly across the boundary of Ω. Defined in this way, the relation $\mathbf{L}^\dagger \delta\mathbf{J} = 0$ holds in a weak sense, and analogously to (15) we have

$$\int_\Omega g(\mathbf{J})\,d\mathbf{x} \geq g_0 + |C| g(\langle \delta\mathbf{J} \rangle). \tag{32}$$

This inequality in general requires us to know $\langle \delta\mathbf{J} \rangle$. Let $\mathcal{E}^0$ denote the vector space of m dimensional constant vectors $\mathbf{E}^0$ expressible in the form $\mathbf{E}^0 = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{U}^0(\mathbf{x})$ for some polynomial potential $\mathbf{U}^0(\mathbf{x})$. Given such a vector $\mathbf{E}^0$, we have

$$\int_C \delta\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{E}^0\,d\mathbf{x} = \int_C \delta\mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{L}\mathbf{U}^0\,d\mathbf{x} = 0, \tag{33}$$

where the last equality follows from integration by parts, using the fact that $\delta\mathbf{J} = 0$ in a vicinity of the boundary of C. Since this holds for all $\mathbf{E}^0 \in \mathcal{E}^0$ we deduce that a sufficient condition for $g(\langle \delta\mathbf{J} \rangle)$ to be equal to be zero is that the range of $\mathbf{T}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{E}^0$ and if this ancillary condition holds then clearly (32) implies

$$\int_\Omega g(\mathbf{J})\,d\mathbf{x} \geq g_0. \tag{34}$$

Again strict Q^*-convexity is an appropriate condition to ensure uniqueness. Strict Q^*-convexity means that if (28) is satisfied as an equality, then $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \mathbf{J} \rangle$. If there were two solution fields $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{J}}}$ and $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{J}}}'$ sharing the same boundary data on $\partial\Omega$, and the ancillary condition that the range of $\mathbf{T}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{E}^0$ is satisfied, then (34) holds as an equality when $\mathbf{J} = \underline{\underline{\mathbf{J}}}'$. This in turn implies $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{J}}} = \underline{\underline{\mathbf{J}}}'$ inside Ω.

In closing we remark that for all the results to hold it clearly suffices for f or g to be Q_C^*-convex, where by Q_C^*-convex we mean that the inequalities (4) or (28) hold for C-periodic functions with a unit cell C containing Ω, and not necessarily for all periodic functions.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks the National Science Foundation for support through grant DMS-1211359.

References

[1] G. W. Milton, "Sharp inequalities that generalize the divergence theorem: an extension of the notion of quasi-convexity", Proc. R. Soc. A **469**, 20130075 (2013).

[2] H. Kang and G. W. Milton, "Bounds on the volume fractions of two materials in a three dimensional body from boundary measurements by the translation method", SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics **73**, 475–492 (2013).

[3] J. M. Ball, J. C. Currie, and P. J. Olver, "Null Lagrangians, weak continuity, and variational problems of arbitrary order", Journal of Functional Analysis **41**, 135–174 (1981).

[4] G. W. Milton, *The Theory of Composites* (volume 6 of *Cambridge Monographs on Applied and Computational Mathematics* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 2002).